

Real-space computation of E/B -mode maps I: Formalism and Compact Kernels

Aditya Rotti and Kevin Huffenberger

Department of Physics, Florida State University, Keen Physics Building, 77 Chieftan Way, Tallahassee, Florida, U.S.A.

E-mail: adityarotti@gmail.com, khuffenberger@fsu.edu

Abstract. We derive full-sky, real-space operators that convert between polarization Stokes Q/U parameters to the coordinate-independent, scalar E/B modes that are widely used in Cosmic Microwave Background and cosmic shear analysis. The convolution kernels split naturally into angular and radial parts, and we show explicitly how the spatial extent of the convolution kernel depends on the targeted band-limit. We show that an arbitrary radial dependence can produce E/B -like maps and that these are simply filtered versions of the standard E/B maps. This allows us to compute E/B maps in real space with a compactly-supported kernel, an approach that can guarantee the avoidance of known foreground regions and can be employed in a massively parallel scheme at high-resolution. We can compute power spectra using standard techniques, and recover the power spectrum of the sky with a simple window function. We cast the standard CMB polarization analysis operators in a matrix-vector notation which facilitates the derivations and shows that the kernels relate directly to spin-0 $Y_{\ell 2}$ spherical harmonic functions. This new notation also allows us to derive real space operators which decompose the measured Stokes parameters into their even and odd-parity parts, without ever evaluating the scalar E/B fields themselves. This paper is the first part in a series of papers that explore real-space computation of polarization modes and their applications.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Real space polarization operators	2
3	Polarization primer	4
4	Real space operators	6
4.1	A heuristic argument for constructing E/B modes from Stokes parameters Q/U on the sphere	7
4.2	Matrix notation	8
4.3	Evaluating scalar fields E & B from Stokes parameters Q & U	9
4.4	Evaluating Stokes parameters Q & U from scalar fields E & B	12
4.5	Decomposing Stokes parameters Q & U into those corresponding to E & B modes respectively	13
4.6	Visualizing the convolution kernels	15
4.7	Quantifying the non-locality of E & B modes	17
5	Generalized operators	19
5.1	Relation to the spin raising(\eth^2)/lowering($\bar{\eth}^2$) operations	21
5.2	Recovering the default E and B mode spectra	21
6	Discussion	23

1 Introduction

The Cosmic Mircowave Background is 10 percent polarized, but the polarization contains independent cosmological information.

Standard technique is to convert Stokes parameters into scalar and pseudo-scalar modes, which are easier to compare to theory.

The pseudo-scalar B mode is particularly important because it cannot be generated by primordial scalar pertubations

- primordial tensor perturbations
- lensing of E -modes
- systematics checks
- exotic phenomena like cosmic birefringence

The spin-0 E/B modes relate to the spin-2 Stokes parameters via the spin-raising and -lowering operators ($\eth, \bar{\eth}$), which are second derivatives evaluated locally. However, in practice we compute E/B modes to a specified band limit, and this makes them non-local functions of the polarization field. In other words, the E/B modes at a point can get contributions from all over the sky.

(stuff about foregrounds, masking, ambiguous modes with references)

Zaldarriaga explored the spatial real-space kernels in the flat-sky approximation [].

In this work we follow the convection in which bar-ed variables correspond to those in real space, while the tilde-ed variables correspond to those in harmonic space [1].

This paper is organized in the following manner: In Sec. ?? we present a primer on the description of CMB polarization on the sphere and introduce the matrix notation which provides a more concise description of the same. In Sec. 4 we introduce the necessary tools and discuss the derivations of the real space operators. In Sec. 4.6 we evaluate the real space operators and present visualizations of these functions. Here we also discuss the locality of the real space E & B operators. In Sec. ?? we implement these operators to evaluate E & B maps from the Stokes parameters Q & U and compare these maps and their spectra from those derived using Healpix. We conclude with a discussion and the scope of this new method of analyzing CMB polarization in Sec. 6.

2 Real space polarization operators

Alternative for bringing the heuristic argument earlier.

CMB polarization is measured in terms of Stokes parameters, averages of the linear polarization of the electric field along cartesian axes perpendicular to the line of sight. (Throughout we use the conventions of HEALPix [?].) Thus Stokes Q and U depend on the choice of the local coordinate system and a rotation by an angle ψ around the line of sight transforms them as

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q \\ U \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\psi & \sin 2\psi \\ -\sin 2\psi & \cos 2\psi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q \\ U \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

Because the combination $\pm_2 \bar{X}(\hat{n}) = Q(\hat{n}) \pm iU(\hat{n})$ transforms as $\pm_2 f' = e^{\mp 2i\psi} s f$, the Stokes parameters are components of a spin-2 field [2].

The standard construction of E/B fields arise from the desire to have a coordinate independent description of the polarization and follow from operations that raise (or lower) the spin of the X field to construct scalar fields. But from the transform properties of the Stokes parameters, we can already make a heuristic argument for what these operations must look like in real space.

We consider the contribution from the polarization field (evaluated at \hat{n}_q) to a scalar field (evaluated at \hat{n}_e). Figure 2 shows that the transformation of the local coordinate system between the two positions can be described by a rotation around the local \hat{n}_q by angle α , parallel transport by angle β , and a rotation around \hat{n}_q by $-\gamma$. This corresponds to a rotation by Euler angles $(\alpha, \beta, -\gamma)$ in the $z - y_1 - z_2$ convention¹.

Rotating the cartesian coordinates in the tangent plane at location \hat{n}_q by an angle ϕ about the local \hat{z}_q axis, the Stokes parameters in the new coordinate system relate to those in the original coordinate system as: $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{z}_q}(\phi)[+_2 X(\hat{n}_q)] = +_2 X(\hat{n}_q)e^{-i2\phi}$. This same rotation by ϕ alters the Euler angle α_{qe} (that aligns the x -axis at \hat{n}_q along the geodesic to the location \hat{n}_e): $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{z}_q}(\phi)[\alpha_{qe}] = \alpha_{qe} - \phi$. Therefore one can see that $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{z}_q}(\phi)[e^{-i2\alpha_{qe}}] = e^{-i2\alpha_{qe}}e^{i2\phi}$.

Given these transformation properties, the combination $+_2 X(\hat{n}_q)e^{-i2\alpha'_{qe}}$ is invariant under rotations and must by definition be spin-0:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\hat{z}_q}(\phi)[+_2 X(\hat{n}_q)e^{-i2\alpha'_{qe}}] = +_2 X(\hat{n}_q)e^{-i2\alpha_{qe}} \quad (2.2)$$

Now note that the real part of the function are constructed by product of functions ($Q \cos 2\alpha, U \sin 2\alpha$) having the same parity and hence the real part must have even parity while the imaginary part of the function are constructed by multiplying functions ($Q \sin 2\alpha, U \cos 2\alpha$) of opposite

¹The Euler angles in the more standard $z - y - z$ convention are related to those in the $z - y_1 - z_2$ convention by the following rule: $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)_{z-y-z} = (\gamma, \beta, \alpha)_{z-y_1-z_2}$ [4].




Figure 1: This figure depicts the Euler angles in the z-y1-z2 convention. The cartesian coordinates shown in dark green are those that lie in the tangent plane at location $\hat{n}_q = (\theta_q, \phi_q)$ while those shown in dark red are the ones that lie in the tangent plane at location $\hat{n}_e = (\theta_e, \phi_e)$. The blue coordinates at different locations are representative of the parallel transport along the geodesic connection the two locations \hat{n}_q & \hat{n}_e on the sphere.

parity and hence must have an odd parity. Therefore we can make the association that contributions to $(E + iB)(\hat{n}_e)$ must be proportional to ${}_{+2}X(\hat{n}_q)e^{-i2\alpha_{qe}}$.

The same rotation $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{z}_q}(\phi)$ leaves the Euler angle $|\beta_{qe}|$ unaltered (it measures the angular distance between the points). Thus we can conclude that the form of the real-space operation must be like:

$$(E + iB)(\hat{n}_e) = \int d\hat{n}_q {}_{+2}X(\hat{n}_q)f(\beta_{qe})e^{-i2\alpha_{qe}} \quad (2.3)$$

Note that when the two locations coincide ($\beta_{qe} = 0$) then $\alpha_{qe} = 0, 2\pi, 4\pi, \dots$, implying $E + iB \propto Q + iU$. This cannot be because $Q + iU$ does not transform as a spin-0 field under local rotations. A similar fallacy arises when the two locations are diametrically opposite, $\beta_{qe} = \pi$. Therefore we must have function $f(\beta_{qe} = 0) = f(\beta_{qe} = \pi) = 0$. Hence the E/B fields are necessarily non-local. Any such function f will give us E/B -like scalar fields. Below we derive the particular one that gives rise to our familiar E/B modes.

The kernel's azimuthal part depends only on the Euler angle α_{qe} , and so it's harmonic transform has no multipole ℓ dependence. The azimuthal part is the crucial operation that translates between different spin representation of CMB polarization. Only the radial part of the kernel $f(\beta_{qe})$ depends only on the angular separation between locations and hence must completely incorporate the multipole ℓ dependence.

Note that this type of construction can be generalized to transform fields of arbitrary spin to a field of any other spin, and so we can use it in the opposite sense to transform E/B maps back to the Stokes parameters (i.e. transforming spin-0 fields to spin-2.)

