Rohit vs State Of Nct Delhi & Ors on 21 March, 2018

Author: Anu Malhotra

Bench: Anu Malhotra

% 21.03.2018 Status report has not been filed by the State, which be filed within a period of two weeks.. Though as per record, the respondent no.2 is stated to have been served it is essential that the respondent no.2 is heard inasmuch as she is the complainant of the FIR No. 240/2013, PS Vasant Kunj. The Investigation Officer is directed to produce the respondent nos. 2 & 3 on the next date of hearing. Reliance has inter alia been placed on behalf of the petitioner on the verdict of this Court in Pawan Kumar & Anr. Vs. State & Ors. 2010 (1) LRC 292 (Del) to contend that despite the prosecutrix being below the age of 18 years and having attained the age of 17 and a half years and having since married the petitioner, there are adequate grounds for quashing of the FIR in question.

1

Renotify for consideration for 26.04.2018.

ANU MALHOTRA, J MARCH 21, 2018/vm