$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{MODERN} \; \mathbf{ROBOTICS} \\ \mathbf{MECHANICS}, \; \mathbf{PLANNING}, \; \mathbf{AND} \; \mathbf{CONTROL} \end{array}$

Exercise Solutions

Contents

| Chapter 2 Solutions. | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 5 |
|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| Chapter 3 Solutions. | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | 27 |
| Chapter 4 Solutions. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | 59 |
| Chapter 5 Solutions. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | 73 |
| Chapter 6 Solutions. | | | | | | • | | | | | • | | | • | | | | | | | | • | | | | 99 |
| Chapter 7 Solutions. | | • | • | • | • | | | | | | | • | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | 107 |
| Chapter 8 Solutions. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | 121 |
| Chapter 9 Solutions. | | • | • | • | • | | | | | | | • | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | 129 |
| Chapter 10 Solutions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | 135 |
| Chapter 11 Solutions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | 139 |
| Chapter 12 Solutions | | | • | | • | | | • | • | | • | • | | | | | | • | | | | | | | • | 143 |
| Cl4 19 C-l4! | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 20 |

Chapter 2 Solutions

Exercise 2.1.

The first point placed has n degrees of freedom, the next one has one constraint so n-1 degrees of freedom, the next has two constraints, etc. So $n+(n-1)+(n-2)+\ldots 1=n(n+1)/2$. (Get this by summing the outermost pair in the sequence, n+1=n+1, then the pair (n-1)+2=n+1, etc., and observe that there are n/2 such pairs.) n of these freedoms are the linear freedoms of placing the first point; the other n(n-1)/2 are rotational freedoms. After choosing the first point, the next point is on the sphere S^{n-1} , the next is on S^{n-2} , etc., so the topology of the space is $\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1} \times S^{n-2} \times \ldots \times S^1$.

Exercise 2.2.

- (a) The shoulder is a spherical joint (four dof), the elbow has one dof, the wrist has two dof, and between the elbow and the wrist there is one more dof (rotation of the forearm about the axis of the forearm). Therefore the arm has seven dof.
- (b) Placing the palm at a fixed position and orientation in space puts six constraints on the arm (the six dof of a rigid body). Keeping the center of the shoulder joint stationary, there is only one dof left: the arc of a circle on which the tip of the elbow can lie. This is one dof, so the arm must have started with seven dof before six constraints were placed on it.

Exercise 2.3.

Treat the shoulder as a spherical joint (three dof) between the torso and the upper arm bone (humerus), and assume the carpal bones just beyond the wrist joint form a rigid body. Then the closed-chain linkage of the forearm between the humerus and the carpal bones, which includes only the radius and the ulna as links, must have four dof, since our solution in the previous exercise tells us that the arm has seven dof.

We know that each of the radius and the ulna must have at least one joint at the proximal (closer to the torso) and distal (closer to the hand) ends of forearm, so there are at least four joints between the humerus and carpal bones. There could be as many as six: three at the elbow (humeroradial, humeroulnar, and proximal radioulnar) and three at the wrist (radiocarpal, ulnocarpal, and distal radioulnar). Without knowing more about the anatomy of the arm, we cannot say for sure.

If we assume the maximum number of joints, six, in the forearm closed chain, then the arm has J=7 joints (the three-dof S joint at the shoulder and the six forearm joints mentioned above) and N=5 links (the torso "ground," the humerus, the ulna, the radius, and the carpal bones). By Grübler's formula,

$$7 = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i=1}^{7} f_i = -18 + \text{freedoms of the six forearm joints.}$$

Therefore the six forearm joints must have a total of 25 freedoms. These joints, averaging more than four freedoms each, are not standard joints we have studied. They are stabilized by a complex of ligaments joining the bones.

If we assume the minimum number of joints, four, in the forearm closed chain, then the arm has J=5 joints and N=5 links. By Grübler's formula,

$$7 = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i=1}^{5} f_i = -6 + 3 + \text{freedoms of the four forearm joints.}$$

Therefore there must be a total of 10 freedoms at the four forearm joints. These could potentially be joints we have studied, such as two universal joints at the elbow (four dof) and two spherical joints at the wrist (six dof).

The problem is to show correct general reasoning, not to demonstrate a detailed understanding of arm anatomy!

Exercise 2.4.

Once the hands firmly grip the steering wheel, each arm has n-6 dof if the wheel is stationary. The mobility

of the wheel adds one dof, though, so the total number of degrees of freedom is 2n-11.

Exercise 2.5.

$$N = 6 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 7$$
 $J = 5 \text{ (R joints)} + 2 \text{ (S joints)} = 7$

$$\sum f_i = 5 \times 1 + 2 \times 3 = 11.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 5.$$

Exercise 2.6.

- (a) The wheeled mobile base can be regarded as a rolling coin with C-space $\mathbb{R}^2 \times T^2$. The C-space of a 6R robot arm can be written $S^1 \times S^1 \times$
- (b) For this problem, the last link of the 6R robot can be regarded as connected to ground by a revolute joint:

$$N = 6 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 7$$
 $J = 7 \text{ (R joints)}$

$$\sum f_i = 7 \times 1 = 7.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 1.$$

(c) The second identical 6R robot is grasping the last link (i.e., the refrigerator door) of the original 6R robot. In this case,

$$N=11 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 12$$

 $J=13 \text{ (R joints)}$
 $\sum f_i=13 \times 1=13.$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 1.$$

Exercise 2.7.

(a)

$$N = 6 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (object)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 8$$

$$J = 3 \text{ (R joints)} + 6 \text{ (S joints)} = 9$$

$$\sum f_i = 3 \times 1 + 6 \times 3 = 21.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 9.$$

(b) Consider open chain arms as 7-dof joint connecting object and ground. Then,

$$N = 1 \text{ (object)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 2$$
 $J = n \text{ (open chain arm)}$

$$\sum f_i = n \times 7 = 7n.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = (n + 6).$$

(c) Each of the n 7-dof open chains is replaced by the 6-dof open chains. So,

$$N = 1 \text{ (object)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 2$$
 $J = n \text{ (open chain arm)}$

$$\sum f_i = n \times 6 = 6n.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 6.$$

Exercise 2.8.

Set the degrees of freedom of each open chain leg to α . Then

$$N = 1 \text{ (object)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 2$$

 $J = n \text{ (open chain arms)}$
 $\sum f_i = n \times \alpha = \alpha n.$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 6 + (\alpha - 6)n = 6.$$

Therefore, the total degrees of freedom is six regardless of the number of open chain legs.

Exercise 2.9.

(a) Consider the combination of revolute (R) and prismatic (P) joint as a 2-dof cylindrical (C) joint. Then

$$N = 7 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 8$$

 $J = 7 \text{ (R joints)} + 1 \text{ (P joints)} + 2 \text{ (C joints)} = 10$
 $\sum f_i = 7 \times 1 + 1 \times 1 + 2 \times 2 = 12.$

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i = 3.$$

(b) Considering all the R and P joints separately,

$$N = 13 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 14$$
 $J = 16 \text{ (R joints)} + 2 \text{ (P joints)} = 18$
 $\sum f_i = 16 \times 1 + 2 \times 1 = 18.$

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 3.$$

(c) A fork joint is kinematically equivalent to a C joint, so that

$$N = 7 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 8$$

 $J = 6 \text{ (R joints)} + 2 \text{ (P joints)} + 1 \text{ (C joint)} = 9$
 $\sum f_i = 6 \times 1 + 2 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 = 10.$

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 4.$$

(d)

$$N = 5 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 6$$

$$J = 6 \text{ (R joints)} + 1 \text{ (P joints)} = 7$$

$$\sum f_i = 6 \times 1 + 1 \times 1 = 7.$$

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 1.$$

(e)

$$N = 13 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 14$$
 $J = 14 \text{ (R joints)} + 4 \text{ (P joints)} = 18$
 $\sum f_i = 14 \times 1 + 4 \times 1 = 18.$

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 3.$$

(f)

$$N = 6 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 7$$
 $J = 8 \text{ (R joints)} + 1 \text{ (P joints)} = 9$

$$\sum f_i = 8 \times 1 + 1 \times 1 = 9.$$

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 0.$$

Exercise 2.10.

(a)

$$N = 5 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 6$$

 $J = 7 \text{ (R joints)}$
 $\sum f_i = 7 \times 1 = 7.$

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 1.$$

(b)

$$N = 5 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 6$$

 $J = 2 \text{ (R joints)} + 4 \text{ (P joints)} = 6$
 $\sum f_i = 2 \times 1 + 4 \times 1 = 6.$

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 3.$$

(c)

$$N=2$$
 (sliding links) + 11 (rod links) + 1 (ground) = 14
 $J=2$ (P joints) + 10 (R joints) + 6 (overlapping R joints) = 18
 $\sum f_i = 2 \times 1 + 10 \times 1 + 6 \times 1 = 18$.

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 3.$$

(d)

$$N=20 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 21$$

 $J=9 \text{ (P joints)} + 8 \text{ (R joints)} + 10 \text{ (overlapping R joints)} = 27$
 $\sum f_i = 9 \times 1 + 8 \times 1 + 10 \times 1 = 27.$

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 6.$$

Exercise 2.11.

(a)

$$N = 5 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 6$$

 $J = 6 \text{ (U joints)}$
 $\sum f_i = 6 \times 2 = 12.$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 6.$$

(b)

$$N = 6 \text{ (rods)} + 1 \text{ (plate)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 8$$
 $J = 3 \text{ (P joints)} + 3 \text{ (U joints)} + 3 \text{ (S joints)} = 9$
 $\sum f_i = 3 \times 1 + 3 \times 2 + 3 \times 3 = 18.$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 6.$$

$$\begin{split} N &= 5 \text{ (rods)} + 1 \text{ (plate)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 7 \\ J &= 2 \text{ (P joints)} + 3 \text{ (U joints)} + 3 \text{ (S joints)} = 8 \\ \sum f_i &= 2 \times 1 + 3 \times 2 + 3 \times 3 = 17. \end{split}$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 5.$$

(d)

$$N = 7 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 8$$

$$J = 3 \text{ (P joints)} + 6 \text{ (U joints)} = 9$$

$$\sum f_i = 3 \times 1 + 6 \times 2 = 15.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 3.$$

(e)

$$N = 7 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 8$$

$$J = 2 \text{ (R joints)} + 4 \text{ (U joints)} + 2 \text{ (P joints)} = 8$$

$$\sum f_i = 2 \times 1 + 4 \times 2 + 2 \times 1 = 12.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 6.$$

(f)

$$N = 3 \text{ (rods)} + 1 \text{ (plate)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 5$$
 $J = 3 \text{ (3 dof joints)} + 3 \text{ (S joints)} = 6$

$$\sum f_i = 3 \times 3 + 3 \times 3 = 18.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 6.$$

Exercise 2.12.

(a)

$$N = 7 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground=legs)} = 8$$

$$J = 3 \text{ (R joints)} + 6 \text{ (U joints)} = 9$$

$$\sum f_i = 3 \times 1 + 6 \times 2 = 15.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 3.$$

(b)

$$N = 8 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 9$$

$$J = 4 \text{ (R joints)} + 5 \text{ (P joints)} = 9$$

$$\sum f_i = 4 \times 1 + 5 \times 1 = 9.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 3.$$

(c)

$$N = 12 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (plate)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 14$$
 $J = 6 \text{ (R joints)} + 6 \text{ (U joints)} + 6 \text{ (S joints)} = 18$
 $\sum f_i = 6 \times 1 + 6 \times 2 + 6 \times 3 = 36.$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 6.$$

(d) The spatial parallel mechanism consists of four RFRRPR serial subchains, where F is a four-bar parallelogram linkage. Each serial subchain with RFRRPR joints can be regarded as ground with a single 6-dof joint:

$$dof = 1$$
 (four-bar parallelogram linkage) $+ 1 \times 4$ (R joints) $+ 1$ (P joint) $= 6$.

