Sunil Sharma @ Rahul vs State on 21 September, 2023

Author: Amit Sharma

Bench: Amit Sharma

\$~14

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
BAIL APPLN. 1039/2023 & CRL.M.A. 12256/2

Th

ve

Th

0R

1

SUNIL SHARMA @ RAHUL

301112 31711171 @ 101102

STATE

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

% 21.09.2023

1. This is the second application under Section 439 read with Section 482 of the Code of the CrPC seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 218/2018, dated 29.08.2018 under Sections 22(c) and 29 of the NDPS Act, Sections 63 and 65 of the Copyright Act, 1957 and Sections 420/468/471/120B of the IPC registered at PS Crime Branch. The first application filed on behalf of the applicant being BAIL APPLN. 4098/2021 was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 30.03.2022.

- 2. Briefly stated, the facts of the present case are as under:
 - i. On 20.08.2018, secret information was received at about 04:00 PM at the office of the Crime Branch that one Sunil Sharma (the present applicant) alongwith his associates Rajesh and Nagesh were This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:40 involved in illegally supplying "Tramadol to Kolkata and other parts of North-East India. Information was received that the applicant, Rajesh and Nagesh would arrive at a spot near the Minto Road Bus Stop, Bhavbhooti Marg, New Delhi with consignments of Tramadol in two separate cars and that the applicant would further handover these consignments to a man for sending them to Kolkata. ii. Acting on the said information, a team of police

personnel reached the above spot and at about 07:45 PM spotted an Elantra car bearing registration number DL 7C P 0927, being driven by the applicant. He stepped out of the car and waited for some time. After 10 minutes, a Tata Indica car bearing registration number UP 14 BR 2362, being driven by Rajesh with Nagesh in the passenger seat arrived at the spot. Rajesh took one parcel out of his car, which Nagesh handed over to the applicant who put it in the trunk of his car. Rajesh took another parcel out and handed over the same to Sunil, at which time the raiding team apprehended all three of them at about o8:00 PM. iii. Upon a search of the Elantra car bearing registration number DL 7C P 0927, 156 boxes of Spasmo Proxyvon Plus capsule were recovered. From the search of Red colour Tata Indica car bearing registration number UP 14 BR 2362,112 boxes of Spasmo Proxyvon Plus capsule were recovered. From the personal search of Nagesh Sharma, one white coloured parcel containing 56 boxes of Spasmo Proxyvon Plus capsule was recovered. Each of these boxes contained 18 strips of 8 capsules each. Each of these were hard gelatin capsules containing Dicyclomine Hydrochloride I.P. 10 mg, Tramadol Hydrochloride I.P. 50 mg and Acelaminophen 325 mg.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:40 iv. Out of the materials recovered, samples were drawn and seized and the present FIR was registered.

v. During investigation, the accused persons were interrogated and they admitted to their involvement in the alleged offences. It was also revealed that a part of the recovered Spasmo Proxyvon Plus capsules were procured by them through black marketing channels and part of it was manufactured by them at a factory, set up in Ghaziabad. vi. During investigation, it was discovered that the applicant was the mastermind behind the entire racket that dealt in illegal drugs. He financed the illegal drug business. The applicant was also involved in illegally supplying Buprenorphone injections to his contacts in various states. Based on his disclosure, 1.68 lakh ampoules of Buprenorphine injection were recovered from two courier companies

- Madhur Courier and Om Logistic.

vii. The applicant disclosed that his associate and proprietor of M/s Banti Pharma - Vineet Garg had booked a consignment of 9000 ampoules of Buprenorphine injections to their contacts in Bikramganj, Bihar. Accordingly, on 03.09.2018, the said consignment was intercepted and a representative of Madhur Courier presented 6 parcels of Buprenorphine injections containing 18,000 ampoules, out of which 3,000 were without any label. On enquiry, the said representative stated that the consignment was booked by one M/s Banti Pharma and was bound to M/s Deep Kumar Medical Agency in Bikramganj, Bihar.