The standard construction of E/B fields depend on the spin-raising and -lowering operators, and is usually carried out in harmonic space. The spin-raising operator (\eth), when operated on a field of spin- s f , results in a fields with spin- $(s+1)$: $(\eth_s f)' = e^{-i(s+1)\psi}(\eth_s f)$ [3].

The complementary spin-lowering operator ($\bar{\partial}$) similarly results with $(\bar{\partial}_s f)' = e^{-i(s-1)\psi}(\bar{\partial}_s f)$. The complex spin-0 scalar now arise from the spin-2 fields ${}_{\pm 2}X$ as follows,

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{n}) + i\mathcal{B}(\hat{n}) = -\bar{\partial}_{+2}^2 \bar{X}(\hat{n}), \quad (2.4a)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{n}) - i\mathcal{B}(\hat{n}) = -\bar{\partial}_{-2}^2 \bar{X}(\hat{n}). \quad (2.4b)$$

The complex field ${}_{\pm 2}X$ defined on the sphere can be decomposed in spin spherical harmonic functions: ${}_{\pm 2}X(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} {}_{\pm 2}X_{\ell m} {}_{\pm 2}Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n})$. On applying the spin raising and lowering operators on the spin spherical harmonic functions leads to the following identities [3],

$$\bar{\partial}_s Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) = \sqrt{(\ell - s)(\ell + s + 1)} {}_{s+1}Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}), \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\bar{\partial}_s Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) = -\sqrt{(\ell + s)(\ell - s + 1)} {}_{s-1}Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}), \quad (2.5b)$$

where ${}_sY_{\ell m}(\hat{n})$ denote the spin-s spherical harmonics.

Using the definition of \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B} , the spin spherical harmonic decomposition of ${}_{\pm 2}X$ and the identities given in Eq. (3.4) it can be shown that the scalar fields \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B} are given by the following equations,

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^E \sqrt{\frac{(\ell + 2)!}{(\ell - 2)!}} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) ; \mathcal{B}(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^B \sqrt{\frac{(\ell + 2)!}{(\ell - 2)!}} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}), \quad (2.6)$$

where the harmonic coefficients $a_{\ell m}^E$ & $a_{\ell m}^B$ are related to the harmonic coefficients of the spin-2 polarization field via the following equations,

$$a_{\ell m}^E = -\frac{1}{2} \left[{}_{+2}\tilde{X}_{\ell m} + {}_{-2}\tilde{X}_{\ell m} \right] ; a_{\ell m}^B = -\frac{1}{2i} \left[{}_{+2}\tilde{X}_{\ell m} - {}_{-2}\tilde{X}_{\ell m} \right]. \quad (2.7)$$

In the remainder of this article, we will work with the scalar E and pseudo scalar B fields as defined by the following equations,

$$E(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^E Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) ; B(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^B Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}). \quad (2.8)$$

Note that these E/B fields are merely filtered versions of \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B} as their spherical harmonic coefficients of expansion are related by the factor $[(\ell + 2)!/(\ell - 2)!]^{1/2}$.

3 Polarization primer

The CMB polarization is measured in terms of Stokes Q and U parameters. Stokes Q is defined as the linear polarization measured along local cartesian axes (+ along the x -axis and – along the y -axis) while Stokes U is defined as the linear polarization measured along the cartesian axes rotated by 45° (+ along x-axis and – along the y-axis in the counter-clockwise rotated system). The Stokes parameters depend on the choice of the coordinate system and two different coordinate systems rotated by an angle ψ relate as

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q \\ U \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\psi & \sin 2\psi \\ -\sin 2\psi & \cos 2\psi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q \\ U \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Following the notation of [2], fields which transform as ${}_s f' = e^{-is\psi} {}_s f$ under right handed rotations of the local coordinate system are termed spin- s fields. The Stokes parameters can be combined to define the complex fields,

$${}_{\pm 2} \bar{X}(\hat{n}) = Q(\hat{n}) \pm iU(\hat{n}), \quad (3.2)$$

which under rotation of the local tangent plane coordinate system by an angle ψ at any point on the sphere transform as: ${}_{\pm 2} X' = e^{\mp i2\psi} {}_{\pm 2} X$, which follows directly from Eq. (3.1). Therefore the quantities ${}_{\pm 2} X$ are spin ± 2 fields respectively.

The coordinate dependence of Stokes parameters make them inconvenient to work with. With operations that raise (or lower) the spin of the field, we can produce a spin-0 scalar that is coordinate independent. The spin-raising operator ($\bar{\partial}$), when operated on a field of spin- s ${}_s f$, results in a fields with spin- $(s+1)$: $(\bar{\partial}_s f)' = e^{-i(s+1)\psi} (\bar{\partial}_s f)$ [3]. The complementary spin-lowering operator ($\bar{\partial}$) similarly results with $(\bar{\partial}_s f)' = e^{-i(s-1)\psi} (\bar{\partial}_s f)$. The complex spin-0 scalar now arise from the spin-2 fields ${}_{\pm 2} X$ as follows,

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{n}) + i\mathcal{B}(\hat{n}) = -\bar{\partial}_{+2}^2 \bar{X}(\hat{n}), \quad (3.3a)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{n}) - i\mathcal{B}(\hat{n}) = -\bar{\partial}_{-2}^2 \bar{X}(\hat{n}). \quad (3.3b)$$

The complex field ${}_{\pm 2} X$ defined on the sphere can be decomposed in spin spherical harmonic functions: ${}_{\pm 2} X(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} {}_{\pm 2} X_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n})$. On applying the spin raising and lowering operators on the spin spherical harmonic functions leads to the following identities [3],

$$\bar{\partial}_s Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) = \sqrt{(\ell - s)(\ell + s + 1)} {}_{s+1} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}), \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\bar{\partial}_s Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) = -\sqrt{(\ell + s)(\ell - s + 1)} {}_{s-1} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}), \quad (3.4b)$$

where ${}_s Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n})$ denote the spin- s spherical harmonics.

Using the definition of \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B} , the spin spherical harmonic decomposition of ${}_{\pm 2} X$ and the identities given in Eq. (3.4) it can be shown that the scalar fields \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B} are given by the following equations,

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^E \sqrt{\frac{(\ell + 2)!}{(\ell - 2)!}} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) ; \mathcal{B}(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^B \sqrt{\frac{(\ell + 2)!}{(\ell - 2)!}} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}), \quad (3.5)$$

where the harmonic coefficients $a_{\ell m}^E$ & $a_{\ell m}^B$ are related to the harmonic coefficients of the spin-2 polarization field via the following equations,

$$a_{\ell m}^E = -\frac{1}{2} \left[{}_{+2} \tilde{X}_{\ell m} + {}_{-2} \tilde{X}_{\ell m} \right] ; a_{\ell m}^B = -\frac{1}{2i} \left[{}_{+2} \tilde{X}_{\ell m} - {}_{-2} \tilde{X}_{\ell m} \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

In the remainder of this article, we will work with the scalar E and pseudo scalar B fields as defined by the following equations,

$$E(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^E Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}) ; B(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^B Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}). \quad (3.7)$$

Note that these E/B fields are merely filtered versions of \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B} as their spherical harmonic coefficients of expansion are related by the factor $[(\ell + 2)!/(\ell - 2)!]^{1/2}$.

4 Real space operators

The vector-matrix notation introduced in Sec. 4.2 allows for concise book keeping of all the operations involved in the analysis of CMB polarization. In this section we use this notation to derive the real space operators which translate the Stokes vector \bar{P} to the vector of scalars \bar{S} and vice versa. This vector-matrix notation also allows us to simply derive real space operators for direct decomposition of the Stokes vector \bar{P} in to a vector \bar{P}_E that correspond to E -modes and another vector \bar{P}_B that corresponds to the B -modes of polarization, such that $\bar{P} = \bar{P}_E + \bar{P}_B$, without ever evaluating the E & B fields or their spherical harmonics. All the real space operators we derive are most conveniently expressed as functions of the Euler angles α , β & γ on the sphere. Owing to this, we begin with a brief discussion on Euler angles and present a visual method to think about them.




Figure 2: This figure depicts the Euler angles in the z-y1-z2 convention. The cartesian coordinates shown in dark green are those that lie in the tangent plane at location $\hat{n}_q = (\theta_q, \phi_q)$ while those shown in dark red are the ones that lie in the tangent plane at location $\hat{n}_e = (\theta_e, \phi_e)$. The blue coordinates at different locations are representative of the parallel transport along the geodesic connection the two locations \hat{n}_q & \hat{n}_e on the sphere.

Euler angles: We define the local cartesian coordinate system at any point on the sphere such that the z-axis points along the radial direction, the x-axis is along the vector tangent to the local longitude pointing south and the y-axis is a vector tangent to the local latitude pointing east as shown in Fig. 2. The Euler angles α , β & γ define rotation operations that transforms the local cartesian coordinate system defined at the location $\hat{n}_q \equiv (\theta_q, \phi_q)$ such that it aligns with the local cartesian coordinate system at the location $\hat{n}_e \equiv (\theta_e, \phi_e)$ [4].

