# Kanwar Raj (Now Deceased) Through Lr vs Dilip Kumar Pathak on 4 December, 2023

**Author: Jasmeet Singh** 

**Bench: Jasmeet Singh** 

\$~70

- IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
- + CONT.CAS(C) 194/2022 KANWAR RAJ (NOW DECEASED) THROUGH LR

Through: Mr. PK Ahuja, Adv.

ve

Th

1

DILIP KUMAR PATHAK

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER

% 04.12.2023 CM APPL. 62295/2023-FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS FOR NOT COMPLYING ORDER DT. 19.10.2023

- 1. This is an application stating that since the respondent has not complied with the order dated 19.10.2023.
- 2. The order dated 19.10.2023 is detailed and shall be operational.
- 3. The matter be listed before the concerned Joint Registrar for compliance on 12.12.2023, the date already fixed.
- 4. The application is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 / (MS) Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:58 \$~71 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 677/2022 POONAM ..... Petitioner Through: Petitioner-in-person versus SURAJ PAL ..... Respondent Through: Respondent-in-person CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023 CM APPL. 62240/2023-FOR INITIATING CRL. OFFENCE PROCEEDINGS AGAINST RESPDT. / DIR.

- 1. This is an application filed by the petitioner for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondent.
- 2. Issue notice.
- 3. Let the respondent file a reply before the next date of hearing.
- 4. List on 20.12.2023 at 2:30 p.m., the date already fixed.
- 5. The learned MM shall endeavour to conclude the evidence of the petitioner on the next date of hearing.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 / (MS) Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:58 \$~72 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1233/2022 SMT PALLAVI DEVA AND ANR.

..... Petitioner Through: Ms. Sonal Sinha, Adv.

versus SH. VINAY SAXENA ..... Respondent Through:

## CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023 CM APPL. 62248/2023(CM For Restoration)-FOR REVIVAL OF CONTEMPT

- 1. This is an application seeking revival of the contempt petition as the respondent has failed to comply with the obligations contained in the order dated 10.07.2023.
- 2. Issue notice to the respondent through all modes including electronic on the petitioner taking steps within 1 week from today.
- 3. The notice be also served through the counsel representing the respondent in the Trial Court.
- 4. It is stated that another sum of Rs. 1.5 lakhs has been paid pursuant to filing of the restoration application, but despite the same, there is default. The outstanding

amount is stated to be Rs. 3,05,000/-.

5. The notice is returnable on 13.03.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 / (MS) Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59 \$~74 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1033/2023 SH SAURABH GUPTA ..... Petitioner Through: Mr. VN Shaw, Ms. Sandhya Shaw, Advs.

versus SHRI GYANESH BHARTI & ORS. ..... Respondent Through: Mr. Abhinav Singh, Mr. Swega Agarwal, Mr. RM Dwivedi, Advs. for DP SI Mukesh, PS Shakarpur Mr. GS Oberoi, SC for MCD CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023 CM APPL. 62317/2023-DIR.

- 1. This is an application seeking to place on record subsequent events.
- 2. Issue notice. Mr. Oberoi, learned Standing Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the MCD. Mr. Abhinav Singh, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the Delhi Police.
- 3. The learned counsels for the respondents have no objection to the application, the application is allowed without admitting the contents of the application.
- 4. The additional facts are taken on record.
- 5. List on 23.02.2024, the date already fixed.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 / (MS) Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59 \$~75 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1778/2023 & CM APPL. 62173/2023, CM APPL.

## RAHEESUDDIN & ORS.

..... Petitioner Through: Mr. Varun Goswami, Mr. Shourya Mehra, Mr. Hritik Chaudhary, Mr. Sahil, Advs.

versus MOHD. ISMAIL MAULAI & ORS.

..... Respondent Through: Mr. Abhinav Singh, Ms. Bhavi Garg, Advs. for R5-11 SI Harish Kumar, PS Chandani Mahal CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023 CM APPL. 62174/2023-EX.

Allowed subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of. CONT.CAS(C) 1778/2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings for violation of the order dated 13.09.2023 passed in Contempt Petition (Civil) No. 1319/2023 titled as "Raheesuddin & Ors. vs Mohd. Ismail Mulai & Ors" and order dated 16.09.2023 passed by ASCJ (Central), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in Execution Petition No. 1675/18 titled as "Chhotey Lal vs. DDA".

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59

- 2. Mr. Goswami, learned counsel for the petitioners states that despite the orders of 13.09.2023 and 16.09.2023, the petitioners who are in occupation of property being House No. 547, Chatta Lal Miyan, Behind Delite Cinema, Delhi-110006 have been thrown out of their house.
- 3. Issue notice. Mr. Singh, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 5-11.
- 4. Let notice be issued to all the respondent Nos. 1 4 on the petitioner taking steps within 1 day from today through all modes including electronic.
- 5. In the meanwhile, the respondent Nos. 5-11 will bring on record as to where property No. 547, Chatta Lal Miyan, Behind Delite Cinema, Delhi-110006 existed and whether the occupants have not been dispossessed.
- 6. The notice is returnable on 13.12.2023.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 / (MS) Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59 \$~80 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1784/2023 JEEVIYA BEVERAGES PVT LTD ..... Petitioner Through: Mr. Vineet Jhanji, Mr. Imran Moulaey, Advs.

versus THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF STATE EXCISE ..... Respondent Through: Mr. SK Tripathi, SC with Ms. Prashansa Sharma, Mr. Arun Panwar, Advs. CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023 CM APPL. 62367/2023-EX.