Now apply Grübler's formula to the 4-RFRRPR mechanism:

$$N = 1 \text{ (plate)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 2$$

$$J = 4 \text{ (RFRRPR joints)}$$

$$\sum f_i = 4 \times 6 = 24.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 6.$$

Exercise 2.13.

$$\begin{split} N &= 6 \text{ (legs)} + 1 \text{ (upper platform)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 8 \\ J &= 12 \text{ (S joints)} \\ \sum f_i &= 12 \times 3 = 36. \end{split}$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 6.$$

The upper platform can simultaneously translate and rotate about the vertical axis, and also translate horizontally.

Exercise 2.14.

$$\begin{split} N &= 6 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (upper platform)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 8 \\ J &= 3 \text{ (P joints)} + 6 \text{ (U joints)} = 9 \\ \sum f_i &= 3 \times 1 + 6 \times 2 = 15. \end{split}$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 3.$$

(b) If the three P joints are locked, the robot loses three degrees of freedom and thus should become a structure, but clearly the robot can move.

Exercise 2.15.

(a)

$$N = 5 \text{ (squares)} + 1 \text{ (ground=square)} = 6$$
 $J = 6 \text{ (R joints)}$

$$\sum f_i = 6 \times 1 = 6.$$

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 3.$$

(b)

$$N = 5 \text{ (squares)} + 1 \text{ (ground=square)} = 6$$
 $J = 6 \text{ (R joints)}$

$$\sum f_i = 6 \times 1 = 6.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 0.$$

However, under the assumption that all the squares are of the same size, the mechanism can move with 1 dof. Grübler's formula is unable to distinguish such cases.

Exercise 2.16.

(a) Since all the links are constrained to move on the surface of a sphere, the planar version (or more accurately, the two-dimensional version) of Grübler's formula must be used. In this case,

$$N = 3 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 4$$
 $J = 4 \text{ (R joints)}$

$$\sum f_i = 4 \times 1 = 4.$$

$$\text{dof} = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 1.$$

If we had used the spatial (three-dimensional) version of Grübler's formula, we would obtain the result that dof = -2, implying the mechanism is incapable of motion.

- (b) Since the mechanism has one dof and is constructed of four revolute joints, its C-space is a curve in the four-dimensional torus T^4 . Depending on the relative lengths of the links, the curve may be closed, and also have self-intersections.
- (c) The workspace is a curve on the sphere as shown in Figure 2.1. At each point on the curve, the orientation of the frame is fixed.




Figure 2.1

Exercise 2.17.

(a) The surgical tool can move freely in the base hole, so there's no joint constraint between the end-effector and the base. In this case,

N = 3 (3 links for leg A) + 8 (4 links for each leg B and C) + 2 (end-effector and base) = 13 J = 4 (3 R and 1 P joints for leg A) + 10 (4 R and 1 U joints for each leg B and C) = 14 $\sum f_i = 4 \text{ (leg A)} + 2 \times 6 \text{ (leg B and C)} = 16.$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 4.$$

(b) The constraint that the surgical tool must pass through point A is equivalent to connecting the tool with a four-dof spherical-prismatic pair: the spherical joint determines the tool orientation, while the prismatic joint determines the displacement along the tool axial direction. Therefore

$$\begin{array}{rcl} N &=& 3+8+2 \ (\text{end-effector and base}) = 13 \\ J &=& 4+10+1 \ (\text{SP joint between end-effector and base}) = 15 \\ \sum f_i &=& 4+2\times 6+4=20. \end{array}$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 2.$$

(c) Since the axes of all the revolute joints pass through point A, all the links are constrained to move on the two-dimensional sphere and the tool always passes through point A (Refer to exercise 2.16). In this case, the two-dimensional version of Grübler's formula must be used:

$$N = 9$$
 (3 links for each leg) + 2 (end-effector and base) = 11 $J = 12$ (4 R joints for each leg) $\sum f_i = 3 \times 4 = 12$.

Substituting the above values into the planar version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 3(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 6.$$

Exercise 2.18.

$$N=6 \text{ (links)} + 1 \text{ (moving platform)} + 1 \text{ (ground)} = 8$$

$$J=6 \text{ (P joints)} + 3 \text{ (U joints)} = 9$$

$$\sum f_i=6\times 1 + 3\times 2 = 12.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 0.$$

However, this mechanism can move: if the three P joints on the fixed base move identically, the moving platform will move vertically. Therefore, it contradicts the fact that the mechanism has zero degrees of freedom as calculated by Grübler's formula.

Exercise 2.19.

There are N=7 links (ground, torso, two upper arms, two lower arms, and one combined hand-object-hand link) and J=8 joints (three R, four S, and a joint between the box and the table that has three sliding freedoms, two translational and one rotational). By Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(7 - 1 - 8) + \sum_{i=1}^{8} f_i = -12 + 3(1) + 4(3) + 3 = 6.$$

Exercise 2.20.

- (a) Referring to the Figure 2.2, when the body is fixed (ground) there are four rigid wings, four rigid legs, four linkages consisting of two links each, and the body (ground), so N=17. There are four wing R joints, four leg R joints, four leg S joints, four leg P joints, and four leg U joints, so J=20. The freedoms of the joints are 1 for the R and P joints, two for the U, three for the U, so $\sum_i f_i = 12(1) + 4(2) + 4(3) = 32$. Grübler's formula gives 6(17 1 20) + 32 = 8 dof.
- (b) Add six dof for the chassis to get 14 dof.
- (c) Keeping a foot at a fixed location adds 3 constraints on that foot, or 12 constraints total, so subtract 12 from 14 (the answer to part (b)) to get 2 dof. Alternatively, by Grübler, add four more S joints at the feet (with 3 dof each) and one more link (ground) compared to the answer in part (a). So 6(18-1-24)+(32+4(3))=2 dof. Note that the wings, of course, can still move with 4 dof, so that means the legs and body only (ignoring the wings) have -2 dof, assuming that none of the constraints are redundant. This means (1) the body and legs cannot move and (2) we even have two constraints on where we can position the legs on the ground.




Figure 2.2

Exercise 2.21.

(a) Each of the connections between the body links can be regarded as a three-dof RPR joint. In this case

N = 7 (1 head, 6 body links) + 1 (tail=ground) = 8

 $J~=~5~({
m RPR}~{
m joints}~{
m between}~{
m body}~{
m links}) + 2~({
m R}~{
m joint}~{
m between}~{
m head-body},~{
m tail-body}) = 7$

$$\sum f_i = 5 \times 3 + 2 \times 1 = 17.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 17.$$

(b) Each of the contacts between the body links and the ground can be modelled as a five-dof joint (sliding along two directions, and rotation about three directions). In this case

N = 8 (1 head, 1 tail, 6 body links) + 1 (ground) = 9

J = 7 (joints between links) + 6 (contacts between body links and ground) = 13

$$\sum f_i = 17 + 6 \times 5 = 47.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 17.$$

(c) Only two of the body links are in contact with the ground. In this case

N = 8(1 head, 1 tail, 6 body links) + 1 (ground) = 9

J = 7 (joints between links) + 2 (contacts between body links and ground) = 9

$$\sum f_i = 17 + 2 \times 5 = 27.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum_{i} f_i = 21.$$

Exercise 2.22.

- (a) The palm has six dof and each of the four fingers has four dof, so the hand has 22 dof total. When one finger is in contact with the table, there is one constraint on its position (the equation describing the height of the finger above the table being equal to zero), so the hand has 21 dof. If n fingers are in contact, the hand has 22 n dof.
- (b) $26 n \, \text{dof.}$
- (c) Model the finger contacts as spherical joints, so N=14 (12 finger links, the ellipsoid and the palm ground) and J=16, which includes four U joints, eight R joints, and four S joints (at the finger contacts). By Grübler, dof = 6(14-1-16)+4(2)+8(1)+4(3)=10.
- (d) This question is to see how the student thinks about rolling constraints. A sphere rolling on a surface can achieve any configuration in contact with the surface; the rolling, no-slip nonholonomic velocity constraints do not create any configuration constraint other than that the sphere must remain in contact with the surface. Therefore each finger contact "joint" has five degrees of freedom. Building on (c) above, dof = -18 + 4(2) + 8(1) + 4(5) = 28.

Exercise 2.23.

Define the joint variables as shown in Figure 2.3 and let the length of the links be L. The positions of the




Figure 2.3

centers of each link are denoted $(x_3, y_3), (x_2, y_2), (x_1, y_1)$, respectively (starting from the left in Figure 2.3). Define the eight-dimensional vector $x = (\theta_1, \theta_2, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3)$. The constraint equations $g_i(x) = 0$ of the joints are derived as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_1(x) & = & x_1 - L\cos\theta_1 + L\cos\theta_2 \\ g_2(x) & = & y_1 - L\sin\theta_1 - L\sin\theta_2 \\ g_3(x) & = & x_2 - L\cos\theta_1 + L/2\cos\theta_2 \\ g_4(x) & = & y_2 - L\sin\theta_1 - L/2\sin\theta_2 \\ g_5(x) & = & x_3 - L/2\cos\theta_1 \\ g_6(x) & = & y_3 - L/2\sin\theta_1 \end{array}$$

Additionally, there is one more constraint on the slider $g_7(x) = y_1 = 0$. Therefore, there are 7 constraint equations and 8 configuration variables in total. The feasible configuration space of the joint variables can now be determined as

$$C = \{x = (\theta_1, \theta_2, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3) | g_i(x) = 0 \ (i = 1, \dots, 7)\}.$$

The configuration space C projected to the space of joint variables $(\theta_1, \theta_2, x_1)$ is given by

$$C_p = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2, x_1) | \theta_1 = \theta_2, x_1 = L(\cos \theta_1 + \cos \theta_2)\}.$$

Exercise 2.24.

(a) The four-bar linkage is floating in space, so the number of links is 4 since the ground and linkage are not connected. 4 joints connect the adjacent links of the floating linkage. Finally, m in Grübler's formula is set to 6 since it is a spatial mechanism. We therefore have

$$N = 4 (4 \text{ links}) + 1 (\text{ground}) = 5$$
 $J = 4 (\text{R joints between links})$

$$\sum f_i = 4.$$

Substituting the above values into the spatial version of Grübler's formula,

$$dof = 6(N - 1 - J) + \sum f_i = 4.$$

However, the actual dof of the floating system differs from that predicted by Grübler's formula: any single floating system has at least 6 dof. This mismatch will be discussed further in (b).

(b) The degrees of freedom can be calculated by subtracting the number of constraints from the number of variables. First of all, we already know that there are three coordinates for each point and three points on each link. Thus, the total number of variables is 36. The planar four-bar linkage has three types of constraints: rigid body, revolute joint, and planar motion. For rigid body constraints, twelve constraints should be considered. For link 1,

$$||p_A - p_B|| = \text{const.}$$
 $\Leftrightarrow \sqrt{(x_A - x_B)^2 + (y_A - y_B)^2 + (z_A - z_B)^2} = \text{const.}$
 $||p_B - p_C|| = \text{const.}$ $\Leftrightarrow \sqrt{(x_B - x_C)^2 + (y_B - y_C)^2 + (z_B - z_C)^2} = \text{const.}$
 $||p_C - p_A|| = \text{const.}$ $\Leftrightarrow \sqrt{(x_C - x_A)^2 + (y_C - y_A)^2 + (z_C - z_A)^2} = \text{const.}$

Similarly, constraints on links 2, 3, and 4 can be expressed as above. The four pairs of points are connected by a revolute joint: C with D, F with G, I with J, and L with A. These constraints can be written as follows:

$$p_C = p_D \Leftrightarrow (x_C, y_C, z_C) = (x_D, y_D, z_D) \Leftrightarrow x_C = x_D, y_C = y_D, z_C = z_D$$

$$p_F = p_G \Leftrightarrow (x_F, y_F, z_F) = (x_G, y_G, z_G) \Leftrightarrow x_F = x_G, y_F = y_G, z_F = z_G$$

$$p_I = p_J \Leftrightarrow (x_I, y_I, z_I) = (x_J, y_J, z_J) \Leftrightarrow x_I = x_J, y_I = y_J, z_I = z_J$$

$$p_L = p_A \Leftrightarrow (x_L, y_L, z_L) = (x_A, y_A, z_A) \Leftrightarrow x_L = x_A, y_L = y_A, z_L = z_A.$$

Finally, only planar motions are admissible: all of the points on the linkage lie on a plane with normal vector $\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{AC} \times \vec{AJ}}{\|\vec{AC} \cdot \vec{AJ}\|}$, and

$$\overrightarrow{AF} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$$

$$\overrightarrow{AB} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$$

$$\overrightarrow{AE} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$$

$$\overrightarrow{AH} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$$

$$\overrightarrow{AK} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$$

The first constraint $\overrightarrow{AF} \cdot \overrightarrow{n} = 0$ is to prevent folding between planes DFI and ACJ. The total number of constraints is 29. Therefore, the degrees of freedom of the system is equal to 36-29=7 dof. The reason why this differs from the result obtained from Grübler's formula is that the planar four-bar linkage floating in 3-D space undergoes 2-D motion. The spatial version of Grübler's formula should not be applied to planar motion in 3D space.

