Parveen vs Meena Mir on 21 December, 2023

Author: Jasmeet Singh

Bench: Jasmeet Singh

\$~48

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI CONT.CAS(C) 108/2023 & CM APPL. 64931/20

PARVEEN

MEENA MIR

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ve

Th

1

ORDER

% 21.12.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the order dated 07.01.2021 passed in CS No. 04/2017 by the learned ADJ-02, (N-W), Rohini Courts, Delhi and order dated 30.05.2022 passed in GP No. 48/2022 by the learned Judge, Family Court, North-West, Rohini, Delhi.
- 2. The petitioner is the biological mother of the two minor children who are in the care of respondent. As per the order dated 07.01.2021, it was directed that the petitioner will be permitted to interact with the minor children at the residence of the mother-in-law of the petitioner, Ms Amna. At that point in time, she was staying at Sultanpuri, Delhi.
- 3. Subsequently, on 30.05.2022 the respondent was directed to ensure that both the children are produced at Children Room, Family Court, North-West, Rohini, Delhi for allowing the petitioner to meet on last Saturday of every month between 12:00 Noon to 1:00 P.M. This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:22

4. Despite the same, the respondent removed the chilren from Delhi and shifted them to Mumbai and now the children are residing at Mumbai. There is no explanation whatsoever as to why the children were removed from Delhi and shifted to Mumbai. The same, apparently, seems only to defeat the scope and ambit of order dated 30.05.2022.

- 5. Mr Inayati, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon a judgment passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in CONT.CAS(C) 72/2015 titled "D.K.C v. K.C. & Ors. wherein this Hon ble Court has held that removal of children from jurisdiction of a particular Court is in breach of the undertaking and has framed Contempt Notice against the defaulting party.
- 6. In the present case also, the respondent has removed the children from Delhi only to defeat the right of the petitioner to meet the children on the last Saturday of every month.
- 7. Mr Mahla, learned counsel for the respondent has relied upon Rosy Jacob v. Jacob A Chakramakkal (1973) 1 SCC 840 to urge that it is the interest of the minor child which is most important and not the right of the parent.
- 8. There is no quarrel with the proposition propounded by Mr Mahla but there is no reason as to why the children were shifted to Mumbai and how the same is in their better interest. At the cost of repetition, it seems that the children were shifted to Mumbai only to defeat the rights of the petitioner as granted on 30.05.2022.
- 9. In order to show bonafide, Mr Mahla, learned counsel for the respondent states that the children shall be brought to Delhi from 26.12.2023 till 01.01.2024 and the petitioner shall be permitted to have a meaningful This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:22 interaction with the minor children between 09:00 a.m. to 07:00 p.m. everyday. In case the respondent does not comply with the order, the SHO Budh Vihar will ensure that the order passed today is complied with in its true letter, spirit and intent. The respondent will only drop the children at 09:00 a.m. and pick them up at 07:00 p.m. and shall not interfere in the interaction and will not make any calls either.

- 10. Copy of this order be sent to SHO Budh Vihar.
- 11. List on 15.03.2024 Dasti under the signature of the Court Master.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 21, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:22 \$~25 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1921/2023 WALTER BUSHNELL PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.

..... Petitioners Through: Mr H.L. Tiku, Sr. Adv. with Mr Akash Nagar and Mr Rahul Regmi, Advs.

versus RAMESH JUNEJA & ORS. Respondents Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 21.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1921/2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 31.10.2013 passed in ORA/152/2008/TM/DEL by the Intellectual Property Appellate Board. The operative portion of the said order reads as under:

"46. In view of the aforesaid reasons, we are constrained to allow the application and consequently direct the second respondent herein to remove the impugned trade mark "DROTIKIND" in respect of registration No. 1309918 in Class 5 This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:22 by expunging the entry from the register. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition No. 125 of 2013 is closed. There will be no order as to costs."

- 2. It is stated by Mr Tiku, learned senior counsel for the petitioners that despite the said directions, the respondent No.4 got his trademark DROTIKIND renewed. Mr Tiku further states that the petitioner became aware of the same only on 18.03.2023.
- 3. For the said reasons, issue notice to the respondents by all modes including electronic on the petitioner taking requisite steps within one week from today, returnable on 23.02.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 21, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:22 \$~26 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1922/2023 PAWAN KUMAR CHHABRA Petitioner Through: Ms Gayatri Puri, Mr Achrar Puri, Ms Kalpana Chauhan and Ms Bhoomika Babbar, Advs.

versus M/S OM ENTERPRISES THROUGH ITS PARTNERS SHRI SACHIN SHARMA AND SHRI BIPIN KUMAR SHARMA Respondent Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 21.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1922/2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the judgment dated 01.09.2023 passed in FAO (COMM) 171/2023 and FAO (COMM) 172/2023.
- 2. As per the said judgment, the respondent was to make a payment of Rs.1,20,00,000/- (One Crore Twenty Lakhs) to the petitioner in five installments. It is stated that the respondent has only made small payments and the same is in violation of the order dated 01.09.2023.
- 3. For the said reasons, issue notice to the respondent by all modes This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:22 including electronic on the petitioner taking requisite steps within one week from today, returnable on 28.02.2024. The notice be also served through the counsel representing the respondent before the Hon ble Division Bench in FAO (COMM) 171/2023 and FAO (COMM) 172/2023.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 21, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:22 \$~28 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1924/2023 NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD. Petitioner Through: Mr Manoj Ranjan Sinha, Ms Nisha, Mr Deepak Sain and Mr Bhaskar, Advs.

versus SHRI ATUL GARG, THE DIRECTOR, DELHI FIRE SERVICES Respondent Through: Mr Abhinav Singh and Ms Bhavi Garg, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 21.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1924/2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the judgment dated 22.08.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 10163/2018. The

operative portion of the said judgment reads as under:

"9 . We agree with the submission made by Mr. Sinha, that the petitioner company having paid the amount of interest to the respondent no. 1, it shall be at liberty to claim the said amount This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 from the respondent no. 3. If such a claim is made within two months from today, the same shall be honoured by respondent No.3 within one month thereafter."

- 2. It is stated that despite the said directions, the amount has not been remitted to the petitioner.
- 3. Issue notice.
- 4. Mr Singh, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. He seeks and is granted two weeks to obtain instructions and file a status report.
- 5. List on 28.02.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 21, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~29 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1925/2023 MEENA AGGARWAL SENIOR CITIZEN Petitioner Through: Mr Akshat Aggarwal and Ms Khushi Aggarwal, Advs.

versus MANOJ JAIN DIRECTOR CENTRAL GOVERNMENT HEALTH SCHEME Respondent Through: Mr Ved Kumar Tripathi, Adv. with Mr Abhishek Khanna, G.P. CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 21.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1925/2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the order dated 04.09.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 4010/2023.
- 2. As per the said order, the respondent had assured that the amount of Rs. 7,89,300/- spent by the petitioner will the reimbursed. The same has not been done. Hence, the present contempt petition.