viii. It was further disclosed that the applicant, through his partner, Vineet Garg had booked another large consignment of Buprenorphine This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:40 injections from Ideal Cheminal Works Ltd, Kanpur through Om Logistics. Accordingly, on 10.09.2018, the said consignment was intercepted and a representative of Om Logisticcs presented 75 parcels containing 1.5 Lakh ampoules of Buprenorphine injections, out of which 8,000 were found to be without any label. ix. Accordingly, a notice under Section 67 of the NDPS Act was served upon Madhur Courier and total 18000 Buprenorphine Ampoules were seized in the case on being produced by Madhur Courier. Out of above seized ampoules, 3000 Buprenorphine Ampoules were found without label/sticker. These ampoules were booked by coaccused Banti Pharma through his firm M/s Banti Pharma Aligarh, UP and shipment of these contrabands was destined to Deep Kumar Medical Agency, Ihankar Market, Bikarmganj, Distt Rohtash, Bihar, x. During investigation, M/s Om Logistics Ltd informed that the shipment of above medicines was received from M/s Ideal Chemical Works Ltd and same was delivered to M/s Banti Pharma on 28.8.2023. It was further informed that co-accused Vineet Garg, Proprietor of M/s Banti Pharma of Aligarh had given the shipment in question for re-booking back to M/s Ideal Chemical Limited, Kanpur on 30.08.2018 (after the arrest of the applicant) with old papers but Aligarh staff of M/s Om Logistics Ltd. refused to do so as without re-booking papers, material couldn't be re-booked and as such in want of re-booking papers shipment was on hold at risk and cost of the consignee M/s Banti Pharma and its Prop. Mr. Vineet Garg Aligarh. xi. The mobile phone of the applicant was sent to FSL Rohini for data retrieval and from analysis of data retrieved, his mobile phone was This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41 found containing various documents viz Tax invoices of Ideal Chemical Works Ltd in the name of M/s Banti Pharma, various deposit slips of corporation Bank in the name of M/s Banti Pharma , consignment notes of Madhur Courier in respect of various illegal consignment booked by M/s Banti Pharma including one disclosed by the applicant during his interrogation (i.e. consignment note in respect of Deep Kumar Medical, Bikramganj, Bihar).

xii. The drug license possessed by co-accused Vineet Garg in the name of his firm M/s Banti Pharma was also found to be fake/forged during the investigation and hence, charges under Sections 420/468/471/120B of the IPC were also framed against the applicant and co-accused Vineet Garg.

xiii. During investigation, it was discovered that the accused persons used to send the drugs through courier/railway parcels, in the names of different medicine shops in different cities, chosen at random. However, the consignees whose details were mentioned on these parcels did not know the sender, i.e., the accused persons. The said accused persons used to track the real time status of these parcels and their contacts would take delivery directly from the godowns of the courier company, after the parcel reached the destination city. xiv. The applicant intended to send the recovered Spasmo Proxyvon Plus capsules to his contact Shamim in Kolkata.

xv. Rajesh Sharma was also stated to be one of the main persons involved in the running of the illegal drug business. During interrogation, he disclosed that had his own Lathe machine setup in the name of "OSATA Industry in Shihani, Ghaziabad and had arranged for a This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41 private and isolated accommodation in Nandgram, Ghaziabad for running a manufacturing unit. He had known the applicant since 2014 and lured Nagesh Shamra into getting involved with them on the pretext of making money quickly and easily.

xvi. The applicant further disclosed that he procured preparatory salts/raw materials like Tramadol, Dicycloamine and Acetaminophen from his business associate - Vijay Dubey, which were then used for preparing the recovered Spasmo Proxyvon Plus capsules. The applicant and Nagesh Sharma also carried out the work of filling, packaging and blistering.

xvii. During the course of further investigation, accused Vijay Dubey @ Moni was also arrested and during interrogation, he disclosed that he met Rajesh Sharma though a business acquaintance, who then introduced him to the applicant. Vijay Dubey had supplied raw material, i.e., Tramadol, Acetaminophen and Dicyclomine to accused persons 2-3 times through Kalpana Travels. The said information was corroborated by a bus conductor of Kalpana Travels and the Call Detail Record ("CDR) analysis of the present applicant/ Rajesh Sharma.