For this work, it is convenient to think in the z-y1-z2 convention therefore all future references to Euler angles are in this convention². In the z-y1-z2 convention, α defines the rotation about the z-axis, β defines the rotation about the new y-axis (y1-axis) after the

²The Euler angles in the more standard $z - y - z$ convention are related to those in the $z - y1 - z2$ convention by the following rule: $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)_{z-y-z} = (\gamma, \beta, \alpha)_{z-y1-z2}$ [4].

previous rotation and γ defines the rotation about the final z-axis (z2-axis) after carrying out the previous two rotations. These angles can be understood as follows: The rotation by α about the original z-axis is such that it aligns the x-axis of the cartesian system at location \hat{n}_q along the great circle in the direction of shortest arc length towards \hat{n}_e . The rotation by β about the y2-axis parallel transports the local cartesian coordinate system from location \hat{n}_q to location \hat{n}_e , such that the z-axes of the two coordinate systems are parallel to each other. Finally the rotation by angle γ about the z2-axis aligns the x & y axes of the parallel transported system with those of the local cartesian system defined at \hat{n}_e . The different Euler angles and the corresponding rotations are schematically represented in Fig. 2.

The simplest scenario is when one of the coordinates coincides with the north pole $\hat{n}_q = (\theta_q, \phi_q) = (0, 0)$ (the local cartesian system at this point being defined with an arbitrary convention set by choosing $\theta_0 \rightarrow 0$ while moving along the longitude $\phi_0 = 0$), in which case it is easy to see that rotations by Euler angles: $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\phi_e, \theta_e, 0)$ will align the cartesian system at \hat{n}_q with that defined at position \hat{n}_e .

4.1 A heuristic argument for constructing E/B modes from Stokes parameters Q/U on the sphere

The primary aim here is to make intuitive the construction of the spin-0 E/B modes of polarization from the Stokes parameters. We present heuristic arguments which allows us to derive the important features of the real space kernels³.

If one rotates the cartesian coordinates in the tangent plane at location \hat{n}_q by an angle ϕ about the local \hat{z}_q axis, the Stokes charge in the new coordinate system is related to the Stokes charge in the original coordinate system as: $+_2X'(\hat{n}_q) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{\hat{z}_q}(\phi)} +_2X(\hat{n}_q)e^{-i2\phi}$. Similarly if the local planar axes are rotated by an angle ϕ then the Euler angle α_{qe} (recall that this Euler angle aligns the x-axis at \hat{n}_q in the direction of the shortest path along the geodesic to the location \hat{n}_e) for the new coordinate system is given by: $\alpha'_{qe} \rightarrow \alpha_{qe} - \phi$ and therefore one can see that: $e^{-i2\alpha'_{qe}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{\hat{z}_q}(\phi)} e^{-i2\alpha_{qe}}e^{i2\phi}$. The Euler angles $|\beta_{qe}|$ which measure the angular distance between pixels remains unaltered under this local rotation operation. Given these transformation properties note that: $+_2X'(\hat{n}_q)e^{-i2\alpha'_{qe}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{\hat{z}_q}(\phi)} +_2X(\hat{n}_q)e^{-i2\alpha_{qe}}$ is invariant under rotations $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{z}_q}(\phi)$ and hence by definition must form a spin-0 field. Now note that the real part of the function are constructed by product of functions $(Q \cos 2\alpha, U \sin 2\alpha)$ having the same parity and hence the real part must have even parity while the imaginary part of the function are constructed by multiplying functions $(Q \sin 2\alpha, U \cos 2\alpha)$ of opposite parity and hence must have an odd parity. Therefore we can make the association: $E' + iB' \propto +_2X(\hat{n}_q)e^{-i2\alpha_{qe}}$

Now note that when the two locations coincide $\hat{n}_q = \hat{n}_e$ then $\alpha_{qe} = 0, 2\pi, 4\pi, \dots$ implying $E + iB \propto Q + iU$, which is a fallacy as we know that $Q + iU$ does not transform as a spin-0 field under local rotations. One runs into a similar fallacy when the two locations are diametrically opposite. Therefore to have a consistent definition of a spin-0 field constructed from a spin-2 field we require the kernel to have the form: $E' + iB' = +_2X(\hat{n}_q)f(\beta_{qe})e^{-i2\alpha_{qe}}$, where the function $f(\beta_{qe})$ is such that it vanishes when the two locations are coincident ($\beta_{qe} = 0$) or diametrically opposite ($\beta_{qe} = \pi$). Hence the E/B fields are necessarily non-local. Note that this construction can be generalized to transform fields of arbitrary spin to a field of any

³These heuristic arguments were developed in hindsight but are very instructive.

other spin, one obvious case being constructing the Stokes field given the E/B maps (i.e. deriving spin-2 fields from spin-0 fields.)

The azimuthal part which depends only on the Euler angle α_{qe} , has no multipole ℓ dependence and is the crucial operation which translates between the different spin representation of CMB polarization. Only the radial part of the kernel $f(\beta_{qe})$ depends only on the angular separation $|\beta_{qe}|$ between different locations on the sphere and hence must completely incorporate the multipole ℓ dependence of the kernel. These heuristic arguments cannot take us any further and we now shift to presenting a mathematically rigorous derivation of the real space operators in the following sections.

4.2 Matrix notation

Our derivation of real space operators is more transparent in a matrix-vector notation.⁴ We introduce a matrix that encodes spin spherical harmonic basis vectors,

$$|s|\mathcal{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{+s}Y & 0 \\ 0 & {}^{-s}Y \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{pix}} \times 2N_{\text{alms}}} \quad (4.1)$$

We will be working with cases $s \in [0, 2]$. Each column maps to a specific harmonic basis function (i.e. indexed by ℓm) and each row maps to a pixel on the sphere. This matrix is not square in general: the number of rows is determined by the pixelization and the number of columns is set by the number of basis functions (e.g. determined by the band limit).

We now define the different polarization data vectors and their representation in real space as and harmonic as follows,⁵

$$\bar{S} = \begin{bmatrix} E \\ B \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{pix}} \times 1} ; \quad \bar{X} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{+2}X \\ {}^{-2}X \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{pix}} \times 1} ; \quad \bar{P} = \begin{bmatrix} Q \\ U \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{pix}} \times 1}, \quad (4.2a)$$

$$\tilde{S} = \begin{bmatrix} a^E \\ a^B \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{alms}} \times 1} ; \quad \tilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{+2}\tilde{X} \\ {}^{-2}\tilde{X} \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{alms}} \times 1}. \quad (4.2b)$$

The different symbols have the same meaning as that discussed in Sec. 3, except that the subscript ℓm for the spherical harmonic coefficients is suppressed for cleaner notation.

We define transformations between different representations of the polarization field (i.e. from Q, U to $\pm 2X$):

$$\bar{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i\mathbb{1} \\ 1 & -i\mathbb{1} \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{pix}} \times 2N_{\text{pix}}} ; \quad \bar{T}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{T}^\dagger, \quad (4.3a)$$

$$\tilde{T} = -\begin{bmatrix} 1 & i\mathbb{1} \\ 1 & -i\mathbb{1} \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{alms}} \times 2N_{\text{alms}}} ; \quad \tilde{T}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{T}^\dagger, \quad (4.3b)$$

The sign conventions we have chosen match HEALPix. Using the data vectors and the matrix operators defined above we can now express, in compact notation, the forward and inverse relations between different representations of the polarization data vectors as follows,

$$\bar{X} = \bar{T}\bar{P}; \quad \bar{P} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{T}^\dagger\bar{X}; \quad (4.4a)$$

$$\tilde{X} = \tilde{T}\tilde{S}; \quad \tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{T}^\dagger\tilde{X}. \quad (4.4b)$$

⁴While we work with the matrix and vector sizes given in terms of some pixelization parameter N_{pix} , all the relations are equally valid in the continuum limit attained by allowing $N_{\text{pix}} \rightarrow \infty$

⁵We adopt a convention in which real space quantities are denoted by bar-ed variable while those in harmonic space are denoted by tilde-ed variables.

Meanwhile the spherical harmonic transforms are written as:

$$\bar{X} = {}_2\mathcal{Y}\tilde{X}; \quad \tilde{X} = {}_2\mathcal{Y}^\dagger\bar{X}; \quad (4.4c)$$

$$\bar{S} = {}_0\mathcal{Y}\tilde{S}; \quad \tilde{S} = {}_0\mathcal{Y}^\dagger\bar{S}. \quad (4.4d)$$

Finally we introduce the operators that project harmonic space data vector to the E or B subspace,

$$\tilde{O}_E = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{alms}} \times 2N_{\text{alms}}} ; \quad \tilde{S}_E = \tilde{O}_E \tilde{S}, \quad (4.5a)$$

$$\tilde{O}_B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{alms}} \times 2N_{\text{alms}}} ; \quad \tilde{S}_B = \tilde{O}_B \tilde{S}. \quad (4.5b)$$

Note that these harmonic space matrices are idempotent ($\tilde{O}_E \tilde{O}_E = \tilde{O}_E$; $\tilde{O}_B \tilde{O}_B = \tilde{O}_B$), orthogonal ($\tilde{O}_E \tilde{O}_B = \mathbb{0}$), and sum to the identity matrix ($\tilde{O}_E + \tilde{O}_B = \mathbb{1}$). The above relations for these harmonic space operators are exactly valid. In the following sections we aim to derive the real space analogues (O_E, O_B) of these harmonic space operators.