- 3. Issue notice.
- 4. Mr Ved Kumar Tripathi, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. He seeks and is granted four weeks to file a compliance This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 report.

5. List on 06.02.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 21, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~30 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1927/2023 SHRI BALAJI COLLEGE OF PHARMACY Petitioner Through: Mr Mayank Manish and Mr Ravi Kant, Advs.

versus SH. ANIL MITTAL, REGISTRAR-CUM-SECRETARY, PHARMACY COUNCIL OF INDIA Respondent Through: Mr Ajay Kumar Singh, Standing Counsel for PCI with Mr Yatharth Singh, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 21.12.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the order dated 18.04.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 4884/2023.
- 2. As per the said order it was directed that the respondent, after pointing out deficiencies will process the application of the petitioner.
- 3. Issue notice.
- 4. Mr Singh, learned standing counsel for the respondent states on instructions that the application has been processed and allowed by the respondent.
- 5. Taking the statement of Mr Singh, learned counsel for the respondent on record and binding the respondent to the same, the contempt petition is disposed of.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

6. Copy of the decision shall be communicated to the petitioner during the course of the day.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 21, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~31 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1928/2023 MS DIVINE @ DIKSHA & ORS. Petitioners Through: Mr Vinay Kumar Parihar, Adv.

versus UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondents Through: Mr Dev P Bhardwaj and Ms Anubha Bhardwaj, Advs. for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 21.12.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 03.09.2019 passed in W.P.(C) 7559/2018. The operative portion of the said order reads as under:
- "2. Learned counsel for the Respondent No. 4 informs the Court that she has been given compassionate appointment and is already undergoing the training. Learned counsel, on instructions, states that she would have no objection to the family pension payable on account of the deceased Shri Ravinder Kumar being hereafter paid to the Petitioners, i.e., his three children, (Petitioner Nos. 1 to 3 herein) through their grandmother, Petitioner No. 4 herein.

••••

4. It is directed that once the aforementioned documents are This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 submitted by the Petitioners within the next ten days, the claims of the Petitioners will be processed and the necessary orders shall be issued within a period of four weeks thereafter. If there is any non-compliance with the above directions, it is open to the Petitioners to apply to the Court."

- 2. It is stated that despite the said directions, the family pension is not being released to the petitioners.
- 3. I am of the view that the non-payment of family pension is a continuous cause of action and hence, the contempt petition is within limitation.
- 4. Issue notice.
- 5. Mr Bhardwaj, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. He seeks and is granted four weeks to file a compliance report/reply.
- 6. It is expected that on or before the next date of hearing, the order shall be complied with in its true letter, spirit and intent.
- 7. List on 22.01.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 21, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~32 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1929/2023 ASHWAL VADERA Petitioner Through: Ms Anju Bhattacharya, Ms Suriti and Mr Vinod Fulara, Advs.

versus SUBHASISH PANDA & ANR. Respondents Through: Ms Shobhana Takiar, Standing Counsel for DDA with Mr Kuljeet Singh, Adv.

Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Standing Counsel for L&B with Ms Nidhi Thakur and Ms Musareet, Advs. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 21.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1929/2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 15.07.2015 passed in W.P.(C) 3055/2015 and subsequent orders dated 24.09.2018, 27.11.2019, 27.07.2022, 11.11.2022, 16.01.2023.
- 2. It is stated by Ms Bhattacharya, learned counsel for the petitioner that the acquisition proceedings against the petitioner s land were deemed to This is a

digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 have lapsed. Hence, the land of the petitioner is free from any acquisition proceedings and the petitioner must be permitted to enjoy the said land. Despite the orders, the land of the petitioner is not being restored to the petitioner.

- 3. Issue notice.
- 4. Mr Pathak, learned Standing Counsel accepts notice for respondent No.2.
- 5. Ms Takiar, learned Standing Counsel for the DDA has been requested to appear for respondent No.1. She accepts notice for respondent No.1.
- 6. Reply, if any, be filed within a period of four weeks from today.
- 7. List on 28.02.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 21, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~33 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1930/2023 PAWAN BANSAL & ORS. Petitioners Through: Mr Mohit Mudgal, Mr Sandeep Yadav, Mr Varun Mudgil and Mr Shivani Rautela, Advs.

versus SURENDER KUMAR & ORS. Respondents Through: Mr Harsh Singhal and Mr Utkarsh Singhal, Advs. for MCD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 21.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1930/2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 07.04.2021 passed in MCA DJ No. 31/2019 read with order dated 24.11.2021 passed in CS SCJ No. 706/2019 read with the "Deed of Settlement" dated 07.04.2021.

Parveen vs Meena Mir on 21 December, 2023

2. As per the deed of settlement, the open passage was to be kept free of any kind of encroachment. Despite the same, the respondent has encroached upon the common area in front of shop of respondent No.1. The same is in violation of the undertaking as well as the orders passed by the Court.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

- 3. For the said reasons, issue notice.
- 4. Mr Singhal, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2/MCD.
- 5. Notice be issued to the remaining respondents by all modes including electronic on the petitioners taking requisite steps within one week from today, returnable on 12.03.2024.
- 6. The respondent No.2 shall ensure prompt action and will file a status report within a period of two weeks from today with advance copy to the learned counsel for the petitioners. In case the petitioners are aggrieved, they may file an application seeking early hearing of the petition.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 21, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~34 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1931/2023 ITD-ITD CEM JV Petitioner Through: Mr Virag Tiwari, Mr Ramashish and Mr Rishabh Jain, Advs.

SHRI A. ANBARASU

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER

21.12.2023

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of. CONT.CAS(C) 1931/2023

%

Indian Kanoon - http://indiankanoon.org/doc/6784435/

10

ve

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 26.09.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 5820/2022. The operative portion of the said order reads as under:
 - "21. We accordingly allow the instant writ petitions and quash the Hearing Notice dated 24 May 2022. The Refund Order of 29 April 2022 shall for reasons aforenoted stand set aside to the extent that it adjusts an amount of Rs. 13,60,14,547/-. The petitioner is held entitled to all consequential reliefs.
 - 22. The respondents shall consequently compute the amounts This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 which would become refundable to the petitioner in light of our observations appearing hereinabove and affect disbursement accordingly. The aforesaid refunds shall be disbursed along with interest in terms of Section 42 of the Act."

- 2. Since the same has not been done, the contempt petition has been filed.
- 3. Issue notice.
- 4. Mr Yash Upadhyay, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. He seeks and is granted four weeks to file a reply.
- 5. In case there is no order passed by the Appellate Court staying/modifying the order dated 26.09.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 5820/2022, on the next date of hearing the respondent shall bring the amount as directed in the order dated 26.09.2023.
- 6. List on 23.01.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 21, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~60 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI MR AMIT AGGARWAL Appellant Through: Counsel (appearance not given) versus MR ARUN BANSAL Respondent Through: Mr Mandeep Bansal, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 20.12.2023

- 1. This is an application filed on behalf of the appellant seeking early hearing of CM APPL. 49883/2023.
- 2. Issue notice.
- 3. Mr Bansal, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and states that he has no objection if the application is allowed.
- 4. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel and for the reasons stated in the application, the application seeking early hearing of CM APPL. 49883/2023 is allowed and the application is taken up for hearing today.
- 5. This is an application seeking stay of operation of the decree and judgment dated 27.03.2023 passed by ADJ-04, North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi in CS No. 575529/2016 titled "Arun Bansal vs. Mr. Amit Aggarwal".

