

Bigjos Infraestate Ltd & Ors vs Veena Bansal & Anr on 21 March, 2018

Author: A.K. Pathak

Bench: A.K. Pathak

\$~41

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 82/2018 & CrI. M.A. No. 361/2018 (stay)

BIGJOS INFRAESTATE LTD & ORS Petitioners
Through Mr. Hemant Saini, Adv.

versus

VEENA BANSAL & ANR. Respondents
Through Mr. Sharad Bansal, Adv. with
respondent no. 1
Mr. M.S. Oberoi, APP for respondent
no. 2

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

% 21.03.2018 It is submitted that petitioners and respondent no. 1 have settled their disputes amicably before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre, vide a Settlement Agreement dated 5th March, 2018; therefore, Complaint Case No. 624777 of 2016 titled Veena Bansal vs. M/s. Bigjos Estates Limited and Others pending in the Court of learned Metropolitan Magistrate-07, South East District, Saket, New Delhi may be quashed. Respondent no. 1 is present in Court along with her counsel and says that she has received the entire settled amount. Respondent no. 1 submits that she has no objection in case complaint case is quashed. Settlement Agreement dated 5th March, 2018 has also been received and perused.

In view of the settlement arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no. 1, in my view, no fruitful purpose would be served to keep the criminal proceedings pending. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, Complaint Case No. 624777 of 2016 titled Veena Bansal vs. M/s. Bigjos Estates Limited and Others is quashed.

Petition is disposed of in the above terms. Miscellaneous application is disposed of as infructuous. Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

MARCH 21, 2018 r.bararia