## 2. Finding Shortest Paths

**b)**

**i.**

**Ans:** From this map data visualization, I see that the Rains Apartments landmark is at the bottom right corner of the map and is one of the outermost landmarks. Also from the data, there are **22 different locations** with the **parking\_entrance** tags. As expected, some of the farthest parking entrances from the rains landmark are at the opposite corner of the landmark in the top left of the map. I was able to find the distance of rains landmark from a few different parking entrances and these are some of the top ones I found. I have also attached the screenshot of the farthest parking entrance from Rains apartment.

* Cost between parking\_entrance at location **6443368816** and landmark **rains** is **2987.240569586965**
* Cost between parking\_entrance at location 540510207 and landmark rains is 2927.8526535393294
* Cost between parking\_entrance at location 6318792955 and landmark rains is 2889.3365657245013
* Cost between parking\_entrance at location 1330035391 and landmark rains is 2836.5764316936834
* Cost between parking\_entrance at location 2572379215 and landmark rains is 2122.2906297448294

**A map of a city

Description automatically generated**

**Route from Rains Apartment to parking\_entrance at location 6443368816**

**ii.**

**Ans**: From the data used to generate routes around the Stanford map, I found that the **landmark - cantor arts center** is not mapped correctly. Its location is considerably farther from the Stanford oval than expected.

1. This is the expected route from Stanford Oval to Cantor Arts Center from Google Maps.
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1. This is the route and location obtained from map data and model
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## 3. Finding Shortest Paths with Unordered Waypoints

**b)**

**Ans**: If there are n locations and k waypoint tags, every time the algorithm explores a given location, it would check if the k waypoint tags have been satisfied. Every waypoint could either already been visited or not visited. Thus, there would be 2k possibilities of waypoint states in every new location.

The maximum number of states UCS could visit = n \* 2k = 2kn

**c)**

**Ans**: For my starting location I picked the outermost parking entrance with location tag **6443368816**. My end location was the landmark **Rains** apartments. Initially I wanted to add the entire list of landmarks that were available into my waypoint tags. This would be 14 waypoint tags. Since there are 8193 unique locations, my algorithm would have to test **8193** \* **214** (n \* 2k)states. As expected, it crashed my computer and I had to restart. I reduced the number of waypoint tags to 7. Here is the list of waypoint tags I used –

**[ gates, bookstore, oval, memorial church, coupa green library, hoover tower, tressider]**

The total cost of visiting all these waypoint tags is 4691.520269602774 which is almost 1.5 times the cost of going from start to end without visiting the waypoint tags. Also, the route always seems to visit the next closest waypoint from its current location. This seems to be the most optimal route to visit all waypoint tags on the way from start to finish.
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## 4. Speeding up Search with A\*

**d)**

**Ans**: An example of how using the A\* with NoWaypointsHeuristic would result in the same running time complexity as solving the relaxed shortest path problem would be when the way points are part of the shortest path or equidistant from points on the shortest path while going from start to end.

Example of such waypointTags would be:

1. **[ “1,1”, “2,2”, “3,3”, “4,4”, “5,5”, “6,6”, “7,7”, “8,8”]**
2. **[ “0,1”, “0,3”, “0,5”, “0,7”, “0,9”, “1,9”, “3,9”, “5,9”, “7,9”, “8,9”]**