xviii. On 30.08.2018, the accused persons led the police party to their factory setup in Ghaziabad. During the search of the said factory, raw materials, printing and packaging materials and machine parts were recovered and seized. It is the case of the prosecution that the said premises was arranged on rent by the present applicant/Rajesh Sharma. The keys of the said premises were found in his possession during his personal search.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41 xix. Vineet Garg was arrested on 12.09.2018, and under interrogation, he disclosed that he joined the other co-accused persons because he was in dire need of money. He met the applicant through one Harish Singhal - both of whom were stated by him to be financing the entire illegal drug business. He disclosed that he supplied the drugs to their contacts on the directions of the applicant and Harish Singhal. xx. On 24.09.2018, Afroz Alam was arrested who disclosed that he was contacted by the applicant regarding supply of some materials. The applicant promised him to pay 10 times the normal price of the products and consequently, Afroz Alam supplied materials like printed boxes, PVC, labels of companies etc. xxi. The CDRs of all the accused persons were analysed and it was found that they were in regular touch with each other telephonically. They were also in regular touch through WhatsApp messenger. The present applicant was particularly in regular touch with Rajesh Sharma and Nagesh Sharma.

xxii. Chargesheet in the present case was filed qua Sunil Sharma @ Rahul (the applicant herein), Rajesh Sharma @ Manoj, Nagesh Sharma, Vijay Dubey @ Moni, Vineet Garg @ Banti and Afroz Alam.

3. Learned Senior Counsel for the applicant submits that co-accused Nagesh Sharma has been granted bail by this Court vide judgment dated 28.03.2023 passed in BAIL APPLN. 3185/2022 titled 'Nagesh Sharma v. The State (N.C.T of Delhi)'. It is further pointed out that the aforesaid judgment was challenged before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (Crl.) Diary No. 28838/2023. The said SLP has been dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 14.08.2023. It is further pointed out that co-

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41 accused Rajesh Kumar Sharma and Vijay Dubey have also been granted bail by this Court vide separate orders dated 14.09.2023 passed in BAIL APPLN. 1163/2023 and BAIL APPLN. 1904/2023, respectively.

- 4. It is submitted that the case of the prosecution qua the present applicant is with regard to recovery made from him and other two co- accused persons namely Rajesh Sharma and Nagesh Sharma on 28.08.2018 and further upon his disclosure recovery of 1.8 lakh ampoules of Buprenorphine injections recovered from two courier companies, i.e., Madhur Courier and Om Logistics. So far as the recovery from the present applicant and other two accused persons made on 28.08.2019 is concerned, it is submitted that the present applicant is at parity with the other co-accused persons, who have already been granted bail by this Court.
- 5. Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that the recovery with respect to the aforesaid Buprenorphine injection does not relate to the present applicant. It is pointed out that as per the documents placed on record by the Investigating Officer, the said injections were booked by M/s Banti Pharma with which the present applicant has no connection. It was further urged that M/s Banti Pharma, of which co-accused Vineet Garg is a proprietor, holds a valid license with regard to trade of pharmaceuticals. It is further submitted that the letter dated o6.09.2019 sent on behalf of Ideal Chemical Works Limited Trust to SI Rakesh mentions that on the basis of whole sale drug licenses and registration of Central Bureau of Narcotics, Gwalior, Buprenorphione injections were supplied to to M/s Sunshine Pharma, Roorkie and M/s Banti Pharma, Aligarh.
- 6. Learned Senior Counsel further contended as per the documents filed alongwith supplementary chargesheet, there is a document downloaded from This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41 the website of Central Bureau of Narcotics demonstrating that there was a valid license with M/s

Banti Pharma with respect to the trade of pharmaceuticals. It is submitted that the case of the prosecution is that the said license is forged is a disputed question of fact and in any case, it is submitted that the present applicant has no role with respect to M/s Banti Pharma.