Allowed subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of. CONT.CAS(C) 1784/2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings for violation of the order dated 26.07.2023 passed in WP(C) 6950/2023, wherein the respondent was directed to refund a sum of about Rs. 2.3 crores towards excise refund.
- 2. Since the same has not been done, the present contempt petition has been filed.
- 3. Issue notice. Ms. Prashansa Sharma, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent, seeks and is granted 4 weeks to file a reply.
- 4. List on 19.01.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 / (MS) Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59 \$~81 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI SANJEEV ..... Petitioner Through: Mr. Basant Kumar Gautam, Adv.

versus SMT. ANJU & ANR.

..... Respondent Through: Mr. SS Rathee, Ms. Reema Rathee, Mr. Raj Singh, Advs.

#### CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023 CRL.M.A. 30368/2023-EX.

Allowed subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of. CRL.M.A. 30367/2023-MODIFICATION OF ORDER DT. 18.11.2022

1. This is an application seeking modification of order dated 18.11.2022 passed in CRL. M.A. 22671/2022 for also staying the proceedings of Mt. No. 56842/2016.

- 2. Mr. Rathee, learned counsel for the respondent states that there is still an outstanding amount of Rs. 70,000/- per month.
- 3. Since the question is only of reconciliation, Ms. Shalini, Kapoor, Advocate (Contact: 9810160155) who is present in Court has been requested to assist and reconcile the accounts to see if the entire outstanding amount has been paid.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59

- 4. The meeting will be fixed with Ms. Kapoor, Advocate as per her convenience on Friday, i.e. 08.12.2023 at 4:30 p.m. in Chamber No. 113, Chamber Block-I, Delhi High Court, New Delhi.
- 5. List on 20.12.2023.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 / (MS) Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59 \$~82 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI SUSHMA DUA & ANR ..... Appellant Through: Mr. Anil Agarwalla, Adv.

versus BHAJAN SINGH ..... Respondent Through: Mr. Mohit Arura, Mr. Abhishek Pandey, Advs.

#### CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023 CM APPL. 62247/2023-EARLY HEARING /DIR.

- 1. This is an application seeking early hearing of the appeal.
- 2. Both the parties state that the matter can be reconciled with the intervention of a Mediator.
- 3. For the said reasons, the parties are referred to the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre for mediation.
- 4. The parties are directed to appear before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre on 11.12.2023 at 4 p.m.
- 5. List before the Court on 19.02.2024.

Kanwar Raj (Now Deceased) Through Lr vs Dilip Kumar Pathak on 4 December, 2023

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 / (MS) Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59 \$~83 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI UMA SHANKAR ..... Appellant Through: Ms. Shalini Kapoor, Ms. Pronil Mago, Ms. Sukriti Singh, Ms. Divyanshi Saxena, Mr. Udit, Ms. Celina, Advs.

versus VIDYAWATI & ORS ..... Respondent Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023 CM APPL. 62286/2023-EARLY HEARING

1. This is an application seeking early hearing of the appeal.

2. It is stated by Ms. Kapoor, learned counsel for the appellant that despite a status quo order, respondents are interfering in the possession of the appellant. Hence, the early hearing.

3. Issue notice to the respondent through all modes including electronic on the appellant taking steps within 2 days from today.

4. List on 14.12.2023 for directions.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 / (MS) Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59 \$~84 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI SANUJ KUMAR & ORS.

..... Appellant Through:

versus MANOJ KUMAR ..... Respondent Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER

04.12.2023

# CM APPL. 62250/2023-EARLY HEARING

- 1. This is an application seeking early hearing of the appeal.
- 2. In view of the fact that the execution petition has been filed and there is no stay, issue notice to the respondent through all modes including electronic on the appellant taking steps within 1 day from today.
- 3. The notice is returnable on 12.12.2023.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 / (MS) Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59 \$~87 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI SANJAY BHARDWAJ ..... Appellant Through: Mr. Ashish Mohan, Ms. Sagrika Tanwar, Advs.

versus BISHAMBER SINGH & ORS. ..... Respondents Through:

#### CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Application stands disposed of.

- 1. This is an appeal seeking setting aside of the judgment dated 05.08.2023 passed by learned ADJ-05, Dwarka Court, New Delhi in CS DJ ADJ 41/2016, 16271/2016, wherein the learned Trial Court has been pleased to take up suo moto, the suit filed by the appellant and dismissed the same under Order VII Rule 11(a) of CPC.
- 2. It is stated that the appellant was not put to notice before to substantiate his plausible opposition to the application under Order VII Rule 11(a) of CPC and hence, was taken by surprise.
- 3. Reliance is placed on judgment of "Kuldeep Singh Hooda vs. Narender Mehlawat & Ors." [2011 (126) DRJ 685(DB)] This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:53:59

- 4. For the said reasons, issue notice to the respondent on the appellant taking steps within 1 week from today, returnable on 30.01.2024.
- 5. The notice be also served to the counsel representing the respondent in the learned Trial Court.