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

- 6. The present appeal concerns a money decree wherein the learned ADJ has passed a decree for a sum of Rs. 11,51,175/- along with interest at the rate of 18% per annum.
- 7. Subject to the appellant depositing 75% of the decretal amount within a period of two weeks from today, the effect and operation of the decree and judgment dated 27.03.2023 passed by the ADJ-04, North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi shall remain stayed.
- 8. The respondent is entitled to withdraw the amount deposited subject to furnishing of security to the satisfaction of the Registrar General.
- 9. The application is disposed of accordingly.
- 10. Admit.
- 11. List in due course.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 20, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~13 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 23/2023 & CM APPL. 23372/2023 JAGTAR SINGH Petitioner Through: Mr Navdeep Jain, Adv.

versus MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents Through: Ms Beenashaw N Soni, Standing Counsel for MCD with Ms Ann Joseph, Adv. for MCD/R-1. Mr Anurag Nasiar, Adv. for R-2 (through VC) Mr Siddharth Shankar Ray, Adv. for R-3 to R-6. Mr Abhinav Singh and Mr Rajat M Dwivedi, Advs. for R-2/SHO.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 20.12.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 03.08.2022 passed in W.P.(C) 11534/2022. The operative portion of the said order reads as under:
- "4. Mr. Kapil Datta, learned counsel appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1/MCD and submits that civil proceedings are pending between the same parties, wherein written statement was filed on behalf of SDMC, which has also been placed on record alongwith the present petition. While referring to the written statement, he submits that demolition orders were passed on 26.05.2022 and 24.06.2022. It is further This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 mentioned in the written statement that demolition action is planned, subject to availability of police force. Learned counsel, on instructions, assures that requisite action would be taken expeditiously in accordance with law. The statement made on behalf of the answering respondent is taken on record.

- 5. Mr. Anurag Nasiar, learned counsel appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 2 and assures on behalf of SHO, P.S. Tigri, New Delhi that as and when police assistance is sought by respondent No. 1, the same would be duly provided.
- 6. In view of the statement made on behalf of respondent No. 1, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is accordingly disposed of alongwith the pending application.
- 7. Needless to state, respondent No. 1 and SHO, P.S. Tigri shall ensure that no further unauthorized construction is carried out at the subject property."
- 2. Since the same has not been done the contempt petition has been filed.

- 3. Ms Soni, learned Standing Counsel for the MCD has drawn my attention to the status report and further states that the first and fourth floor is already lying sealed. She further states that as far as second and third floor is concerned, vacation notice has been issued.
- 4. The SHO Khanpur is directed to ensure that the vacation notice is executed forthwith and appropriate demolition action will also be undertaken.
- 5. With these directions, the contempt petition along with pending This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 application is disposed of.

- 6. In case the petitioner is aggrieved by any non-action, he is at liberty to revive the contempt petition.
- 7. The respondent Nos. 3 to 6 are free to avail their legal remedies as available in law.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 20, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~14 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 87/2023 STERLING AND WILSON INTERNATIONAL FZE Petitioner Through: Mr. Jaiyesh Bakhshi, Mr. Ravi Tyagi, Ms. Manmilan Sidhu, Mr. Ankit Tyagi and Mr Kanishk Khullar, Advs. (through VC) versus MR. KERRY ADLER & ORS. Respondents Through: Mr Dayan Krishnan, Sr. Adv. with Mr Tishampati Sen, Mr Mayur Shetty, Mr Sanjeevi Seshadri, Ms Riddhi Sanceti, Mr Anurag Anand, Mr Himanshu K, Mr Mukul Kulhari and Ms Anjali Dhoot, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 20.12.2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the judgment dated 22.06.2020 passed in O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 461/2018 along with the order dated 08.11.2021. The operative portion of the order dated 22.06.2020 reads as under:

"88.

....

(c) The amounts claimed by the Petitioner in the two petitions are USD 34,723,013 and USD 34,133,214 respectively. Respondents are directed to furnish a Bank Guarantee to secure a sum equal This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 to 50% of the total of the two amounts mentioned above, within a period of four weeks from today, to the satisfaction of the Registrar General of this Court;"

- 2. Since the same was not done, the contempt petition has been filed.
- 3. Mr Krishnan, learned Senior Counsel for the respondent states that the directions of the learned Single Judge has been set aside by the Hon ble Division Bench vide judgment dated 10.11.2023 in FAO (OS) (COMM) 29/2022.
- 4. The learned Senior Counsel submits that the parties were working out a viable solution and hence the order dated 22.06.2020 could not be complied with. Since the viable solution could not be arrived at, the respondent pursued FAO (OS) (COMM) 29/2022 challenging the order dated 22.06.2020 and ultimately the directions contained in the order was set aside in respect of bank guarantee.
- 5. I am satisfied that the non-compliance of the directions was on account that the parties were trying to settle the disputes. In addition, the directions contained in the order dated 22.06.2020 reproduced above have now been set aside. Hence, there has been no intentional and malafide violation of the order dated 22.06.2020. The petition is therefore dismissed.
- 6. The judgment dated 10.11.2023 passed by the Division Bench handed over in Court today is taken on record.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 20, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~27 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1545/2023 & CM APPL. 54714/2023 SMT. SANDHYA SINGH & ORS. Petitioners Through: Mr Sanjeev Sahay, Ms Shagun Saproo and Ms Bhavya Anand, Advs.

versus SHRI AMAR PAL SINGH Respondent Through: Mr Himanshu Yadav and Mr Naseem Ahmed, Advs. (through VC) CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023

Parveen vs Meena Mir on 21 December, 2023

1. The parties state that there is a possibility that the matter may be settled and they

may be referred to Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre.

2. At joint request, list the matter before Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation

Centre on 05.01.2024 at 03:30 p.m.

3. List the matter before this Court on 23.02.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a

digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

\$~52 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + W.P.(C) 865/2012 & CM APPL.

\$~52 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + W.P.(C) 865/2012 & CM APPL. 14577/2013 SETH HOTELS PRIVATE LTD Petitioner Through: Ms Kanicka Miittal and Mr

Ravindra Singh, Advs. (through VC) versus DDA Respondent Through: Mr Nitin Mishra, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023 List before

another Bench on 15.01.2024, after obtaining orders from Hon ble the Acting Chief

Justice.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~65 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT

NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 770/2023 SURINDER SINGH & ANR. Petitioners

Through:

versus HARCHARAN SINGH Respondent Through: Mr Abhinav Singh and Mr

Rajat M Dwivedi, Advs. for Delhi Police.