- 7. Learned Senior Counsel submits that the applicant is at parity with other co-accused persons who have been granted bail in the present case and submits that the applicant has been in judicial custody for more than 2 years. It is further pointed out that out of 45 witnesses cited by the prosecution, only 3 witnesses have been examined so far and the trial is likely to take a long time. It is further stated that while granting bail to the other co-accused persons, the delay in trial has been duly taken note of by this Court and the same would apply to the case of the present applicant as well. It is further pointed out that the applicant was released on interim bail on various occasions and has surrendered on time, without misusing the liberty granted to him. He was granted interim bail on 21.12.2022 passed in BAIL APPLN. 3323/2022, which was extended from time to time and is presently on interim bail in pursuance of the order dated 01.09.2023 passed by the Hon ble Supreme Court in SLP(Crl.) No. 10542/2023.
- 8. Per contra, learned APP for the State submits that the present applicant cannot claim parity with the other co-accused persons on the ground that the recovery of the Buprenorphine injections have been made at the instance of the present applicant. It is argued that the tracking number of the consignment which was seized from M/s Om Logistics was extracted from the mobile phone belonging to the applicant by the FSL. It is also This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41 stated that as per the letter dated 06.09.2019 written by Ideal Chemical Works, the consignment of the Buprenorphine injections was booked by M/s Banti Pharma by the present applicant as well as co-accused person Vineet Garg on telephone. It is further pointed out by the learned APP for the State that in the said letter, it is recorded that 1,50,000 injections were supplied to M/s Banti Pharma on 25.08.2018 vide invoice no. T0000777 and the recovery from M/s Om Logistics relates to the same invoice.

- 9. Learned APP for the State further submits that the present applicant is further not entitled to bail on account of his conduct. It is pointed out that the applicant was granted interim bail on medical grounds vide order dated 21.12.2022 passed in BAIL APPLN. 3323/2022. The applicant was directed to appear before the medical board constituted for the purpose of his examination however, the applicant did not appear before the said medical board and in pursuance of which, his interim bail was cancelled vide order dated 16.08.2023 passed in BAIL APPLN. 242/20223, but the applicant did not surrender.
- 10. It is pointed out that the present applicant has been released on interim bail and has not spent any substantial amount of time in judicial custody as compared to the other co-accused who have been granted bail. In view of the above, it is submitted that the present application may be dismissed.

- 10. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
- 11. The case of the prosecution qua the applicant with respect to the recovery made on 20.08.2018 is on a similar footing as the other co-accused persons, namely, Nagesh Sharma and Rajesh Kumar Sharma who have already been granted bail by this Court.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41

- 12. The case of the prosecution, with respect to recovery of the aforesaid injections, as per the status report dated 08.04.2023 filed in the present application is as under:
 - "12. In addition to this, accused/applicant Sunil Sharma was also involved in the supply of Buprenorphine Injections (Psychotropic Substance) illegally to his contacts in Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Iharkhand etc. For this purpose, he was purchasing/procuring the buprenorphine injections through co-accused Vineet Garg (Prop. of Banti Pharma, Aligarh) and supplying the same to his contacts via courier companies & railway parcel booking. Since, Buprenorphine injections of some popular companies were considered superior compared to that of other lesser known companies by drug abusers and were in high demand, hence, in order to gain higher price/more profit, accused/applicant procured the stickers/labels of such popular companies like Lupi Gesic of Lupin Company and he used to supply these labels along with the illegal consignments of buprenorphine injections to his contacts so that they can affix these stickers on the buprenorphine ampoules procured by them from Ideal Chemical Works Ltd., Kanpur and sell them easily under guise of them being injections of popular brands.
 - 13. Accused Sunil Sharma disclosed that on 27.08.2018, his associate Vineet Garg (Prop of Banti Pharma, Aligarh) booked a consignment of Buprenorphine ampoules to their contact in Bikramganj, Bihar and provided the tracking no. of this consignment. He further disclosed that he also ordered 1.5 lacs buprenorphine injections from Ideal Chemical Works Ltd., Kanpur through his associate Vineet Garg (Prop. of M/s Banti Pharma, Aligarh). This information was further developed and in total 1.68 Lac of buprenorphine ampoules were recovered from two courier companies Madhur Courier & Om Logistic. Out of total recovered buprenorphine ampoules, 11,000 ampoules were not having any sticker/label.
 - 14. The mobile phone of accused/applicant Sunil Sharma was sent to FSL Rohini for data retrieval and from analysis of data retrieved, his mobile phone was found containing various documents viz Tax invoices of Ideal Chemical Works Ltd in the name of M/s Banti Pharma, various deposit slips of M/s Banti Pharma, consignment notes of Madhur Courier in respect of various illegal consignment booked by M/s

