## Nokia Technologies Oy vs Guangdong Oppo Mobile ... on 10 November, 2022

Author: Prathiba M. Singh

Bench: Prathiba M. Singh

```
$~19, 20, 23 & 24
      IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
      CS (COMM) 303/2021, CC(COMM) 12/2022, I.As.7699/2021,
      NOKIA TECHNOLOGIES OY
                                                    ..... Plain
                  Through: Mr. Pravin Anand, Ms. Vaishali
                            Mittal, Mr. Siddhant Chamola, Mr.
                            Rohin Koolwal, Ms. Sharada
                            Chauhan and Ms. Pallavi Bhatnagar,
                            Advocates. (M: 9871736336).
                  versus
       GUANGDONG OPPO MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP
       LTD & ORS.
                                                 ..... Defendan
                      Through: Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopal, Ms. A
                               Paarch, Ms. Charu Grover, Mr. A
                               Kumar, Mr. Arjun Gadhoke, Mr.
                               Aniruddh Bhatia, Ms. N. Parvati
                               Vivek Ayyagiri, Ms. Stuti Dhyan
                               Ms. Prachi Sharma, Advocates wi
                               Dr.
                                        Zhi
                                                  Ding,
                                (M:8943728551).
20
                      WITH
       CS (COMM) 304/2021 & CC(COMM) 5/2022, I.A. 7706/2021
       NOKIA TECHNOLOGIES OY
                      Through: Mr. Pravin Anand, Ms. Vaishali
                               Mittal, Mr. Siddhant Chamola, M
                               Rohin Koolwal, Ms. Sharada
                               Chauhan and Ms. Pallavi Bhatnag
                               Advocates.
                      versus
       GUANGDONG OPPO MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS
       CORP., LTD. & ORS.
                                                       .... Def
                      Through: Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopal, Ms. A
```

Paarch, Ms. Charu Grover, Mr. A Kumar, Mr. Arjun Gadhoke, Mr. Aniruddh Bhatia, Ms. N. Parvati Vivek Ayyagiri, Ms. Stuti Dhyan

1

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
By:DEVANSHU JOSHI

CS (COMM) 303/2021 & connected matters

Signing Date: 11.11.2022

17:45:10

Ms. Prachi Sharma, A Dr. Zhi Ding, expert.

23 WITH

CS (COMM) 162/2022 & I.As. 4158/2022, I.A. 14588/20 NOKIA TECHNOLOGIES OY

> Through: Mr. Pravin Anand, Ms. Vaishali Mittal, Mr. Siddhant Chamola, M Rohin Koolwal, Ms. Sharada Chauhan and Ms. Pallavi Bhatnag

> > Advocates.

versus

VIVO MOBILE COMMUNICATION CO., LTD

& ORS. ... Defen

> Through: Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopal, Ms. Anu Paarch, Ms. Charu Grover, Mr. A Kumar, Mr. Arjun Gadhoke, Mr. Aniruddh Bhatia, Ms. N. Parvati Vivek Ayyagiri, Ms. Stuti Dhyan Ms. Prachi Sharma, Advocates wi Dr. Zhi Ding, expert for D-1 to

24 WITH

& ORS.

CS (COMM) 171/2022 & I.As. 4267/2022 NOKIA TECHNOLOGIES OY

Through: Mr. Pravin Anand, Ms. Vaishali Mittal, Mr. Siddhant Chamola, M Rohin Koolwal, Ms. Sharada

Chauhan and Ms. Pallavi Bhatnag

Advocates.

versus

VIVO MOBILE COMMUNICATION CO. LTD.

Through: Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopal, Ms. Anu Paarch, Ms. Charu Grover, Mr. A Kumar, Mr. Arjun Gadhoke, Mr. Aniruddh Bhatia, Ms. N. Parvati Vivek Ayyagiri, Ms. Stuti Dhyan Ms. Prachi Sharma, Advocates wi Dr. Zhi Ding, expert for D-1 to

Signature Not Verified CS (COMM) 303/2021 & connected matters Digitally Signed By: DEVANSHU JOSHI Signing Date: 11.11.2022

17:45:10

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH **ORDER** 

% 10.11.2022

....Def

- 1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
- 2. These cases have been heard through hybrid mode by creating a separate link provided only to the parties and their counsels to participate in the hearing.
- 3. Both the ld. Counsels for the parties have taken instructions from their respective clients whether they are willing to share their respective agreements executed between them and Qualcomm.
- 4. On behalf of the Plaintiff Mr. Anand ld. Counsel, submits that the Plaintiff is willing to place on record its agreement with Qualcomm and is also willing to share the same with the members of a confidentiality club that may be constituted by an order of this Court.
- 5. On the other hand, Mr. Saikrishna, ld. Counsel submits that the instructions from his clients are that the interim applications ought to be decided on the basis of the pleadings and documents already on record and no further documents ought to be permitted to be filed. Ld. Counsel seeks to place reliance on paragraph 13 of the written statement where it is pleaded as under:
  - "13. However, in the event this Hon'ble Court is pleased to allow the Plaintiff to produce its third-party license agreements, the Defendants reserve the right to amend the present Written Statement and raise all the defenses available to them, should this Hon'ble Court be pleased to allow the said documents to be taken on record."
- 6. It is further submitted by Mr. Sai Krishna, ld. Counsel that the Defendant's license agreement with Qualcomm would not be relevant for the purpose of determining the issue of exhaustion. Hence it is not being produced.
- 7. On a query to ld counsels, as to whether if the Plaintiff's agreement with Qualcomm is permitted to be produced, and the Defendant is given an opportunity to amend its written statement, it is the stand of the ld. counsels that the hearing on the interim injunction application may not to be derailed on this account and the same may be decided on the basis of pleadings and documents already on record.
- 8. Today, submissions on behalf of the Defendants have been concluded by Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopal, ld. Counsel on all aspects including invalidity, non-infringement, essentiality qua the suit patents as also interim reliefs.
- 9. List on 11th November, 2022 for Rejoinder submissions on behalf of the Plaintiff.
- 10. These are part heard matters.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

NOVEMBER 10, 2022 Rahul/AM/SK