Team ID	Feedback	Mid term score
11	- Retrieval pipeline requires improvement. You might want to add additional steps before finalising on the candidate paragraphs. - Would have liked more work related to span identification(QA task). - Liked the idea of synthetic data generation, should check the resource constraints though. - Work required for theme fine tuning methodology. - Can further improve by looking at already answered questions. - Overall solid report, good effort on bringing down the runtime and doing those in depth analysis.	86
13	- Liked the overall idea of retrieval mechanism, you might want to re-check the mechanism for the added latency. - Theme fine tuning work required. - Liked the idea of synthetic data generation, should check the resource constraints though. - Can further improve by looking at already answered questions. - Clear and concise report, overall good work on the retrieval, QA task and runtime analysis.	91
17	- Survey looks good but lot of work required to improve on the latency constraints in retrieval step. - More work required in QA task. - Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Theme fine tuning required, can improve by looking at already answered questions. - Overall good work on the survery but requires more work on implementation side to match the mentioned constraints.	68
19	- Requires further work to improve on retrieval. Might want to rethink the retrieval pipeline. - QA implementation requires more work. - Runtime analysis required. - Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Fine tuning required, improve by looking at already answered questions. - Difficult to read report, can figure out the survey piece but hard to navigate through to figure out the implementation piece.	52
21	- Need to rethink the retrieval and QA strategy to keep it within the constraints. You might want to reduce the training data size to suit your needs. - Decent survey work, good amount of work required to implement things to meet the PS constraints. - Fine tune module can be added, can improve upon looking at the previous questions. - Would have liked more work related to runtime analysis. - Improve on synthetic data generation technique.	54
23	- Good work on domain adaptation. - Some work required to bring down the latency of both the modules. - Can improve upon looking at the previously answered questions. - Overall extensive work, in depth surverys and tried methodologies.	93
25	- Can improve on the retrieval mechanism by adding additional steps before arriving at the top set of paragraphs. - Runtime analysis needs to be done to figure out what matches the PS constraints. - Further fine tuning work required for domain adaptation. - Can improve upon looking at the previously answered questions. - Good overall survey work.	71

27	- Inference time analysis required. - Fine tuning work required for domain adaptation. - Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Can improve upon looking at the previously answered questions. - Overall good work on retrieval and QA pipeline.	80
29	- Basic work done in all areas: retrieval, qa, runtime improvements and fine tuning. - Require further survey analysis and improved implementation. - Can improve upon looking at the previously answered questions.	76
31	- Work required for fine tuning. - Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Further work required on run time analysis. - Decent work done on retrieval and QA task, need to extend the retrieval pipeline to improve upon the same. - Can improve upon looking at the previously answered questions.	65
33	- Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Fine tuning work required. - Good amount of work required to improve on the latency piece for the mentioned approach. - Retrieval and QA pipeline should be thought of separately. Note that both has equal weightage in the final scoring metric. - Can improve upon looking at the previously answered questions.	60
41	- Improvements required to bring down latency on CPU. - Further work required for fine tuning for domains. - Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Can improve upon looking at the previously answered questions. - Overall very well presented report with good metrics to talk about the analysis done.	86
43	- Can improve on the retrieval pipeline by adding additional verification step before arriving at the final set of paragraphs. - Fine tuning work required - Work required to improve on runtimes. - Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Can improve upon looking at the previously answered questions.	76
45	- Employ synthetic data generation technique. - Further work required to bring down the runtimes. - Further work required on fine tune implementation. - Can improve upon looking at the previously answered questions. - Overall good overall survey and initial implementation work on retrieval and QA task.	86
47	- Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Fine tuning work required - Further work required on runtime analysis and improvements. - Basic work done related to retrieval and QA task. Further exploration required to improve upon retrieval and QA task.	62

49	- Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Fine tuning work required - Add steps in retrieval pipeline to finalize the final para set. - Work required to improve on the runtime metric to meet PS constraint. - Detailed basic work done, liked the benchmarking effort.	64
51	- Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Further work required on run time improvements and fine tuning for domain adaptation. - Retrieval steps can be improved upon adding additional steps to verify the predicted para. - Require further work on QA task as well and run time analysis.	64
53	- Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Fine tuning work required - More work required for retrieval and QA task, go for an extensive pipeline setup, add verification steps to improve on the precision. - Good work on model optimization.	67
55	- Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Fine tuning work required - Add steps in retrieval pipeline to finalize the final para set. - Good work done for retrieval, qa and runtime analysis.	73
57	- Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Further fine tuning work required for domain adaptation. - Further work required for runtime analysis and optimizing the same. - Add steps in retrieval pipeline to finalize the final para set.	65
59	- Improve on synthetic data generation technique. - Fine tuning work required - Work required for run time analysis and optimizing on the same. - Good work done for retrieval and QA pipeline.	75
61	- Fine tuning work required - Add steps in retrieval pipeline to finalize the final para set. - Check for synthetic data generation. - Would have liked more information in the report which gives an idea of the anaysis and implementation done till now.	53