

Sohan Pal Goel vs Shaleen Goel on 21 March, 2018

Author: Prathiba M. Singh

Bench: Prathiba M. Singh

\$~19

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ RFA 372/2017

SOHAN PAL GOEL Appellant

Through Mr Anunaya Mehta, Advocate.

versus

SHALEEN GOEL

..... Respondent

Through Mr. Dharam Vir Singh, Advocate

(9899596498)

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

% 21.03.2018 CM APPLs. 14233/2017 & 11125/2018

1. There are two applications under Order 41 Rule 27 CPC. In the first application being CM No.14233/2017 the pleadings are complete. With regard to the second application being CM No.11125/2018, learned counsel for the Respondent wishes to file a reply to this application. Let the same be done within two weeks.

2. In the meantime learned counsels for the parties submit that there is an order dated 15th February, 2018 by which insofar as possession is concerned, the parties have arrived at a settlement before the Senior Citizens' Tribunal. Learned counsel for the Appellant also submits that he wants to place on record the said order. He is permitted to do so.

3. Insofar as fresh documents, that the Appellant wishes to rely upon, are concerned, it is submitted that the originals of the said documents have been brought to Court today. Learned counsel for the Respondent is permitted to seek inspection of the original documents prior to filing of the reply to the application.

4. List the applications for hearing on 17th May, 2018. Inspection shall take place in the High Court premises in the presence of learned counsel for the parties.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

MARCH 21, 2018/rr