

# Ranjeet Singh vs The State Govt Of Nct Of Delhi on 23 July, 2021

**Author: Mukta Gupta**

**Bench: Mukta Gupta**

\$~33, 34, 35

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ BAIL APPLN. 2155/2021

RANJEET SINGH

..... Petitioner

Represented by:

Mr.Mohan Kumar, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.G.M. Farooqui, APP for State with

SI Pravin Singh, PS S.B. Diary.

Mr.Rajat Bhardwaj, Advocate for the  
complainant.

+ BAIL APPLN. 2156/2021

KAMLA

..... Petitioner

Represented by:

Mr.Mohan Kumar, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.G.M. Farooqui, APP for State with

SI Pravin Singh, PS S.B. Diary.

Mr.Rajat Bhardwaj, Advocate for the  
complainant.

+ BAIL APPLN. 2157/2021

VIKARAM JEET RANA

..... Petitioner

Represented by:

Mr.Mohan Kumar, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.G.M. Farooqui, APP for State with

SI Pravin Singh, PS S.B. Diary.

Mr.Rajat Bhardwaj, Advocate for the  
complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

BAIL APPLN. 2155/2021, 2156/2021 & 2157/2021

Signature Not  
Page 1 of Signed  
Digitally 4 By  
MUKTA GUPTA  
Signing Date:

## ORDER

% 23.07.2021 The hearing has been conducted through Video Conferencing.

1. By these petitions, petitioners Ranjit Singh, Kamla and Vikram Jeet Rana, father-in-law, mother-in-law and husband of the complainant respectively seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.167/2021 under Sections 323/380/454/34 IPC registered at PS Shahbad Dairy, Delhi.

2. The allegations of the complainant, who is the wife of Vikram Jeet Rana in the FIR in question, are that she was married to the petitioner Vikram Jeet Rana on 18th May, 2013 and she had a daughter aged five years. Her in-laws used to trouble and assault her, for which she would give a detailed complaint later on. She further alleged that her in-laws used to ask for new items. Her ATM card was also with them and they used to withdraw her salary. She also stated that few days ago, her in-laws including her sister-in-law and her husband along with mother-in-law were pressurising her to leave the house so that Vikram Jeet Rana could perform the second marriage. They used to state that they would get her beaten in the police style. On the date of incident, the complainant had gone to her school for the job when at 11.15 AM she checked her CCTV and found few unknown people and that her husband cut the wire of the camera. She reached home and called her brother. When she reached home, the house was locked from outside so she went from the terrace of the neighbours to her house, when her husband pushed her and she fell down receiving injuries on her feet and head. It is alleged that the in-laws threw her bed, TV, sofa and some bags downstairs for which she had taken photos also. The father-in-law threatened her of life, her mother-in-law held her hands and the husband tried to strangle her. In the meantime neighbours came and the police also arrived. In the end she stated that from her almirah 12,000/- cash BAIL APPLN. 2155/2021, 2156/2021 & 2157/2021 Page 2 of Signed and her jewellery was missing.

3. MLC of the injured was also prepared on the same day which notes injuries on head and limbs. The injuries were opined to be simple in nature.

4. On the same date on the complaint of Ranjeet Singh, FIR No.166//2021 under Sections 323/341/34 IPC at PS Shahbad Dairy was registered wherein he stated that on 15th April, 2021 he along with his wife Kamla went to their house at Shahbad Village from Rohini, asked for the key from the neighbour and opened the gate. In the meantime, their daughter-in-law, who was teaching in Sarvodya School in the village came and called the police alleging that these people were removing her articles. While she was throwing out her articles, after the police came, she fell down. After getting up she picked up the lock with iron chain and hit the petitioner Ranjeet Singh number of times due to which he received injuries. Thereafter the brother of the complainant Manisha also caught hold of him and hit him due to which he had injury on the head and blood oozed out.

5. During the course of investigation statement of Babli Rani w/o Manoj Rana, the neighbour, was recorded wherein she stated that Manisha w/o Vikram Jeet Rana handed over the keys of main gate of her house to her and told her that whenever any family member comes and ask about the keys then the keys be handed over to them in her absence. On 15 th April, 2021 when Kamla-mother-in-law of Manisha/complainant came and asked for the keys, she handed over the

keys to Kamla.

6. It is not disputed that the property is owned by the petitioner No.1 and on the ground floor their articles were also lying.

7. This Court has inquired from SI Pravin Singh, the Investigating Officer about the injuries on Ranjeet Singh. He states that even Ranjeet Singh received injuries on the head which were opined to be simple in nature. The nature of BAIL APPLN. 2155/2021, 2156/2021 & 2157/2021 Page 3 of Signed injuries to Manisha have also been opined to be simple in nature. In case the version of the complainant in the FIR as it is stated is accepted in its totality, it is not explained how Ranjeet Singh received injuries. The MLC of Ranjeet Singh was also prepared on the same day and records injuries on the head.

8. Undoubtedly, the complainant has in her possession CCTV footage where Vikram Jeet Rana is present with two-three persons and is cutting the CCTV wire however, considering the fact that the assault between the parties resulted injuries to both the sides and besides the same, allegations of the complainant are of alleged theft of 12,000/- and her daily wearing jewellery, this Court deems it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

9. Consequently, in the event of arrest, the petitioners be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing personal bond in the sum of 25,000/- each with one surety bond each of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/SHO concerned, further subject to the condition that they will join the investigation as and when directed and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned and in case of change of residential address and/or mobile number the same will be intimated to the Court concerned by way of an affidavit.

10. Petitions are disposed of.

11. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JULY 23, 2021/'vn' BAIL APPLN. 2155/2021, 2156/2021 & 2157/2021 Page 4 of Signed