Exercise 2.25.




Figure 2.4

(a) Draw a line between joint A and joint D, and set the length of this line as c. In addition, set the angle between BD and AD as η (see Figure 2.4). The following equation can then be derived:

$$a^2 + g^2 - 2ag\cos\theta = c^2$$

$$b^2 + c^2 - 2bc\cos\eta = h^2$$

From the above, γ^2 and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2$ can be calculated as follows:

$$\gamma^{2} = (h^{2} - g^{2} - b^{2} - a^{2} + 2ag\cos\theta)^{2} = (h^{2} - b^{2} - c^{2})^{2} = (-2bc\cos\eta)^{2}$$

$$\therefore \gamma^{2} = 4b^{2}c^{2}\cos^{2}\eta$$

$$\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} = (2gb - 2ab\cos\theta)^{2} + (-2ab\sin\theta)^{2} = 4b^{2}(g^{2} + a^{2} - 2ag\cos\theta)$$

$$\therefore \alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} = 4b^{2}c^{2}$$

$$\gamma^2 = 4b^2c^2\cos^2\eta \le 4b^2c^2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2.$$

If the constraint $\gamma^2 \leq \alpha^2 + \beta^2$ is not satisfied, then $\cos \eta > 1$, which implies that the four-bar linkage is unable to reach a desired output angle ϕ . The maximum value ψ_{max} is determined by the structure, and Figure 2.5 illustrates such a scenario.




Figure 2.5

(b) Expressing ϕ in terms of a, b, g and θ ,

$$\phi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-2ab\sin\theta}{2gb - 2ab\cos\theta}\right)$$
$$\therefore \phi = \tan^{-1}\left(-\frac{a\sin\theta}{g - a\cos\theta}\right).$$

Therefore, ϕ represents the angle between CD and AD (see Figure 2.4). The relation between ϕ , ψ and η can be obtained from

$$\cos(\psi - \phi) = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}} = \frac{-2bc\cos\eta}{\sqrt{4b^2c^2}} = -\cos\eta$$
$$\psi - \phi = \pm\eta$$

$$\therefore \psi = \phi \pm \eta.$$

The two possible values of the output angle ψ represent the elbow-up and elbow-down configurations with respect to AD (Figure 2.6).




Figure 2.6

(c) By substituting the expressions for a, b, g, h into the equations for α, β, γ , the relation between θ and ψ can be derived as shown in Figure 2.7 (obtained via MATLAB).




Figure 2.7

(d) Same as 2.25(c) (Figure 2.8).




Figure 2.8

(e) Same as 2.25(c) (Figure 2.9).




Figure 2.9

Exercise 2.26.

(a) The configuration space is the space of the variables $x_1, y_1, \theta_1, x_2, y_2, \theta_2$ where (x_i, y_i) denotes the center of mass of the i-th link and θ_i denotes the orientation of the link. The constraint equations and corresponding feasible configuration space are given by

$$C = \{q = (x_1, y_1, \theta_1, x_2, y_2, \theta_2) \mid g_i(q) = 0 \ (i = 1, \dots, 4),$$

where

$$g_1(x) = x_1 - \cos \theta_1$$

$$g_2(x) = y_1 - \sin \theta_1$$

$$g_3(x) = x_2 - (2\cos \theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2))$$

$$g_4(x) = y_2 - (2\sin \theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2)).$$

(b) The workspace W is the set of all points reachable by the tip as shown in Figure 2.10 (left):




Figure 2.10

$$W = \{(x, y) \mid x = 2\cos\theta_1 + \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2), y = 2\sin\theta_1 + \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2), \theta_i \le 2\pi\}.$$

Corresponding to the given constraint equations, let a = 2, b = 1 in Figure 2.10.

(c) Vertical barrier at $x = \pm 1$: exclude the space such that |x| > 1 from the original free C-space, whose area is $(a+b)^2\pi - (a-b)^2\pi$. Substituting a=2,b=1, the area to be excluded is

$$4\int_{a-b}^{a+b} \sqrt{(a+b)^2 - x^2} \ dx.$$

Thus, the left area is now $((a+b)^2\pi-(a-b)^2\pi)-4\int_{a-b}^{a+b}\sqrt{(a+b)^2-x^2}\ dx=8.6323$. The area indicated as "Not Reachable" in Figure 2.10 can be calculated as follows:

$$(triangle - fan shapes) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

As a result we have

$$r = \frac{\text{restricted area}}{\text{original area}} = \frac{8.6323 - 4(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4})}{8\pi} = 0.3306.$$

Exercise 2.27.

(a) Let the revolute joint variables be $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$. Similar to Figure 2.10, the donut-shaped workspace is now moving along the circle of radius a = 5. We can derive an analytic expression for the coordinates of the tip (x, y):

$$x = 5\cos\theta_1 + 2\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)$$

$$y = 5\sin\theta_1 + 2\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3).$$
 (2.1)

The admissible region of (x, y) is given in Figure 2.11; it is donut-shaped with radii 2 and 8, with total area $64\pi - 4\pi = 60\pi$.

(b) Setting a=1, b=2, c=5 in Figure 2.11, it can be seen that the lengths are the reverse of (a). Thus, intuitively one can conjecture that answer is 60π , the same as obtained for (a). To prove this, express




Figure 2.11

the tip coordinates (x, y) as

$$x = \cos \theta_1 + 2\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + 5\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) y = \sin \theta_1 + 2\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + 5\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3).$$

These equations for the tip coordinates can be transformed into the same form as (a):

$$x = 5\cos\alpha_1 + 2\cos(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \cos(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) y = 5\sin\alpha_1 + 2\sin(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \sin(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3),$$
(2.2)

where $\alpha_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3$, $\alpha_2 = \theta_1 + \theta_2$, $\alpha_3 = \theta_1$. These α_i range from 0 to 2π , as do the θ_i . Since the equations of the tip coordinates of Equations (2.1) and (2.2) are the same, the area of (b) is also 60π as conjectured.

(c) For problems like this, it is useful to begin with the simplest case (i.e., the two-link planar open chain) and to work your way up to higher degrees of freedom. For the 2R planar chain, based on the above we know that the Cartesian positioning workspace will be an annulus: if the links have length L_1 and L_2 and $L_1 > L_2$, then this annulus will have inner radius $L_1 - L_2$ and outer radius $L_1 + L_2$, and its area is given by $4\pi L_1 L_2$. Clearly increasing the link lengths does enlarge the Cartesian positioning workspace area, so the designer's claim is not entirely incorrect (although one could easily argue that the shape of the workspace—possessing a large hole close to the base—would not be generally useful). Now consider the 3R planar open chain. Assume that the length of the last link is L, which is longer than 5, and the first two links are of lengths 1 and 2 as before. Note that the workspace is independent of the order of the lengths as previously proven. Thus, the equations for the tip coordinates can be derived as follows:

$$x = L\cos\alpha_1 + 2\cos(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \cos(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$$

$$y = L\sin\alpha_1 + 2\sin(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \sin(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3),$$

where $\alpha_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3$, $\alpha_2 = -\theta_3$, $\alpha_3 = -\theta_2$. The workspace is again annular with radii (L-2-1) and (L+2+1). Further calculation reveals that the area of the workspace is $\pi((L+3)^2 - (L-3)^2) = 12L\pi$. This linearity can be preserved for arbitrary lengths a, b, c as indicated in Figure 2.11. Here again the Cartesian positioning workspace can be enlarged with increased L, so the designer's claim does not appear to be incorrect. For planar open chains with increasing degrees of freedom, we can expect the situation to be more or less the same.

However, the notion of workspace volume above is based only on the Cartesian positioning workspace. If one were to take into account the orientation workspace—that is, at any given point in the Cartesian positioning workspace, what is the range of possible orientations achievable by the tip?—then the situation becomes different. The analysis is quite involved and we won't get into it here—some relevant references are "The workspace of a mechanical manipulator," A. Kumar and K. Waldron, ASME J. Mechanical Design, vol. 103, 1981, and "Optimal kinematic design of 6R manipulators," B. Paden and S. Sastry, Int. J. Robotics Research, vol. 7, 1988—but if both the position and orientation workspace are simultaneously considered in the notion of a robot's workspace, then it can be shown that varying the length of the last link does not change this more general notion of a robot's workspace volume. As an analogy, for the 3R planar open chain, at each point in the Cartesian positioning workspace there will be a range of orientations achievable by the tip (some interval on $[0,2\pi]$); imagine integrating this orientation range over the entire positioning workspace, and using this integral as the total workspace volume.

Exercise 2.28.

The task space and workspace are not the same concept. The task space is the space of configurations as specified by the task itself and independent of the robot. On the other hand, the workspace is the configuration space of the end-effector that the robot can reach, which is primarily determined by the robot's structure and independent of the task.

- (a) Writing on a blackboard:
 - Focusing on what is actually written on the board, the task of drawing is determined by the position of the chalk but not the orientation. Keeping contact with the blackboard, the dimension of the chalk is two (i.e., $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$). The task space is therefore \mathbb{R}^2 .
- (b) Twirling a baton:

A rigid body has 6 degrees of freedom. Note however that rotation about the central axis of the baton does not change its appearance, so that the task space can be considered to be $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Observe that flipping the baton also does not change the baton's appearance, so that the orientation could actually be a half-sphere, or the real projective space \mathbb{RP}^2 .

Exercise 2.29.

- (a) $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$.
- (b) $S^2 \times S^1$ (the chassis position on the sphere and the chassis heading direction).
- (c) $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1 \times T^3 \times [a, b] = \mathbb{R}^2 \times T^4 \times [a, b]$.
- (d) $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2 \times S^1 \times T^6 = \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2 \times T^7$.

Exercise 2.30.

To achieve the desired (x,y) position, change the heading ϕ to point at the goal location. Then roll there. Then change the rolling angle θ by driving the coin around a circle so that the contact point with the plane traces a circle of radius R back to the starting (x,y) position. To change the rolling angle by an amount $\Delta\theta$, R should satisfy $R = \Delta\theta r/(2\pi)$, where r is the radius of the coin. Once the proper (x,y,θ) is achieved, the coin can be rotated to the desired heading angle ϕ .

Exercise 2.31.

