

# Prakash Chand Sharma & Ors vs Udai Pratap Singh & Ors on 14 July, 2022

**Author: Sachin Datta**

**Bench: Sachin Datta**

\$~29 to 32

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CONT.CAS(C) 330/2018 & CM APPL. 19163/2018, 42718/2019  
PRAKASH CHAND SHARMA & ORS ..... Petition  
Through: None.

versus

UDAI PRATAP SINGH & ORS ..... Responden  
Through:

Mr. Anupam Srivastava, ASC,  
GNCTD & Mr. Vasuh Misra, Advs.  
for R-3.  
Ms. Prabhsahay Kaur, Standing  
Counsel for DDA with Mr. Abhinav  
Garg, Adv. for R-4/DDA.  
Mr. Sameer Vashisht, ASC (Civil),  
GNCTD, Ms. Sanjana Nangia and  
Ms. Shreya Gupta and Mr. Sanjay Kr  
Pathak, Adv. for R-5.  
Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel  
with Ms. Surbhi Arora, Adv. for L  
B/R-3.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 343/2018 & CM APPL. 19858/2018  
SANJAY MEHTA & ANR ..... Petition  
Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayyar, Sr. Adv. with  
Rajat Sehgal and Mr. Vikas Meht  
Advs.

versus

V S YADAV DIRECTOR (HQ) & ORS ..... Respondents  
Through:

Mr. Anupam Srivastava, ASC,  
GNCTD & Mr. Vasuh Misra, Advs.

Signature Not Verified  
Digitally Signed  
By:RADHA BISHT  
Signing Date:19.07.2022  
17:01:05

for R-3.

Ms. Prabhsahay Kaur, Standing Counsel for DDA with Mr. Abhinav Garg, Adv. for R-4/DDA.  
Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel with Ms. Surbhi Arora, Adv. for B/R-3.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 346/2018 & 19916/2018  
VEER BALA MEHTA

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayyar, Sr. Adv. with Rajat Sehgal and Mr. Vikas Mehta Adv.

versus

V S YADAV DIRECTOR (HQ) & ORS

..... Respondents

Through:

Mr. Anupam Srivastava, ASC, GNCTD & Mr. Vasuh Misra, Adv. for R-3.

Ms. Prabhsahay Kaur, Standing Counsel for DDA with Mr. Abhinav Garg, Adv. for R-4/DDA.

Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel with Ms. Surbhi Arora, Adv. for L & B/R-3.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 347/2018  
VASU DEV PAHWA & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayyar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Rajat Sehgal and Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv.

versus

V S YADAV, DIRECTOR (HQ) & ORS

..... Respondents

Signature Not Verified  
Digitally Signed  
By:RADHA BISHT  
Signing Date:19.07.2022  
17:01:05

Through:

Mr. Abhinav Garg, Adv.  
Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel with Ms. Surbhi Arora, Adv. for B/R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA  
ORDER

% 14.07.2022 The present petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging non- compliance with the directions contained in the order dated 26.03.2010 passed by this Court in W. P. (C) 2449/2007. The relevant directions are reproduced hereunder:

"We thus permit the petitioners to file a representation to the competent authority under Section 48 of the said Act raising all pleas as are germane within thirty days of pronouncement of this judgment and the said applications, if so filed, would be decided in accordance with law by the competent authority. Till such time as the applications are decided, no coercive steps will be taken against the petitioners and the parties will maintain status quo as to nature, title and possession of the land in question. In case of an adverse decision, this benefit would continue for a period of fifteen days after the date of the receipt of the decision by the petitioners in order to enable the petitioners to take recourse to the legal remedy, if any. We, however, make it clear that if no such application is filed within a period of thirty days of pronouncement of this judgment, the respondents would be free to proceed against the land In question."

Issue notice.

Learned counsels appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.3, 4 & 5 accept notice.

Issue notice to the remaining respondents through all permissible modes including electronically, returnable on 16.11.2022.

Reply, if any, be filed before next date of hearing.

SACHIN DATTA, J JULY 14, 2022/ssc