## Mam Raj Chunni Lal Exim (Pan Aax Fm 0533B) vs Central Board Of Direct Taxes And Ors on 21 December, 2021

**Author: Manmohan** 

Bench: Manmohan, Navin Chawla

```
$~91 to 93, 97 to 101, 103 to 105, 107, 108 & 111 to 114
     IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
     W.P.(C) 14652/2021 & CM No.46367/2021
     MAM RAJ CHUNNI LAL EXIM (PAN AAX FM 0533B)
                         Through
                                      Mr.Pankaj Jain, Sr.
                                      Mr.Gaurav Mittal, Ms
                                      Mr.Sachin Bhardwaj,
                         versus
      CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES AND ORS
                                                ..... Resp
                            Ms. Vibhooti Malhotra, Sr. SC w
                            Mr.Shaliender Singh, Jr. SC, M
                            Sharma, Adv.
$~92
    W.P.(C) 14707/2021 & CM Nos.46317-18/2021
     PAL SINGH KAPOOR
                     Through
                               Mr.Kanishk Aggarwal, Adv.
      INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD 30(1) DELHI & ANR.
                                                 .... Resp
                   Through Mr. Abhishek Maratha, Sr. SC,
                            Mr.Pratyaksh Gupta, Jr. SC
$~93
    W.P.(C) 14708/2021 & CM No.46319/2021
     JAYA PATODIA
                                                    ..... P
                               Mr. Piyush Kaushik, Advocat
                     Through
                     versus
    UNION OF INDIA & ORS.
                                                    .... R
                     Through
                               Mr.Asheesh Jain, CGSC with
                               Mr.Adarsh
                                             Kumar
                                                         G
                               Mr.Keshav Mann, Adv. for UO
                               Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Sr. SC, Ms
```

\$~97

+ W.P.(C) 14715/2021 & CM Nos.46332-333/2021 HINDUSTHAN CONSULTANCY AND SERVICES

Kadiyan, Adv. for Revenue.

1

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed By: JASWANT
SINGH RAWAT
Signing Date: 22.12.2021

COMMERICAL PVT. LTD. MERGED WITH PETITIONER)

Through Mr.Rohit Bansal, Advocate. versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD 13(1), DELHI & ANR.

Through: Ms.Vibhooti Malhotra,

\$~98

W.P.(C) 14716/2021 & CM No.46334/2021

ECOTECH INFRATECH PRIVATE LIMITED Through Mr. KV Balakrishnan, Advo

versus

PR.COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX DELHI 1 & ANR.

Mr.Zoheb Hossain, Sr. SC wit Through Mr. Vipul Agrawal, Mr. Parth S

\$~99

W.P.(C) 14723/2021 & CM Nos.46345-46/2021

KNIGHT CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LIMITED ..... Petitioner

Dr.Rakesh Gupta, Mr.Somil Mr.RK Bhardwaj, Mr.Anshul Advs.

.... Re

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD14(3) & ORS. .... Res Through Mr.Ajit Sharma, Sr.SC with Mr

Ram Mishra, Adv.

\$~100

W.P.(C) 14724/2021 & CM Nos.46347-48/2021

GOOD MORNING INDIA MEDIA PVT LTD

.... Pe Through Mr.Bhanu Gupta, Adv.

versus

Through

INCOME TAX OFFICER CIRCLE 10(1), DELHI, & ANR.

..... Respo Ms. Vibhooti Malhotra,

Mr.Shaliender Singh, Sharma, Adv.

Signature Not Verified Digitally Signed By: JASWANT SINGH RAWAT Signing Date: 22.12.2021

19:09:13

\$~101

W.P.(C) 14726/2021 & CM Nos.46350-51/2021 NITIN KHETAN

> Through Mr.Gaurav Jain, Adv versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD 58(1) DELHI AND ANR

Through Ms. Vibhooti Malhotra, Sr. Mr.Shaliender Singh, Jr. Sharma, Adv. \$~103 W.P.(C) 14729/2021 & CM Nos.46357-58/2021 SEEMA GUPTA Through Mr.Ruchesh Sinha, Ms.Divy versus ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE 70 1 DELH AND ORS ..... Respondents Mr.Ruchir Bhatia, Sr. SC for R Through Mr. Tanveer Ahmed Ansari, SPC f UOI. \$~104 W.P.(C) 14730/2021 & CM Nos.46359-60/2021 ANKIT GUPTA Mr. Ashok Kr. Babbar, M Through Advs. versus UNION OF INDIA THROUGH SECRETARY & ANR.