(a) Let ϕ_1 be the rotation angle of the left wheel and ϕ_2 be the rotation angle of the right wheel. Let $R_1 = r$ and $R_2 = r$ be the steering radii of the left and right wheel respectively. Furthermore, assume that the distance between the wheels is 2d. Based on this we have the following equations:

$$\dot{\phi}_1 = \omega_1$$

$$\dot{\phi}_2 = \omega_2$$

$$\dot{x} = (1/2)(\omega_1 + \omega_2)r\cos\theta$$
$$\dot{y} = (1/2)(\omega_1 + \omega_2)r\sin\theta$$
$$\dot{\theta} = (r/2d)(\omega_2 - \omega_1)$$

In vector form we have the equality:

$$\dot{q} = \begin{bmatrix} (1/2)r\cos\theta \\ (1/2)r\sin\theta \\ -(r/2d) \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \omega_1 + \begin{bmatrix} (1/2)r\cos\theta \\ (1/2)r\sin\theta \\ (r/2d) \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \omega_2$$

(b) The Pfaffian constraints are dependent on the following differential equations:

$$\dot{x} - (1/2)\dot{\phi}_1 r \cos\theta - (1/2)\dot{\phi}_2 r \cos\theta = 0$$

$$\dot{y} - (1/2)\dot{\phi}_1 r \sin\theta - (1/2)\dot{\phi}_2 r \sin\theta = 0$$

$$\dot{\theta} + (r/2d)\dot{\phi}_1 - (r/2d)\dot{\phi}_2 = 0$$

$$\implies A(q)\dot{q} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -(1/2)r\cos\theta & -(1/2)r\cos\theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -(1/2)r\sin\theta & -(1/2)r\sin\theta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & (r/2d) & -(r/2d) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\phi}_1 \\ \dot{\phi}_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

(c) The constraint $\dot{\theta} + (r/2d)\dot{\phi}_1 - (r/2d)\dot{\phi}_2 = 0$ is holonomic (integrable) and the other two constraints are nonholonomic.

Exercise 2.32.

(a) Transform the constraint into Pfaffian form:

$$A(q)\dot{q} = 0$$

where $A(q) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \cos q_1 & 1 + \cos q_2 & \cos q_1 + \cos q_2 + 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

To check whether A(q) is integrable, assume $A(q) = \frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q}$:

$$\frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q_1} = 1 + \cos q_1 \qquad \rightarrow g(q) = q_1 + \sin q_1 + h(q_2, q_3, q_4) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q_2} = 1 + \cos q_2 \qquad \to g(q) = q_1 + \sin q_1 + q_2 + \sin q_2 + h(q_3, q_4)$$
 (2.4)

$$\frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q_1} = 1 + \cos q_1 \qquad \to g(q) = q_1 + \sin q_1 + h(q_2, q_3, q_4) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q_2} = 1 + \cos q_2 \qquad \to g(q) = q_1 + \sin q_1 + q_2 + \sin q_2 + h(q_3, q_4) \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q_3} = \cos q_1 + \cos q_2 + 4 \qquad \to \frac{\partial h(q_3, q_4)}{\partial q_3} = \cos q_1 + \cos q_2 + 4 \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q_4} = 0. (2.6)$$

A contradiction occurs in Equation (2.5) since $h(q_3, q_4)$ does not incorporate any q_1 or q_2 term. Therefore, A(q) is not integrable and the velocity constraint is nonholonomic.

(b) Transform the constraint into Pfaffian form:

$$A(q)\dot{q} = 0$$
where $A(q) = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos q_2 & 0 & \sin(q_1 + q_2) & -\cos(q_1 + q_2) \\ 0 & 0 & \sin q_1 & -\cos q_1 \end{bmatrix}$.

To check whether A(q) is integrable, assume $A(q) = \frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q}$:

$$\frac{\partial g_1(q)}{\partial q_1} = -\cos q_2 \to g_1(q) = -q_1 \cos q_2 + h(q_2, q_3, q_4)$$
 (2.7)

$$\frac{\partial g_1(q)}{\partial q_1} = -\cos q_2 \qquad \to g_1(q) = -q_1 \cos q_2 + h(q_2, q_3, q_4) \qquad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial g_1(q)}{\partial q_2} = 0 \qquad \to \frac{h(q_2, q_3, q_4)}{\partial q_2} = -q_1 \sin q_2 \qquad (2.8)$$

$$\frac{\partial g_1(q)}{\partial q_3} = \sin(q_1 + q_2) \tag{2.9}$$

$$\frac{\partial g_1(q)}{\partial q_4} = -\cos(q_1 + q_2) \tag{2.10}$$

A contradiction occurs in Equation (2.8) since $h(q_2, q_3, q_4)$ does not incorporate any q_1 terms. Thus, there exists no admissible $g_1(q)$. To check $g_2(q)$,

$$\frac{\partial g_2(q)}{\partial q_1} = 0 \to g_2(q) = h(q_2, q_3, q_4)$$
(2.11)

$$\frac{\partial g_2(q)}{\partial q_2} = 0 \qquad \to g_2(q) = h(q_3, q_4) \tag{2.12}$$

$$\frac{\partial g_2(q)}{\partial q_2} = 0 \rightarrow g_2(q) = h(q_3, q_4)$$

$$\frac{\partial g_2(q)}{\partial q_3} = \sin q_1 \rightarrow \frac{\partial h(q_3, q_4)}{\partial q_3} = \sin q_1$$
(2.12)


$$\frac{\partial q_3}{\partial q_4} = -\cos q_1 \tag{2.14}$$


A contradiction occurs in Equation (2.13) since $h(q_3, q_4)$ does not incorporate any q_1 terms. In conclusion, there exists no g(q) satisfying $A(q) = \frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q}$ and thus the given constraint is nonholonomic.

Chapter 3 Solutions

Exercise 3.1.

(a) The three frames are:








Figure 3.1

(b) The rotation matrices are:

$$R_{sa} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad R_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)

$$R_{sb}^{-1} = R_{bs} = R_{sb}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d)

$$R_{ab} = R_{as}R_{sb} = R_{sa}^{\mathrm{T}}R_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(e)

$$R_1 = R_{sa}R = R_{sa}R_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 R_1 corresponds to rotating R_{sa} by -90° about the body-fixed \hat{x}_a axis.

$$R_2 = RR_{sa} = R_{sb}R_{sa} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 R_2 corresponds to rotating R_{sa} by -90° about the world-fixed \hat{x}_s axis.

(f) $p_s = R_{sb}p_b = (1, 3, -2)^T$.

$$p' = R_{sb}p_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \implies \text{location transformation}$$

$$p'' = R_{sb}^{\mathsf{T}}p_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \implies \text{coordinate change}$$

(h)

$$R_{as}\omega_s = \omega_a \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(i)

$$R_{sa} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Trace R_{sa} is equal to 0, so we are in the third condition of the algorithm. Therefore $\theta = \cos^{-1}(-1/2) = \frac{2\pi}{3}$. By definition

$$[\hat{\omega}] = \frac{1}{2\sin\theta} (\bar{R} - \bar{R}^{T}) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} (R_{sa} - R_{as})$$

$$\implies [\hat{\omega}] = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\implies \hat{\omega} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (to make it a unit vector we have to divide the vector by its norm)}$$

(j)

$$\hat{\omega}\theta = \sqrt{5} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\implies [\hat{\omega}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ with } \theta = \sqrt{5}$$

By definition $R = e^{[\hat{\omega}]\theta} = I + \sin\theta[\hat{\omega}] + (1 - \cos\theta)[\hat{\omega}]^2$,

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.704 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.352 \\ -0.704 & 0.352 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1.294 & 0.647 & 0 \\ 0.647 & -0.324 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1.6173 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -0.2938 & 0.6469 & 0.7037 \\ 0.6469 & 0.6765 & -0.3518 \\ -0.7037 & 0.3518 & -0.6173 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exercise 3.2.

Let point p' be the new position after rotation, and denote the corresponding rotation matrix as R.

(a) Since the rotation is with respect to the fixed frame,

$$\begin{split} p' &= \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, -120^\circ) \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, 135^\circ) \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, 30^\circ) p \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(-120^\circ) & -\sin(-120^\circ) & 0 \\ \sin(-120^\circ) & \cos(-120^\circ) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos 135^\circ & 0 & \sin 135^\circ \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin 135^\circ & 0 & \cos 135^\circ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos 30^\circ & -\sin 30^\circ \\ 0 & \sin 30^\circ & \cos 30^\circ \end{bmatrix} p \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.5526 \\ 0.4571 \\ -0.6969 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

(b) From (a),

$$R = \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, -120^{\circ}) \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, 135^{\circ}) \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, 30^{\circ}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3536 & 0.5732 & -0.7392 \\ 0.6124 & -0.7392 & -0.2803 \\ -0.7071 & -0.3536 & -0.6124 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 3.3.

From Rp = q,

$$R \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2\sqrt{2} \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\sqrt{2} \\ 2 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\sqrt{2} \\ 2 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \sqrt{2} \\ 2 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2\sqrt{2} \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 3.4.

Let R_{ab} and R_{bc} be

$$R_{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix}, R_{bc} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ s_{31} & s_{32} & s_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We then have

Since

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{x}_c & \hat{y}_c & \hat{z}_c \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{x}_a & \hat{y}_a & \hat{z}_a \end{array}\right] R_{ac},$$

we can conclude that

$$R_{ac} = R_{ab}R_{bc}.$$

$$\hat{\omega}\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Exercise 3.6.

 $R = \text{Rot}(\hat{x}, \pi/2) \text{Rot}(\hat{z}, \pi)$ which gives us the matrix:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In order to find $R = e^{[\hat{\omega}]\theta}$ we have to perform matrix logarithm. The trace of the above matrix is -1, and thus we are in the first condition. Either the first or the second equation can be used to calculate the unit vector $\hat{\omega}$ and angle of rotation by definition is $\theta = \pi$.

$$\hat{\omega} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exercise 3.7.

(a) From $tr(R) = -1 = 1 + 2\cos\theta$ it follows that $\theta = \pi$.

$$\begin{split} R &= I + 2[\hat{\omega}]^2 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2(\omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2) & 2\omega_1\omega_2 & 2\omega_1\omega_3 \\ 2\omega_1\omega_2 & 1 - 2(\omega_1^2 + \omega_3^2) & 2\omega_2\omega_3 \\ 2\omega_1\omega_3 & 2\omega_2\omega_3 & 1 - 2(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

$$\hat{\omega}=(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0,\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^T \text{ or } (-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0,-\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^T.$$
 (b) From the exponential formula

$$e^{[\hat{\omega}]\theta} = I + \frac{\sin\theta}{3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] + \frac{1-\cos\theta}{9} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} -5 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & -5 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -8 \end{array} \right].$$

Substituting the above equation into $v_2 = Rv_1$ yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2\sin\theta - (1-\cos\theta)\\ -\sin\theta + 2(1-\cos\theta)\\ -2\sin\theta - 2(1-\cos\theta) \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \theta = -\frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Exercise 3.8.

(a) Using the fact that $\hat{\omega}_1^2 + \hat{\omega}_2^2 + \hat{\omega}_3^2$,

$$\begin{array}{ll} r_{11} = 1 - 2(\hat{\omega}_2^2 + \hat{\omega}_3^2) = 1 - 2(1 - \hat{\omega}_1^2) = 2\hat{\omega}_1^2 - 1 & \hat{\omega}_1^2 = \frac{r_{11} + 1}{2} \\ r_{22} = 1 - 2(\hat{\omega}_1^2 + \hat{\omega}_3^2) = 1 - 2(1 - \hat{\omega}_2^2) = 2\hat{\omega}_2^2 - 1 & \Rightarrow & \hat{\omega}_2^2 = \frac{r_{22} + 1}{2} \\ r_{33} = 1 - 2(\hat{\omega}_1^2 + \hat{\omega}_2^2) = 1 - 2(1 - \hat{\omega}_3^2) = 2\hat{\omega}_3^2 - 1 & \hat{\omega}_3^2 = \frac{r_{33} + 1}{2}. \end{array}$$

Hence $\hat{\omega}_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{r_{11}+1}{2}}, \hat{\omega}_2 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{r_{22}+1}{2}},$ and $\hat{\omega}_3 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{r_{33}+1}{2}}.$ Depending on the sign of the off-diagonal terms in the $[\hat{\omega}]^2$ matrix, we can get two combinations of signs of the $\hat{\omega}$ elements. However, the given solution shows only the magnitude of the elements and is incorrect.

$$[\hat{\omega}](R+I) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\hat{\omega}_3 & \hat{\omega}_2 \\ \hat{\omega}_3 & 0 & -\hat{\omega}_1 \\ -\hat{\omega}_2 & \hat{\omega}_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11}+1 & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22}+1 & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33}+1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\frac{\hat{\omega}_3}{\hat{\omega}_2} = \frac{r_{31}}{r_{21}} = \frac{r_{32}}{r_{22} + 1} = \frac{r_{33} + 1}{r_{23}} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\omega}_3}{\hat{\omega}_1} = \frac{r_{31}}{r_{11} + 1} = \frac{r_{32}}{r_{12}} = \frac{r_{33} + 1}{r_{13}} \tag{3.2}$$

Using Equations (3.1) and (3.2) and the fact that $\hat{\omega}_1^2 + \hat{\omega}_2^2 + \hat{\omega}_3^2 = 1$,

$$\left(\frac{r_{13}}{r_{33}+1} \cdot \hat{\omega}_3\right)^2 + \left(\frac{r_{23}}{r_{33}+1} \cdot \hat{\omega}_3\right)^2 + \hat{\omega}_3^2 = 1$$

$$\hat{\omega}_3^2 \cdot \left\{\frac{r_{13}^2 + r_{23}^2 + r_{33}^2 + 2r_{33} + 1}{(r_{33}+1)^2}\right\} = 1$$

$$\hat{\omega}_3^2 = \frac{r_{33}+1}{2}$$

since $r_{13}^2 + r_{23}^2 + r_{33}^2 = 1$. In the same way we have

$$\hat{\omega}_1^2 = \frac{r_{11} + 1}{2}$$

$$\hat{\omega}_2^2 = \frac{r_{22} + 1}{2}.$$

It can be verified that the result is the same as (a).

