

Navneet Kaur & Ors vs Pradeep Kumar Joshi, Chairman, Union ... on 9 December, 2020

Author: Sanjeev Sachdeva

Bench: Sanjeev Sachdeva

\$~26 & 27

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CONT.CAS(C) 666/2020

NAVNEET KAUR & ORS.

Through Mr. Salman Khur
with Ms. Mitali
Shukla and Ms.
Advocates.

versus

PRADEEP KUMAR JOSHI, CHAIRMAN, UNION PUBLIC
SERVICE COMMISSION & ANR.

Through Mr. Naresh Kaushik, A
Mr. Chetan Sharma, AS
Mr. Anil Dabas, Advoc
respondent No.2.

+ CONT.CAS(C) 668/2020

ABHIJEET ALKESH

Through Mr. Salman Khur
with Ms. Mitali
Shukla and Ms.
Advocates.

versus

PRADEEP KUMAR JOSHI, CHAIRMAN UNION PUBLIC
SERVICE COMMISSION & ANR. .

Through Mr. Naresh Kaushik,
Mr. Ankit Raj, Mr.
Ms. Piyush Beriwal,
and Ms. Vandana Dew
for respondent No.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA
ORDER

% 09.12.2020

CONT.CAS(C) 666/2020 &

CONT.CAS(C) 668/2020

MAGGU

Signing Date:09.12.2020 22:23:27 This file is digitally signed by PS to HMJ Sanjeev Sachdeva.

CONT.CAS(C) 666/2020 & CM APPL.29181/2020 (for impleadment) & CONT.CAS(C) 668/2020

1. The hearing was conducted through video conferencing.
2. Learned counsel appearing for respondents submits that a Special Leave Petition is being preferred against the subject judgment. Accordingly, he prays for an adjournment.
3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the marks of the petitioners as well as the applicants in CM 29181/2020 be disclosed to them so that they can avail of their other employment opportunities.
4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner/applicants further submits that the disclosure of the marks would also reduce litigations inasmuch as the petitioners/applicants, who have secured marks below the cut-off, would be aware that they do not need to pursue their litigations any further.
5. It is submitted that the disclosure may be made without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties and without prejudice to the Special Leave Petition being filed by the respondents and the petitioners as well as the applicants assure that no special equity will be claimed merely on the basis of the disclosure of the marks.
6. In view of the above, the respondents are directed to disclose the marks obtained by the petitioners as well as the applicants without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties and without CONT.CAS(C) 666/2020 & Page 2 MAGGU CONT.CAS(C) 668/2020 Signing Date:09.12.2020 22:23:27 This file is digitally signed by PS to HMJ Sanjeev Sachdeva.

prejudice to the Special Leave Petition proposed to be filed by the respondents.
7. It is clarified that no equity shall flow in favour of the petitioners or the applicants by mere disclosure of the marks.
8. Let the marks be made known to the petitioners/applicants within three weeks.
9. List for further directions on 02.02.2021.
10. Copy of the order be uploaded on the High Court website and be also forwarded to learned counsels through email by the Court Master.

DECEMBER 9, 2020
st

SAN

CONT. CAS (C) 666/2020 &

MAGGU

CONT. CAS (C) 668/2020

Signing Date:09.12.2020 22:23:27 This file is digitally signed by PS to HMJ Sanjeev Sachdeva.