

Anand @ Nicky vs The State (Govt Of Nct Delhi) on 21 March, 2018

Author: Mukta Gupta

Bench: Mukta Gupta

1# \$~

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ BAIL APPLN. 556/2018

ANAND @ NICKY

..... Petitioner

Represented by:

Mr. Samrat Nigam and Mr.
Pradeep Kumar, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, APP
for State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

% 21.03.2018

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was never involved in the alleged abduction and was not even present at the site or in the car which allegedly took the victim to DaheSara Boarder. Though learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, learned APP for the State refutes the same.

2. List on 26th April, 2018.

3. Till the next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the petitioner subject to his joining the investigation as and when directed by the Investigating Officer and the factum whether the petitioner was with the other co-accused will be verified by the State in the meantime.

4. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MARCH 21, 2018 'vn'