Exercise 3.9.

To multiply two arbitrary 3×3 matrices, 27 multiplication and 18 addition operations are required. However for rotation matrices, once the first and second columns of $R_1 \times R_2$ — let's call them u and v — are obtained, the third column can be obtained simply by taking the cross-product $u \times v$ (which requires only 6 multiplications and 3 additions). It is possible to save 3 multiplications and 3 additions with this procedure.

Exercise 3.10.

Since $A, R \in SO(3)$ and tr(XY) = tr(YX) for any $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$, the objective function can be equivalently written as

$$\begin{split} \min_{R \in SO(3)} \operatorname{tr}((A-R)(A-R)^\intercal) & \Rightarrow & \min_{R \in SO(3)} \operatorname{tr}(AA^\intercal + RR^\intercal - 2R^\intercal A) \\ & \Rightarrow & \max_{R \in SO(3)} \operatorname{tr}(R^\intercal A). \end{split}$$

Note that the constant terms in the objective function can be ignored. The optimization can therefore be formulated as follows:

$$\max_{R \in SO(3)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3} r_i^{\mathsf{T}} a_i$$
 subject to
$$r_i^{\mathsf{T}} r_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$r_3 - [r_1] r_2 = 0,$$

where a_i is the i-th column of A and r_i is the i-th column of R.

An alternative solution is also possible based on the singular value decomposition (SVD) of a matrix (consult

any linear algebra textbook for a discussion of SVD). Letting $A = U\Sigma V^{\dagger}$ be the SVD of A (and in practice A may not necessarily be a rotation matrix due to measurement errors) the equivalent problem is

$$\max_{R \in SO(3)} \operatorname{tr}(R^{\mathsf{T}} U \Sigma V^{\mathsf{T}}) = \operatorname{tr}(S \Sigma V^{\mathsf{T}}),$$

where U, V as obtained from the SVD are orthonormal (i.e., $U^{\intercal}U = V^{\intercal}V = I$) and $S = R^{\intercal}U$ is also orthonormal. Let s_i , v_i respectively denote the i-th column of U, V, and σ_i be the (i, i) component of Σ (where $\sigma_i \geq 0$). Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(S\Sigma V^{\mathsf{T}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sigma_{i} s_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} v_{i}.$$

Note that $s_i^{\mathsf{T}} v_i \leq 1$ and equality is achieved when $s_i = v_i$. Consequently S = V is the optimal solution, leading to

$$S = R^{\mathsf{T}}U = V$$
$$R = UV^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

Exercise 3.11.

(a) Expanding $e^A e^B$ and e^{A+B} ,

$$\begin{split} e^A e^B &= (I + A + \frac{1}{2!}A^2 + \frac{1}{3!}A^3 + \cdots)(I + B + \frac{1}{2!}B^2 + \frac{1}{3!}B^3 + \cdots) \\ &= I + (A + B) + (\frac{1}{2!}A^2 + AB + \frac{1}{2!}B^2) + (\frac{1}{3!}A^3 + \frac{1}{2!}A^2B + \frac{1}{2!}AB^2 + \frac{1}{3!}B^3) + \cdots \\ &= I + (A + B) + \frac{1}{2!}(A^2 + 2AB + B^2) + \frac{1}{3!}(A^3 + 3A^2B + 3AB^2 + B^3) + \cdots \\ e^{A + B} &= I + (A + B) + \frac{1}{2!}(A + B)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}(A + B)^3 + \cdots . \end{split}$$

We can see that $e^A e^B = e^{A+B}$ holds if

$$(A+B)^2 = A^2 + AB + BA + B^2 = A^2 + 2AB + B^2$$
$$(A+B)^3 = A^3 + A^2B + ABA + AB^2 + BA^2 + BAB + B^2A + B^3 = A^3 + 3A^2B + 3AB^2 + B^3$$

and so forth. Thus, the necessary condition is AB = BA.

(b) From (a), the necessary condition is $[\mathcal{V}_a][\mathcal{V}_b] = [\mathcal{V}_b][\mathcal{V}_a]$. Under this condition,

$$[\mathcal{V}_a][\mathcal{V}_b] = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\omega_a] & v_a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\omega_b] & v_b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\omega_b] & v_b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\omega_a] & v_a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = [\mathcal{V}_b][\mathcal{V}_a]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\omega_a][\omega_b] & [\omega_a]v_b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\omega_b][\omega_a] & [\omega_b]v_a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

That is, the following two equations hold:

$$[\omega_a][\omega_b] - [\omega_b][\omega_a] = 0$$

$$[\omega_a]v_b - [\omega_b]v_a = 0$$

$$(3.3)$$

Equation (3.3) implies that cross product of ω_a and ω_b is zero, and so two screw rotation axes are parallel. Let ω_b be $c\omega_a$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is constant. Then, substituting $v_i = -\omega_i \times q_i + h_i\omega_i$ (i = a, b) and $\omega_b = c\omega_a$ into Equation (3.4),

$$\begin{split} [\omega_a]v_b - [\omega_b]v_a &= \omega_a \times v_b - \omega_b \times v_a \\ &= \omega_a \times v_b - c\omega_a \times v_a \\ &= \omega_a \times (-c\omega_a \times q_b + ch_b\omega_a) - c\omega_a \times (-\omega_a \times q_a + h_a\omega_a) \\ &= \omega_a \times (-c\omega_a \times q_b) - c\omega_a \times (-\omega_a \times q_a) \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\omega_a \times (\omega_a \times q_b) = \omega_a \times (\omega_a \times q_a)$, and so we can conclude that the two screws are collinear.

Exercise 3.12.

Let $A, B \in SO(3)$ have rotation axes and angles such that

$$A = \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\omega}_a, \alpha)$$

$$B = \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\omega}_b, \beta).$$

If there exists $R \in SO(3)$ satisfying AR = RB, then $A = RBR^T$. We get then

$$e^{[\hat{\omega}_a]\alpha} = Re^{[\hat{\omega}_b]\beta}R^T$$
$$= e^{[R\hat{\omega}_b]\beta}.$$

R therefore satisfies $\hat{\omega}_a \alpha = R \hat{\omega}_b \beta$.

- (a) If $\hat{\omega}_a = \hat{\omega}_b = \hat{z}$ and $\alpha = \beta$, then $\hat{z} = R\hat{z}$. The matrix R is therefore any \hat{z} -axis rotation.
- (b) If $\hat{\omega}_a = \hat{\omega}_b = \hat{z}$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, then two cases arise:

Case 1. $|\alpha| \neq |\beta|$: No solutions.

Case 2. $|\alpha| = |\beta|$: From $\alpha = -\beta$, we get $\hat{z} = -R\hat{z}$.

$$R = \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\omega}_t, \theta),$$

where $\hat{\omega}_t$ is a unit vector lying on the x-y plane and $\theta = \pm \pi$.

(c) If $\hat{\omega}_a = \hat{\omega}_b$, then the problem can be solved in the same way as (a) and (b).

If $\hat{\omega}_a \neq \hat{\omega}_b$, then we separate this problem into three cases:

Case 1. $|\alpha| \neq |\beta|$: No solutions.

Case 2. $\alpha = \beta$: From $\hat{\omega}_a = R\hat{\omega}_b$,

$$R = \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\omega}_t, \theta),$$

where $\hat{\omega}_t$ is a unit vector with direction $\pm(\hat{\omega}_a+\hat{\omega}_b)$, and $\theta=\pm\pi$.

Case 3. $\alpha = -\beta$: The direction of $\hat{\omega}_t$ in R should be $\pm (\hat{\omega}_a - \hat{\omega}_b)$.

Exercise 3.13.

(a) There exists two different ways to find the eigenvalues of R.

Method 1. For $R = e^{[\hat{\omega}]\phi} \in SO(3)$, $R\hat{\omega} = \hat{\omega}$. This implies that one of its eigenvectors is $\hat{\omega}$ and its corresponding eigenvalue is 1. Since the equation $\det(R - \lambda I) = 0$ is a third-order real polynomial, two roots should be a complex conjugate pair. Observe also that

$$\det(R) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = 1,$$

from which it follows that

$$\lambda_2 = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta = e^{i\theta}$$

$$\lambda_3 = \cos \theta - i \sin \theta = e^{-i\theta}$$
.

Method 2. For $R \in SO(3)$, the relation between the eigenvector and eigenvalue of R can be written as

$$Rx = \lambda x,\tag{3.5}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the eigenvector and λ is its corresponding eigenvalue. Multiplying the complex conjugate transpose of each term in Equation (3.5) to both sides of Equation (3.5),

$$(Rx)^*Rx = (\lambda x)^*\lambda x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^* R^* R x = x^* \lambda^* \lambda x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^*x = \lambda^*\lambda x^*x.$$

We can thus conclude that λ is a complex number with magnitude 1. Hence, the eigenvalues of R can be written as 1, $e^{i\theta}$, and $e^{-i\theta}$.

(b) Denote the eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue $\mu + i\nu$ by x + iy for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The relation can be written as

$$R(x+iy) = (\mu + i\nu)(x+iy), \tag{3.6}$$

and its complex conjugate can also be written as

$$\overline{R(x+iy)} = \overline{(\mu+i\nu)(x+iy)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow R(x-iy) = (\mu-i\nu)(x-iy). \tag{3.7}$$

From Equations (3.6) and (3.7),

$$Rx = \mu x - \nu y$$
$$Ry = \nu x + \mu y,$$

and Rz = z from the eigenvalue whose value is 1. We can thus write

$$R = A \begin{bmatrix} \mu & \nu & 0 \\ -\nu & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} A^{-1},$$

where $A = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \end{bmatrix}$. From Equation (3.6),

$$(x^{T} + iy^{T})R^{T}R(x + iy) = (\mu + i\nu)^{2}(x^{T} + iy^{T})(x + iy)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (x^{T} + iy^{T})(x + iy) = (\mu^{2} - \nu^{2} + 2i\mu\nu)(x^{T} + iy^{T})(x + iy)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (x^{T}x - y^{T}y + 2i(x^{T}y)) = (\mu^{2} - \nu^{2} + 2i\mu\nu)(x^{T}x - y^{T}y + 2i(x^{T}y)).$$

Since $(\mu^2 - \nu^2 + 2i\mu\nu)$ cannot be 1,

$$x^T x - y^T y = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^T y = 0$$

which means x and y are orthogonal. From the relation Rz = z, the following holds:

$$z^T R^T R(x+iy) = (\mu+i\nu)z^T (x+iy)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (z^T x + iz^T y) = (\mu+i\nu)(z^T x + iz^T y),$$

from which it follows that $z^T x = 0$ and $z^T y = 0$ (since $\mu + i\nu \neq 1$). x, y, and z are therefore orthogonal. Taking x, y, and z as unit vectors satisfying $\det(A) = 1$ yields $A \in SO(3)$.

