Two user multiple access broadcast

Aditya Mahajan

April 20, 2010

This is maxima source code to verify the calculations presented in the appendix of

Aditya Mahajan, "Optimal decentralized transmission policies for two-user multiple access broadcast", in Proceedings of the 2010 conference on decision and control (CDC).

Preliminaries

In Proposition 1, we define a transformation A_i . Since we are only concerned with symmetric arrival rates, we only need to work with

```
Ap(n) := 1 - (1-p)^(n+1);

In Definition 3, a function f_n(x) is defined as:

f(n,x) := 1 + (1-x)^2 - (3+x)*(1-x)^(n+1);

s_n denotes the root of f_n(x) that is between [0,1]. Thus,

s(n) := find_root( f(n,x), x, 0, 1 ) $

\tau is the root of x = (1-x)^2 that lies in [0,1]. Thus,

tau : find_root( (1-x)^2 = x, x, 0, 1 ) $

display(tau)$
```

 $\tau = .3819660112501052$

Dynamic program

Although the reachable state space is countable, the value function can be written succiently. We use different variable names for each form of the value function:

- $v(p, A^n p) = v(n)$
- $v(p,1) = v_p1$
- $v(1,1) = v_11$
- $v(p,p) = v_pp$

For reason that will become apparent later, we use v_init for the value of v(1).

Similar to the value function, the differential reward function has four forms.

- $w_{01}(p, A^n p) = \text{w01(n)}$
- $w_{01}(p,1) = w01_p1$

```
• w_{01}(1,1) = w01_11
  • w_{01}(p,p) = w01_pp
These differential rewards are given by
w01(n) := r*Ap(n) + 'v_init
w01_p1 : r
                 + 'v init
w01_{11} : r
                  + 'v_p1
                               $
w01_pp : r*p
                 + 'v_init
   Similar interpretations hold for w_{10}
w10(n) := r*p + 'v(n+1)
w10_p1 : r*p + 'v_init
w10_11 : r + v_p1
                           $
w10_pp : r*p + 'v_init
                           $
   and w_{11}
w11(n) := r*(p + Ap(n) - 2*p*Ap(n)) + p*Ap(n)*'v_11 +
          (1-p*Ap(n))*'v_pp
w11_p1 : r*(1+p-2*p) + p*'v_11 + (1-p)*'v_pp
w11_11 : 'v_11
w11_pp : r*(2*p - 2*p^2) + p^2*'v_11 + (1-p^2)*'v_pp $
   We need a few helper functions to display the results of intermediate calculations.
show(label, arr, size) := for i : 1 thru size do
    print(label, i, ":",
      arr[i], " = ", ev(arr[i], nouns, eval, eval, ratsimp)) $
show_diff(label, arr1, arr2, size) := for i : 1 thru size do
    print(label, i, ":",
      arr1[i],
      if ev(arr1[i] - arr2[i], nouns, eval, eval, ratsimp) = 0
        then "=" else error(arr1[i], "!=", arr2[i]),
       arr2[i])
$
array(check, 4) $
array(diff, 2) $
array(value, 2) $
Case 1 : p \ge \tau
print("")
print("----") $
print(" Case 1: p \geq 	au") $
print("----") $
```

For this case, we find it more convinient to work with 1-p rather than p. So,

```
kill(p) $ p : 1-q $
```

We claim that

print("value functions")\$ display(J, v(n), v_11, v_pp, v_p1)\$

value functions

$$J = (1 - q^{2}) r$$

$$v(n) = (1 - q^{n+1}) r$$

$$v_{11} = (q^{2} + 1) r$$

$$v_{pp} = q^{2} r$$

$$v_{p1} = r$$

To verify the optimal policy, we need to check two things. First, we have to verify fixed point equations:

```
check[1] : '(v(n) + J - w01(n)) $
check[2] : '(v_p1 + J - w01_p1) $
check[3] : '(v_11 + J - w01_11) $
check[4] : '(v_pp + J - w01_pp) $
show("check", check,4)
```

