## Dr Anar Singh Ayurvedic Medical College ... vs Union Of India And Others on 15 February, 2021

**Author: Prateek Jalan** 

**Bench: Prateek Jalan** 

\$~22 to 26 & 28 to 30

*	IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW	DFLHT
+	W.P.(C) 1958/2021	
•	DR ANAR SINGH AYURVEDIC	
	MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL	Petitioner
	versus	
	UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Respondent
23	ONION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Nespondent
+	W.P.(C) 1965/2021	
'	KVS INSTITUTE OF AYURVEDIC MEDICAL	
	SCIENCE AND RESEARCH CENTRE	Petitioner
		retitioner
	versus UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Docnandant
24	UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Respondent
24 +	W D (C) 1074/2021	
+	W.P.(C) 1974/2021	
	FS AYURVEDIC MEDICAL COLLEGE	Datit
	AND HOSPITAL	Petit
	Versus	D
	UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Respond
25	W. D. (6), 4076 (0004	
+	W.P.(C) 1976/2021	
	DIVYA JYOTI AYURVEDIC	
	MEDICAL COLLEGE	Petit
	versus	
	UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Respond
26		
+	W.P.(C) 1978/2021	
	DHANVANTARI AYURVEDIC	
	MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL	Petit
	versus	
	UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Respond
28		
+	W.P.(C) 1988/2021	
	DR VIJAY AYURVEDIC MEDICAL COLLEGE	
	HOSPITAL AND RESEARCH CENTRE	Petitioner
	versus	
	UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS	Respondent

1

Signature Not Verified Digitally Signed By:SHITU NAGPAL W.P.(C) 1958/2021 & connected matters

Signing Date: 15.02.2021

22:06:08

29

30

+ W.P.(C) 1997/2021 SHIV SHAKTI AYURVEDIC MEDICAL COLLEGE

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

W.P.(C) 2007/2021

PRABUDHA AYURVEDIC MEDICAL COLLEGE

HOSPITAL AND RESEARCH CENTRE

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS ..... Respondent

## Present:

For the Petitioner

Mr.A.Mariarputham, Senior Advocate with Ms.Anuradha Arputham, Mr.Avneesh Arputham, Advocates for Petitioners in item nos. 22 25, 26, 28 & 30.

Mr. Vinod Diwakar, CGSC with Mr. Aakash Meena, GP for R-1/UOI in

Mr. Vivek Singh, Advocate for petitioner in item no. 29.

item no. 22. Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Susheel Pandey & Jitendra Kumar

Tripathi for R-1 & R-6 in item no. 23.

Mr.Rishabh Sahu & Mr.Piyush, Advs. for R-1 & 6 in item no. 24.

Mr.Satya Ranjan Swain, Central Govt. Senior Panel Counsel with Mr.Shoumendu Mukherjee, GP & Mr.Kautilya Birat, Advocate for R 1/UOI in item no. 25.

Mr.Piyush Beriwal, Mr.Neeraj, Mr.Sahaj Garg, Mr.Ankit Raj & Ms.Vandana Dewan, Advs. for R-1/UOI in item no. 26.

Mr. Apoorv Kurup & Ms. Nidhi Mittal, Advs for R1 and R6 in ite 28.

Ms.Shiva Lakshmi CGSC for R-1/UOI in item no. 29.

Mr.Virender Pratap Singh Charak, Sr.Panel Counsel with Mr.Abhi Khanna, GP for R-1/UOI in item no. 30.

Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, Adv. For R-2/CCIM

Signature Not Verified Digitally Signed By:SHITU NAGPAL

W.P.(C) 1958/2021 & connected matters

Signing Date: 15.02.2021

22:06:08

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN ORDER

Page

..... Re

.... Petitioner

% 15.02.2021 The proceedings in the matter have been conducted through hybrid mode (physical and virtual hearing).

CM APPL. 5717/2021 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 1958/2021 CM APPL. 5732/2021 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 1965/2021 CM APPL. 5771/2021 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 1974/2021 CM APPL. 5775/2021 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 1976/2021 CM APPL. 5779/2021 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 1978/2021 CM APPL. 5797/2021(exemption) in W.P.(C) 1988/2021 CM APPL. 5842/2021 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 1997/2021 CM APPL. 5868/2021 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 2007/2021 Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The applications are disposed of.