4.3 Evaluating scalar fields E & B from Stokes parameters Q & U

In Sec. 3 we described the conventional procedure of computing the scalar fields E & B from the Stokes parameters Q & U . In this section we derive the real space convolution kernels on the sphere which can be used to directly evaluate the scalar fields E & B on the sphere. We use the vector-matrix notation introduced in Sec. 4.2 to write down an operator equation relating the real space vector of scalars \bar{S} to the Stokes polarization vector \bar{P} ,

$$\bar{S} = {}_0\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{T}^{-1} * {}_2\mathcal{Y}^\dagger * \bar{T} * \bar{P} = \frac{1}{2} {}_0\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{T}^\dagger * {}_2\mathcal{Y}^\dagger * \bar{T} * \bar{P}, \quad (4.6a)$$

$$= \bar{O} * \bar{P}. \quad (4.6b)$$

The explicit form of the real space operator \bar{O} can be derived by contracting over all the matrix operators. This procedure is explicitly worked out in the following set of equations,

$$\bar{O} = \frac{1}{2} {}_0\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{T}^\dagger * {}_2\mathcal{Y}^\dagger * \bar{T}, \quad (4.7a)$$

$$= -0.5 \begin{bmatrix} {}_0Y_e & 0 \\ 0 & {}_0Y_e \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \mathbb{1} \\ -i\mathbb{1} & i\mathbb{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}_{+2}Y_q^{T*} & 0 \\ 0 & {}_{-2}Y_q^{T*} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1} & i\mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & -i\mathbb{1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.7b)$$

$$= -0.5 \begin{bmatrix} \sum({}_0Y_e {}_{+2}Y_q^{T*} + {}_0Y_e {}_{-2}Y_q^{T*}) & i\sum({}_0Y_e {}_{+2}Y_q^{T*} - {}_0Y_e {}_{-2}Y_q^{T*}) \\ -i\sum({}_0Y_e {}_{+2}Y_q^{T*} - {}_0Y_e {}_{-2}Y_q^{T*}) & \sum({}_0Y_e {}_{+2}Y_q^{T*} + {}_0Y_e {}_{-2}Y_q^{T*}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.7c)$$

where the symbol ${}_0Y_e$ is used to denote the sub-matrix ${}_{0Y_{\hat{n}_e} \times \ell_m} \equiv {}_0Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}_e)$, the symbol ${}_{\pm 2}Y_q^{T*}$ is used to denote the transposed conjugated matrix ${}_{\pm 2}Y_{\ell m \times \hat{n}_q}^* \equiv {}_{\pm 2}Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{n}_q)$ and the summation is over the multipole indices ℓ, m . Note that here we purposefully introduce the notation of the index “e” to denote the location where the scalar fields are being evaluated and the index “q” to denote the location from which the Stokes parameters are being accessed. Using the conjugation properties of the spin spherical harmonic functions it can be shown that the following identity holds true,

$$\left[\sum_{\ell m} {}_0Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}_e) {}_{+2}Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{n}_q) \right]^* = \sum_{\ell m} {}_0Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}_e) {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{n}_q), \quad (4.8)$$

where the terms on either side of the equation are those that appear in Eq. (4.7c). Note that the operator \bar{O} is real as one expects, since each sub-matrix in Eq. (4.7c) is formed by summing a complex number and its conjugate.

Eq. (4.7c) already presents a real space operator, but it is not in a form which can be practically implemented. To arrive at a real space operator which is practically usable, we use the fact that the m sum over the product of two spin spherical harmonic functions can be expressed as a function of the Euler angles^[4],

$$\sum_m s_1 Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{n}_i) s_2 Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}_j) = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} s_2 Y_{\ell-s_1}(\beta_{ij}, \alpha_{ij}) e^{-is_2\gamma_{ij}}, \quad (4.9)$$

where α_{ij} , β_{ij} & γ_{ij} denote the Euler angles that specifically transform ($i \rightarrow j$): the coordinate system at \hat{n}_i so as to align the coordinate system at \hat{n}_j ⁶. The different parts of the real space operator \bar{O} are completely specified by the complex function,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\hat{n}_e, \hat{n}_q) &= \mathcal{M}_r + i\mathcal{M}_i, \\ &= \sum_{\ell m} {}_0Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}_e) {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{n}_q) = \sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} {}_0Y_{\ell 2}(\beta_{qe}, \alpha_{qe}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.10a)$$

$$= \left[\cos(2\alpha_{qe}) + i \sin(2\alpha_{qe}) \right] \sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^{\ell_{\max}} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!}} P_{\ell 2}(\cos \beta_{qe}), \quad (4.10b)$$

$$= \left[\cos(2\alpha_{qe}) + i \sin(2\alpha_{qe}) \right] \mathcal{M}f(\beta_{qe}, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}), \quad (4.10c)$$

where we have used the identity in Eq. (4.9) to simplify the product of the spherical harmonic functions. Note that the function on the right depends only on two out of the three Euler angles. Employing Eq. (4.10) to simplify the product of spherical harmonic functions in Eq. (4.7c), the real space operator \bar{O} can now be cast in this more useful form,

$$\bar{O} = - \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_r & \mathcal{M}_i \\ -\mathcal{M}_i & \mathcal{M}_r \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{pix}} \times 2N_{\text{pix}}} = -\mathcal{M}f(\beta_{qe}, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}) \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\alpha_{qe}) & \sin(2\alpha_{qe}) \\ -\sin(2\alpha_{qe}) & \cos(2\alpha_{qe}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.11)$$

where, we reiterate, α_{qe} , β_{qe} & γ_{qe} denote the Euler angles which rotate the local cartesian system at \hat{n}_q (location where Stokes parameters are accessed) to the cartesian system at \hat{n}_e (location where the scalar fields are evaluated): $\hat{n}_q \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}(\alpha_{qe}, \beta_{qe}, \gamma_{qe})} \hat{n}_e$.

Radiating kernel: Using Eq. (4.11) in Eq. (4.6b) one can see that the E/B contribution of the Stokes parameters at some location \hat{n}_q is given by the following expression,

$$\bar{S}_q = \begin{bmatrix} E_q \\ B_q \end{bmatrix} = -\mathcal{M}f(\beta_{qe}, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}) \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\alpha_{qe}) & \sin(2\alpha_{qe}) \\ -\sin(2\alpha_{qe}) & \cos(2\alpha_{qe}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_q \\ U_q \end{bmatrix} \Delta\Omega. \quad (4.12)$$

The total map of E & B modes can be simply evaluated by summing over the contribution from the Stokes parameters at each location \hat{n}_q : $\bar{S} = \sum_{q=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} \bar{S}_q$. This operation can be cast

⁶The sense of the rotation become more obvious when this equation is written in terms of the Wigner-D functions.




Figure 3: The local cartesian coordinate are only drawn on the red circle, representative of the coordinate dependence of the Stokes parameters. The value of the scalar field at location ‘e’ can be evaluated by summing over the contribution from all the Stokes parameters on the red circle (sphere). The convolutions are performed with kernels which are defined in term of the Euler angle γ_{eq} . Alternatively, one can compute the contribution from the Stokes parameter at location ‘q’ to all the point on the blue circle(sphere) and this is a function of the Euler angle α_{eq} . In the flat sky limit, since $\gamma = -\alpha$ there is no difference between the radiating and convolving kernels.

in a concise form as follows,

$$[E + iB](\hat{n}_e) = -\Delta\Omega \sum_{q=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} \left[{}_{+2}X(\hat{n}_q) e^{-i2\alpha_{qe}} \right] \mathcal{M}f(\beta_{qe}), \quad (4.13a)$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} \left\{ {}_{+2}X(\hat{n}_q) \cdot \left[-\Delta\Omega \sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^{\ell_{\max}} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} Y_{\ell 2}^*(\beta_{qe}, \alpha_{qe}) \right] \right\}, \quad (4.13b)$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} {}_{+2}X(\hat{n}_q) \cdot \mathcal{M}_G[\hat{n}_q], \quad (4.13c)$$

where “.” denotes a simple scalar multiplication. \mathcal{M}_G is merely the conjugated function \mathcal{M}^* when expressed as a function of the Euler angle α_{qe} and it can be thought of as the Green’s function of the operator, since $[E + iB] = \mathcal{M}_G$ is the spin-0 scalar field generated from the Stokes charge $[Q + iU] = [\delta(\hat{n} - \hat{n}_q) + i0]$.

We denote the kernel expressed in terms of the Euler angle α as the radiating kernel, since it allows us to evaluate the E/B field contribution across the sphere due to a single Stoke (charge) parameter at a fixed location on the sphere. The total E/B maps can then be thought of as just superposed radiation emerging from Stokes charges across the sphere. In this formulation, one is effectively in the frame of the Stokes charge $\pm_2 X$ and evaluating its contribution to the complex spin-0 scalar field across the sphere.