Mr Mohit Gupta, Mr Siddhant Nath and Ms Aayushi Jain, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

19.12.2023

%

- 1. This is an application filed on behalf of respondent No.1 seeking directions against the petitioners for allegedly making false averments and initiate action under Section 340 Cr.P.C.
- 2. Issue notice.
- 3. Mr Singh, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.2-SHO.
- 4. Issue notice to the petitioners by all modes including electronic on the respondent No.1 taking requisite steps within one week from today, returnable on date already fixed i.e. 10.01.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023/sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~67 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1855/2023 YUVA VYAVSAIK SHIKSHAN MAHAVIDYALAYA & ANR.

..... Petitioners Through: Mr Amitesh Kumar, Adv. (through VC) with Ms Priti Kumar and Mr Mrinal Kishor, Advs.

versus DR RAVINDRA MAHADEORAO KADU CHAIRPERSON WESTERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE & ORS.

..... Respondents Through: Mr Rahul Madan, Standing Counsel for NCTE (through VC) CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 03.08.2021 passed in W.P.(C) 7618/2021. As per the said order, the respondents were required to issue an order of restoration of recognition.
- 2. Mr Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the respondents have done the needful and he does not press the contempt petition.
- 3. Mr Madan, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents assure the Court that the order shall be reflected on the NCTE s website today and the order will also be uploaded.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

4. Taking the statement of Mr Madan, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on record and binding the respondents to the same the contempt petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~68 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1869/2023 YUVA VYAVSAIK SHIKSHAN MAHAVIDYALAYA & ANR.

..... Petitioners Through: Mr Amitesh Kumar, Adv. (through VC) with Ms Priti Kumar and Mr Mrinal Kishor, Advs.

versus DR RAVINDRA MAHADEORAO KADU CHAIRPERSON WESTERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE & ORS.

..... Respondents Through: Mr Rahul Madan, Standing Counsel for NCTE (through VC) CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 03.08.2021 passed in W.P.(C) 7624/2021. As per the said order, the respondents were required to issue an order of restoration of recognition.
- 2. Mr Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the respondents have done the needful and he does not press the contempt petition.
- 3. Mr Madan, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents assure the Court that the order shall be reflected on the NCTE s website today and the order will also be uploaded.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

4. Taking the statement of Mr Madan, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on record and binding the respondents to the same the contempt petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~34 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1817/2023 YUVA VYAVSAIK SHIKSHAN MAHAVIDYALAYA & ANR.

..... Petitioners Through: Mr Amitesh Kumar, Adv. (through VC) with Ms Priti Kumar and Mr Mrinal Kishor, Advs.

versus DR RAVINDRA MAHADEORAO KADU, CHAIRPERSON, WESTERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE & ORS.

..... Respondents Through: Mr Rahul Madan, Standing Counsel for NCTE (through VC) CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 03.08.2021 passed in W.P.(C) 7611/2021. As per the said order, the respondents were required to issue an order of restoration of recognition.
- 2. Mr Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the respondents have done the needful and he does not press the contempt petition.
- 3. Mr Madan, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents assure the Court that the order shall be reflected on the NCTE s website today and the order will also be uploaded.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

4. Taking the statement of Mr Madan, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on record and binding the respondents to the same the contempt petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$ \sim 35 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1819/2023 YUVA

VYAVSAIK SHIKSHAN MAHAVIDYALAYA & ANR.

..... Petitioners Through: Mr Amitesh Kumar, Adv. (through VC) with Ms Priti Kumar and Mr Mrinal Kishor, Advs.

versus DR RAVINDRA MAHADEORAO KADU CHAIRPERSON, WESTERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE & ORS.

..... Respondents Through: Mr Rahul Madan, Standing Counsel for NCTE (through VC) CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 04.08.2021 passed in W.P.(C) 7670/2021. As per the said order, the respondents were required to issue an order of restoration of recognition.
- 2. Mr Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the respondents have done the needful and he does not press the contempt petition.
- 3. Mr Madan, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents assure the Court that the order shall be reflected on the NCTE s website today and the order will also be uploaded.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

4. Taking the statement of Mr Madan, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on record and binding the respondents to the same the contempt petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~69 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1896/2023 MUKTA SHARMA Petitioner Through: Petitioner in person.

versus DR. ADISH C AGGARWALA Respondent Through: Respondent in person with Mrs Archana Sharma, Mr Anubhav Tyagi and Mr Abhinav Singh, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1896/2023

- 1. The petitioner who appears in person seeks and is granted one week to file some additional documents on record.
- 2. The learned counsel for the respondent who appears on advance notice is at liberty to take the entire copy of paper book in a digitized form in accordance with High Court Practice Directions.
- 3. List on 31.01.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023/sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~70 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1898/2023 MR RAMESH KUMAR Petitioner Through: Mr Shivam Kumar and Ms Mudita Arora, Advs. versus MR SHAILENDRA KUMAR Respondent Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1898/2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the Settlement Agreement dated 07.04.2022 and the orders dated 11.09.2023 and 01.11.2023 passed by learned MM, South-East District, Saket District Courts, Delhi in CC NI 8488/2021 titled "Ramesh Kumar v. Shailendra Kumar .
- 2. It is stated by Mr Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner that the entire amount of Rs. 6.2 lakhs was to be paid in three instalments and the respondent has not paid the second and third instalment.
- 3. Issue notice to the respondent by all modes including electronic on the petitioner taking requisite steps within one week from today, returnable on 23.02.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023/sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~71 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1899/2023 MAMCHAND Petitioner Through: Petitioner in person.

versus GOV. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS. Respondents Through: Mr Abhinav Singh and Ms Bhavi Garg, Advs.

SI Sandeep, PS-Khyala CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1899/2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 27.04.1998 passed in W.P.(C) 4637/1996 directing the respondents to investigate the complaint of the petitioner and also register an FIR.
- 2. The petitioner who appears in person states that it is a continuing cause of action as till date the FIR has not been registered.
- 3. Issue notice.
- 4. Mr Singh, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 respondents. He seeks and is granted eight weeks to file a reply.

5. List on 09.04.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~72 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1900/2023 SHEETAL BHUTANI Petitioner Through: Mr Manmay Sarawagi, Adv.