W.P.(C) 1958/2021 & CM APPL. 5716/2021 (interim relief) W.P.(C) 1965/2021 & CM APPL. 5731/2021 (interim relief) W.P.(C) 1974/2021 & CM APPL. 5770/2021 (interim relief) W.P.(C) 1976/2021 & CM APPL. 5774/2021 (interim relief) W.P.(C) 1978/2021 & CM APPL. 5778/2021 (interim relief) W.P.(C) 1988/2021 & CM APPL. 5796/2021 (interim relief) W.P.(C) 1997/2021 & CM APPL. 5841/2021 (interim relief) W.P.(C) 2007/2021 & CM APPL. 5867/2021 (interim relief)

- 1. Issue notice. Mr. Vinod Diwakar, learned counsel for respondent no.1 in W.P.(C) 1958/2021, Ms. Nidhi Raman, learned counsel for respondent nos. 1 & 6 in W.P.(C) 1965/2021, Mr. Rishabh Sahu, learned counsel for respondent nos.1 & 6 in W.P.(C) 1974/2021, Mr. Satya Ranjan Swain, learned counsel for respondent no.1 in W.P.(C) 1976/2021, Mr. Piyush Beriwal, learned counsel for respondent no.1 in W.P.(C) 1978/2021, Ms. Shiva Lakshmi, learned counsel for respondent no.1 in W.P.(C) 1997/2021, and Mr. Virender Pratap Singh Charak, learned counsel for respondent no.1 in W.P.(C) 2007/2021, accept notice. Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.2/Central Council of Indian Medicine ["CCIM"] in all the petitions. Notice to the remaining respondents be served through all permissible modes, dasti in addition.
- 2. The petitioners in all these writ petitions seek to challenge the orders of the Union of India [hereinafter, "the Union"], by which their applications for recognition to admit students in their Ayurveda college for the academic year 2020-2021, have been rejected. The impugned orders have been passed pursuant to recommendations received by the Union from the CCIM, and after giving show cause notices to the petitioner institutions. The petitioners submitted written representations in answer to the show cause notices, and also participated in the hearings convened by the Union. The relevant dates will be evident from the following table:
  - W.P.(C) Date of CCIM Date of show Date of Date of final No. recommendation cause notice hearing order 1958/2021 15.12.2020 05.01.2021 20.01.2021 04.02.2021 1965/2021 15.01.2021 18.01.2021 20.01.2021 08.02.2021 1974/2021 15.12.2020 11.01.2021 16.01.2021 03.02.2021 1976/2021 15.12.2020 11.01.2021 16.01.202
- 3. The principal issue which arises in the present petitions concerns the finding of the Union, premised upon the recommendation of CCIM, that certain members of the faculty of the

petitioner/institutions were not eligible for consideration or not recognised or "on paper". Other common issues concern certain inadequacies found in the documentation submitted by the petitioners, and deficiencies in the content and regular updation of their websites. These issues are amongst the issues considered by me in two interim orders dealing with other similarly placed institutions, including an order dated 08.02.2021 passed in W.P.(C) 1539/2021 [SKS Ayurvedic Medical College And Hospital Through Its Secretary vs. Union of India, Ministry of Ayush Through Secretary & Anr.] and connected matters, which covered ten institutions, and an order dated 12.02.2021 in W.P.(C)1854/2021 [RB Ayurvedic Medical College And Hospital vs. Union of India And Ors.] and connected matters, which covered seven institutions. In those petitions, I have found that the petitioners have made out a good prima facie case on these points. The position of the present petitioners is virtually identical as far as these aspects are concerned.