Banti Pharma including that disclosed by applicant Sunil Sharma during his interrogation (i.e. consignment note in respect of Deep Kumar Medical, Bikramganj, Bihar)."

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41

- 13. During the course of the arguments, it was pointed out the mobile phone referred to in the aforesaid status report was never seized by the Investigating Officer by way of any seizure memo. It is pointed out that the said phone was taken into possession by the Investigating Officer vide a personal search memo dated 29.08.2018 and therefore, the same was not sealed. Hence, the sanctity of the data extracted from the said mobile phone is doubtful.
- 14. So far as the other documents relied upon by the prosecution to link the present applicant with the recovery of the aforesaid injections are concerned, it was the contention of the learned Senior Counsel that even if the case of the prosecution that the present applicant had placed the order through M/s Banti Pharma is taken at its face value, the fact that the said company did not have a valid licence cannot be imputed to the present applicant. In any case, it is stated that the case of M/s Banti Pharma is that they were holding a valid license and that is a matter of trial to be adjudicated upon by the learned Trial Court.
- 15. This Court has granted bail to the other co-accused persons taking into consideration the fact that the trial is pending since 2019 and out of 45 witnesses cited by the prosecution only 3 have been examined so far. So far as the contention of learned APP for the State with regard to the conduct of the present applicant in not presenting himself before the medical board is concerned, it is pointed out hereinabove that vide order dated 01.09.2023 passed by the Hon ble Supreme Court in SLP(Crl.) No. 10542/2023, the applicant was granted three weeks interim bail for the purpose of his treatment.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41

16. The Hon ble Supreme Court, in Union of India v. K.A. Najeeb, (2021) 3 SCC 713 observed that if a timely trial is not possible, courts are ordinarily obligated to release the undertrial on bail and statutory restrictions do not exclude the discretion of constitutional courts to grant bail on grounds of violation of fundamental rights enshrined in Part III of the Constitution. It was held as under:

"15. This Court has clarified in numerous judgments that the liberty guaranteed by Part III of the Constitution would cover within its protective ambit not only due procedure and fairness but also access to justice and a speedy trial. In Supreme Court

Legal Aid Committee (Representing Undertrial Prisoners) v. Union of India [Supreme Court Legal Aid Committee (Representing Undertrial Prisoners) v. Union of India, (1994) 6 SCC 731, para 15: 1995 SCC (Cri) 39], it was held that undertrials cannot indefinitely be detained pending trial. Ideally, no person ought to suffer adverse consequences of his acts unless the same is established before a neutral arbiter. However, owing to the practicalities of real life where to secure an effective trial and to ameliorate the risk to society in case a potential criminal is left at large pending trial, the courts are tasked with deciding whether an individual ought to be released pending trial or not. Once it is obvious that a timely trial would not be possible and the accused has suffered incarceration for a significant period of time, the courts would ordinarily be obligated to enlarge them on bail.

XXX

17. It is thus clear to us that the presence of statutory restrictions like Section 43-D(5) of the UAPA per se does not oust the ability of the constitutional courts to grant bail on grounds of violation of Part III of the Constitution. Indeed, both the restrictions under a statute as well as the powers exercisable under constitutional jurisdiction can be well harmonised. Whereas at commencement of proceedings, the courts are expected to appreciate the legislative policy against grant of bail but the rigours of such provisions will melt down where there is no likelihood of trial being completed within a reasonable time and the period of incarceration already undergone has exceeded a substantial part of the prescribed sentence. Such an approach would safeguard against the possibility of provisions like Section 43-D(5) of the UAPA being used as the sole This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41 metric for denial of bail or for wholesale breach of constitutional right to speedy trial.