Exercise 3.14.

Express the inverse as a quadratic matrix polynomial in $[\omega]$, and multiply it with the original polynomial:

$$(I\theta + (1 - \cos \theta)[\omega] + (\theta - \sin \theta)[\omega]^2)(\alpha I + \beta[\omega] + \gamma[\omega]^2) = I.$$

Using the identity $[\omega]^3 = -[\omega]$, the above leads to the following set of simultaneous equations:

$$\begin{cases} \theta \alpha = 1 \\ (1 - \cos \theta)\alpha + (\sin \theta)\beta - (1 - \cos \theta)\gamma = 0 \\ (\theta - \sin \theta)\alpha + (1 - \cos \theta)\beta + (\sin \theta)\gamma = 0. \end{cases}$$

Solving the equations,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\theta}, \ \beta = -\frac{1}{2}, \ \gamma = \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2}\cot\frac{\theta}{2}.$$

Exercise 3.15.

(a) As a sequence of rotations about the axes of the fixed frame, rotation matrices should be multiplied on the left. Beginning with $R_{01} = I$,

Step 1.
$$R_{02} = \text{Rot}(\hat{x}_0, \alpha) R_{01} = \text{Rot}(\hat{x}_0, \alpha)$$
.

Step 2.
$$R_{03} = \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_0, \beta) R_{02} = \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_0, \beta) \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_0, \alpha)$$
.

Step 3.
$$R_{04} = \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_0, \gamma) R_{03} = \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_0, \gamma) \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_0, \beta) \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_0, \alpha)$$
.

$$R_{04} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma & 0\\ \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ -\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha\\ 0 & \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) As this rotation is about the axes of the moving frame, the rotation matrix should be multiplied on the right:

$$\begin{split} R_{04} &= R_{03} \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_3, \gamma) \\ &= \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_0, \beta) \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_0, \alpha) \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_3, \gamma) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ 0 & \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma & 0 \\ \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} T_{ca} &= T_{cb} T_{ba} = T_{cb} T_{ab}^{-1} = T_{cb} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} R_{ab}^T & -R_{ab}^T p_{ab} \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]. \end{split}$$

Exercise 3.16.

(a) The three frames are shown in Figure 3.2.




Figure 3.2

$$R_{sa} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{sa} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} T_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{sb}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{sb} & p_b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{sb}^{\mathrm{T}} & -R_{sb}^{\mathrm{T}} p_b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{ab} = T_{sa}^{-1} T_{sb}$$

$$T_{sa}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{sa}^{\mathrm{T}} & -R_{sa}^{\mathrm{T}} p_a \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_1 = T_{sa}T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

this corresponds to a transformation in a body frame

$$T_2 = TT_{sa} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

this corresponds to a transformation in s world frame

$$p_s = T_{sb}p_b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies p_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(g)

$$p' = T_{sb}p_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$p'' = T_{sb}^{-1}p_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore p' is a change in location and p'' is change in reference frame.

(h)

$$\mathcal{V}_{a} = [\mathrm{Ad}_{T_{as}}] \mathcal{V}_{s}$$

$$T_{as} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow [\mathrm{Ad}_{T_{as}}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ -9 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ -2 \\ -9 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(i)

$$R_{sa} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore the trace of $tr(R_{sa}) = 0$ which means we are condition (iii) and when we solve for θ we get

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}((0-1)/2) = 2.094$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} [\omega] &= 1/(2\sin\theta)(R-R^{\mathrm{T}}) = 1/\sqrt{3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ G^{-1}(\theta) &= (1/\theta)I - (1/2)[\omega] + ((1/\theta) - (1/2)(\cot(\theta/2))[\omega]^2 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.352 & 0.226 & 0.352 \\ -0.352 & 0.352 & 0.2257 \\ -0.2257 & -0.352 & 0.352 \end{bmatrix} \\ v &= G^{-1}(\theta)p = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0548 & -1.0548 & -0.6772 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ [s] &= \begin{bmatrix} [\omega] & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -0.5774 & -0.5774 & 1.0548 \\ 0.5774 & 0 & -0.5774 & -1.0548 \\ 0.5774 & 0.5774 & 0 & -0.6772 \end{bmatrix} \\ s &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.5774 & -0.5774 & 0.5774 & 0 & -0.6772 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ s &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.5774 & -0.5774 & 0.5774 & 1.0548 & -1.0548 & -0.6772 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} = \omega/||\omega|| & \Longrightarrow \dot{\theta} = 1 \\ h &= \hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{T}} v/\dot{\theta} = 0.827 \\ v &= -\hat{s}\dot{\theta} \times q + h\hat{s}\dot{\theta} & \Longrightarrow q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{split}$$

(j)

$$\mathcal{S}\theta = [0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 0 \ 0]^{\mathrm{T}} = [\omega\theta, v\theta]^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$\implies \omega\theta = [0 \ 1 \ 2]^{\mathrm{T}} \implies \theta = \sqrt{5}$$

We can find the matrix exponential by:

$$e^{[s]\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{[\omega]\theta} & (I\theta + (1 - \cos\theta)[\omega] + (\theta - \sin\theta)[\omega]^2)v \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[\omega_s] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -0.8944 & 0.4472 \\ 0.8944 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.4472 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e^{[\omega]\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.6173 & -0.7037 & 0.3518 \\ 0.7037 & -0.2938 & 0.6469 \\ -0.3518 & 0.6469 & 0.6765 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G(\theta)v = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0555 \\ 1.9407 \\ -0.9704 \end{bmatrix} e^{[s]\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.6173 & -0.7037 & 0.3518 & 1.0555 \\ 0.7037 & -0.2938 & 0.6469 & 1.9407 \\ -0.3518 & 0.6469 & 0.6765 & -0.9704 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exercise 3.17.

(a)

$$T_{ad} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ T_{cd} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) $T_{ab}T_{bc}T_{cd} = T_{ad}$. Thus $T_{ab} = T_{ad}(T_{bc}T_{cd})^{-1}$.

$$T_{bc}T_{cd} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$(T_{bc}T_{cd})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 3.18.

- (a) $T_{ea}T_{ar} = T_{es}T_{sr}$ or $T_{sr} = (T_{es})^{-1}T_{ea}T_{ar}$. Therefore $T_{rs} = (T_{ar})^{-1}(T_{ea})^{-1}T_{es}$.
- (b) $P_{rs} = T_{re}P_{es}$ and

$$P_{es} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{re} = T_{er}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{er}^T & -R_{er}^T p_{er} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} p_{rs} \\ 1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}\right].$$

Exercise 3.19.

(a) The transformation from the fixed frame to the satellite can be described as follows: Rotate about the {0} frame \hat{x} -axis to align the \hat{y} -axis with the satellite's \hat{y} -axis. Then, rotate about the body frame \hat{y} -axis to align the \hat{x} -axis with the satellite's \hat{x} -axis. Finally, translate about the body frame \hat{x} -axis by

R. Defining $\theta_1 = \frac{v_1 t}{R_1}$, $\theta_2 = \frac{v_2 t}{R_2}$,

$$\begin{split} T_{01} &= \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, 120^{\circ}) \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, -90^{\circ} + \theta_{1}) \mathrm{Trans}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, -R_{1}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos 120^{\circ} & -\sin 120^{\circ} & 0 \\ 0 & \sin 120^{\circ} & \cos 120^{\circ} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(-90^{\circ} + \theta_{1}) & 0 & \sin(-90^{\circ} + \theta_{1}) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin(-90^{\circ} + \theta_{1}) & 0 & \cos(-90^{\circ} + \theta_{1}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -R_{1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta_{1} & 0 & -\cos \theta_{1} & 0 \\ \cos \theta_{1} & 0 & \sin \theta_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -R_{1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta_{1} & 0 & -\cos \theta_{1} & -R_{1} \sin \theta_{1} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos \theta_{1} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin \theta_{1} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} R_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \cos \theta_{1} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_{1} & \frac{1}{2} R_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} T_{02} &= \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, 90^{\circ}) \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, -90^{\circ} + \theta_{2}) \operatorname{Trans}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, -R_{2}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos 90^{\circ} & -\sin 90^{\circ} & 0 \\ 0 & \sin 90^{\circ} & \cos 90^{\circ} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(-90^{\circ} + \theta_{2}) & 0 & \sin(-90^{\circ} + \theta_{2}) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin(-90^{\circ} + \theta_{2}) & 0 & \cos(-90^{\circ} + \theta_{2}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -R_{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta_{2} & 0 & -\cos \theta_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \cos \theta_{2} & 0 & \sin \theta_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -R_{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta_{2} & 0 & -\cos \theta_{2} & -R_{2} \sin \theta_{2} \\ -\cos \theta_{2} & 0 & -\sin \theta_{2} & R_{2} \cos \theta_{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

(b)

$$\begin{split} T_{21} &= T_{02}^{-1} T_{01} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} R_{02}^{-1} & -R_{02}^{T} P_{02} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{01} & P_{01} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta_2 & -\cos \theta_2 & 0 & R_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\cos \theta_2 & -\sin \theta_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta_1 & 0 & -\cos \theta_1 & -R_1 \sin \theta_1 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos \theta_1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin \theta_1 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} R_1 \cos \theta_1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \cos \theta_1 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_1 & \frac{1}{2} R_1 \cos \theta_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta_2 & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \cos \theta_1 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_1 & \frac{1}{2} R_1 \cos \theta_1 \\ a_{31} & \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_2 & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where

$$a_{11} = \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2$$

$$a_{13} = -\cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2$$

$$a_{14} = -R_1 \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} R_1 \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 + R_2$$

$$a_{31} = -\sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2$$

$$a_{33} = \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2$$

$$a_{34} = R_1 \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} R_1 \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2.$$

Exercise 3.20.

Since the two wheels roll the same distance, we can represent the rotation angle of the two wheels with one variable θ :

$$T_{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I & L \sin \theta \\ L \cos \theta \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{bc} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I & 2r \\ 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{ac} = T_{ab}T_{bc}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I & L \sin \theta + 2r \\ L \cos \theta \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exercise 3.21.

(a) From the given T_{ab} , $q_{ab} = (-100, 300, 500)^T$ and

$$R_{ab} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Therefore $r_a = p_a - q_{ab}$, which leads to

$$r_b = R_{ab}^T r_a = R_{ab}^T (p_a - q_{ab}) = (500, -100, -500)^T.$$

(b) From the given $p_{ac}=p_a$ and $R_{ac}=Rot(x,30^\circ),\,T_{ac}$ can be derived as follows:

$$T_{ac} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\frac{\pi}{6} & -\sin\frac{\pi}{6} & 800 \\ 0 & \sin\frac{\pi}{6} & \cos\frac{\pi}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 T_{bc} can then be computed as follows:

$$T_{bc} = T_{ab}^{-1} T_{ac} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 500 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -100 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -500 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 3.22.

