

Sanovi Technologies (India) Pvt Ltd vs Aditya Shyam Bhatia And Anr on 21 March, 2018

Author: Rajiv Sahai Endlaw

Bench: Rajiv Sahai Endlaw

\$~8

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(COMM) 615/2018

SANOVI TECHNOLOGIES (INDIA) PVT LTD Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Vutts, Ms. Aamna

Hasan & Mr. Shreyes Rastogi, Advs.

Versus

ADITYA SHYAM BHATIA AND ANR Defendants

Through: Ms. Akshita Sachdeva, Adv. for D-1

to 3.

Mr. Ravin Galgotia, Adv. for D-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% 21.03.2018

1. Though the suit is listed for framing of issues but the counsel for the plaintiff is simply not interested in proceeding further with the suit and keeps on insisting that admission / denial has not been done and the written statement of the defendant no.3 is defective. It is also stated that the plaintiff has filed an application in this regard which is to come up before the Joint Registrar.

2. As and when any order is made on the application, the same would make provision for the issues framed today. Similarly, if admission / denial has not been carried out, consequences shall follow. The aforesaid are no grounds for the plaintiff not to want the issues to be framed, particularly when as far back as on 28th November, 2017, the suit was posted today for framing of issues.

3. The counsel for defendant no.4 seeks discharge. None else appears for the defendant no.4. The advocate for defendant no.4 is discharged and the defendant no.4 is proceeded against ex parte.

4. The counsel for defendants no.1 to 3 is also clueless and seems to be in no hurry.

5. List on 19th November, 2018.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J MARCH 21, 2018 'gsr'..