- 6. Since the plaint has been rejected, it is stated that the respondent is carrying out construction in the premises.
- 7. It is directed that the parties shall maintain status quo till the next date of hearing.
- 8. List on 30.01.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023/DM Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~85 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI SHRI PREM PRAKASH SEHGAL (NOW DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS ..... Appellant Through: Mr. Praveen Kumar, Mr. Vishvendra Singh, Advs.

versus LATE SHRI CHAMAN LAL SEHGAL THROUGH HIS LRS AND ORS. ..... Respondents Through:

## CORAM:

## HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023

- 1. This is an application seeking early hearing of CM 20244/2023.
- 2. I am of the view that the entire appeal will have to be heard.
- 3. List on 09.02.2024 for hearing.
- 4. In the meantime, the Executing Court will proceed with the preliminary decree but no final decree shall be passed before the next date in this Court.
- 5. The application is allowed and disposed of.
- 6. The earlier date i.e. 15.05.2024 stands cancelled.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023/DM Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~17 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 264/2022, CM APPL. 11942/2022 DURGAWATI JAISWAL ..... Petitioner Through: Appearance not given versus SHRI GYANESH BHARTI & ORS. ..... Respondents Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, Standing counsel with

Mr. Anuj Chaturvedi, Ms. Shreya Majari, Advs.

Ms. Mehak Nakra, ASC with Ms. Disha Choudhary, Mr. Abhishek Khari, Advs.

SI Opendar Singh, PS Vasant Kunj South Mr. Ruchin Midha, Adv. for owner of property in question.

#### CORAM:

# HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings for non-

compliance of the judgment dated 09.12.2021 passed in W.P.(C) 14037/2021 titled as "Durgawati Jaiswal vs. DDA & Ors."

- 2. As per the order, it was directed as under:
  - "4. The learned counsel for the SDMC submits that the property has already been booked for illegal construction, way back in June, 2021 and the said illegal construction is due to be removed.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 However, on account of the current ban on demolition and construction activities in Delhi, the Corporation is unable to proceed further. The court is assured by the learned counsel for SDMC that further action shall be carried out as per law as soon as possible."

- 3. Since the same was not done, the contempt petition has been filed.
- 4. The respondent MCD has filed a status report, wherein it has been stated that pursuant to the directions, the respondent has booked the illegal and unauthorized construction and after following due process of law, has passed a demolition order dated 05.07.2021.
- 5. Mr. Midha appears for the owner of the property and has handed over an order dated 01.02.2023 passed by ATMCD, wherein appeal filed with respect to the impugned demolition order dated 05.07.2021 has been set aside and the matter has been remanded back to MCD. The learned counsel for the respondent MCD agrees with the said position.
- 6. Hence, in view of the order passed by the ATMCD, I am of the view that there is no intentional and malafide violation of the judgment dated 09.12.2021 as the respondent MCD had taken all action as per law but in view of the order dated 01.02.2023, no demolition action can be carried out.

- 7. With these directions, the contempt petition is disposed of.
- 8. The order dated 01.02.2023 is taken on record.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023/DM Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~19 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 512/2023, CM APPL. 19835/2023 SH. VIPIN KUMAR SHARMA ..... Petitioner Through: None versus STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS. ..... Respondents Through: Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, ASC with Ms. Sheenu Priya, Mr. Atik Gill, Mr. Sudhir Kumar Shukla, Mr. Sudhir, Mr. Sumit Choudhary, Advs.

SI Sita Ram with PS Karawal Nagar CORAM:

# HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 01.12.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings for non-compliance of the order dated 22.11.2022 in W.P.(CRL) 2667/2022.
- 2. As per the order, it was directed as under:
- "5. The present petition alongwith pending applications, if any, stands disposed of with the direction to the concerned SHO/IO to complete the investigation preferably, within a period of three months from today with intimation to the petitioner who is the complainant."
- 3. Ms. Sheenu Priya, learned counsel for the respondent states that the respondent, in compliance of the order, has filed the closure report dated 05.09.2023, the copy of which is taken on record.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00

- 4. Probably for the said reason there is nobody appearing on behalf of the petitioner.
- 5. The contempt petition is disposed of in view of the statement of Ms. Priya, learned counsel for the respondent.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 1, 2023/DM Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~13 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 936/2022 ASHOK KUMAR ..... Petitioner Through: Mr. GS Charya, Adv.

