

Muslim vs State on 21 March, 2018

Author: Mukta Gupta

Bench: Mukta Gupta

\$~35

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
+ W.P.(CRL) 62/2018
MUSLIM

..... Petitioner
Represented by: Ms.Vinny Shangloo, proxy
counsel for Mr.Dinesh Malik,
Advocate

versus

STATE
Represented by: ... Respondent
Mr.R.S.Kundu, ASC with
Mr.Prem Sagar Pal and
Ms.Suman Saharan, Advocates
SI Rajender Singh, PS
Badarpur

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA
ORDER

% 21.03.2018 By this petition, the petitioner seeks parole and challenges the communication dated 13th December, 2017 rejecting the application of the petitioner seeking parole on the ground that he has to file an SLP before the Supreme Court, arrange funds for the same and re-establish social ties.

On a notice being issued, a Status Report has been filed. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State states that the family of the petitioner comprises of his two sons, who are married and are residing with their families at House No.126, Dharampal Colony, Tajpur Village, Badarpur, New Delhi. The petitioner was on interim suspension of sentence pending hearing of the appeal when he surrendered on dismissal of Crl.A.No.846/2001.

Considering the verification report and that the petitioner has roots in society, this Court deems it fit to grant parole to the petitioner.

It is, therefore, directed that the petitioner be released on parole for a period of four weeks from the date of his release on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of 25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/CMM concerned.

Petition is disposed of.

A copy of this order be communicated to the petitioner through Superintendent, Tihar Jail.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MARCH 21, 2018 'rk'