Convolution kernel: We can also formulate the real space operator to be a function of Euler angles corresponding to the inverse rotation. The Euler angles for the inverse rotations (i.e. to align the coordinate system at \hat{n}_e with that at \hat{n}_q) are related to the forward rotation Euler angles by the following relations: $\alpha_{eq} = -\gamma_{qe}$, $\beta_{eq} = -\beta_{qe}$ and $\gamma_{eq} = -\alpha_{qe}$. Since the

kernel only depends on the cosine of the Euler angle β , it is immune to changes in its sign. The operator equation can be expressed as a function of the Euler angle γ_{eq} as follows,

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_e \\ B_e \end{bmatrix} = - \sum_{q=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} \mathcal{M}f(\beta_{eq}, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}) \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\gamma_{eq}) & -\sin(2\gamma_{eq}) \\ \sin(2\gamma_{eq}) & \cos(2\gamma_{eq}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_q \\ U_q \end{bmatrix} \Delta\Omega, \quad (4.14)$$

This version of the real space operator would have naturally emerged had we simplified the equivalent function $\sum_{\ell m} {}_0Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{n}_e) {}_{+2}Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}_q)$ in Eq. (4.10). This formulation of the real space operator can be interpreted as integrating at some fixed location \hat{n}_e the E/B mode contribution arising from the Stokes parameters at all location \hat{n}_q on the sphere. This operation can be expressed more concisely as follows,

$$[E + iB](\hat{n}_e) = -\Delta\Omega \sum_{q=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} \left(\sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^{\ell_{\max}} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!}} P_\ell^2(\beta_{eq}) \right) \left(e^{i2\gamma_{eq}} {}_{+2}X(\hat{n}_q) \right), \quad (4.15a)$$

$$= \left\{ \left[-\Delta\Omega \sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^{\ell_{\max}} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} Y_{\ell 2}(\beta_{eq}, \gamma_{eq}) \right] \circ {}_{+2}X \right\}(\hat{n}_e), \quad (4.15b)$$

$$= \left\{ \mathcal{M}_B \circ {}_{+2}X \right\}(\hat{n}_e), \quad (4.15c)$$

where \circ denotes a convolution and \mathcal{M}_B is merely the conjugated function \mathcal{M}^* when expressed as a function of the Euler angle γ_{eq} it can be thought of as an effective instrument beam pointing to the direction \hat{n}_e .

4.4 Evaluating Stokes parameters Q & U from scalar fields E & B

The real space operator which translates E & B fields to Stokes parameters Q & U can be derived using a similar procedure. Expressed in the matrix-vector notation, inverse operator is given by,

$$\bar{P} = \bar{T}^{-1} * {}_2\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{T} * {}_0\mathcal{Y}^\dagger \bar{S} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{T}^\dagger * {}_2\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{T} * {}_0\mathcal{Y}^\dagger \bar{S}, \quad (4.16a)$$

$$= \bar{O}^{-1} * \bar{S}. \quad (4.16b)$$

The inverse operator expressed in terms of the function \mathcal{M} given in Eq. (4.10) is given by the following equation,

$$\bar{O}^{-1} = - \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_r & -\mathcal{M}_i \\ \mathcal{M}_i & \mathcal{M}_r \end{bmatrix}_{2N_{\text{pix}} \times 2N_{\text{pix}}} = -\mathcal{M}f(\beta_{eq}, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}) \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\alpha_{qe}) & -\sin(2\alpha_{qe}) \\ \sin(2\alpha_{qe}) & \cos(2\alpha_{qe}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.17)$$

where all the symbols have the same meaning as discussed in Sec. 4.3. Note that the kernel in the above equation differs from the one in Eq. (4.11) by a change in sign on the off-diagonals of the block matrix. When expressed in terms of the same set of Euler angles used to define the operator \bar{O} , it can be shown that the different forms of the real space operator are given by,

$${}_{+2}X(\hat{n}_q) = \left\{ \mathcal{M}_G^* \circ [E + iB] \right\}(\hat{n}_q) \quad \text{Convolution kernel}, \quad (4.18)$$

$${}_{+2}X(\hat{n}_q) = \sum_{e=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} [E + iB](\hat{n}_e) \cdot \mathcal{M}_B^*[\hat{n}_e] \quad \text{Radiation kernel}, \quad (4.19)$$

where all the symbols have the same meaning as defined in Sec. 4.3. Note that the conjugated forms of the Green's function and the effective beam for the operator \bar{O} have their roles reversed for the inverse operator \bar{O}^{-1} .

4.5 Decomposing Stokes parameters Q & U into those corresponding to E & B modes respectively

We can only measure the total Stokes vector which is a sum of the Stokes vectors corresponding to the respective scalar modes. The E & B modes are orthogonal to each other, in the sense that their respective operators are orthogonal to each other as seen in Eq. (??). It is possible to decompose the Stokes vector \bar{P} into one \bar{P}_E that purely contributes to E modes and another \bar{P}_B that purely contribute to the B modes of polarization. In this section we derive the real space operators which operate on the total Stokes vector and yield this decomposition, without ever having to explicitly evaluate the scalar modes. Though the algebra is a little more involved, the derivation is similar to that discussed in Sec. 4.3, hence we refrain from presenting the detailed calculations here, but outline the key points. We use the harmonic space projection operators $\tilde{O}_{E/B}$, defined in Eq. (4.5), to derive the respective real space operators. The Stokes parameters corresponding to each scalar mode are given by the following expressions,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{P}_E &= [\bar{T}^{-1} * {}_2\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{T} * \tilde{O}_E * \tilde{T}^{-1} * {}_2\mathcal{Y}^\dagger * \bar{T}] * \bar{P}, \\ &= [\frac{1}{4}\bar{T}^\dagger * {}_2\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{T} * \tilde{O}_E * \tilde{T}^\dagger * {}_2\mathcal{Y}^\dagger * \bar{T}] * \bar{P}, \\ &= \bar{O}_E * \bar{P},\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{P}_B &= [\bar{T}^{-1} * {}_2\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{T} * \tilde{O}_B * \tilde{T}^{-1} * {}_2\mathcal{Y}^\dagger * \bar{T}] * \bar{P}, \\ &= [\frac{1}{4}\bar{T}^\dagger * {}_2\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{T} * \tilde{O}_B * \tilde{T}^\dagger * {}_2\mathcal{Y}^\dagger * \bar{T}] * \bar{P}, \\ &= \bar{O}_B * \bar{P}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

We contract over all the matrix operators to arrive at the the real space operators. On working through the algebra it can be shown that the real space operators have the following form,

$$\bar{O}_{E/B} = 0.5 \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_r & \mathcal{I}_i \\ -\mathcal{I}_i & \mathcal{I}_r \end{bmatrix} \pm 0.5 \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_r & \mathcal{D}_i \\ \mathcal{D}_i & -\mathcal{D}_r \end{bmatrix},\tag{4.22}$$

where \mathcal{I}_r & \mathcal{D}_r and \mathcal{I}_i & \mathcal{D}_i are the real and complex parts of the following complex functions,

$$\mathcal{I}(\hat{n}_e, \hat{n}_q) = \mathcal{I}_r + i\mathcal{I}_i = \sum_{\ell m} {}_2Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}_e) - {}_2Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{n}_q),\tag{4.23a}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{n}_e, \hat{n}_q) = \mathcal{D}_r + i\mathcal{D}_i = \sum_{\ell m} {}_2Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}_e) - {}_2Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{n}_q).\tag{4.23b}$$

These functions can be further simplified using the identity of spin spherical harmonics given in Eq. (4.9). Specifically it can be shown that these functions reduce to the following mathematical forms,

$$\mathcal{I}(\hat{n}_e, \hat{n}_q) = \sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} {}_2Y_{\ell 2}(\beta_{qe}, \alpha_{qe}) e^{i2\gamma_{qe}} = \mathcal{I}_r + i\mathcal{I}_i,\tag{4.24a}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_r + i\mathcal{I}_i = [\cos(2\alpha_{qe} + 2\gamma_{qe}) + i \sin(2\alpha_{qe} + 2\gamma_{qe})] \chi f(\beta_{qe}, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}),\tag{4.24b}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{n}_q, \hat{n}_e) = \sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} {}_2Y_{\ell 2}(\beta_{qe}, \alpha_{qe}) e^{-i2\gamma_{qe}} = \mathcal{D}_r + i\mathcal{D}_i, \quad (4.25a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_r + i\mathcal{D}_i = \left[\cos(2\alpha_{qe} - 2\gamma_{qe}) + i \sin(2\alpha_{qe} - 2\gamma_{qe}) \right] \mathcal{D}f(\beta_{qe}, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}), \quad (4.25b)$$

where the radial functions are given by,

$${}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{I}}f(\beta, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}) = \sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^{\ell_{\max}} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} {}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{I}}f_{\ell}(\beta), \quad (4.26)$$

where the functions $\pm {}_2f_{\ell}(\beta)$ are expressed in terms of P_{ℓ}^2 Legendre polynomials and are given by the following explicit mathematical forms,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{I}}f_{\ell}(\beta) &= 2 \frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} \left[-P_{\ell}^2(\cos \beta) \left(\frac{\ell-4}{\sin^2 \beta} + \frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell-1) \pm \frac{2(\ell-1)\cos \beta}{\sin^2 \beta} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + P_{\ell-1}^2(\cos \beta) \left((\ell+2) \frac{\cos \beta}{\sin^2 \beta} \pm \frac{2(\ell+2)}{\sin^2 \beta} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

Finally the Stokes parameters corresponding to the respective scalar fields can be computed by evaluating the following expressions,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} Q_e \\ U_e \end{bmatrix}_{E/B} &= \sum_{q=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} \left\{ {}_{\mathcal{I}}f(\beta_{qe}, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}) \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\alpha_{qe} + 2\gamma_{qe}) & \sin(2\alpha_{qe} + 2\gamma_{qe}) \\ -\sin(2\alpha_{qe} + 2\gamma_{qe}) & \cos(2\alpha_{qe} + 2\gamma_{qe}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_q \\ U_q \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \pm {}_{\mathcal{D}}f(\beta_{qe}, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max}) \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\alpha_{qe} - 2\gamma_{qe}) & \sin(2\alpha_{qe} - 2\gamma_{qe}) \\ \sin(2\alpha_{qe} - 2\gamma_{qe}) & -\cos(2\alpha_{qe} - 2\gamma_{qe}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_q \\ U_q \end{bmatrix} \right\} 0.5\Delta\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

where all the symbols have their usual meaning. The above expression can be cast in the further simplified form,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{+2}X_{E/B}(\hat{n}_e) &= 0.5\Delta\Omega \sum_{q=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} {}_{\mathcal{I}}f(\beta_{qe}) e^{-i2(\alpha_{qe} + \gamma_{qe})} {}_{+2}X(\hat{n}_q) \pm {}_{\mathcal{D}}f(\beta_{qe}) e^{i2(\alpha_{qe} - \gamma_{qe})} {}_{+2}X(\hat{n}_q)^*, \\ &= 0.5 \left\{ {}_{\mathcal{I}}^* \circ {}_{+2}X \pm {}_{\mathcal{D}} \circ {}_{+2}X^* \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

where we have suppressed the explicit multipole dependence of functions ${}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{I}}f$ for brevity.
Comment on the radiating and convolution form of the operators.