Through Mr. Prakash Kumar, CGSC

\$~105

W.P.(C) 14740/2021 & CM Nos.46382-83/2021 OMKAM GLOBAL CAPITAL PRIVATE LIMITED Through Mr. Nitin Gulati, Adv versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER, WARD-19(1), DELHI & ANR.

Signature Not Verified Digitally Signed By:JASWANT SINGH RAWAT Signing Date:22.12.2021 19:09:13

> Through Mr.Sunil Agarwal, Sr. S Gupta, Jr. SC and Mr.Sa Chaudhari, Adv.

\$~107

W.P.(C) 14746/2021 & CM Nos.46393-94/2021 KNIGHT CONSTRUCTIONS PRIVATE LIMITED ..... Petitione Through Dr.Rakesh Gupta, Mr.Somil

Mr.RK Bhardwaj, Mr.Anshul

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD 14(3) DELHI & ANR.

..... Respond

Indian Kanoon - http://indiankanoon.org/doc/7320330/

Through Mr.Ajit Sharma, Sr.SC Mr.Anand Ram Mishra, Adv

\$~108

W.P.(C) 14747/2021 & CM Nos.46395-96/2021 OMKAM GLOBAL CAPITAL PRIVATE LIMITED ..... Petitione Through Mr. Nitin Gulati, Adv

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER, WARD-19(1), DELHI & ANR.

Through Mr.Sunil Agarwal, Sr. SC, Mr.Tu Gupta, Jr. SC and Mr.Samarth Chaudhari, Adv.

\$~111

W.P.(C) 14750/2021 & CM Nos.46426-27/2021 AMOLIK INFRASTRURE PRIVATE LIMITED

Through Dr.Rakesh Gupta, Mr.Somil Mr.RK Bhardwaj, Mr.Anshul Advs.

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD 2(1) DELHI & ORS.

Through

..... R
Mr.Zoheb Hossain, Sr. SC w
Mr.Vipul Agrawal, Mr.Parth
Jr.SC

Signature Not Verified Digitally Signed By:JASWANT SINGH RAWAT Signing Date:22.12.2021 19:09:13

\$~112

+ W.P.(C) 14751/2021 & CM Nos.46431-32/2021 AMOLIK INFRASTRUCTER PRIVATE LIMITED(FORMERLY KNOWN AS TARANG ENTERTAINMENT PRIVATE LIMITED

> Through Dr.Rakesh Gupta, Mr.Somil Mr.RK Bhardwaj, Mr.Anshul Advs.

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD 2(1) DELHI & ORS

..... Respon
Through Mr.Zoheb Hossain, Sr. SC with
Mr.Vipul Agrawal, Mr.Parth Semw
Jr.SC

\$~113

W.P.(C) 14752/2021 & CM Nos.46434-35/2021

EDEN BIOTECHNOLOGY PVT LTD ..... Petiti

Through Mr.Ruchesh Sinha, Ms.Divya, Advs

versus

## INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD 8(1) DELHI AND ORS

.... Respo

Through Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Sr. SC, Ms.Eas Kadiyan, Adv. for Revenue. Mr.Aman Malik, SPC for UOI.

\$~114

+ W.P.(C) 14757/2021 & CM Nos.46448-49/2021 ASHISH GIRDHAR

> Through Mr.Sumit Lalchandani, M Singh, Mr.Gowrang, Adv.

> > versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER, WARD 22(2), DELHI AND ANR.

