

# MA-110 Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Rekha Santhanam



Department of Mathematics  
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay  
Powai, Mumbai - 76

February 15, 2024  
Lecture 18 D3

# Summary: Eigenvalues and Characteristic Polynomial

Let  $A$  be  $n \times n$ .

- 1 The *characteristic polynomial* of  $A$  is  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  (of degree  $n$ ) and its roots are the *eigenvalues* of  $A$ .
- 2 For each eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , the associated *eigenspace* is  $N(A - \lambda I)$ . To find it, solve  $(A - \lambda I)v = 0$ . Any non-zero vector in  $N(A - \lambda I)$  is an *eigenvector* associated to  $\lambda$ .
- 3 If  $A$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , then its eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  with associated eigenvectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  respectively.
- 4 Write  $\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda)$  and expand.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Trace of } A &= a_{11} + \cdots + a_{nn} \quad (\text{sum of diagonal entries}) \\ &= \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n\end{aligned}$$

$$\det(A) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$$

**Thus:** If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are real numbers, then  $\text{Tr}(A) = \text{sum of eigenvalues}$ , and  $\det(A) = \text{product of eigenvalues}$ .

# Similarity and Eigenvalues

**Defn.** The  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are *similar*, if there exists an invertible matrix  $P$  such that  $P^{-1}AP = B$ .

**Observe:** If  $B = P^{-1}AP$ , then (i)  $\det(A) = \det(B)$ , and (ii)  $B^n = P^{-1}A^nP$  for each  $n$ .

**Theorem:** If  $A$  and  $B$  are similar, then they have the same characteristic polynomial. In particular, they have the same eigenvalues,  $\det(A) = \det(B)$  and  $\text{Trace}(A) = \text{Trace}(B)$ .

*Proof.* Given:  $B = P^{-1}AP$ . prove:  $\det(A - \lambda I) = \det(B - \lambda I)$ .

**Note:** It is enough to prove that  $A - \lambda I$  and  $B - \lambda I$  are similar! Indeed,  $B - \lambda I = P^{-1}AP - \lambda P^{-1}P$   
$$= P^{-1}(A - \lambda I)P.$$
 □

Write  $\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda)$ . Compare constant coeff.:  $\det(A) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n = \det(B)$ ; Compare coeff. of  $\lambda^{n-1}$ :

Sum of diagonal entries  $= a_{11} + \cdots + a_{nn} = \text{Trace of } A = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n = \text{Trace of } B$ .

**Ques:** How are eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $B$  related?

# Diagonalizability: Introduction

**Note:** Finding roots of characteristic polynomials (and hence eigenvalues) is difficult in general.

For  $n \geq 5$ , no formula exists for roots. (Abel, Galois)

For  $n = 3, 4$ , formulae for root exist, but not easy to use.

**Defn.** An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is **diagonalizable** if  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$ , i.e., there is an invertible matrix  $P$  and a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$  such that  $P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$ .

## Importance of Diagonalizability:

Let the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  be diagonalizable, i.e.,  $P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$ , where  $P$  is invertible and  $\Lambda$  is diagonal. If this happens,

- The eigenvalues of  $A$  are the diagonal entries of  $\Lambda$ ,
- $\det(A)$  is the product of the diagonal entries of  $\Lambda$ , and
- $\text{Trace}(A) = \text{sum of the diagonal entries of } \Lambda$ .
- **Other Information:** e.g., what is  $\text{Trace}(A^n)$ ?

# Diagonalization: Example

**Example:**  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  is triangular.

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (1 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda).$$

Eigenvalues:  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 3$ .

**Note:** If  $A$  is triangular, its eigenvalues are on the diagonal

Eigenvectors:  $v_1 = e_1$ ,  $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T$ ,  $v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -7 & -4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^T$ .

(**How?**) Further,  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Hence

$P = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible, and

$AP = \begin{pmatrix} Av_1 & Av_2 & Av_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & 2v_2 & 3v_3 \end{pmatrix} = P\Lambda$ , where

$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2 & \\ & & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus  $P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$ , i.e.,  $A$  is diagonalizable.

**Example:** If  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ , and  $T(v) = Av$ , then

$[T]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}} = \_\_\_\_$ .

# Eigenvalue Decomposition (EVD)

**Question:** What is the advantage of a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors?

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with  $n$  eigenvectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , associated to eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . If  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the matrix  $P = (v_1 \ \cdots \ v_n)$  is invertible.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Moreover, } AP &= A(v_1 \ \cdots \ v_n) = (Av_1 \ \cdots \ Av_n) \\ &= (\lambda_1 v_1 \ \cdots \ \lambda_n v_n) = P\Lambda, \text{ where } \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$ , i.e.,  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix.

**Thus:** Eigenvectors diagonalize a matrix

**Eigenvalue Decomposition (EVD):** Let  $A$  be diagonalizable. With notation as above, we have  $A = P\Lambda P^{-1}$ .

This is called as the **eigenvalue decomposition (EVD)** of  $A$ .

# Diagonalizability and Eigenvectors

**Theorem**  $A$  is diagonalizable  $\Leftrightarrow A$  has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors. In particular,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ): Done! To prove ( $\Rightarrow$ ), assume  $P = (v_1 \ \cdots \ v_n)$  is an invertible matrix such that  $P^{-1}AP = \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then  $AP = P\Lambda$ , i.e.  $(Av_1 \ \dots \ Av_n) = (\lambda_1 v_1 \ \dots \ \lambda_n v_n)$ . Therefore  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are eigenvectors of  $A$ . They are linearly independent since  $P$  is invertible. □

**Question:** Is every matrix is diagonalizable? **A:** No.

**Examples:**  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  no eigenvalues (over  $\mathbb{R}$ )!

$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  not enough eigenvectors!

# When is $A$ Diagonalizable?

**Ques:** When does  $A$  have  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors?

- If  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  are eigenvectors of  $A$  associated to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ , then  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  are linearly independent.

*Proof.* Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  are linearly dependent. Choose a linear relation involving minimum number of  $v_i$ 's, say

$$(1) \quad a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_t v_t = 0. \quad (1 < t \leq r, t \text{ is minimal, } a_i \neq 0)$$

Apply  $A$  to get 
$$a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + a_t \lambda_t v_t = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda_1(1) - (2) \text{ gives } a_2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)v_2 + \dots + a_t(\lambda_1 - \lambda_t)v_t = 0,$$

which contradicts the minimality of  $t$ . □

- If  $A$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, then  $A$  is diagonalizable.

*Proof.* If  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are eigenvectors associated to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , then  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is linearly independent.

Then  $P = (v_1 \ \dots \ v_n)$  is invertible, and  $P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$  as seen earlier. Hence  $A$  is diagonalizable. □



## Reading Slide - Eigenvalues of $AB$ and $A + B$

- If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ ,  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$ , is  $\lambda\mu$  an eigenvalue of  $AB$ ?

**False Proof.**  $ABx = A(\mu x) = \mu(Ax) = \lambda\mu x.$

This is false since  $A$  and  $B$  may not have same eigenvector  $x$ .

- **Example:**  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$

The eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $B$  are 0,0 and that of  $AB$  are 1,0.

- Eigenvalues of  $A + B$  are NOT  $\lambda + \mu$ .

In above example,  $A + B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  has eigenvalues 1, -1.

- If  $A$  and  $B$  have **same eigenvectors** associated to  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , then  $\lambda\mu$  and  $\lambda + \mu$  are eigenvalues of  $AB$  and  $A + B$  respectively.

**Question:** When do  $A$  and  $B$  have the same eigenvectors?