⇒ Recheck the math described below The operator \mathcal{I} is a band limited version of the delta function ($\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I} = \delta(\hat{n}_i - \hat{n}_j)$) for spin-2 fields. When interpreted as a matrix it is a band limited version of the identity matrix. Though it has non-vanishing off diagonal elements ($\mathcal{I} \neq 0$ when $\hat{n}_i \neq \hat{n}_j$) owing to the band limit, for all practical purposes \mathcal{I} acts like an identity operator as is confirmed by the following set of identities: (i) $\mathcal{I} * \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}$; (ii) $\mathcal{D} * \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{D}$. Also \mathcal{D}^* is the inverse of \mathcal{D} in this band limited sense: $\mathcal{D}^* * \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}$. It is useful to note that the operator \mathcal{D} is a complex but symmetric matrix and \mathcal{I} is an Hermitian operator.

Using these properties of the operators \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{D} , one can verify that the real space operators satisfy the following identities,

$$\bar{\mathcal{O}}_E * \bar{\mathcal{O}}_E = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_E ; \quad \bar{\mathcal{O}}_B * \bar{\mathcal{O}}_B = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_B , \quad (4.30a)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{O}}_E * \bar{\mathcal{O}}_B = 0 , \quad (4.30b)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{O}}_E + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_B = \mathcal{I} , \quad (4.30c)$$

which are the real space analogues of Eq. (??). While testing the above stated identities one encounters terms like $\mathcal{D} * \mathcal{I}^*$, $\mathcal{I}^* * \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I} * \mathcal{I}^*$ which cannot be simply interpreted, but they always occur in pairs with opposite signs, hence exactly cancel each other.

Note that unlike in the harmonic case, the sum of the operators is not exactly an identity matrix. This non-exactness is representative of the loss of information resulting from making this transformation on the measured data with some imposed band limit. Forcing the sum of the operators to be exactly an identity matrix compromises the orthogonality property of the $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_E$ & $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_B$ operators, which is exact.

4.6 Visualizing the convolution kernels

Evaluating the local kernels: Let us consider the case when one of the coordinates coincides with the north pole $\hat{z} = (0, 0)$ (this refers to the point $\theta_0 \rightarrow 0$ while moving along the longitude $\phi_0 = 0$). In this case the Euler angles in the $z - y_1 - z_2$ convention are simply given by: $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\phi_i, \theta_i, 0)$, where (θ_i, ϕ_i) denote the coordinates of the location \hat{n}_i . Since the Euler angle $\gamma = 0$ when rotations are defined with respect to the pole, the respective kernels simplify to the following forms,

$$\mathcal{M}(\hat{z}, \hat{n}_i) = \sum_{\ell} {}_0 a_{\ell 2} {}_0 Y_{\ell 2}(\hat{n}_i) ; \quad (4.31a)$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\hat{z}, \hat{n}_i) = \sum_{\ell} {}_{-2} a_{\ell 2} {}_{-2} Y_{\ell 2}(\hat{n}_i) ; \quad \mathcal{D}(\hat{z}, \hat{n}_i) = \sum_{\ell} {}_2 a_{\ell 2} {}_2 Y_{\ell 2}(\hat{n}_i) , \quad (4.31b)$$

where ${}_s a_{\ell 2} = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}}$ $\forall s \in [0, -2, +2]$. The convolution kernels centered around any other location $\hat{n}_j = (\theta_j, \phi_j)$ are simply given by evaluating the respective spherical harmonic sums: $\sum_{\ell m} {}_s a_{\ell m} {}_s Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}_i)$ using the rotated harmonic coefficients given by: ${}_s a_{\ell m} = D_{m2}^{\ell}(\phi_j, \theta_j, 0) {}_s a_{\ell 2}$, where D_{m2}^{ℓ} are the Wigner-D functions. These rotation operations can be carried out using inbuilt Healpix routine `rotate_alm`, while the convolution kernels can be synthesized by evaluating the respective spherical harmonic sums using the `alm2map` routine.
⇒ Make parallels with instrument beam analysis here ? Or is it trivial since its obvious that all convolution problems can be cast in this form.

We compute the local convolution kernels using the procedure described above. To given an intuition for how these kernels vary as a function of position of the central pixel we depict in Fig. 4 the kernels at a few different locations. For illustration these functions are sampled at a very high Healpix resolution parameter of NSIDE=2048. All the plots have been rotated such that the central location \hat{n}_j marked by the black circle are in the centre of the figure. The horizontal and vertical lines that pass through the central black circle mark the local latitude and longitude respectively.

The real and imaginary part of the kernel \mathcal{M} are identical irrespective of changes in the galactic latitude and longitude of the central pixel. Note that these functions are not distorted when a part of the domain overlaps with the poles, as can be seen in the first three




Figure 4: This panel of figure depicts the various parts of the convolution kernel, discussed in Sec. 4. These kernels have been evaluated with the band limit: $\ell \in [2, 192]$ but sampled at the Healpix resolution parameter NSIDE=2048 for visual appeal. The size of each panel is approximately $16^\circ \times 16^\circ$ and the grid lines are marked at 2 degree separations. The black circles denotes the position of the central pixel around which the convolution kernels have been evaluated while the black star marks the location of the north galactic pole. The four rows depict the kernels at different location on the sphere and the galactic coordinates of the central pixel are specified in each panel.

rows of Fig. 4. Both these facts can be associated with the fact that this function does not depend on the Euler angle γ . From Eq. (4.14) and Eq. (??) it is clear that \mathcal{M}_r and \mathcal{M}_i can be interpreted in the following ways,

$$\begin{bmatrix} E = -\mathcal{M}_r \\ B = +\mathcal{M}_i \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} Q = \delta(\hat{n} - \hat{n}_j) \\ U = 0 \end{bmatrix} ; \quad \begin{bmatrix} E = -\mathcal{M}_i \\ B = -\mathcal{M}_r \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} Q = 0 \\ U = \delta(\hat{n} - \hat{n}_j) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.32a)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q = -\mathcal{M}_r \\ U = -\mathcal{M}_i \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} E = \delta(\hat{n} - \hat{n}_j) \\ B = 0 \end{bmatrix} ; \quad \begin{bmatrix} Q = +\mathcal{M}_i \\ U = -\mathcal{M}_r \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} E = 0 \\ B = \delta(\hat{n} - \hat{n}_j) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.32b)$$

The kernels \mathcal{D} & \mathcal{I} vary significantly as a function of galactic latitude of the central pixel as seen in the last four columns of Fig. 4. These kernels show a two fold symmetry in the vicinity of the poles and this arises due to Euler angle $\gamma \approx 0$ here and therefore $e^{i2(\alpha \pm \gamma)} \approx e^{i2\alpha}$. Note that in this region, the azimuthal profile of the real and imaginary part of these kernels is similar to \mathcal{M}_r and \mathcal{M}_i respectively. This also explains why the imaginary part of the band limited delta function \mathcal{I} contributes just as much as the real part in these regions. On moving to lower latitudes, \mathcal{D} quickly transitions to having a four fold symmetry while \mathcal{I} transitions




Figure 5: The figure depicts the radial part of the convolution kernels. These radial function have been evaluated with the band limit fixed at $\ell \in [2, 24]$. The vertical dashed line marks the approximate Healpix pixel size of a NSIDE=8, which is the lowest resolution that allows access to $\ell_{\max} = 24$.

to being dominated by the real part and behaves more like the conventional delta function. This transition can be most easily understood in the flat sky limit where $\gamma = -\alpha$ which leads to the resultant 4 fold symmetry seen for \mathcal{D} owing to $e^{i2(\alpha-\gamma)} = e^{i4\alpha}$ and \mathcal{I} being dominated by the real part owing to $e^{-i2(\alpha+\gamma)} = 1 + i0$. Since the flat sky approximation has most validity in the proximity of the equator these limiting tendencies of the respective kernels are seen in the bottom row of Fig. 4 which depict the kernels evaluated at the equator $b = 0^\circ$. The middle two row depict the kernels evaluated at a latitudes of $b = 87^\circ$ & 80° and serve to indicate the rate of this transition. These kernels are invariant under changes in longitude of the central pixel, the latitude being held fixed, as one may have expected.