RAJ CHAWLA

CORAM:

 $\hbox{HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH}$

Th

ORDER

19.12.2023

CM APPL. Nos. 66087-66089/2023

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of. CONT.CAS(C) 1900/2023

%

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the order dated 29.07.2022 passed in MC No. 293/2020 and orders dated 18.09.2023 and 11.10.2023 passed in Criminal Execution Proceedings No. 334/2022 arising out of order dated 29.07.2022 in MC No. 293/2020 pending before learned Mahila Court, West District, Tis Hazari Court, Delhi.
- 2. Mr Sarawagi, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the respondent is in arrears of maintenance and has an outstanding of about Rs. 8.95 lakhs.
- 3. Issue notice to the respondent by all modes including electronic on the This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 petitioner taking requisite steps within one week from today, returnable on 14.02.2024. The notice be also served to the counsel representing the respondent in the Trial Court.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~73 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1902/2023 SRI MANDIR RAKSHA SAMITI Petitioner Through: Mr S.D. Ansari and Mr I Ahmed, Advs.

versus SH GYANESH BHARTI & ORS. Respondents Through: Ms Monika Arora, CGSS with Ms Archana Surve, G.P., Mr Subhrodeep Saha and Mr Kushal, Advs. for R-1 and R-2.

Mr Rajesh Kumar Agnihotri, Adv. for R-3 & R-4 (through VC) CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1902/2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 11.04.2023 passed in W.P.(C)

4226/2023.

2. Issue notice.

3. Mr Subhrodeep Saha, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.

1 and 2.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the

QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

4. Mr Agnihotri, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

5. Reply be filed within a period of eight weeks from today.

6. List on 15.03.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a

digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~74 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1903/2023 SHARAD GUPTA AND ANR Petitioners Through: Ms Pooja Mehra Saigal, Mr Ishan Jain and Mr Yash

Prakash, Advs.

versus

DEEPAK GUPTA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

0RDER

19.12.2023

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of. CONT.CAS(C)

%

1903/2023

Th

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the order dated 26.05.2023 passed in O.M.P. (I) (COMM.) 151/2023. The operative portion of the said order reads as under:

"4.

B. Respondent No. 1 has already resigned from the partnership firm and a deed of retirement dated 27.01.2023 has been executed by him. Consequently, the petitioners and respondent No. 2 are required to enter into a deed of reconstitution of the firm, which they will do within four This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 days from today."

- 2. It is stated that by Ms Saigal, learned counsel for the petitioners that despite the said order, the respondent has not executed the reconstituted partnership deed.
- 3. Issue notice to the respondent by all modes including electronic on the petitioner taking requisite steps within one week from today, returnable on 18.03.2024. The notice be also served to the counsel representing the respondent before the learned Arbitrator.
- 4. List on 18.03.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~75 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1904/2023 ANJALI Petitioner Through: Ms Mumtaz Ahmad, Adv. (through VC) versus RAKESH EE Respondent Through: Mr Sameer Vashisht, ASC (Civil) for GNCTD (through VC) with Mr Vedansh Vashisht, Mr Aman Singh and Ms Harshita Nathrani, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1904/2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the order dated 01.05.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 11105/2021.

- 2. As per the said order, it was directed that the respondent will take steps for ensuring that U-turn is constructed on or before 15.07.2023. Since the same has not been done, the contempt petition has been filed.
- 3. Issue notice.
- 4. Mr Vashisht, learned ASC accepts notice on behalf of the respondent This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 and states that he shall be taking steps to either have the order modified, seek extension or find out some other via media.

- 5. Let an up-to-date status report be filed within a period of eight weeks from today.
- 6. List on 29.04.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~76 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1905/2023 RANGHESH SRINIVASAN Petitioner Through: Mr J.H. Jafri, Adv.

MADHULIKA BAWA

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER

19.12.2023

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of. CONT.CAS(C) 1905/2023

%

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the order dated 07.11.2023 passed by learned MM (Mahila Court) in Case bearing No. 1336/2019 titled "Madhulika Bawa v. Rangesh Srinivasa & Ors. .

ve

Indian Kanoon - http://indiankanoon.org/doc/6784435/

2. It is stated by Mr Jafri, learned counsel for the petitioner that the order dated 07.11.2023 permitted the petitioner to meet the minor daughter Tiara once in a month for three hours in the presence of the respondent. The same is disputed by Mr Devvrat, learned counsel for the respondent who states that the meeting schedule is to be fixed by the learned MM on 20.01.2024.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

- 3. Without getting into the controversy whether a contempt has been made out or not it is directed that the respondent shall bring the minor child to the Children s Room, 4th Floor, Administrative Block, Delhi High Court Mediation Centre on 08.01.2024 at 02:00 p.m. The Counsellor is requested to be present in the room.
- 4. Since there are arrears of maintenance, the petitioner also shall make a payment of Rs. 10 lakhs before 08.01.2024 without prejudice to his rights and contentions.
- 5. The learned MM is requested to complete the visitation schedule on 20.01.2024.
- 6. With these directions, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~3 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1604/2023 SHABNAM Petitioner Through:

versus SH. GYANESH BHARTI AND ORS Respondents Through: Ms Beenashaw N Soni, Standing Counsel for MCD with Ms Ann Joseph, Adv. for MCD.

Mr Mohd. Irsad, ASC (GNCTD) with Ms Nasreen, Mr Manish Kumar and Mr Kunal Raj, Advs. for R-2 and R-3.

Mr Anis Ahmed and Mr Rizwan Ahmed, Advs. for Mr Mohd.

Khursheed-Ul-Islam.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023

Parveen vs Meena Mir on 21 December, 2023

1. Mr Ahmed, learned counsel states that one Mr Mohd. Khursheed-Ul-

Islam is the owner of property bearing No. 3033, Kaziwada, Daryaganj, Delhi-110002 and his property is being demolished.

2. He is at liberty to take all appropriate action in accordance with law, including rectification of the

order dated 06.09.2023 passed by the Division Bench.

 ${\it 3.}~Ms~Joseph, learned~counsel~for~respondent-MCD~states~that~the~action~as~mandated~in~the~order$

dated 06.09.2023 has been taken and further action will be taken on 22.12.2023 or near about.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

4. Taking the statement of Ms Joseph, learned counsel for respondent- MCD on record and binding

the respondents to the same, the contempt petition is disposed of while granting liberty to Mr Mohd.

Khursheed-Ul- Islam to take appropriate legal remedies in accordance with law.

5. It is stated by Mr Ahmed, learned counsel that Mr Mohd. Khursheed- Ul-Islam has a duly

sanctioned plan in his favour and the construction has been done as per the sanction plan. Let a

copy be supplied to the respondent- MCD who will look into it.

6. In case any of the directions passed are violated, the aggrieved parties are at liberty to revive the

contempt petition.

Dasti.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a

digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the

QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

 $^{+4}$ IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) $_{1614/2023}$ MOHD

SHABBIR Petitioner Through: Mr Shivendu Singh and Ms Rinku Yadav, Advs.

S.K SINGH & ORS.