- 4. The additional issues which arise in the present batch of petitions are considered below:
  - (a) In W.P.(C) 1965/2021, an additional issue arises with regard to the number of plant species available in the petitioner's herbal garden. The Hearing Committee observed that the petitioner had only submitted purchasing bills for nine herbs, and had not submitted the payment details. I have held prima facie in the order dated 12.02.2021 that, consistent with the rules of natural justice, any deficiencies in the documentation ought to have been put to the petitioner/institution, and an opportunity be given to make them good.
  - (b) Two additional issues have been raised in W.P.(C) 1988/2021, regarding availability of a testing laboratory and of pharmacists:
  - (i) With regard to the availability of the quality testing laboratory, the petitioner had submitted that the laboratory is available, and had produced photographs, copies of the stock register, and standardization report of prepared medicines. The only observation of the Hearing Committee and the Union is that "availability of quality testing lab could not be ascertained".

Ms. Dave, learned counsel appearing on behalf of CCIM, submits that, in this case, a physical visitation had actually been undertaken by the CCIM team prior to making its recommendation to the Union, and the shortcoming was conveyed on that basis. However, it is undisputed that the said recommendation of the CCIM was not communicated to the petitioner, and that the show cause notice was sent only thereafter. There is no consideration of the petitioner's reply to the show cause notice in the impugned order. Neither the Hearing Committee nor the Union has given any reason as to why the representation is disbelieved. In fact, they have not even returned a finding that the testing lab is unavailable, but only asserted vaguely that the availability of the testing lab could not be ascertained. Prima facie, I am of the view that this does not constitute sufficient ground for denial of permission.

(ii) With regard to the shortage of one pharmacist in the hospital, the institution had submitted that it had two pharmacists, both appointed in 2019 (Ms. Kiran Arya & Mr. Rishab Gupta) and that it

had recently appointed two more pharmacists (Mr.Koshlesh Shrivastav and Mr.Jayant Kumar Lal). In response, the observation of the Hearing Committee is only that there is a shortage of one pharmacist in the hospital staff. A table is provided below the aforesaid observation, giving the names and designations of certain officers of the Ministry of Ayush and the Central Council for Research in Ayurvedic Sciences. It is difficult to comprehend the correlation between the submissions of the petitioner and the observations in this regard. There is not even an attempt to consider or respond to the submission of the petitioner-institution, and a finding is returned relying on the report of the Hearing Committee.

- (c) In W.P.(C) 1997/2021, the impugned order, in addition to shortages in the teaching faculty, indicates that there is a shortage of assistant matron and two pharmacists in the hospital staff required under Schedule IV to the Indian Medicine Central Council (Requirements of Minimum Standard for under-graduate Ayurveda Colleges and attached Hospitals) Regulations, 2016 [hereinafter, "the Regulations"]. In this regard, in the representation of the petitioners, they have relied upon the provision of Note (5) to Schedule IV of the Regulations, wherein a relaxation of 20% has been provided for grant of conditional permission. While noting the aforesaid submission, the Union has noted that 67 hospital staff are available as against 70 under the requirement of the Regulations. Despite the fact that this indicates that more than 80% of the required hospital staff was available, the Union has come to the conclusion that the concerned criterion has not been fulfilled. In addition, Mr. Vivek Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner in W.P.(C)1997/2021, also submits that the Union has failed to consider the submission that the petitioner had applied only for 60 seats, and that the hospital is a 60- bedded hospital, and not a 100-bedded hospital.
- 5. Other than the points noted above, all the other aspects on which the impugned orders are passed have been considered in the aforesaid interim orders dated 08.02.2021 and 12.02.2021, which apply squarely to the present case as well. In the said orders, having come to a prima facie conclusion in favour of the petitioners, I have followed the order of the Division Bench dated 04.02.2021, in LPA 49/2021 [Shivang Homeopathic College vs. Union of India & Ors.], whereby the Division Bench has granted interim relief in favour of an institution in similar circumstances. As noted in the aforesaid orders, the Division Bench has found in favour of the institution on the questions of balance of convenience, and stayed the contrary order of this Court dated 01.02.2021 in W.P.(C) 1265/2021.
- 6. In view of the above, the petitioners are hereby permitted to participate in the ongoing counselling.
- 7. This being an ad interim order, it is naturally subject to the results of the writ petitions, and the petitioners are directed to inform the prospective students accordingly.
- 8. Counter affidavits be filed within four weeks. Rejoinders thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 9. List on 07.04.2021.

PRATEEK JALAN, J FEBRUARY 15, 2021/"hkaur