4.7 Quantifying the non-locality of E & B modes

Fig. ?? and the surrounding discussion provides a quantative understanding of the azimuthal dependence of various kernels, however it is difficult to assess the radial nature of these kernels from these figures. The radial part determines the non-locality of the respective operators and encodes all the multipole dependence. We compute the radial kernels $\mathcal{M}f$, $\mathcal{D}f$ & $\mathcal{I}f$ by evaluating the respective multipole sums in Eq. (4.10b) and Eq. (4.26) in the band limit $\ell \in [2, 192]$ and the resultant functions are depicted in Fig. 5. Recall that $\mathcal{M}f$ is the radial part of the kernel that translates the Stokes parameters Q & U to scalars E & B and vice versa. Note that $\mathcal{M}f$ has a vanishing contribution from the location of the central pixel ($\beta \rightarrow 0$) as seen in Fig. 5 and one can show that that $\mathcal{M}f(\beta = \pi) = 0$. The coordinate




Figure 6: The top panel depicts the radial function $\mathcal{M}f(\beta, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max})$ while the bottom left and right panels show the radial functions $\mathcal{I}f(\beta, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max})$ & $\mathcal{D}f(\beta, \ell_{\min}, \ell_{\max})$ respectively, for fixed $\ell_{\min} = 2$ and different ℓ_{\max} as indicated by their legends. All the curves have been normalized such that the maximum of the curve is set to unity. The horizontal solid black line marks the location where the amplitude of the kernel falls below 1% of its maximum. The slanted dashed black lines indicate a power law fit (by eye) to the envelope of the radial functions. While the envelopes for function $\mathcal{M}f(\beta)$ & $\mathcal{I}f(\beta)$ are fit well by the power law $\propto \beta^{-1.5}$, the envelope for the function $\mathcal{D}f(\beta)$ is seen to have a slightly steeper slope $\propto \beta^{-1.65}$.

dependence of the Stokes parameters cannot be integrated out in the vicinity of the locations $\beta = 0, \pi$ due to the fact that the azimuthal angles become ill defined here therefore this nature of $\mathcal{M}f$ is necessary to ensure that the derived fields behave as scalars. Similarly while deriving the Stoke field from the scalars $E & B$ this nature of $\mathcal{M}f$ is necessary to ensure that the necessary coordinate dependencies are integrated in. $\mathcal{D}f$ shows a similar behaviour, it has a vanishing contribution in the vicinity of the central pixel and dominantly contributes in regions which are approximately at least 1 pixel distance away from the central pixel as seen in Fig. 5. χf is the radial part of the band limited delta function \mathcal{I} and expectedly contributes the most at the location of the central pixel.

The band limit dependence: It is clear from previous discussions that the scalar field $E & B$ constructed at a location depends on the Stokes field in the surrounding regions. We further quantify this non-locality by studying the radial extent of the kernels and its dependence on the maximum multipole accessible for analysis. To carry out this study we evaluate the radial functions for different values of ℓ_{\max} , while keeping the lowest multipole fixed at $\ell_{\min} = 2$.

The resultant set of radial function are depicted in Fig. 6, where all the function have been normalized such that their global maxima is set to unity. We note that on increasing ℓ_{\max} the radial kernels shift left, attaining their global maxima at progressively small angular distance from the central pixel. The amplitude of these radial function scales up as $\propto \ell_{\max}^2$. At intermediate values of β , the envelope of the radial functions is fit well by a power law $\propto \beta^{-n}$, the details of this fit can be seen in Fig. 6. We observe that the radial functions computed by evaluating the multipole sums to different maximum multipoles are self similar and follow an interesting telescoping and scaling property,

$${}_r f(\beta, 2, \ell_{\max}) \approx \left[\frac{\ell_{\max}}{\ell'_{\max}} \right]^2 {}_r f(\beta' = \frac{\ell_{\max}}{\ell'_{\max}} \beta, 2, \ell'_{\max}),$$

where ${}_r f$ denotes all the different radial functions.

It is useful to define a characteristic radius of the region from which the scalar fields evaluated at a point get most of their contribution from. Since the primarily interest is in the non-locality of the scalar modes $E & B$ we define the abscissa at which the function $\mathcal{M}f(\beta, \ell_{\min} = 2, \ell_{\max})$ transits to being monotonously below 1% of the maxima of the function as the non-locality parameter: β_o . For $\ell_{\max} = 24$, the maximum multipole accessible on a Nside=8 Healpix map, the non-locality parameter $\beta_0 = 180^\circ$ as the radial function never falls monotonously below 1% of its global maxima. Using this fact and the self similar property of the radial functions, we define the following empirical relation: $\beta_o = \min(180, 180 \frac{24}{\ell_{\max}})$, as a means of estimating the non-locality parameter given the maximum multipole ℓ_{\max} accessible for analysis.

5 Generalized operators

In this section we present a systematic method to generalize the real space operators that translate been Q/U and E/B description of CMB polarization. The azimuthal part of the real space kernels is constrained to be of the form $e^{-i2\alpha}$. The shape of the radial part of the operator is determined by the basis functions and varies as a function of the multipoles included in the summation. It is here that we may potentially choose alternate forms for the radial functions to suit certain kind of analysis.

We can systematically generalize the real space operator by introducing the following harmonic space operator,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \begin{bmatrix} g_\ell^E & 0 \\ 0 & g_\ell^B \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.1)$$

where the functions g_ℓ^E and g_ℓ^B represent the harmonic representation of the modified radial functions and can in the most general case be chosen to be different for E and B modes. To simplify the discussion and without loosing generality we proceed by setting $g_\ell^E = g_\ell^B = g_\ell$. Once we have made a choice for this harmonic function, we can define the real space operator \bar{O}' which translates Stokes Q & U to scalars E & B and the inverse operator \bar{O}'^{-1} in the following manner,

$$\bar{O}' = {}_0\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{T}^{-1} * \tilde{\mathcal{G}} * {}_2\mathcal{Y}^\dagger * \tilde{T}, \quad (5.2a)$$

$$\bar{O}'^{-1} = \tilde{T}^{-1} * {}_2\mathcal{Y} * \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} * \tilde{T} * {}_0\mathcal{Y}^\dagger \quad (5.2b)$$

where we have used the primed notation to distinguish these generalized operators from the default operators defined in Sec. 4.3 and Sec. 4.4. If we require both the forward and inverse operators to be well defined, then we are constrained in choosing $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ such that it has a valid inverse. As we will see this will be an important criteria to recover the standard CMB spectra. The radial parts of this generalized operator and its inverse are given by the following expressions,

$$G_{QU \rightarrow EB}(\beta) = G(\beta) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{\ell_{\max}} g_\ell \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!}} P_\ell^2(\cos \beta) \quad (5.3a)$$

$$G_{EB \rightarrow QU}(\beta) = G^{-1}(\beta) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{\ell_{\max}} g_\ell^{-1} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!}} P_\ell^2(\cos \beta), \quad (5.3b)$$

where g_ℓ is the harmonic space function used in defining $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Given this general definition for the radial function $G(\beta)$, note that the default radial function ${}_M f$ is just a special case resulting from the choice $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbb{1}$ ($g_\ell = 1$). Note that for this choice of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ the inverse is trivial $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and therefore $G^{-1}(\beta) = G(\beta)$.

While defining these generalized operators, it seems more natural to choose the real space function $G(\beta)$ as compared to choosing the multipole function g_ℓ . Using the orthogonality property of associated Legendre polynomials it can be shown that the harmonic function g_ℓ is given by the following integral over the radial function $G(\beta)$,

$$g_\ell = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!}} \int_0^\pi G(\beta) P_\ell^2(\cos \beta) d\cos \beta. \quad (5.4)$$

Here it is important to note that the radial function $G(\beta)$ has to be necessarily chosen such that it vanishes at $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = \pi$ in order to ensure that the resultant field has the required spin properties.

An arbitrary $G(\beta)$ for which $g_\ell \neq 1$ can be equivalently thought in terms of the standard E and B mode fields being convolved with some effective circularly symmetric instrument beam. The radial profile of this effective beam is given by,

$$B(\beta) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\ell_{\max}} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} g_\ell P_\ell^0(\cos \beta). \quad (5.5)$$

Note that in contrast to the radial function $G(\beta)$ an instrumental beam function appropriately normalized has the property $B(\beta) \rightarrow 1$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0$. Though the real space behavior of these two function $G(\beta)$ and $B(\beta)$ has important differences, in harmonic space they play identical roles.

Therefore it is possible to interpret the beam harmonic coefficients as those representing some modified radial kernel. Fig. 7(ii) depicts the harmonic functions $g_\ell(b_\ell)$ for the respective radial kernel and beams. The modified radial kernel resulting from Gaussian beams with $\text{fwhm} = 15' \& 12'$ are depicted in Fig. 7(i) as blue and orange curves respectively. Note that instruments beams tend to increase the non-locality parameter β_0 , indicated by the shifting right of the maxima of the respective kernels, as one may have expected. The red curve depicts a modified radial kernel which by construction has a very small β_0 . Similarly it is possible to interpret the harmonic representation g_ℓ of the radial function $G(\beta)$ as those corresponding to some instrument beam function. The beam function corresponding to the default radial kernel ($g_\ell = 1$) is merely a band limited representation of the delta function depicted by the green curve Fig. 7(iii), while the red curve depicts the same for the modified radial kernel.