Through:

Indian Kanoon - http://indiankanoon.org/doc/6784435/

28

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

%

19.12.2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order and judgment dated 29.09.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 12350/2023. The operative portion of the said order reads as under:

"2. Mr. Ajjay Aroraa, learned Standing Counsel for Municipal Corporation of Delhi ["MCD"], states, upon instructions, that the property has been inspected by MCD and unauthorised construction having been found therein, a demolition order has This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 been passed on 06.09.2023. MCD proposes to take further necessary action expeditiously in accordance with law"

- 2. Since the demolition action has not been undertaken, the present contempt petition has been filed.
- 3. Mr Dalal, learned counsel appears for the owner of property-Sh. Gagan Sehrawat and has filed audio recordings as well as transcripts wherein the petitioner has been demanding money from respondent No.4 for not filing/proceeding with the contempt petition.
- 4. Prima facie, it seems that the conduct of the petitioner is contemptuous.
- 5. Issue notice.
- 6. Mr Abhinav Singh, learned ASC who is present in Court accepts notice for Delhi Police.
- 7. Let the entire transcript, case file be looked into by SHO-Malviya Nagar and give a report including forensic analysis within a period of eight weeks from today. Thereafter, appropriate action shall be undertaken.
- 8. List on 18.03.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~5 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 233/2021, CM APPL. 35756/2021, CM APPL.

DELHI JHUGGIE JHOPRI KALYAN EVAM VIKAS SANGH Petitioner Through: Mr Kamlesh Kumar Mishra, Mr Bibhuti Bhushan Mishra, Mr Nitin Kumar Nayak, Mr Samishti S, Mr Aditya, Mr Dipak Raj, Ms Megha Gupta and Mr Rama Shanker, Advs.

versus VIJAY KUMAR BIDHURI & ORS Respondent Through: Mr Rishikesh Kumar, ASC (GNCTD) with Ms Sheenu Priya, Mr Sudhir Kumar Shukla, Mr Sudhir, Mr Sumit Choudhary and Mr Atik Gill, Advs.

Mr Ajjay Aroraa, Standing Counsel for MCD with Mr Kapil Dutta, Mr Vansh Luthra and Ms Simran Arora, Advs.

Ms Arunima Dwivedi, CGSC with Ms Pinky Pawar, Adv. for UOI.

Mr Parvinder Chauhan, Ms Aakriti Garg, Ms Mahima Anand and Mr Divij Kumar, Advs. for DUSIB.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 19.12.2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order and judgment dated 29.09.2020 passed in W.P.(C) 5970/2017. The operative portion of the said order reads This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 as under:

"2. Mr. Chauhan, the learned Standing Counsel for DUSIB, submits that the requisite monies i.e. Rs.7, 69,36,000/- have been paid by the Government of NCT of Delhi to the DUSIB for rehabilitation of the displaced persons. The money was received some time in March 2020. However, DUSIB seems to have has done nothing worthwhile thereafter. The learned counsel states, upon instructions, that there is a large inventory of vacant apartments which could be allotted to the eligible displaced persons. He submits that within 3 weeks from today a 'draw of lots' shall be carried

out, allotting each eligible person a flat. Such allottee would be required to make payments within a month or such other reasonable time as may be permitted by the DUSIB. Upon receipt of the monies and completion of formalities, the flats shall be handed over to the allottees for their due rehabilitation. Let it be so done."

- 2. Since the Members of Petitioner-Association were not rehabilitated, the contempt petition has been filed.
- 3. Ms Arunima Dwivedi, CGSC for UOI and Mr Parvinder Chauhan, learned counsel for DUSIB state that an identical issue namely Rehabilitation of the Members of Petitioner-Association and all other similarly situated cases are pending before the Division Bench comprising of Hon ble the Acting Chief Justice. They further state that a high powered committee is expected to meet on 20.12.2023 to take further action and a status report will be filed in the writ petition pending before Hon ble Divison Bench-I. This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

- 4. The petitioner has also filed a substantial writ petition namely W.P.(C) 3789/2023 titled "Delhi Jhuggie Jhopri Kalyan Evam Vikas Sangh v. DUSIB & Ors. which is also pending.
- 5. Since the matter is already being looked into in another proceeding and a high powered committee is looking into Rehabilitation of the Members of Petitioner-Association, I am of the view that there is no intentional or malafide violation of the order and judgment dated 29.09.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 5970/2017.
- 6. For the said reasons, the contempt petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh contempt petition/revive the present contempt petition as and when the situation so arises.
- 7. Since the Members of Petitioner-Association have already paid valuable consideration and do not have a place for staying, it is expected that the respondent shall take expeditious action in accordance with law.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 19, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~93 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1889/2023 PREM ADIP RISHI Petitioner Through: Mr Ankit Jain and Mr Abhay Pratap Singh, Advs.

versus SANDEEP KUMAR & ANR. Respondents Through: Mr Rakesh Mittal, Ms Yamini Mittal and Mr Ajay Harshana, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 18.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1889/2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 10.10.2023 passed in CCP(CO.) 1/2023.
- 2. It is stated by Mr Jain, learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has complied with all his obligations pursuant to various orders/directions issued by different Courts. Despite the same, the respondents are continuing to pursue their execution petition.
- 3. Mr Mittal, learned counsel appears for the respondents on advance notice and states that the petition cannot be maintained by the petitioner as there is no violation made out of the order dated 10.10.2023. He further This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 states that the petitioner does not have any locus to file the present petition.

- 4. The matter needs consideration.
- 5. Issue notice.
- 6. Mr Mittal, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He seeks and is granted four weeks to file a reply.
- 7. List on 28.02.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~94 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1890/2023 GAYATRI & ORS. Petitioners Through: Mr Bibhuti Bhushan Mishra, Mr Anjani Kumar Mishra and Mr Kailash Kumar Jha, Advs.

Through:

SH P K GUPTA & ANR.

ve

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

18.12.2023

%

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of. CONT.CAS(C) 1890/2023 & CM APPL. 65585/2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 20.11.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 8659/2023. The operative portion of the said order reads as under:
 - "4. This Court is of the opinion that the Order of the Apex Court should apply mutatis mutandis to the present case also.
 - 5. Accordingly, the NBCC through Land and Development Office This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 is directed to pay a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- to each family within ten days from today.

- 6. On receipt of the said sum, the Petitioners are directed to vacate their premises within two weeks from the receipt of the said sum."
- 2. It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that despite non- payment of Rs. 3 lakhs, the respondents have pasted notice for eviction against the petitioners.
- 3. Issue notice.
- 4. Mr Kashyap, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1
- 5. Mr Kait, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2.
- 6. It is stated by Mr Kashyap, learned counsel for respondent No.1 that the present contempt petition is not maintainable as the petitioners are not entitled to the sum of Rs. 3 lakhs.

- 7. Let a reply be filed before the next date of hearing.
- 8. List on 21.12.2023.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~95 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1891/2023 CONST. RAJIV KUMAR & ORS. Petitioners Through: Mr Nikhil Palli and Mr Kshitij Pal, Advs.

versus SH.AJAY KUMAR BHALLA & ANR. Respondents Through: Mr Jitesh Vikram Srivastava, SPC with Mr Prajesh Vikram Srivastava, Adv.