Second, we have to verify that the chosen action gives a larger reward than other actions. We treat the four cases separately:

```
1. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (p, A^n p), we have diff[1] : '(w01(n) - w10(n)) $ diff[2] : '(w01(n) - w11(n)) $ value[1] : '(r*p*q*(1-q^n)) $ value[2] : '(r*p^2*(1+q*(1-(2+q)*q^n)))$ show_diff("diff(n)", diff, value, 2) $ 2. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (p, 1), we have diff[1] : '(w01_p1 - w10_p1) $ diff[2] : '(w01_p1 - w11_p1) $ value[1] : r*q $ value[2] : r*p^2*(1+q) $
```

```
show_diff("diff_p1", diff, value, 2) $

3. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (1, 1), we have diff[1] : '(w01_11 - w10_11) $ value[1] : 0 $ diff[2] : '(w01_11 - w11_11) $ value[2] : r*p*(1+q) $ show_diff("diff_11", diff, value, 2) $

4. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (p, p), we have diff[1] : '(w01_pp - w10_pp) $ diff[2] : '(w01_pp - w11_pp) $ value[1] : 0 $ value[2] : r*p^2*(p-q^2) $ show_diff("diff_pp", diff, value, 2) $
```

Case 2 : $s_1 \le p < \tau$

As before, it is more convinient to work with 1-p rather than p. So,

```
kill(p) $ p : 1-q $
```

In this case, only the value function for v(p,p) changes. The rest are the same as before

```
v_pp : r*q^2 $
```

```
print("value functions")$ display(J, v(n), v_11, v_pp, v_p1)$
```

To verify the optimal policy, we need to check two things. First, we verify the fixed point equations. The first three equations remain as before, so we only modify the fourth check equation.

```
check[4] : '(v_pp + J - w11_pp) $
```

```
show("check", check,4) $
```

Second, we have to verify that the chosen action gives a larger reward than other actions. We treat the four cases separately:

```
1. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (p, A^n p), we have diff[1] : '(w01(n) - w10(n)) $ diff[2] : '(w01(n) - w11(n)) $ value[1] : '(r*p*q*(1-q^n)) $ value[2] : r* '(f(n,p) - 3*q^2*(1-q^(n-1)))$
```

```
show_diff("diff(n)", diff, value, 2) $
2. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (p, 1), we have
  diff[1] : '(w01_p1 - w10_p1)
  diff[2] : '(w01_p1 - w11_p1) $
  value[1] : r*q
  value[2] : -r*f(0,q) $
  show_diff("diff_p1", diff, value, 2) $
3. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (1, 1), we have
  diff[1] : '(w01_11 - w10_11) $
  diff[2] : '(w01_11 - w11_11) $
  value[1] : 0
  value[2] : r*p*(1+q) $
  show_diff("diff_11", diff, value, 2) $
4. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (p, p), we have
  diff[1] : '(w11_pp - w10_pp)
  diff[2] : '(w11_pp - w01_pp) $
  value[1] : r*(q^2 - p) $
  value[2] : r*(q^2 - p) $
  show_diff("diff_pp", diff, value, 2) $
```

Case 3 : $s_{m+1} \le p < s_m$

```
print("")
print("----") $
print("Case 3: s_(m+1) \le p < s_m") $
print("----") $
```

In this case, it is more convinient to work with p rather than 1 - p. So,

kill(p) \$ q:1-p\$

In many ways, this is the most difficult case. Part of the difficulty arises from the fact that the form of the value functions are more complicated.

```
c_{low}(n) := q*(1-q^n)*J/p + r*q^(n+1) - r*q + v_pp $
c_{high}(n) := r*(1-q^(n+1)) + c_{low}(1) - J
v_init : c_low(1) $
   As before, to verify the optimal policy, we need to check two things. First, we verify the fixed
point equations.
kill (check) $ array(check, 5) $
check[1] : '(c_low(n) + J - w11(n)) $
check[2] : '(c_high(n) + J - w01(n)) $
check[3] : '(v_p1 + J - w01_p1) $
check[4] : '(v_11 + J - w01_11) $
check[5] : '(v_pp + J - w11_pp) $
show("check", check,5)
  1. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (p, A^n p),
    a) for n \leq m, we have
       v(n) := c_low(n) $
       diff[1] : '(w11(n) - w10(n)) $
       diff[2] : '(w11(n) - w01(n)) $
       value[1] : -r*p*(1-q^(n+1))*'f(0,p)/D
       value[2] : -r*p^2*f(n,p)/D
       show_diff("diff(n)", diff, value, 2) $
    b) for n > m, we have
       v(n) := c_{high}(n) $
       diff[1] : '(w01(n) - w10(n)) $
       diff[2] : '(w01(n) - w11(n)) $
       value[1] : r*p*(-f(0,p)/D - q^(n+1))
       value[2] : r*p^2*f(n,p)/D
       show_diff("diff(n)", diff, value, 2) $
  2. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (p, 1), we have
    diff[1] : '(w01_p1 - w10_p1) $
    diff[2] : '(w01_p1 - w11_p1) $
    value[1] : r*q
    value[2] : r*p^2*(1+q^2)/D
                                     $
    show_diff("diff_p1", diff, value, 2) $
  3. For (\pi_1, \pi_2) = (1, 1), we have
```