..... Respon

Through Mr.Puneet Rai, Sr. SC with Ms.Adeeba Mujahid, Jr. SC

Signature Not Verified Digitally Signed By:JASWANT SINGH RAWAT Signing Date:22.12.2021 19:09:13

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
ORDER

## % 21.12.2021

- 1. Learned counsel for the parties admit that as the impugned notices in the present matters have been issued post 31st March, 2021 without following the procedure prescribed in the substituted Sections 147 to 151 w.e.f. 01st April, 2021, the present matters are covered by the judgment dated 15th December, 2021 passed by this Court in the case of Mon Mohan Kohli vs. Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax & Anr., W.P.(C) No.6176/2021.
- 2. The conclusion reached by this Court in Mon Mohan Kohli (supra) is reproduced hereinbelow:-

## "CONCLUSION

- 97. This Court is of the view that as the Legislature has introduced the new provisions, Sections 147 to 151 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 by way of the Finance Act, 2021 with effect from 1st April, 2021 and as the said Section 147 is not even mentioned in the impugned Explanations, the reassessment notices relating to any Assessment Year issued under Section 148 after 31st March, 2021 had to comply with the substituted Sections.
- 98. It is clarified that the power of reassessment that existed prior to 31st March, 2021 continued to exist till the extended period i.e. till 30th June, 2021; however, the Finance Act, 2021 has merely changed the procedure to be followed prior to issuance

Mam Raj Chunni Lal Exim (Pan Aax Fm 0533B) vs Central Board Of Direct Taxes And Ors on 21 December, 2021 of notice with effect from 1st April, 2021.

99. This Court is of the opinion that Section 3(1) of Relaxation Act empowers the Government/Executive to extend only the time limits and it does not delegate the power to legislate on provisions to be followed for initiation of reassessment proceedings. In fact, the Relaxation Act does not give power to Government to extend the erstwhile Sections 147 to 151 beyond 31st March, 2021 and/or defer the operation of substituted provisions enacted by the Finance Act, 2021. Consequently, the impugned Explanations in the Notifications dated 31st March, 2021 and 27th April, 2021 are not conditional legislation and are beyond the power delegated to the Government as well as ultra vires the parent statute i.e. the Relaxation Act. Accordingly, this Court is respectfully not in agreement with the view of the Chhattisgarh High Court in Palak Khatuja (supra), but with the views of the Allahabad High Court and Rajasthan High Court in Ashok Kumar Agarwal (supra) and Bpip Infra Private Limited (supra) respectively.

100. The submission of the Revenue that Section 6 of the General Clauses Act saves notices issued under Section 148 post 31st March, 2021 is untenable in law, as in the present case, the repeal is followed by a fresh legislation on the same subject and the new Act manifests an intention to destroy the old procedure. Consequently, if the Legislature has permitted reassessment to be made in a particular manner, it can only be in this manner, or not at all.

101. The argument of the respondents that the substitution made by the Finance Act, 2021 is not applicable to past Assessment Years, as it is substantial in nature is contradicted by Respondents' own Circular 549 of 1989 and its own submission that from 1st July, 2021, the substitution made by the Finance Act, 2021 will be applicable.

102. Revenue cannot rely on Covid-19 for contending that the new provisions Sections 147 to 151 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 should not operate during the period 1st April, 2021 to 30th June, 2021 as Parliament was fully aware of Covid-19 Pandemic when it passed the Finance Act, 2021. Also, the arguments of the respondents qua non-obstante clause in Section 3(1) of the Relaxation Act, 'legal fiction' and 'stop the clock provision' are contrary to facts and untenable in law.

103. Consequently, this Court is of the view that the Executive/Respondents/Revenue cannot use the administrative power to issue Notifications under Section 3(1) of the Relaxation Act, 2020 to undermine the expression of Parliamentary supremacy in the form of an Act of Parliament, namely, the Finance Act, 2021. This Court is also of the opinion that the Executive/Respondents/Revenue cannot frustrate the purpose of substituted statutory provisions, like Sections 147 to 151 of Income Tax Act, 1961 in the present instance, by emptying it of content or impeding or postponing their effectual operation."

- 3. Keeping in view the aforesaid, Explanations A(a)(ii)/A(b) to the Notifications dated 31st March, 2021 and 27th April, 2021 are declared to be ultra vires the Relaxation Act, 2020 and are therefore bad in law and null and void.
- 4. Consequently, the impugned reassessment notices issued under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 are quashed and the present writ petitions are allowed. If the law permits the respondents/revenue to take further steps in the matter, they shall be at liberty to do so. Needless to state that if and when such steps are taken and if the petitioners have a grievance, they shall be at liberty to take their remedies in accordance with law.

MANMOHAN, J NAVIN CHAWLA, J DECEMBER 21, 2021/Arya