MANOJ KUMAR

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ve

0R 01

%

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings for violation of the judgment dated 24.11.2021 passed in W.P.(C) 6748/2003 titled as "Ashok Kumar vs. DTC & Ors." The operative portion of the said portion reads as under:

"24. Therefore, in the overall facts and circumstances of this case, keeping in mind the amount which has already been paid under Section 17B of the ID Act, of Rs.13,93,408/-, a lumpsum compensation for the intervening period from 1992 to 1993 of Rs.10 lakhs is granted to the Workman."

2. In response, the respondent has filed a compliance affidavit, wherein para 6 reads as under:

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 "6. That after the dismissal of SLP the following payments were made to the petitioner workman:

- (i). Rs. 3,52,080/- towards Gratuity on 02.12.2022 as petitioner was withdrawing 17 b wages till attaining the age of superannuation as per order dated 17.04.2009 therefore, gratuity was calculated as per minimum wages.
- (ii). Rs. 10,00,000/- lumpsum compensation paid on 02.12.2022 "as per order dated 24.11.2022 and 04.11.2022 for the period, the petitioner was out of service i.e.1992-2003. Copies of the order dated 02.12.2022 and statement are annexed here as Annexure R1 Colly.

- (iii). Rs. 5,00,251/- both shares of CPF paid on 19.01.2023. Copy of the order and account statement are annexed here as Annexure R2 Colly."
- 3. Since the compliance has been done according to the understanding and calculation of the respondent, I am of the view that there is no intentional and malafide violation of the judgment dated 24.11.2021.
- 4. In case the petitioner is still aggrieved by the calculation towards the gratuity, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law.
- 5. The contempt petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 1, 2023/DM Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~70 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1750/2023, CM APPL. 61323/2023, CM APPL.

#### SHAAN SAIFI

Kapoor, Mr. Vishnu Priya, Mr. Kamal Bisht, Advs.

versus SHAHZAD ALAM & ORS. ..... Respondents Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, Mr. Devvrat Tewari, Advs.

# CORAM:

# HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 30.11.2023

- 1. This is a petition seeking violation of the order dated 11.10.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 13357/2023.
- 2. As per the order, it was directed as under:
- "3. Several writ petitions [W.P.(C) 10749/2023 and connected matters] on similar facts and circumstances were disposed of by this Court vide order dated 22.08.2023. As far as removal of seized vehicles to other States is concerned, the operative part of the order, is contained in paragraphs 18(A), 19 and 20, which read as follows:-
- "a. The petitioners will file affidavits of undertakings with This is a digitally signed order.

Th

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 the Enforcement Officer, Department of Transport, GNCTD within two weeks from today to the effect that if the vehicles are released to them, they will not be plied or parked in any public space within the territory of NCT of Delhi, and will be removed from the NCT of Delhi within two weeks after release.

- b. The undertaking will also state that the vehicles will be towed or otherwise transported to the borders of the NCT of Delhi.
- c. Upon the affidavit of undertaking being received by the Enforcement Officer, he/she will facilitate the release of the vehicle by the scrapping agency to the petitioners within one week thereafter.
- d. The petitioners will also file an affidavit before the Enforcement Officer within four weeks after the release of the vehicle stating that the vehicle has been removed from the territory of the NCT of Delhi.
- e. In the case of vehicles registered in Delhi, the petitioners may apply for issuance of NOCs for transport of vehicles outside Delhi. The NOCs will be processed in accordance with law, within a period of two weeks from the date of the application.

#### XXXX XXXX XXXX

19. The petitioners have expressed an apprehension that they will be charged towing charges or parking charges by the scrapping agencies. Mr. Alam states that the This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 petitioners will be liable for towing charges of approximately Rs.2,000/- or Rs.2,500/- per vehicle, but no parking charges will be payable by them to the scrapping agencies. He states that his office will act as the Nodal Office to facilitate release of the vehicles by the scrapping agencies to the petitioners in terms of these directions, and that if any excess amount is sought by any scrapping agency, the petitioners may approach his office with their grievance.

20. Mr. Alam expresses an apprehension that if the vehicles are released to the petitioners, they will, in fact, be plied within the NCT of Delhi. To allay this apprehension, it is made clear that the aforesaid undertakings recorded on behalf of the petitioners will be treated as undertakings made to this Court, and that any breach of these undertakings may invite action for Contempt of Court."

- 4. The present writ petition, alongwith pending applications, is also disposed of in terms of the directions in paragraphs 18(A), 19 and 20 of the aforesaid order dated 22.08.2023."
- 3. It is stated that despite the order, vehicle i.e. Mercedes Benz C-220 CDI has been scrapped.
- 4. Issue notice. Mr. Sannu, learned counsel for the respondent accepts notice and states that the vehicle has not been scrapped and the petitioner can collect the same from Nirvana Scrappers.
- 5. The respondent shall ensure that without charging anything to the This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 petitioner, the vehicle of the petitioner be restored at his residence.

