

Maxtor Bio Genics vs Hatinder Jain Proprietor on 21 March, 2018

Author: Mukta Gupta

Bench: Mukta Gupta

\$~20

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.L.P. 491/2016

MAXTOR BIO GENICS

Represented by:

versus

HATINDER JAIN PROPRIETOR

Represented by:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

..... Petitioner

Mr. Bhupesh Narula, Ms.
Prachi, Advs.

..... Respondent

Respondent in person.

% 21.03.2018 Crl.M.A. 14323/2016 For the reasons stated in the application delay of 12 days in refiling is condoned.

Application is disposed of.

CRL.L.P. 491/2016 & Crl.M.A. 14321/2016 (delay) Respondent is present in person. He states that he will engage his own counsel. He states that reply affidavit has not been filed as copy of the petition was not supplied. Copy of the petition has since been supplied to the respondent who appears in person. Reply affidavit if any be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder affidavit in four weeks thereafter.

List on 24th September, 2018.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MARCH 21, 2018 'ga'