

Deepak Gulia vs C.B.S.E & Ors on 21 March, 2018

Author: Rekha Palli

Bench: Rekha Palli

\$~22
* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
+ W.P.(C) 9132/2017
DEEPAK GULIA Petitioner
Through Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Adv.
versus
C.B.S.E & ORS Respondent
Through Mr.Atul Kumar, Adv for R-1.
Mr.Prince Arora for R-2.
CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI
ORDER
% 21.03.2018
C.M.No.37372/2017

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 9132/2017 Though order dated 23.10.2017 records that notice be issued to respondent nos.2 and 3 but it is pointed out by learned counsels for the parties that there are only two respondents in the present petition and, therefore, it appears that due to an inadvertent mistake it had been noted in the aforesaid order that notice be issued to respondent nos. 2 and 3.

Today, Mr.Prince Arora enters appearance on behalf of respondent no.2 and has handed over a copy of the relevant record pertaining to the petitioner to the learned counsel for respondent no.1 and submits that he does not wish to file a separate counter affidavit and would rely on the counter affidavit to be filed by respondent no.1.

Learned counsel for the respondent no.1 prays for six weeks time to file the counter affidavit. Time as prayed for is granted.

Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within three weeks thereafter. At request, adjourned to 17.07.2018.

REKHA PALLI, J MARCH 21, 2018 sr