- 6. The petitioner assures the Court that the vehicle will not ply on the road.
- 7. Mr. Sannu, learned counsel for the respondent states that Show Cause Notice has been issued to Nirvana Scrappers who has allegedly not complied with the order of this Court.
- 8. He has placed copy of the show cause notice.
- 9. Despite the service report to respondent No. 5, there is nobody appearing on behalf of respondent No. 5. Issue bailable warrants against respondent No. 5 to the tune of Rs. 25,000/- to be served through SHO, Keshavpuram, returnable on 18.12.2023 on the petitioner taking steps within 2 days from today.

JASMEET SINGH, J NOVEMBER 30, 2023/DM Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~71 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1754/2023 SANJEEV DAWAR ..... Petitioner Through: Mr. Gaurav Makkar and Mr. Satish Chander Kaul, Advocates.

versus SH SUVASISH DAS LAND AND DEVELOPMENT OFFICER AND ANR ..... Respondents Through: Mr. Sonal Kumar Singh, Mr. Paritosh Anil and Mr. Parth Sindhwani, Advs. with Mr. Bipin Kumar Singh, Deputy L & DO.

## CORAM:

# HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 30.11.2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings for violation of the orders dated 04.09.2023 and 21.09.2023, wherein the respondents were directed for

compounding of deviation and converting the property of the petitioner from leasehold to freehold within 10 weeks.

- 2. Mr. Kumar, learned counsl appears for the respondents and assures the Court that the order shall be complied with expeditiously and not later than 10 days from today.
- 3. Taking the statement on record and binding the respondents to the same, the Contempt Petition is disposed of.
- 4. In case the needful is not done, the petitioner is at liberty to revive the Contempt Petition.

JASMEET SINGH, J NOVEMBER 30, 2023/akc Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~72 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1755/2023 MAHESH KUMAR YADAV ..... Petitioner Through: Mr. Bibhuti Bhushan Mishra and Mr. Anjani Mishra, Advs. with petitioner in person.

versus SH. AMIT YADAV & ANR. ..... Respondents Through: Mr. Sriharsha Peechara, Standing Counsel, NDMC with Mr. Mananjay Mishra, ASC, Ms. Harshita Gupta and Mr. Shubham Kkumar Mishra, Advs.

## CORAM:

## HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 30.11.2023

- 1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
- 2. The application stands disposed of. CONT.CAS(C) 1755/2023
- 3. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings for violation of order dated 20.07.2023 passed in W.P.(C) 9556/2023. The operative portion of the order reads as under:

"The writ petition is allowed to the limited extent and the Town Vending Committee (TVC), is directed to consider the aforesaid representation made by the petitioner, at the time of the conduct of the subject survey, by way of an speaking order, after affording an opportunity of being heard to him, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from today.

No further relief is prayed for.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of accordingly."

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00

- 4. Mr. Peechara, learned standing counsel has drawn my attention to a speaking order dated 05.10.2023 and more particularly para 3 reads as under:
  - "3. In compliance of the Hon'ble Court order dated 20.07.2023 in WPC No-9556/2023, you representation dated 12.07.2023 regarding announce vending zones in NDMC area in accordance with Act, Rules and Scheme has been placed as agenda item (iii) in the meeting of TVC held on 31.08.2023. During the meeting, it is decided that it will be considered by the Competent TVC in accordance with Street Vendors Act, Rules and Scheme along with the claim of street vendors who will be covered in the survey. The copy of Minutes of Meeting of Town Vending Committee dated 31.08.2023 & Corrigendum dated 27.09.2023 have been attached herewith for information."
- 5. In case the TVC is incompetent to decide the representation of the petitioner, the respondent is required to approach the Hon'ble Division Bench and seek modification of the order dated 20.07.2023, it does not lie upon the respondent to merely pass a speaking order saying that TVC cannot decide the representation.
- 6. For the said reasons, issue notice. Mr. Peechara accepts notice, seeks and is granted two weeks to file a reply, if any.
- 7. List on 19.01.2024.
- 8. The petitioner shall implead all the members of the TVC as respondent and will be served through respondent No. 2.

JASMEET SINGH, J NOVEMBER 30, 2023/akc Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~2 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 437/2017, CM APPL. 56195/2023 & CM APPL.

MERCURY GENERAL CORPORATIN PVT LTD ..... Petitioner Through: Mr. Harish Malhotra, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Gurmehar S. Sistani, Mr. Anoop Kumar, Mr. Jas Simran Deep, Advs.

P K GUPTA AND ORS Through:

Khinchi, Advs. for Applicants in CM Mr. Ankit Jain, Mr. Mohit Gupta, Ms. Aayushi Jain and Ms. Tanisha Gopal, Advs. for Applicants in CM APPL.

61021/23.

Mr. Ajjay Aroraa and Mr. Kapil Dutta, Standing Counsel for MCD with Ms. Vansh Luthra, Adv. and Mr. P. Biswas, A.E., M-2, KBZ, MCD.

Mr. Abhinav Singh and Mr. Rajat M. Dwivedi, Advs. for Delhi Police.

