Out of 42	Project Title - Example	Group X
Technical Part – 10 (individual for every presenter)	8 could do a little more extensive performance evaluation. Also, you needed to provide better motivation for your problem, list the related work, and report your goals well ahead for the rest of the presentation so that what you are trying to accomplish is clear right away.	
Amount of work done – 10 (individual for every presenter)	7 could do a little more extensive performance evaluation, a little more work on the analytical part of X and Y as well. Your graphs were not very representative, so you needed more experiment, results, but also you should organize them well into graphs and tables so that everyone can understand the validity of your results, have a clear view of the comparative performance evaluation.	
Novelty- Creativity- Interest Elicited – 6 (individual for every presenter)	5 I would have liked to see your personal mark and creativity more. This project is well known and overworked in the area of Z and W, but you could have added some piece that is yours, it can even be your insights, findings, interpretation, special strategies, obstacles encountered and how to go around them. Also, ask yourselves: was your presentation and work	

	powerful enough to elicit the interest of your colleagues? Was the work you did adequate and the way it was presented sufficient to become a great learning experience and motivation for your colleagues?	
Presentation: Content, Coherence Organization - 6	5 – Needed a bit more work, the flow was not very coherent, some of the slides had information put together and laid out in a bit sloppy way. There were a few concepts that required better clarifications.	
Individual Speaker Presentation Skills and Ability to engage the audience: 6	6: he was eloquent presenter, well prepared, demonstrated he had a good command of the project and all the components required to put it together. Answered all questions asked. 3. Was not so eloquent, did not seem to be very well prepared, the pace of the presentation and the tone of the voice did not help the audience remain focused. Also, the presenter did not have eye contact, did not succeed in engaging the audience, examples and clarifications were not adequate or appropriate and audience lost interest.	
Presentation Duration (15-20 minutes): 4	2: Went over time for 10 minutes.	