18. Adverting to the case at hand, we are conscious of the fact that the charges levelled against the respondent are grave and a serious threat to societal harmony. Had it been a case at the threshold, we would have outrightly turned down the respondent s prayer. However, keeping in mind the length of the period spent by him in custody and the unlikelihood of the trial being completed anytime soon, the High Court appears to have been left with no other option except to grant bail. An attempt has been made to strike a balance between the appellant s right to lead evidence of its choice and establish the charges beyond any doubt and simultaneously the respondent s rights guaranteed under Part III of our Constitution have been well protected."

(emphasis supplied) More recently, vide order dated 13.07.2023 passed in Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) 4169/2023 titled Rabi Prakash v. The State of Orissa, the Hon ble Supreme Court held that prolonged incarceration of a person overrides the statutory restriction contained in Section 37(1)(b)(ii) of the NDPS Act. It was held as under:

- "2. The prosecution case appears to be that the police party while on patrolling duty on 02.10.2019 at about 12.30 p.m. on Nandapur- Semiliguda road MDR-55, spotted one full body twelve wheeler Truck (Eicher) bearing No.EB-13-BD-5753 coming from Nandapur side at a high speed and accordingly they chased and detained the truck at Bodenga Chhak and found three persons boarded in the said truck including the driver. Eventually, 247 kg. Ganja was recovered from the truck. The petitioner was one of the occupants of the truck and was arrested at the spot. He has been in custody for more than three and a half years. There are no criminal antecedents against the petitioner.
- 3. We are informed that the trial has commenced but only 1 out of the

19 witnesses has been examined. The conclusion of trial will, thus, take some more time.

4. As regard to the twin conditions contained in Section 37 of the NDPS Act, learned counsel for the respondent - State has been duly heard. Thus, the 1st condition stands complied with. So far as the 2nd condition re: formation of opinion as to whether there are reasonable This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41 grounds to believe that the petitioner is not guilty, the same may not be formed at this stage when he has already spent more than three and a half years in custody. The prolonged incarceration, generally militates against the most precious fundamental right guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution and in such a situation, the conditional liberty must override the statutory embargo created under Section 37(1)(b)(ii) of the NDPS Act."

(emphasis supplied)

- 16. As per the nominal roll dated 09.05.2022, the present applicant has been in judicial custody for 02 years 07 months and 07 days. It is also reflected that the present applicant has been released on interim bail on various occasions and had not misused the liberty granted to him. Out of the 45 witnesses cited by the prosecution, only 3 have been examined so far and the trial is likely to take a long time.
- 17. In view of the facts and circumstances of the present case, the application is allowed and the applicant is admitted to bail upon his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/-alongwith two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Link Court, further subject to the following conditions:
 - i. The memo of parties shows that the applicant is residing at J-223/6, Gamma-2, Greather Noida, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh. In case of any change of address, the applicant is directed to inform the same to the Investigating Officer.

ii. The applicant shall report shall report at PS Crime Branch twice in a week, i.e., on every Wednesday and Friday at 10:30 AM and the concerned officer is directed to release him by 11:00 AM after recording his presence and completion of all the necessary formalities.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41 iii. The applicant shall not leave India without the prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

- iv. The applicant is directed to give all his mobile numbers to the Investigating Officer and keep them operational at all times. v. The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, tamper with evidence or try to influence the witness in any manner.
- vi. In case it is established that the applicant has indulged in similar kind of offences or tried to tamper with the evidence, the bail granted to the applicant shall stand cancelled forthwith.
- 18. Needless to state, nothing mentioned hereinabove is an opinion on the merits of the case pending before the learned Trial Court.
- 19. The application stands disposed of along with all the pending application(s), if any.
- 20. Order be communicated to the concerned Jail Superintendent for necessary information and compliance.
- 21. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court, forthwith.

AMIT SHARMA, J SEPTEMBER 21, 2023/sn This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/09/2023 at 00:20:41