Mr Surender Commandant (Law)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER

18.12.2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 11.01.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 3424/2022. The operative portion of the said order reads as under:

%

"54. A mandamus by way of direction is accordingly issued to the respondents to issue an Order to CAPFs mentioned above to implement the Notification dated 22.12.2003 as well as OM dated 17.0 2.2020 in essence noted above. It is made clear that the Notification dated 22.12.2003 as well as OM dated 17.02.20 20 granting the benefit of Old Pension Scheme shall be applicable in rem. Meaning thereby, Old Pension Scheme shall not only be applicable in the case of petitioners herein but all the This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 personnel of CAPFs at large. Accordingly, necessary orders be issued within eight weeks."

2. Mr Srivastava, learned counsel appears for the respondents on advance notice and on instructions from Mr Singh, Deputy Commandant (Law) states that as far as petitioner No.2 is concerned, appropriate orders have been passed and as far as petitioner Nos. 1 and 3 are concerned, their case are under process and needful shall be done expeditiously and not later than six weeks from today.

- 3. Taking the statement of Mr Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents on record and binding the respondents to the same, the present contempt petition is disposed of.
- 4. In case the petitioners are aggrieved by non-action on the part of the respondents, the petitioners are at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~96 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1892/2023 MANMOHAN SINGH & ANR. Petitioners Through: Mr S.K. Chawla, Adv.

versus GURPREET SINGH & ORS. Respondents Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 18.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1892/2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 01.09.2008 passed in CS No. 235/2004 titled "Sh. Manmohan Singh & Anr. v. Sh. Avtar Singh . As per the said order, the respondents were restrained by a decree of permanent injunction from making any construction, addition or alteration in the said property.
- 2. It is stated by Mr Chawla, learned counsel for the petitioners that despite the order the status of the property has been changed by demolishing and constructing three new shops. He further states that the petitioners have only recently come to know of the changes. Hence, the contempt petition.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

3. For the said reasons, issue notice to the respondents by all modes including electronic on the petitioners taking requisite steps within one week from today, returnable on 18.03.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23 \$~97 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1893/2023 BHARTI KUMAR AND ORS. Petitioners Through: Mr Anmol Panwar, Mr Rama Shankar and Mr Alok Singh, Advs.

versus GREENFIELDS PUBLIC SCHOOL AND ANR. Respondents Through: Mr Gaurav Dhingra, Adv. for R-2 / DoE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 18.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1893/2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the judgment dated 23.08.2023 passed in LPA 567/2023. The operative portion of the said judgment reads as under:

"33. In light of the aforesaid judgment, once the employer itself had faulted in implementing the recommendations of the Central Pay Commission, the claim cannot be denied on the ground of delay and laches. Therefore, in the considered opinion of this Court the learned Single Judge was justified in directing payment of salaries to the employees (Respondents herein) keeping in view the recommendations of the 7th Pay Commission.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:23

34. The other issue involved in the present case is grant of benefit under the MACP Scheme to the Respondents. While it is certainly within the domain of the School to adopt or not to adopt the MACP, however, once the school has adopted MACP scheme in respect of some of its employees, the school cannot be permitted to discriminate and deny the benefit of MACP to the Respondent Employees. Therefore, the learned Single Judge was justified in directing the Appellant School to grant all benefits under the MACP Scheme to the Respondent Employees also. Therefore, this Court does not find any reason to interfere with the judgment passed by the learned Single Judge.

35. In the considered opinion of this Court, especially in light of the fact that the School is also paying salaries to its employees as per the recommendations of the 7th Pay Commission at present, the benefit of the same cannot be denied to the Respondent Employees who have attained the age of superannuation, only on the ground that they have superannuated and, therefore, this Court does not find any reason to interfere with the judgment passed by the learned Single Judge. The present appeal fails and is accordingly dismissed. However, the School is granted three months' time to comply with the order passed by the learned Single Judge."

- 2. It is stated by Mr Panwar, learned counsel for the petitioners that despite the same, the petitioners have not been paid arrears of their salary as per recommendations of 7th CPC.
- 3. Issue notice.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24

- 4. Mr Dhingra, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2.
- 5. Issue notice to the respondent No.1 by all modes including electronic on the petitioners taking requisite steps within one week from today, returnable on 23.02.2024.
- 6. Respondent No.2 shall file an affidavit indicating what steps respondent No.2 has taken for non-compliance of the mandate of the respondent No.2 by the respondent No.1 before the next date of hearing.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 \$~98 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1895/2023 GALI GARHAIYA CHITLA GATE RWA Petitioner Through: Mr Haneef Mohammad, Adv.

versus GYANESH BHARTI & ORS. Respondents Through: Mr Ashutosh Gupta, ASC for MCD (through VC) Mr Mohd. Irsad, ASC, GNCTD with Ms Nasreen and Mr Kunal Raj, Advs.

for R-2/SHO.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 18.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1895/2023 & CM APPL. 65646/2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 17.10.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 13720/2023. The operative portion of the said judgment reads as under:
- "2. Mr. Ashutosh Gupta, learned Additional Standing Counsel for the Municipal Corporation of Delhi ["MCD"] who appears on advance notice, states that the property has been inspected This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 and the construction has been found to be undertaken without a sanctioned building plan. MCD proposes to commence proceedings for demolition of the building and will issue a show cause notice to respondent No. 3 or any owner/occupier of the property, at the latest within 10 days from today.

- 3. Further action will be taken dependent upon the reply received to the show cause notice.
- 4. In view of the aforesaid submissions on behalf of MCD, learned counsel for the petitioner does not seek any further orders in this writ petition which stands disposed of.
- 5. It is made clear that the aforesaid submissions are recorded without prejudice to the rights and contentions of any owners/occupants of the subject property whose statutory remedies are reserved. The MCD is directed to take action strictly in accordance with law, and after complying with all statutory formalities."
- 2. It is stated by Mr Haneef Mohammad, learned counsel for the petitioner that the respondents have not taken action against illegal and unauthorized construction as ordered.
- 3. Issue notice.
- 4. Mr Gupta, learned ASC appears for respondent-MCD accepts notice and states that the action is proposed in coming week and shall be taken then.
- 5. Taking the statement of Mr Gupta, learned ASC on record and binding the respondent to the same, the contempt petition is disposed of.

6. Since the contempt petition has been filed by Resident Welfare This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 Association, Gali Garhaiya, Chitla Gate, Chawri Bazar, Delhi, the respondent-MCD shall also ensure that the Resident Welfare Association is not targeting only an individual property. In case there is any illegal and unauthorized construction found in Chitla Gate, Chawri Bazar, Delhi, the respondent shall also take action against the illegal and unauthorized construction and file a status report within a period of eight weeks from today.