## CORAM:

## HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 30.11.2023

- 1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
- 2. Application stands disposed of.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00

- 3. This is an application seeking recall of the order dated 19.10.2023.
- 4. Mr. Malhotra, learned counsel states that the applicants have not been given any prior show cause notice and the demolition is sought to be carried out behind their back.
- 5. After addressing some arguments, Mr. Malhotra is agreeable that the order dated 26.10.2023 passed by the MCD will be treated as a show cause notice.
- 6. The applicants shall reply to the said show cause notice within 10 days from today. The respondent/MCD shall after hearing them, pass a speaking order uninfluenced and the applicants shall be at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law, if so required.
- 7. It is clarified that the MCD as well as the action, if any, initiated by the applicants, shall be decided uninfluenced by the observations made by this Court.

- 8. Since the building has been considered to be unsafe for human habitation by the IIT as well as MCD. God forbid, if any mishap takes place, the applicants shall be responsible for all civil and criminal consequences.
- 9. Mr. Harish Malhotra, learned Senior Counsel states that the petitioner is in occupation of atleast 40% of the first portion of the property and has no objection to the same being demolished by the MCD and the cost of the same shall be borne by the petitioner.
- 10. It is directed that the MCD shall consider the request of the petitioner expeditiously.
- 11. This is another application seeking modification/recall of the order This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 dated 19.10.2023.

- 12. It is stated by Mr. Jain, learned counsel for the applicant that as per the site plan, the applicants are the owners of the some portion of the rear side of the property. He states that there is no report declaring the said property to be unsafe. The site plan annexed as Annexure-2 is reproduced below:
- 13. The applicants are the owners of the some portion of the rear side of the property. He states that there is no report declaring the said property to be unsafe.
- 14. The same is disputed by Mr. Dutta, learned Standing Counsel for the MCD and states that the rear portion of the building is also unsafe for human habitation and the notices have also been pasted in this regard.
- 15. The same is disputed by Mr. Jain.
- 16. The order dated 26.10.2023 shall be treated as a show cause notice. The applicants are at liberty to file a reply within 7 days and thereafter MCD shall pass a speaking order expeditiously.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00

- 17. Further, the applicants have undertaken that they will be responsible for the safety and stability of the structure of their shop/property.
- 18. The applicants shall be responsible for any civil or criminal consequences, if any, on account of any mishap.

- 19. The application stands disposed of. CM APPL. ...../2023 (to be numbered)
- 20. This is an application under Order 1 Rule 10 of CPC seeking impleadment of other occupiers of the building.
- 21. The application is taken on record and the Registry is directed to number the same.
- 22. The order passed today will also be applicable to all newly impleaded applicants.
- 23. Amended memo of parties filed along with the application is taken on record.

JASMEET SINGH, J NOVEMBER 30, 2023/akc Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~74 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + W.P.(C) 7253/2023 NEERAJ SHARMA ..... Petitioner Through: Mr. Aditya N Prasad, Adv.

versus UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ..... Respondents Through: Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Ms. Samiksha, G.P., Mr. Akash Mishra, Adv. for R-1/UOI.

Mr. Avishkar Singhvi, Adv. for R-2 to R-7.

Mr Vipul Ganda, ASC with Mr. Ashim Shridhar, Ms. Abhipsa Mohanty and Ms. Sakshi Rastogi, Advs. for R-16/NDMC.

Ms. Kritika Gupta and Mr. Arkaj Kumar, Advs. for DDA.

## CORAM:

# HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 29.11.2023

- 1. List on 15.12.2023.
- 2. In the meanwhile, Court Notice be issued to all the parties through Standing Counsels as per the amended memo filed on 31.08.2023.
- 3. Mr. Singhvi, learned counsel for the respondents will file a status report before the next date of hearing stating:
- (a). The deemed forest existing on ground today,
- (b). The action taken for depletion of the deemed forest and

(c). The constitution of a Committee to see the preservation of deemed forest in Delhi.

JASMEET SINGH, J NOVEMBER 29, 2023/akc Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~100 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI SURESH CHAND GUPTA ..... Appellant Through: Mr. Jatinder Kamra, Adv.

SUNITA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ve

Th

0R 29

k

- 1. This is an appeal challenging the judgment and decree dated 29.08.2023 passed by learned ADJ-2, North-East, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi in the matter titled as "Sunita v. Suresh Chand Gupta" in C.S. No. 476565/2015, wherein the suit for specific performance filed by the respondent was decreed. The appellant was directed to accept the balance sale consideration amount of Rs.1 lakh and execute the sale deed.
- 2. It is stated by Mr. Kamra, learned counsel for the appellant that the learned ADJ has failed to appreciate that there is no satisfactory proof of paying Rs. 5 lakhs in cash. He states that the agreement on the basis of which the suit has been decreed, is a forged and unregistered document and even the property in question has not been described properly in the said agreement.
- 3. Issue notice to the respondent on the appellant taking steps within one This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 week from today.