Output

Maxima 5.20.1 http://maxima.sourceforge.net using Lisp SBCL 1.0.37

Distributed under the GNU Public License. See the file COPYING. Dedicated to the memory of William Schelter.

The function bug_report() provides bug reporting information.

(%i1) batchload(value-function.mac)

tau = .3819660112501052

Case 1: p $\geq au$

value functions

check 1 : J - w01(n) + v(n) = 0check 2 : $J - w01_p1 + v_p1 = 0$ check 3 : $J - w01_11 + v_11 = 0$

```
check 4 : J - w01_pp + v_pp = 0
diff(n) 1 : w01(n) - w10(n) = p q (1 - q) r
diff(n) 2 : w01(n) - w11(n) = p (q (1 - q (q + 2)) + 1) r
diff_p1 1 : w01_p1 - w10_p1 = q r
diff_p1 2 : w01_p1 - w11_p1 = (1 - q) (q + 1) r
diff_11 1 : w01_11 - w10_11 = 0
diff_{11} 2 : w01_{11} - w11_{11} = (1 - q) (q + 1) r
diff_pp 1 : w01_pp - w10_pp = 0
diff_pp 2 : w01_pp - w11_pp = (1 - q) (- q - q + 1) r
Case 2: s_1 \le p < \tau
value functions
                               J = (1 - q) r
                            v(n) = (1 - q) r
                              v_11 = (q + 1) r
                                 v_pp = q r
                                 v_p1 = r
check 1 : J - w01(n) + v(n) = 0
check 2 : J - w01_p1 + v_p1 = 0
check 3 : J - w01_11 + v_11 = 0
check 4 : J - w11_pp + v_pp = 0
diff(n) 1 : w01(n) - w10(n) = p q (1 - q) r
diff(n) 2 : w01(n) - w11(n) = (f(n, p) - 3 q (1 - q)) r
diff_p1 1 : w01_p1 - w10_p1 = q r
diff_p1 2 : w01_p1 - w11_p1 = -((1 - q) + (-q - 3) (1 - q) + 1) r
diff_11 1 : w01_11 - w10_11 = 0
diff_{11} 2 : w01_{11} - w11_{11} = (1 - q) (q + 1) r
diff_pp 1 : w11_pp - w10_pp = (q + q - 1) r
```

```
diff_pp 2 : w11_pp - w01_pp = (q + q - 1) r
Case 3: s_{m+1} \le p < s_{m}
check 1 : J - w11(n) + c_low(n) = 0
check 2 : J - w01(n) + c_high(n) = 0
check 3 : J - w01_p1 + v_p1 = 0
check 4 : J - w01_11 + v_11 = 0
check 5 : J - w11_pp + v_pp = 0
                         f(0, p) (1 - (1 - p)) p r
diff(n) 1 : w11(n) - w10(n) = - ------
                                 3 2
                                p + p + 1
                                       n + 1
                         ((-p-3)(1-p) + (1-p) + 1) p r
diff(n) 2 : w11(n) - w01(n) = - -----
                                      3 2
                                     p + p + 1
                          -(1 - p) - (-p - 3) (1 - p) - 1
3 2
                                   p + p + 1
                                                       n + 1
                                                 - (1 - p) ) r
                                                 2
                                      n + 1
                        ((-p-3)(1-p) + (1-p) + 1) p r
diff(n) 2 : w01(n) - w11(n) = ------
                                     3 2
                                    p + p + 1
diff_p1 1 : w01_p1 - w10_p1 = (1 - p) r
                        ((1 - p) + 1) p r
diff_p1 2 : w01_p1 - w11_p1 = -----
                           3 2
                          p + p + 1
diff_11 1 : w01_11 - w10_11 = 0
                        ((1 - p) + 1) p (p + 1) r
diff_11 2 : w01_11 - w11_11 = -----
                             3 2
                            p + p + 1
                        2 2
                       p (1 - 2 p) r
```