7. In case the status report is not filed by the MCD, the file shall be put up before this Court by the Registry.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 \$~100 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI MAYA DEVI & ANR Appellants Through: Mr J.M. Kalia and Mr Dhruv Kalia, Advs. for Applicant versus KAWALJEET KAUR Respondent Through: Mr Rohit Kumar Modi, Adv. (through VC) CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 18.12.2023

- 1. This is an application filed on behalf of the appellants seeking early hearing of the appeal.
- 2. The next date of hearing in the matter is 27.02.2024. The board of this Court does not permit a date earlier than 27.02.2024.
- 3. Learned counsel for the appellant does not press the early hearing application.
- 4. It will be the endeavour of the Court to hear and decide the appeal on the date already fixed i.e. 27.02.2024.
- 5. List on 27.02.2024 in top ten matters.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023/sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 \$~102 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI POONAM KOHLI & ORS Appellants Through: Mr Puneet Taneja, Adv. (through VC) with Mr Manmohan Singh Narula and

Mr Amit Yadav, Advs.

versus THE STATE GOVT NCR DELHI & ORS Respondents Through: Mr Vikas Azad, Adv. for R-3 (through VC).

Mr Kapil Dhawan, Special Power of Attorney Holder of R-3 (through VC) CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 18.12.2023

- 1. This is an application seeking to place on record the Settlement Agreement dated 11.12.2023 and to bind the parties to the same.
- 2. Mr Kapil Dhawan, Special Power of Attorney Holder of Respondent No.3 has joined through video conferencing mode and he has been identified by his counsel Mr Vikas Azad.
- 3. Mr Vikas Azad, learned counsel appears for respondent No.3 and states that the respondent No. 3 is agreeable to the Settlement Agreement and will abide by the same in letter, spirit and intent.
- 4. Taking the statement of learned counsel for respondent No.3 on record and binding the respondent No.3 to the same, Mr Taneja, learned This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 counsel for the appellants does not press the present appeal.

- 5. Binding the parties to the Settlement Agreement dated 11.02.2023, the present appeal is disposed of.
- 6. The date already fixed i.e. 07.02.2024 stands cancelled.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 \$~105 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI SMT KANTA KUMARI ARORA Appellant Through: Mr Arvind Sharma, Adv.

versus NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD Respondent Through: Mr Anish Dhingra and Mr Nakul Ahuja, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 18.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

RFA 987/2023 & CM APPL. 65594/2023

- 1. This is an appeal challenging the judgment and decree dated 30.09.2022 passed by learned ADJ-08, District-Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in CS (DJ) No. 617218/2016 titled "Smt. Kanta Arora v. National Insurance Co. Ltd. whereby the suit of the appellant was decreed.
- 2. It is stated by Mr Sharma, learned counsel for the appellant that since the transaction was of a commercial nature, the appellant should have been awarded interest at the rate of 15% to 18% in terms of Section 34 C.P.C.
- 3. Issue notice.
- 4. Mr Ahuja, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent. He seeks and is granted four weeks to file a reply.
- 5. List on 19.03.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023/sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 \$~9 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 328/2021, CM APPL. 52693/2022, CM APPL.

52694/2022, CM APPL. 7799/2023, CM APPL. 11256/2023, CM ARUN MALHOTRA Petitioner Through: Mr Kunal Anand and Mr Sandesh Kumar, Advs.

Petitioner in person.

versus MANYA MALHOTRA @ KANCHAN DHAKWANI AND ORS.

..... Respondents Through: Mr Akshay Chowdhary, Adv.

(through VC) R-1 in person (through VC) CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 18.12.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for violation of the order dated 18.03.2021 passed in W.P.(CRL.) 2188/2020. As per the said order, the order dated 23.12.2020 was made absolute and the parties were directed to comply with the same.
- 2. On 22.03.2023 in W.P.(CRL.) 2188/2020, the Court directed as under:

"Having heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties, the order dated 23.12.2020 is modified to the limited extent and for the sake of completeness, the modified paragraph in the said order, is extracted hereinbelow:

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24

10. Accordingly, we direct that the petitioner shall be entitled to meet the two minor children on every Saturday. He shall pick up the children on every Saturday afternoon, at 01:00 PM, from their school, and shall return their custody on the next day i.e. Sunday, by 07:00 PM, in the evening, at the residence of respondent no. 2 i.e. 42/1456, Third Floor, DDA Flats, Madangiri, New Delhi-110062. Both parties and their relatives including respondent No.3 and 4 shall maintain decorum and not resort to quarrel or violence.

The interim arrangement arrived at hereinabove, with the consent of the parties, shall continue to operate till the time the same is modified, altered or set-aside by the Court of competent jurisdiction, in an appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law."

- 3. Mr Chowdhary, learned counsel for the respondents states that the respondent No.1 keeps waiting at the school of the minor children and the petitioner does not turn up. Mr Anand, learned counsel for the petitioner disputes the same.
- 4. In order to overcome this operational difficulty, it is directed that in terms of the order dated 22.03.2023 the respondent shall bring the children to the Children Room at Saket Courts, Delhi and the petitioner shall pick up the children from there in the presence of a Counsellor. The learned Family Court is requested to appoint a Counsellor in this regard.
- 5. The respondents shall comply with the order dated 22.03.2023 in letter, spirit and intent till the same is modified by a competent court of jurisdiction, since the respondents have already filed a Guardianship This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 petition.

- 6. Taking the statement of Mr Chowdhary, learned counsel for the respondents on record and binding the respondents to the same, the contempt petition is disposed of leaving the parties to avail all their legal rights. All pending applications are also disposed of.
- 7. As far as the arrears of visitation are concerned, the petitioner is at liberty to take appropriate steps in this regard before the learned Guardianship Court and the learned Guardianship Court will adjudicate upon the same uninfluenced by the observations made by this Court.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 \$~111 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1418/2022 GEETIKA SHARMA & ANR. Petitioners Through: Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh and Mr Devender Singh, Advs.

Petitioner No.1 in person.

versus

ASHWANI SHARMA

Through: Mr Imran Ali, Mr Maneesh Saxena, Mr MZ Khan and Ms Dhriti Chhabra, Advs.

Respondent in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 18.12.2023

- 1. Mr Ali, learned counsel for the respondent states that the respondent is ready and willing to comply with the order dated 04.03.2020 in letter, spirit and intent. However, Mr Singh, learned counsel for the petitioners requests that the matter may be referred to Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre in order to find a solution between the parties.
- 2. With consent of parties, the matter is referred to Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre.
- 3. The parties are directed to appear before Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre on 04.01.2024 at 04:00 p.m.

4. List before this Court on 05.02.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023/sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24 \$~10 * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 602/2021 MR. SAKET RAI & ANR. Petitioners Through: Mr Kishore M Gajaria and Mr Aayush B Paranjpe, Advs.

versus MR. MAHESH TULSIANI, DIRECTOR M/S. TULSIANI CONTRUCTIONS AND DEVELOPERS PVT. LTD. & ANR.

..... Respondents Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 18.12.2023 On furnishing fresh address by the petitioners, let bailable warrants in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- be issued against the respondent through SHO concerned, on the petitioners taking requisite steps within two weeks from today, returnable on 03.04.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 18, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/12/2023 at 02:53:24