5.1 Relation to the spin raising($\bar{\partial}^2$)/lowering($\bar{\partial}^2$) operations

Recall that's on operating twice with the spin lowering operator on the Stokes charge $-_2X$ results in filtered version of the E/B mode maps as in Eq. (3.3). Now note that we can construct similarly filtered E/B mode maps by choosing the harmonic space function to be $g_\ell = \sqrt{\frac{(\ell+2)!}{(\ell-2)!}}$. It can be shown that real space operator takes the following form,

$$[\mathcal{E} + i\mathcal{B}](\hat{n}_e) = -\Delta\Omega \sum_{q=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} \left\{ \left[\sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^{\ell_{\max}} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell^2(\beta_{qe}) \right] e^{-i2\alpha_{eq}} {}_2X(\hat{n}_q) \right\}. \quad (5.6)$$

Therefore the band limited version of the spin lowering operations is given by,

$$\bar{\partial}^2 \equiv \Delta\Omega \sum_{q=1}^{N_{\text{pix}}} \left[\sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^{\ell_{\max}} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell^2(\beta_{qe}) \right] e^{-i2\alpha_{eq}} \quad (5.7)$$

The band limited version of the spin raising operations is given by merely by the conjugate $(\bar{\partial}^2)^*$.

5.2 Recovering the default E and B mode spectra

The generalized convolution kernels defined in the previous section, when operated on the Stokes vector returns some scalar E' and B' mode maps,

$$\bar{S}' = \bar{O}' * \bar{P} \quad (5.8)$$

which as we show are mere filtered version of he standard E and B modes maps. The harmonic representation g_ℓ of the radial function $G(\beta)$ can be simply interpreted as the harmonic coefficients of some azimuthally symmetric beam $B(\beta) = \sum_\ell \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} g_\ell P_\ell^0(\cos \beta)$. The spectra of the scalar fields E' and B' derived using the real space operators constructed using




Figure 7: *Left:* The vertical dashed gray line depicts the approximate pixel size $\Delta_{\text{pix}} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{N_{\text{pix}}}}$ of a $N_{\text{side}}=512$ Healpix map. The green line depicts the default radial kernel $f(\beta)$ defined in Eq. (4.10). The blue and orange lines depict the modified radial function resulting the beam harmonics b_ℓ corresponding to Gaussian beams with $\text{fwhm}=15$ & 12 arcminutes respectively. The red curve depicts an example modified radial function: $G(\beta) = N\beta^n \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\beta-\beta_0}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)^s\right]$ with parameters set to the following values [$n = 1$; $\beta_0 = 0$; $\sigma = 2\Delta_{\text{pix}}$; $s = 1.5$]. The black dashed curve depicts the band limited reconstruction of the modified radial function $G(\beta)$. We intentionally have plotted $\beta G(\beta)$ to clearly depict the high β behavior of these functions. *Middle:* This figure depicts the harmonic representation of the respective radial functions as indicated by the legend. The dashed curves of the corresponding color depict the inverse of the harmonic functions. *Right:* This figure depicts the beam function $B(\beta)$ evaluated from interpreting the respective harmonic functions as those corresponding to an instrument beam.

an arbitrary radial function $G(\beta)$ are related to the spectra of the standard E and B fields

via the following relation,

$$C_\ell^{EE, BB, EB} = C_\ell^{E'E', B'B', E'B'} / g_\ell^2, \quad (5.9a)$$

$$C_\ell^{TE, TB} = C_\ell^{TE', TB'} / g_\ell, \quad (5.9b)$$

where C_ℓ denotes the angular power spectra and T refers to the temperature anisotropy map. Therefore the standard E and B mode spectra can be recovered from the modified fields E' and B' and their accurate recovery only relies on the inverse of the harmonic functions $1/g_\ell$ being well behaved, which can be ensured by making a suitable choice for the radial function $G(\beta)$. Examples of various forms of $G(\beta)$, its harmonic representation g_ℓ (and its inverse $1/g_\ell$) and the corresponding beam $B(\beta)$ are depicted in Fig. 7.

6 Discussion

A similar equation for real space E & B operators was derived in [1], however those results were derived for the flat sky case and did not explicitly derive the radial kernel. \Rightarrow A discussion on this should be in the conclusions.

In this article we have cast the standard CMB polarization analysis operations in a vector matrix notation. Using this concise notation we derive the real space operators that translate the Stokes vector \bar{P} to the vector of scalars \bar{S} and vice versa. We explicitly demonstrated that this real space operation can be simply interpreted as a convolution over the complex field $[Q - iU]$ (or $[E + iB]$) with an effective complex beam which is fully expressed in terms of the $Y_{\ell 2}$ spherical harmonic functions. We also use this vector matrix notation to derive real space operators which allow the direct decomposition of the full Stokes vector \bar{P} into the vector \bar{P}_E and \bar{P}_B that correspond to the respective scalar modes.

Given the effective beam interpretation of these real space operators we derive the harmonic coefficients of these effective beams at the north galactic pole. Using these harmonic coefficients we provide a prescription for computing the convolution kernels at any position on the sphere using the standard Healpix built in functions. The procedure is equivalent to parallel transporting the beam at the north pole to any desired location on the sphere. We implement the prescription to compute the kernel at different location on the sphere and provide simple explanations in terms of Euler angles for the observed variations.

These real space convolution kernels provide a spatially intuitive way of understanding the construction of the scalar modes. We explicitly show that the kernels separates into an band limit independent azimuthal operation around any given direction which is primarily responsible for requisite decomposition, while the band limit dependent radial weights can be interpreted as some isotropic smoothing operation. These radial weights primarily determine the non-local dependence of the construction of the respective fields at any location on the Stoke field. We define the parameter β_0 as a means to characterize the non-locality and show that β_0 scales $\propto \ell_{\max}^{-1}$. We show that this non-locality parameter also characterized the non-locality of the $\bar{O}_{E/B}$ operators.

Finally we present the generalized real space operators \bar{O}' , which are derived by allowing the radial function to vary from its default form. We derive constraints on the modifications to these radial function by demanding the inverse operator to be well defined. We argue that these modifications to the radial kernel can be interpreted as a some smoothing smoothing operation on the scalar fields with a circularly symmetric instrument beam. We also show that as long as these radial function are invertible, the standard spectra can always

be recovered from these modified E' & B' maps. The main advantage of modifying these radial function is the ability to generate more locally defined E and B mode maps. This could potentially be useful in reducing foreground contamination on large angular scales in a full sky E/B analysis. Also defining more locally constructed scalar fields E & B can be used to circumvent the power leakage nuisance. We explore and demonstrate the working of these ideas in the next paper in this series.

7 Appendix

7.1 Product of spin spherical harmonics

The spin spherical harmonics are related to the Wigner D functions via the following relations,

$$D_{-sm}^{\ell}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1}} {}_s Y_{\ell m}(\beta, \alpha) e^{-is\gamma}, \quad (7.1)$$

where α, β & γ can be thought of as Euler angles for some rotation.

The product of two different spherical harmonic functions can be expressed in terms of the Wigner D functions and simplified using their identities. In particular we are interested in products of spherical harmonic function of the following kind,

$$\sum_m {}_{s_1} Y_{\ell m}(\theta_e, \phi_e) {}_{s_2} Y_{\ell m}^*(\theta_q, \phi_q) = \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \sum_m D_{-s_1 m}^{\ell}(\phi_e, \theta_e, 0) D_{-s_2 m}^{*\ell}(\phi_q, \theta_q, 0), \quad (7.2a)$$

$$= \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \sum_m D_{-s_1 m}^{\ell}(\phi_e, \theta_e, 0) D_{m-s_2}^{\ell}(0, -\theta_q, -\phi_q), \quad (7.2b)$$

$$= \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} D_{-s_1-s_2}^{\ell}(\alpha_{qe}, \beta_{qe}, \gamma_{qe}), \quad (7.2c)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} {}_{s_1} Y_{\ell-s_2}(\beta_{qe}, \alpha_{qe}) e^{-is_1 \gamma_{qe}} \quad (7.2d)$$

where we have used some standard identities of the Wigner D functions to transition between then equations [4]. Note that the Euler angles $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (0, -\theta_q, -\phi_q)$ correspond to a rotation that aligns the local cartesian coordinate at \hat{n}_q with that at the pole and the Euler angles $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\phi_e, \theta_e, 0)$ correspond to rotations that align the local cartesian coordinates at the pole with those at the location \hat{n}_e . Hence the net rotation operation is that of aligning the local cartesian coordinates at location \hat{n}_q with those at location \hat{n}_e and therefore the final results are expressed in terms of Euler angles: $(\alpha_{qe}, \beta_{qe}, \gamma_{qe})$.

Since the following equation holds true,

$$\sum_m {}_{s_1} Y_{\ell m}(\theta_e, \phi_e) {}_{s_2} Y_{\ell m}^*(\theta_q, \phi_q) = \sum_m {}_{-s_1} Y_{\ell m}^*(\theta_e, \phi_e) {}_{-s_2} Y_{\ell m}(\theta_q, \phi_q), \quad (7.3)$$

this sum over product of spin spherical harmonic functions can be equally expressed in terms of the Euler angles corresponding to the inverse rotations. Using the same algebra as given above, it is possible to show that

7.2 Relation between the real space operators and the spin raising/lowering operators

References

- [1] M. Zaldarriaga, *The nature of the E-B decomposition of CMB polarization*, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (jun, 2001) 103001, [[0106174](#)].

- [2] M. Zaldarriaga and U. Seljak, *All-sky analysis of polarization in the microwave background*, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (feb, 1997) 1830–1840, [[astro-ph/9609170](#)].
- [3] J. N. Goldberg, A. J. Macfarlane, E. T. Newman, F. Rohrlich and E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Spin-s Spherical Harmonics and δ* , *J. Math. Phys.* **8** (nov, 1967) 2155–2161.
- [4] D. A. Varshalovich, A. N. Moskalev and V. K. Khersonskii, *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum* (World Scientific). World Scientific, 1988.