(a) Since the platform is rising vertically, $p_{01} = (0, 0, vt)^{\mathsf{T}}$, with the \hat{y}_2 -axis of the laser frame pointing at the target. Thus $R_{12} = \text{Rot}(\hat{z}, -\alpha) \text{Rot}(\hat{x}, -\gamma)$, where α and γ satisfy

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{1 - \cos \theta}{1 - \sin \theta}, \ \tan \gamma = \frac{Lt}{\sqrt{(1 - \cos \theta)^2 + (1 - \sin \theta)^2}}.$$

The target is rotating about its \hat{z} -axis, or $R_{03} = \text{Rot}(\hat{z}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega t)$. T_{01}, T_{12}, T_{03} can be therefore be as follows:

$$T_{01} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I & 0 \\ vt \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, -\alpha)\text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, -\gamma) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{03} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega t) & 1 - \sin \omega t \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$T_{23} = (T_{02})^{-1}T_{03}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, -\alpha)\operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, -\gamma) & 0 \\ vt \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega t) & 1 - \sin \omega t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \gamma)\operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \alpha) & vt \sin \gamma \\ -vt \cos \gamma \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega t) & 1 - \sin \omega t \\ \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega t) & 1 - \sin \omega t \\ 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \gamma)\operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega t + \alpha) & \cos \alpha(1 - \cos \omega t) - \sin \alpha(1 - \sin \omega t) \\ \cos \gamma(\sin \alpha(1 - \cos \omega t) + \cos \alpha(1 - \sin \omega t)) + vt \sin \gamma \\ \sin \gamma(\sin \alpha(1 - \cos \omega t) + \cos \alpha(1 - \sin \omega t)) - vt \cos \gamma \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 3.23.

The moving frame $\{t\}$ can be placed on the table as shown in Figure 3.3, with the origin at the center of the table and whose orientation is $\text{Rot}(\hat{z}, \theta)$ with respect to the $\{0\}$ frame. Set the $\{t_1\}$ frame to $\theta = \frac{v_1 t}{r}$, and the $\{t_2\}$ frame to $\theta = 45^{\circ}$.






Figure 3.3

(a) Compute and substitute the rotation matrices R_{ij} and the position vectors p_{ij} as follows:

$$T_{0t} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 & L \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & H \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ T_{t_11} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & R \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ T_{t_22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & R - v_2 t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Substitute $\theta = \frac{v_1 t}{r}$ for the $\{t_1\}$ frame and $\theta = 45^{\circ}$ for the $\{t_2\}$ frame into T_{ot} . T_{01}, T_{02} can then be obtained as functions of t:

$$T_{01} = T_{0t_1} T_{t_1 1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos\frac{v_1 t}{2} & \sin\frac{v_1 t}{2} & 0 & 1 - 2\sin\frac{v_1 t}{2} \\ -\sin\frac{v_1 t}{2} & -\cos\frac{v_1 t}{2} & 0 & 1 + 2\cos\frac{v_1 t}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{02} = T_{0t_2} T_{t_2 2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & 1 - \sqrt{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} v_2 t \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & 1 + \sqrt{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} v_2 t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) Substituting the result obtained from (a),

$$\begin{split} T_{12} &= T_{01}^{-1} T_{02} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} R_{01} & p_{01} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} R_{02} & p_{02} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} R_{01}^T & -R_{01} p_{01} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{02} & p_{02} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{01}^T R_{02} & R_{01}^T p_{02} - R_{01} p_{01} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\cos \frac{v_1 t}{2} & -\sin \frac{v_1 t}{2} & 0 & \sin \frac{v_1 t}{2} + \cos \frac{v_1 t}{2} \\ \sin \frac{v_1 t}{2} & -\cos \frac{v_1 t}{2} & 0 & 2 - \sin \frac{v_1 t}{2} + \cos \frac{v_1 t}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & 1 - \sqrt{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} v_2 t \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & 1 + \sqrt{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} v_2 t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Exercise 3.24.

Calculate the configuration of the robot at t=4 sec, $(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3)=(\pi,\frac{\pi}{2},-\pi)$. Since $\theta_1=\pi$ and the pitch

h = 2, we have $L_1(t = 4) = 10 + 1 = 11$.

$$\begin{split} T &= \begin{bmatrix} R & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \text{where} & R &= Rot(\hat{z},\pi)Rot(-\hat{y},\frac{\pi}{2})Rot(-\hat{z},-\pi), \\ p &= Rot(\hat{z},\pi) \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 2 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -2 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

To sum up, the transformation matrix of the tip T can be computed as follows:

$$T = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 11 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Exercise 3.25.

(a) From AX = XB, we have $B = X^{-1}AX$. Substitute the given A, X into B:

$$B = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

(b) Suppose that both $\operatorname{tr}(R_A)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(R_B)$ are not equal to -1, so that α and β are uniquely defined. Rewriting $R_A R_X = R_X R_A$ as $e^{[\alpha]} R_X = R_X e^{[\beta]}$ and using the property $R[w] R^T = [Rw]$ and $Re^{[w]} R^T$,

$$e^{[\alpha]} = R_X e^{[\beta]} R_X^T = e^{R_X [\beta] R_X^T} = e^{[R_X \beta]}.$$

This leads to the necessary and sufficient condition.

$$\alpha = R_X \beta. \tag{3.8}$$

Since R_X is orthogonal, a solution exists if and only if $\|\alpha\| = \|\beta\|$. The condition $\|\alpha\| = \|\beta\|$ also holds when R_A and R_B have trace -1, since then $\|\alpha\| = \|\beta\| = \pi$.

(c) From Equation (3.8) we can construct a set of equations $\alpha_i = R_X \beta_i$ i = 1, ..., k. Suppose two pairs of (A_i, B_i) are obtained in a physical setting where a solution $X \in SE(3)$ is known to exist. If $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2 \neq 0$ and $\beta_1 \times \beta_2 \neq 0$, a unique solution to Equation (3.8) is

$$R_X = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}^{-1}$$
,

where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are matrices whose columns are the vectors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$, and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_1 \times \beta_2$, respectively:

$$\mathcal{A} = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2]$$

$$\mathcal{B} = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_1 \times \beta_2]$$

The translational components of $A_iX = XB_i$ can be written

$$R_{A_i}p_X + p_A = R_X p_{B_i} + p_X$$

 $[R_{A_i} - I]p_X = R_X p_{B_i} - p_{A_i}.$

The matrix $(R_{A_i} - I)$ has rank two and its null space is spanned by $\{\alpha_i\}$, so p_X cannot be determined uniquely yet. Satisfying our assumption (i.e. $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2 \neq 0$), a *unique* solution for p_X can be found by solving the following augmented matrix equation:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} R_{A_1} - I \\ R_{A_2} - I \end{array}\right] p_X = \left[\begin{array}{c} R_X p_{B_1} - p_{A_1} \\ R_X p_{B_2} - p_{A_2} \end{array}\right].$$

The minimum number of k is 2 for a unique solution $X \in SE(3)$ to exist.

Exercise 3.26.

$$\mathcal{V}\dot{ heta} = \mathcal{S} = egin{bmatrix} \hat{s} \ -\hat{s} imes q + h\hat{s} \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3 \ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Drawn as Figure 3.4.




Figure 3.4

Exercise 3.27.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{V} &= [\omega, v] = [0\ 2\ 2\ 4\ 0\ 0]^T \\ \omega &= [0\ 2\ 2]^T \quad v = [4\ 0\ 0]^T \\ \hat{s} &= \omega/||\omega|| = [0\ 1/\sqrt{2}\ 1/\sqrt{2}] \\ v &= v = [\sqrt{2}\ 0\ 0]^T \\ h &= \hat{\omega}^T v/\dot{\theta} = 0 \\ q &= [0\ 1\ -1]^T \end{split}$$

Drawn as Figure 3.5.

Exercise 3.28.

$$R_{bs} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies R_{bs}\hat{\omega_s} = \hat{\omega_b} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$




Figure 3.5

Exercise 3.29.

Given two frames {a} and {b} attached to a rigid body, the representations of a twist with respect to these two frames are related by

$$S_a = [Ad_{T_{ab}}]S_b \tag{3.9}$$

$$w_a = R_{ab}w_b (3.10)$$

$$v_a = [p_{ab}]R_{ab}w_b + R_{ab}v_b. (3.11)$$

Transforming the twists into coordinates of the space frame {s},

$$S_a^s = [Ad_{T_{sa}}]S_a (3.12)$$

$$S_b^s = [Ad_{T_{sb}}]S_b$$
 (3.13)
 $w_a^s = R_{sa}w_a$ (3.14)
 $w_b^s = R_{sb}w_b$ (3.15)

$$w_a^s = R_{sa}w_a (3.14)$$

$$w_b^s = R_{sb}w_b (3.15)$$

$$w_b^s = R_{sb}w_b$$
 (3.15)
 $v_a^s = [p_{sa}]R_{sa}w_a + R_{sa}v_a$ (3.16)

$$v_b^s = [p_{sb}]R_{sb}w_b + R_{sb}v_b. (3.17)$$

where $(\cdot)_a^s$ is $(\cdot)_a$ expressed in the $\{s\}$ frame, and $(\cdot)_b^s$ is $(\cdot)_b$ expressed in the $\{s\}$ frame. Thus, if we substitute Equations (3.10) and (3.11) into (3.14) and (3.16),

$$\begin{array}{lll} w_a^s & = & R_{sa}R_{ab}w_b = R_{sb}w_b = w_b^s \\ v_a^s & = & [p_{sa}]R_{sa}R_{ab}w_b + R_{sa}([p_{ab}]R_{ab}w_b + R_{ab}v_b) \\ & = & [p_{sa} + R_{sa}p_{ab}]R_{sb}w_b + R_{sb}v_b = [p_{sb}]R_{sb}w_b + R_{sb}v_b \\ & = & v_b^s. \end{array}$$

In summary, the twist associated with the motion of a rigid body is always the same when expressed in the space frame, regardless of where the body frame is attached to the body:

$$S_a^s = S_b^s$$
.

Exercise 3.30.

(a) First, compute T_{12} for both cases:

Case 1:
$$T_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
Case 2:
$$T_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Cases 1 and 2 can be thought as a screw motion that translates and rotates along the vertical screw shown in Figure 3.6. Let




Figure 3.6

$$\begin{split} [\mathcal{S}] &= \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\omega] & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\hat{\omega}] & \hat{v} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \theta = [\hat{\mathcal{S}}] \theta \\ \mathrm{e}^{[S]} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{[\hat{w}]\theta} & G(\theta)\hat{v} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Case 1: Based on the physical meaning of a screw, we can compute \hat{S} as $\hat{\omega} = (0,0,1)^T$, $\hat{v} = (v_1,0,\frac{1}{2n\pi})^T$, where $v_1 = ||r \times \hat{w}||$ depends on the location of the axis r. However, the orientation is not changed and $\theta = 2n\pi$. From $[S] = [\hat{S}]\theta$, the exponential coordinates can be derived as follows:

$$\omega = (0, 0, 2n\pi)^{\mathsf{T}}, v = (2n\pi v_1, 0, 1)^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

Case 2: Similarly, the orientation is rotated about the \hat{z} axis by a half-circle, thus $\theta = 2n\pi + \pi$. Therefore $\hat{\omega} = (0,0,1)^{\mathsf{T}}$ and $\hat{v} = (v_1',0,\frac{1}{2n\pi+\pi})^{\mathsf{T}}$, where v_1' also depends on the axis. From $[S] = [\hat{S}]\theta$, the exponential coordinates can be derived as follows:

$$\omega = (0, 0, (2n+1)\pi)^T, v = ((2n+1)\pi v_1', 0, 1)^T.$$

(b) Restrict the norm of w as $||w|| \le \pi$. From the answers obtained in (a), Case 1: To satisfy the restriction, $\omega = (0,0,0)^T$, otherwise the norm of ω exceeds π . Thus, the joint can be considered as a prismatic joint. From $[S] = [\hat{S}]\theta$, the exponential coordinates can be derived as follows:

$$\omega = (0, 0, 0)^T, v = (0, 0, 1)^T.$$

Case 2: To satisfy the restriction, $\theta = \pm \pi$. The screw can be computed as $\hat{w} = (0,0,1)^{\mathsf{T}}$ and $\hat{v} = (\pm 1,0,\frac{1}{\pm \pi})^{\mathsf{T}}$. From $[S] = [\hat{S}]\theta$, the exponential coordinates can be derived as follows:

$$\omega = (0, 0, \pm \pi)^T, v = (\pi, 0, 1)^T.$$

Exercise 3.31.

