

ROUTING IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS: AN
EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION OF
HETEROGENEOUS WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK
(WRITTEN IN L^AT_EX)

ITIS RESEARCH PROJECT REPORT

presented by

ADITYA RAJ

Embedded Systems group
Department of Informatics
Technische Universität Clausthal

ES-P005

Aditya Raj: Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks: An Experimental Evaluation of Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Network (written in L^AT_EX)

STUDENT ID

381678

ASSESSORS

First assessor: Dr.-Ing. Andreas Reinhardt

Second assessor: Prof. Dr. Sven Hartmann

SUBMISSION DATE

15 April 2017

STATUTORY DECLARATION

Ich erkläre hiermit, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbständig verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel benutzt habe. Alle Stellen der Arbeit, die wörtlich oder sinngemäß aus anderen Quellen übernommen wurden, wurden als solche kenntlich gemacht. Die Arbeit wurde in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form noch keiner anderen Prüfungsstelle vorgelegt.

Ich erkläre mich zudem mit der öffentlichen Bereitstellung meines ITIS Resarch Project Report in der Instituts- und/oder Universitätsbibliothek einverstanden.

Clausthal-Zellerfeld, 15 April 2017

Aditya Raj

ABSTRACT

The design goals, e.g. wide connectivity, minimal energy consumption, symmetry and more, of a Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) hugely depend on the topology and the internal node architecture. A practical step towards implementing a functional Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) is to determine the best suitable topology control depending on the application area. Generally speaking, an efficient topology ensures minimum message loss and the proximity of the neighbors. This research aims to present an efficient and an easily deployable solution to set up a heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) (in terms of MCU(μ Controller Unit) Power). The scope of this research also includes studying an important aspect of Wireless Sensor Network (WSN), the hybridization of several technologies: Networking, Data Dissemination, Data Collection, Mobile Communication and Clustering. Moreover, Sensor Nodes (SNs) in a Wireless Sensor Network (WSN), need a cost-effective and fair communication channel access arbitration. Therefore, selection and implementation of efficient Routing protocols, implemented at Network layer, is necessary for efficient routing of traffic after the topological set up has been done. Additionally, coherent Layer2 and Layer3 protocols are needed to test a working model of heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Network (WSN).

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Statement Of Purpose	1
1.2	Research Question	1
1.3	Significance Of Research	1
1.4	Definitions	1
1.5	Assumptions and Limitations	1
2	BACKGROUND	3
2.1	Wireless Sensor Network	3
2.2	Routing Protocols	3
2.3	Collection Tree Protocol	3
2.4	TinyOS	3
2.5	Telosb Device	3
3	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
4	SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION	7
4.1	Instruments and Softwares Used	7
4.2	Network Design for CTP Protocol	7
4.3	System Implementation	7
4.3.1	Phase I: One-hop DATA Transmission	7
4.3.2	Phase II: Two-hop DATA Transmission	7
4.4	Communication Diagram	7
4.5	Work-Flow and State Diagram	7
5	EVALUATION MEASURES:	9
6	CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSIONS	11
6.1	Summary of Results	11
6.2	Conclusions from Results	11
6.3	Recommendations for Further Research	11
A	APPENDIX	13
A.1	Installation of TinyOS on MacOSX	13
A.2	Installation of TinyOS on UBUNTU	13

ACRONYMS

WSN Wireless Sensor Network

SN Sensor Node

INTRODUCTION

1.1 STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

1.2 RESEARCH QUESTION

1.3 SIGNIFICANCE OF RESEARCH

1.4 DEFINITIONS

1.5 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

BACKGROUND

- 2.1 WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK
- 2.2 ROUTING PROTOCOLS
- 2.3 COLLECTION TREE PROTOCOL
- 2.4 TINYOS
- 2.5 TELOSB DEVICE

SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

Procedures used to complete the study

4.1 INSTRUMENTS AND SOFTWARES USED

4.2 NETWORK DESIGN FOR CTP PROTOCOL

4.3 SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION

4.3.1 *Phase I: One-hop DATA Transmission*

4.3.2 *Phase II: Two-hop DATA Transmission*

4.4 COMMUNICATION DIAGRAM

4.5 WORK-FLOW AND STATE DIAGRAM

EVALUATION MEASURES:

The proposed network model has been evaluated in the mentioned WSN topologies

1. Cluster-based: The processing centre is assigned to each group of cluster of WSNs and the SNs route using CTP tree routing.
2. Tree-based: In this mechanism, some parents of the tree are Processing centres and others are either using CTP protocol to send the data or they use NFS-SIS scheme to send the data to their parents.
3. Ellipsoidal: Nodes are arranged in ellipsoidal fashion, where some nodes in the ring are Processing centre.
4. Random: Randomly distributing nodes in a network based on random location generated by Contiki for each SN

In each of the above mentioned topological order of the nodes, the following parameters are evaluated:

ENERGY CONSUMPTION

1. Overall Energy Consumption: Energy dissipation of each sensor node. Plot for each SN on 2D Cartesian axes, where X -> Time (In hrs) Y -> Energy(In Joules)
2. LifeCycle of a WSN:
 - a) Time till first SN dies on 2D Cartesian axes, where X -> Time (In hrs) Y -> Energy(In Joules)
 - b) Time till all SNs dies

ENERGY CONSUMPTION

1. Gantt Chart for each SN to show CTS-RTS time frame on time as X-axis
2. Time when data delivery to BaseStation falls below a certain threshold

SCALABILITY

1. Network Performance on increasing the number of nodes. Plot for each SN on 2D Cartesian axes, where X -> Number of SensorNodes Y -> Simulation running Speed in contiki

OVERHEADS AND EFFICIENCY

1. Control Overhead: Number of Control Messages to Data Messages

OTHER EVALUATION DATA

1. Algorithm Storage requirement of Collection Tree Protocol (CTP) vs Neighbor Finding Scheme for Sensor Information Systems (NFS-SIS)
2. Mobility of SNs in Neighbor Finding Scheme for Sensor Information Systems (NFS-SIS)
3. Performance ratio on $\text{NumSensorNodes} \div \text{NumProcessingCentres}$

CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSIONS

6.1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

6.2 CONCLUSIONS FROM RESULTS

6.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH



APPENDIX

A.1 INSTALLATION OF TINYOS ON MACOSX

A.2 INSTALLATION OF TINYOS ON UBUNTU