Himanshu vs East Delhi Municipal Corporation & Anr on 27 July, 2022

Author: Satish Chandra Sharma

Bench: Chief Justice, Subramonium Prasad

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

W.P.(C) 8104/2022

HIMANSHU

Through: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Ad

versus

Through:

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION & ANR

Mr. Manu Chaturvedi,

Counsel for MCD with Desai, Advocate Ms. Manika Tripathy, Counsel for DDA with Kaushik, Advocate

Mr. A K Singla, Seni with Mr.Rajesh Bansa

Mr.

Н

Ms.Manika Goswamy, M Bansal, Mr.Aditya

Kapoor,

Advocates for Implea

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% 27.07.2022

- 1. This is an application on behalf of the Applicants for impleadment in the present writ petition.
- 2. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed.
- 3. The application is disposed of.
- 1. This is an application on behalf of the Applicant seeking interim stay on the demolition activity pertaining to the property situated at C-50-A, Old Plot No.34, Jyoti Colony, Gali No.6, Block-C, Shahdara, New Delhi-110032.

- 2. Learned counsel for the MCD is permitted to file the reply to the present application. The Petitioner is also at liberty to file his reply.
- 3. List on 02.11.2022.

W.P.(C) 8104/2022

- 1. Learned counsel for the MCD informs this Court that he has filed a Status Report and the copy of the same was sent to the learned counsel for the Petitioner through e-mail. However, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that he has not received the copy of the Status Report. Let a copy of the same be supplied to the learned counsel for the Petitioner.
- 2. List on 02.11.2022.
- 3. Interim orders to continue.

SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA, CJ SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J JULY 27, 2022 hsk