- 4. The notice be also issued to the counsel representing the respondent in the learned Trial Court.
- 5. This is an application seeking ex-parte stay against the judgment dated 29.08.2023 passed by learned ADJ-2, North-East, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi in the matter titled as "Sunita v. Suresh Chand Gupta" in C.S. No. 476565/2015.

- 6. For the reasons noted above, issue notice. It is directed that till the next date of hearing, parties shall maintain status quo.
- 7. List on 10.01.2024 before Joint Registrar.
- 8. List before the Court on 13.05.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J NOVEMBER 29, 2023/akc Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~104 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 1743/2023, CM APPL. 61143/2023 FAREEDA JABRI & ORS. ..... Petitioners Through: Mr. Nikhil Palli and Mr. Kshitij Pal, Advs.

versus SH. NARESH KUMAR, CHIEF SECY, GNCT OF DELHI. & ORS.

..... Respondents Through: Mr. Abhinav Singh, Mr. Bhavi Garg and Mr. Rajat M. Diwedi, Advs.

Mr. Gaurav Saini, SDM, Defence Colony (through V/C).

Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Patwari, Defence Colony.

# CORAM:

# HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 29.11.2023

- 1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
- 2. Application stands disposed of. CONT.CAS(C) 1743/2023
- 3. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings for violation of the order dated 11.10.2019 passed in W.P.(C) 10804/2019.
- 4. Mr. Palli has drawn my attention to the order dated 11.10.2019, wherein it was directed that:

"The respondents will carry out necessary demarcation within three months from today, In case, there is any formality to be completed by the petitioners regarding the request of the demarcation, the petitioners shall be intimated about the necessary formalities forthwith. The petitioners shall thereafter complete the necessary formalities."

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00

- 5. Mr. Palli, learned counsel states that since the order has not been complied with, the contempt petition has been filed.
- 6. Mr. Gaurav Saini, SDM, Defence Colony appears on advance notice and states that after verification, it has been found that Khasra No. 423/70min (0-11) Biswa situated in village Okhla, Tehsil Defence Colony, District South-East, New Delhi, does not belong to the petitioner as per the record maintained by the respondent. For the said reasons, the demarcation cannot be carried by the respondent.
- 7. I am of the view that the reasons for not carrying out the demarcation should have been given to the petitioner in writing so that the petitioner could avail of his legal rights. The fact that the order dated 11.10.2019 was passed, the same was not acted upon by the respondent for which the petitioner had to file the contempt petition, is not an acceptable state of affairs. The citizens cannot be expected to run from pillar to post seeking enforcement of their rights as well as orders passed by the Court.
- 8. The respondent has been cautioned to be more careful in future and communicate with the petitioner by giving them in writing the reasons as well as the details available with the respondent as a result of which demarcation cannot be carried out. The same shall now be done within one week from today. The petitioner is at liberty to challenge the order/communication proposed to be received in accordance with law.
- 9. With these directions, the contempt petition stands disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J NOVEMBER 29, 2023/akc Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~1 & 2 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 280/2022 BRIJ MOHAN SHARMA ..... Petitioner Through: Mr Ankit Jain and Mr Abhishek Kotnala, Advs. versus DHARAMVIR SINGH AND ANR. ..... Respondents Through: Mr Arvind Kumar Sharma and Mr Aniteja Sharma, Advs.

+ RFA 564/2019, CM APPL. 27459/2019, CM APPL. 14899/2020, CM APPL. 8226/2022, CM APPL. 55280/2023 & CM APPL. 62477/2023 BRIJ MOHAN SHARMA ..... Appellant Through: Mr Ankit Jain and Mr Abhishek Kotnala, Advs. versus DHARAMVIR SINGH & ANR ..... Respondents Through: Mr Arvind Kumar Sharma and Mr Aniteja Sharma, Advs.

#### CORAM:

# HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023

- 1. Mr Jain, learned counsel for the petitioner states that a reply has been filed. The same is not on record.
- 2. The delay in filing the reply is condoned. Let the reply be brought on record.
- 3. List the matters on 09.01.2024 at 03:00 p.m. for hearing.
- 4. The endeavour of the Court would be to hear the matter on the next date of hearing.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023/sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~9 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 164/2021 SMT SAPNA & ANR. ..... Petitioners Through: Mr Narender Gautam, Adv.

versus SHRI KISHAN @ DEEPAK ..... Respondent Through: Mr Ishan Sanghi, Adv. for R-1.

Mr Abhinav Singh and Mr Rajat M Dwivedi, Advs. for Delhi Police.

#### CORAM:

## HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 04.12.2023

- 1. Mr Sanghi, learned counsel for the respondent states that the mother of the respondent has handed over a demand draft in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- to the petitioner No.1 in Court today.
- 2. The police report shows that the respondent is not residing at his last known address.
- 3. Respondent has joined through video conferencing mode and undertakes to appear in Court on the next date of hearing. He also shall ensure that some more amounts are paid to the petitioner before the next date of hearing.
- 4. Since the respondent has appeared, the IO as well as the SHO need not take any further steps.

