Google Llc vs Drs Logistics (P) Ltd. & Ors on 4 February, 2022

\$~16 and 27

Author: Rajiv Shakdher

Bench: Rajiv Shakdher, Talwant Singh

```
Vennela Reddy, Mr R. Ramya, Ms
                                   Umapathy,
                          Bhardwaj and Mr Pdarva Khare,
                          Advs.
                          Mr Rajiv K Virmani, Sr Adv alo
                          with Ms Sangeeta Goel, Adv for
                          Global Car Group Ltd.
              versus
DRS LOGISTICS (P) LTD. & ORS
                                              .....Respo
              Through: Mr Chander M. Lall, Sr. Advocate
                          with Ms. Nancy Roy, Ms. Ananya
                          Chug, Ms Payal Kalhan, Jeevesh
                          Nagrath and Ms Prakriti Varshn
                          Advocates for respondents no.1
                          Mr Arun Kathpalia, Sr. Advocat
                          with Mr Saransh Jain, Ms Shlok
                          Mr Shaurya R. Rai and Ms Bani
                          Advocates for respondent no.3.
                          Kshitij Parashar, Adv. for res
                          no.4.
 FAO(OS) (COMM) 22/2022
 GOOGLE INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED
                                            ....Appella
               Through: Mr Arun Kathpalia, Sr. Advocate
                         with Mr Saransh Jain, Ms Shloka
                         Mr. Shaurya R. Rai and Ms Bani
                         Brar, Advocates for respondent
               versus
DRS LOGISTICS PVT LTD & ORS.
                                            .....Respond
               Through: Mr Chander M. Lall, Sr. Advocate
                         with Ms. Nancy Roy, Ms. Ananya
                         Chuq, Ms.Payal Kalhan, Jeevesh
```

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

....Appel

page

1

Through: Mr Sandeep Sethi, Sr. Advocate wi

Mr Neel Mason, Mr Vihan Dang,

FAO(OS) (COMM) 2/2022

FAO(OS) (COMM) 2/2022 and connected

GOOGLE LLC

Signature Not Verified Digitally Signed By:VIPIN KUMAR RAI Signing Date: 08.02.2022

17:34:32

Nagrath and Ms. Prak Advocates for respond Mr Sandeep Sethi, Sr. Mr Neel Mason, Mr Vih Vennela Reddy, Mr R. Aditi Umapathy, Bhardwaj and Mr Parva Advocates for respond Mr Kshitij Parashar, respondent no.4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER HON'BLE MR JUSTICE TALWANT SINGH ORDER

% 04.02.2022 [Court hearing convened via video-conferencing on account of COVID-19] CM APPLs. 492/2022, 495-96/2022 in FAO(OS) (COMM) 2/2022 CM APPLs. 5880-81/2022 and 5883/2022 in FAO(OS) (COMM) 22/2022

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

CM APPL. 5808/2022 in FAO(OS) (COMM) 2/2022

- 2. This is an application moved by an entity going by the name Global Car Group Ltd to seek leave to intervene in the main matter.
- 3. Mr Rajiv K. Virmani, learned senior counsel, who appears for the applicant, says that the said entity i.e., Global Car Group Ltd has filed a suit on the original side of this court; which is pending adjudication. 3.1 According to Mr Virmani, the issue which arises for consideration in the present appeal will, perhaps, also arise for consideration in the suit. 3.2 We are told that the issues have not been framed in the suit, as yet.
- 4. In our view, there is already a judgment of the learned single judge, which is impugned in the above-captioned appeals pending adjudication FAO(OS) (COMM) 2/2022 and connected page 1 of 3 before us, and therefore, bearing in mind this aspect, the above-captioned application need not be entertained as the issues that intervenor seeks to profess stand more or less crystallised.
- 4.1 In our view, if we were to entertain interveners who have filed various actions, it would lead to a situation where managing these appeals would become difficult.
- 4.2 We would, in any case, have the benefit of assistance of learned senior counsels, who are appearing in the above-captioned appeals.
- 5. The intervention application is, accordingly, closed. CM APPL. 5884/2022 in FAO(OS) (COMM) 22/2022

- 6. This is an application filed by the applicant/appellant for condonation of delay.
- 6.1. The delay involved, we are told, is 26 days.
- 7. Counsel for the non-applicants/respondents say that they do not wish to oppose the prayer made in the above-captioned application.
- 8. Accordingly, the delay is condoned.
- 9. The application is, accordingly, disposed of. CM APPL. 493/2022 in FAO(OS) (COMM) 2/2022 CM APPL. 5882/2022 in FAO(OS) (COMM) 22/2022
- 10. These are applications moved on behalf of the appellant seeking issuance of direction from the Court to summon the suit record.
- 11. The prayer made in the above-captioned applications is allowed.
- 12. The Registry will place the suit record in the above-captioned matters, before us, albeit, in digital format. The record shall be duly paginated and indexed.

FAO(OS) (COMM) 2/2022 and connected page 2 of 3 FAO(OS) (COMM) 2/2022 & CM APPLs. 491/2022, 494/2022 [Applications filed on behalf of the appellant for interim relief and for takin additional documents on record] FAO(OS) (COMM) 22/2022 & CM APPL. 5879/2022 [[Application filed on behalf of the appellant for interim relief]

- 13. Issue notice to the respondents.
- 13.1. Ms Nancy Roy accepts notice on behalf of the respondent nos.1 and 2, while Mr Saransh Jain accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.3. Likewise, Mr Kshitij Parashar accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.4. 13.2. Reply(ies) will be filed, within the next two weeks. 13.3. Rejoinder(s) thereto, if any, will be filed before the next date of hearing.
- 14. Counsel for the parties will also file their respective written submissions, not exceeding three pages each, at least five days before the next date of hearing.
- 15. List the above-captioned appeals on 02.03.2022.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J TALWANT SINGH, J FEBRUARY 4, 2022 /tr Click here to check corrigendum, if any FAO(OS) (COMM) 2/2022 and connected page 3 of 3