- 5. The respondent shall appear in Court on the next date of hearing.
- 6. List on 13.03.2024.
- 7. The status report handed over in Court today is taken on record.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023/sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~76 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI VINOD KUMAR BATRA ..... Appellant Through:

versus RUBINDER SINGH ..... Respondent Through: Mr Sunil Dutt Dixit and Mr Sajal Dutt Dixit, Advs. for Applicant.

## CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 05.12.2023

- 1. This is an application seeking early hearing of the present appeal.
- 2. For the reasons stated in the application, Issue notice to the appellant by all modes including electronic on the respondent taking requisite steps within two days from today, returnable on 19.01.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 5, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~77 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI SHALU KOLI ..... Appellant Through: Mr Bhavneet Singh and Mr Gurpreet Singh, Advs.

versus INDRA KHULLAR SINCE DECEASED THR LRS AND ANR ..... Respondents Through:

#### CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER % 05.12.2023 Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

1. This is an application seeking early hearing of the present appeal.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents by all modes including electronic on the appellant taking requisite steps within two days from today, returnable on 18.12.2023.
- 3. Notice be also served to the counsel representing the respondents in the Trial Court.
- 4. The date already fixed i.e. 28.03.2024 stands cancelled.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 5, 2023/sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~83 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 13/2022 PRIYANKA ..... Petitioner Through: Mr Sunil Kumar, Adv.

MONU CHAUDHARY
Through:

....

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH ORDER 05.12.2023

%

- 1. Yesterday the matter was taken up for hearing and the respondent was present. A compromise proposal was put forth. Today, neither the respondent is present nor his counsel is appearing despite the matter having been passed over.
- 2. Issue bailable warrants against the respondent at his last known address in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- to be executed through SHO Karawal Nagar, on the petitioner taking steps within one day, returnable on 14.12.2023.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 5, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~11 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + CONT.CAS(C) 241/2021 VIKRAMJEET ..... Petitioner Through: Mr Shekhar Nanavaty, Adv.

. .

Th

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER 04.12.2023

1. This is a petition seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for violation of the order dated 27.01.2020 passed by learned Judge, ADJ-02, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi in CS No. 123/19.

%

- 2. After some hearing, both Mr Nanavaty (learned counsel for the petitioner) and Mr Kapur (learned senior counsel for the respondent) have very fairly arrived at a settlement in Court.
- 3. The terms of the settlement are that the respondent shall pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs. 65 lakhs in four equal instalments spread over six weeks from today, starting from 15.12.2023.
- 4. The first instalment of Rs. 16,25,000/- shall commence on or before 15.12.2023, second instalment on or before 31.12.2023, third instalment on or before 10.01.2024 and last instalment on or before 20.01.2024. The This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 account details in which the settlement amount is to be transferred are as under:

Account Holder: Kuldeep Singh Acount No. 049001000064568 IFSC Code: IOBA 0000490 Bank: Indian Overseas Bank Branch, Delhi Cantt-10 Branch Code: 0490.

- 5. Both the parties agree to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at by them today.
- 6. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
- 7. In case there is any default, the unpaid amount shall carry interest at the rate of 18% per annum in addition to the petitioner being entitled to revive the present contempt petition.

JASMEET SINGH, J DECEMBER 4, 2023 sr Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00 \$~99 \* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI + RFA 943/2023, CM APPL.

61405/2023 SURESH CHAND GUPTA ..... Appellant Through: Mr. Jatinder Kamra, Adv.

TRILOKI & ANR.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ve

0R

29

%

1. This is an appeal challenging the judgment and decree dated 13.09.2023 passed by learned ADJ-2, North-East, Karkarooma Courts, Delhi in the matter titled as "Triloki & Anr. v. Suresh Chand Gupta" in C.S. No. 417/2016, wherein the suit for specific performance filed by the respondent was decreed. The appellant was directed to accept as balance sale consideration for Rs. 3 lacs and

- 2. It is stated by Mr. Kamra, learned counsel for the appellant that the learned ADJ has failed to appreciate that there is no satisfactory proof of paying Rs. 18 lacs (Rs. 14 lakhs + Rs. 4 lakhs) in cash. He states that the agreement on the basis of which the suit has been decreed, is a forged and unregistered document and even the property has not been described properly in the said agreement.
- 3. Issue notice on the appellant taking steps within one week from today.

This is a digitally signed order.

execute the sale deed.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00

- 4. The notice be also served through learned counsel representing the respondent before the trial court.
- 5. List on 10.01.2024 before Joint Registrar.
- 6. List before the Court on 13.05.2024.
- 7. This is an application seeking interim stay.
- 8. For the reasons noted above, issue notice.
- 9. Till the next date of hearing, parties shall maintain status quo.

- 10. List on 10.01.2024 before Joint Registrar.
- 11. List before the Court on 13.05.2024.

JASMEET SINGH, J NOVEMBER 29, 2023/akc Click here to check corrigendum, if any This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above. The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/12/2023 at 00:54:00