Since the force is applied at the center of mass there is no torque, therefore the wrench vector in the effector frame can be written as:

$$\mathcal{F}_e = egin{bmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

From the example we get the transformation matrix of the object relative to the robot hand to be:

$$T_{ce} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -75 \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & -260/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 130/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

By defintion $\mathcal{F}_c = [\mathrm{Ad}T_{ec}]^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{F}_e$, and $T_{ec} = T_{ce}^{-1}$, which gives us the matrices:

$$T_{ec} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & -65\\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 195\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 75\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[AdT_{ec}]^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1.0000 & 195.0000 & 65.0000 & 0\\ -0.7071 & 0.7071 & 0 & -53.0330 & -53.0330 & 91.9239\\ -0.7071 & -0.7071 & 0 & 53.0330 & -53.0330 & 183.8478\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.0000\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.7071 & 0.7071 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.7071 & -0.7071 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \mathcal{F}_c = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 919.2\\ 1838.5\\ 10\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exercise 3.32.

(a) Let
$$T_{oa} = (R_{oa}, p_a)$$
 and $T_{ob} = (R_{ob}, p_b)$. Then

$$T_{o'a} = (R_s R_{oa}, R_s p_a + p_s)$$

= $(R'_{oa}, p'_a),$

and

$$T_{o'b} = (R_s R_{ob}, R_s p_b + p_s)$$

= (R'_{ob}, p'_b) .

We can write $R_{ab} = R_{oa}^T R_{ob}$ and $p_{ab} = p_b - p_a$. Also,

$$R'_{ab} = (R'_{oa})^T R'_{ob}$$

$$= R^T_{oa} R^T_s R_s R_{ob}$$

$$= R^T_{oa} R_{ob}$$

$$= R_{ab}.$$

and

$$p'_{ab} = p'_b - p'_a$$

$$= R_s(p_b - p_a)$$

$$= R_s p_{ab}.$$

Then from the given distance formula,

$$\operatorname{dist}(T_{o'a}, T_{o'b}) = \sqrt{\theta'^2 + \|p'_{ab}\|^2}$$

where $\theta' = \cos^{-1}((\operatorname{tr}(R'_{ab}) - 1)/2)$. (b) Since $R_{ab} = R'_{ab}$, $\theta' = \theta$. We also have $||R_s p_{ab}||^2 = ||p_{ab}||^2$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{dist}(T_{o'a}, T_{o'b}) = \operatorname{dist}(T_{oa}, T_{ob}),$$

for all $S \in SE(3)$.

Exercise 3.33.

(a) For the matrix exponential, decompose A into PDP^{-1} by obtaining the eigenvalues and eigenvectors

$$\det(Is - A) = (s+2)(s+1) = 0.$$

The eigenvalues of A are -1 and -2. Hence,

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(eigenvector matrix), $P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

The general solution can be written as

$$\begin{split} x(t) &= e^{At} x(0) = P e^{Dt} P^{-1} x(0) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} x(0) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{-2t} & e^{-t} - e^{-2t} \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{bmatrix} x(0). \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$.

(b)

$$\det(Is - A) = (s - 2)^2 + 1 = 0.$$

The eigenvalues of A are 2+i and 2-i. Hence,

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 2+i & 0 \\ 0 & 2-i \end{bmatrix}, \ P = \begin{bmatrix} i & -i \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (eigenvector matrix)}, \ P^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -i & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The general solution can be written as

$$x(t) = e^{At}x(0) = Pe^{Dt}P^{-1}x(0)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} i & -i \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{(2+i)t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{(2-i)t} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -i & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(0)$$

$$= e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{bmatrix} x(0).$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} x(t) = \infty$ (increasingly larger oscillations).

Exercise 3.34.

From the linear differential equation $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$,

$$\left\{
\begin{bmatrix}
-3e^{-3t} \\
9e^{-3t}
\end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix}
e^{-3t} \\
-3e^{-3t}
\end{bmatrix}, \\
\begin{bmatrix}
e^t \\
e^t
\end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix}
e^t \\
e^t
\end{bmatrix}.$$

From above one can obtain

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{array} \right].$$

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A are

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 1 \\ \lambda_2 = -3 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} v_1 = (1, 1) \\ v_2 = (1, -3) \end{cases}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} e^{At} &= Pe^{Dt}P^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-3t} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 3/4 & 1/4 \\ 1/4 & -1/4 \end{array} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 3e^t + e^{-3t} & e^t - e^{-3t} \\ 3e^t - 3e^{-3t} & e^t + 3e^{-3t} \end{array} \right]. \end{split}$$

Exercise 3.35.

Set $z(t) = e^{-At}x(t)$ and evaluate $\dot{z}(t)$:

$$\dot{z}(t) = -Ae^{-At}x(t) + e^{-At}\dot{x}(t)$$

$$= -Ae^{-At}x(t) + e^{-At}(Ax(t) + f(t))$$

$$\therefore \dot{z}(t) = e^{-At}f(t).$$

Integrate both sides from 0 to t:

$$\int_0^t \dot{z}(s) \, ds = \int_0^t e^{-As} f(s) \, ds.$$

Express the left side of the equation in terms of x(t):

$$\int_0^t \dot{z}(s) \, ds = z(t) - z(0)$$

$$= e^{-At} x(t) - e^{-A0} x(0)$$

$$\therefore \int_0^t \dot{z}(s) \, ds = e^{-At} x(t) - x(0).$$

Multiply e^{At} on the left to both sides of the previous equation,

$$e^{At} \left(e^{-At} x(t) - x(0) \right) = e^{At} \int_0^t e^{-As} f(s) \, ds$$
$$x(t) - e^{At} x(0) = e^{At} \int_0^t e^{-As} f(s) \, ds$$
$$\therefore x(t) = e^{At} x(0) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} f(s) \, ds.$$

Exercise 3.36.

(a) The rotation matrix corresponding to the ZXZ Euler angles can be represented as

$$\begin{split} R &= \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \alpha) \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \beta) \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \gamma) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \beta & -\sin \beta \\ 0 & \sin \beta & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma & 0 \\ \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \cos \gamma - \sin \alpha \sin \gamma \cos \beta & -\cos \alpha \sin \gamma - \sin \alpha \cos \gamma \cos \beta & \sin \alpha \sin \beta \\ \sin \alpha \cos \gamma + \cos \alpha \cos \beta \sin \gamma & -\sin \alpha \sin \gamma + \cos \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma & -\cos \alpha \sin \beta \\ \sin \beta \sin \gamma & \sin \beta \cos \gamma & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Since $\beta = \tan^{-1} \frac{\sin \beta}{\cos \beta} = \tan^{-1} \frac{\pm \sqrt{r_{13}^2 + r_{23}^2}}{r_{33}}$, we consider two possible cases: Case 1. $0 \le \beta \le \pi$,

$$\beta = \operatorname{atan2}(\sqrt{r_{13}^2 + r_{23}^2}, r_{33}),$$

$$\alpha = \operatorname{atan2}(r_{13}, -r_{23}),$$

$$\gamma = \operatorname{atan2}(r_{31}, r_{32}).$$

Case 2. $-\pi \leq \beta \leq 0$,

$$\beta = \operatorname{atan2}(-\sqrt{r_{13}^2 + r_{23}^2}, r_{33}),$$

$$\alpha = \operatorname{atan2}(-r_{13}, r_{23}),$$

$$\gamma = \operatorname{atan2}(-r_{31}, -r_{32}).$$

(b) From the result obtained in (a), we can find two sets of Euler angles: Case 1. $0 \le \beta \le \pi$,

$$\alpha = \pi, \ \beta = \frac{\pi}{4}, \ \gamma = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

Case 2. $-\pi \leq \beta \leq 0$,

$$\alpha = 0, \ \beta = -\frac{\pi}{4}, \ \gamma = -\frac{3\pi}{4}.$$

Exercise 3.37.

R is calculated as follows:

$$R = e^{[\hat{\omega}_1]\theta_1} e^{[\hat{\omega}_2]\theta_2} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & -\sin\theta_1 & 0\\ \sin\theta_1 & \cos\theta_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta_2 & \frac{\sin\theta_2}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\sin\theta_2}{\sqrt{2}}\\ -\frac{\sin\theta_2}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\cos\theta_2+1}{2} & \frac{\cos\theta_2-1}{2}\\ -\frac{\sin\theta_2}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\cos\theta_2-1}{2} & \frac{\cos\theta_2+1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, the third row of R is the same as the third row of $e^{[\hat{\omega}_2]\theta_2}$. By comparing $(-\frac{\sin\theta_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\cos\theta_2-1}{2}, \frac{\cos\theta_2+1}{2})$ with the third row of the given R, which is $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$, it can be seen that there is no θ_2 which satisfies the condition. Therefore, the given orientation R is not reachable.

Exercise 3.38.

$$\begin{split} R &= \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \phi) \mathrm{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \theta) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ 0 & \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta \cos \phi & \cos \theta \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \theta \sin \phi & \cos \theta \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Both ϕ and θ range in value over a 2π interval.

Exercise 3.39.

(a) $R = \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_0, \alpha) \text{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_0, \beta) \text{Rot}(\hat{\omega}, \gamma)$, where $\hat{\omega} = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$. Hence,

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix} Rot(\hat{w}, \gamma),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\omega},\gamma) &= I + \sin\gamma[\hat{\omega}] + (1 - \cos\gamma)[\hat{\omega}]^2 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos\gamma) & \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\gamma) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\gamma \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\gamma) & \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos\gamma) & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\gamma \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\gamma & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\gamma & \cos\gamma \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

(b) (i) From Figure 3.7, $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (0, 0, \pi)$ or $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi, 0)$.




Figure 3.7

(ii) By comparing R_{03} derived in (a) with $e^{[\hat{\omega}]\frac{\pi}{2}}$, (α, β, γ) can be calculated. Denoting the (i, j)th element of R_{03} by r_{ij} ,

$$r_{11} + r_{12} = \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \sin \alpha = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$$
 (3.18)

$$r_{21} + r_{22} = \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \cos \alpha = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{1}{5}$$
 (3.19)

$$r_{31} + r_{32} = -\sin\beta = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{2}{5}. (3.20)$$

From Equation (3.20), $\beta = 2.71^{\circ}$ or $\beta = 177.29^{\circ}$.

Case 1. $\beta = 2.71^{\circ}$.

By Equations (3.18) and (3.19), $\alpha = 84.23^{\circ}$. Substituting β into r_{31} and r_{32} , $\gamma = 34.91^{\circ}$.

Case 2. $\beta = 177.29^{\circ}$.

By Equations (3.18) and (3.19), $\alpha = 354^{\circ}$. Substituting β into r_{31} and r_{32} , $\gamma = 219^{\circ}$.

Exercise 3.40.

(a) Compute the unit quaternion $q \in \mathbb{R}^4$ from the corresponding rotation matrix $R \in SO(3)$. Following the definition and using the Rodrigues formula,

$$q = [\eta, \varepsilon^{\mathsf{T}}] = [\cos(\theta/2), \hat{w}\sin(\theta/2)]^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$R = e^{[\hat{w}]}\theta = I + \sin\theta[\hat{w}] + (1 - \cos\theta)[\hat{w}]^{2},$$

$$(3.21)$$

$$R = e^{[\hat{w}]}\theta = I + \sin\theta[\hat{w}] + (1 - \cos\theta)[\hat{w}]^2, \tag{3.22}$$

where \hat{w} is the unit vector in the direction of the rotation axis, and θ is the angle of rotation. First obtain θ and \hat{w} from Equation (3.22), and compute the quaternion q with θ and \hat{w} obtained from Equation (3.21). Useful formulas for a rotation matrix are

$$tr(R) = 2\cos\theta + 1 = 4\cos^2(\theta/2) - 2$$

$$\frac{R - R^{\mathsf{T}}}{2} = \sin\theta[\hat{w}] = 2\sin(\theta/2)\cos(\theta/2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -w_3 & w_2 \\ w_3 & 0 & -w_1 \\ -w_2 & w_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using these formulas, we can derive the quaternion as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} q_0 &= \eta &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + tr(R)} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + r_{11} + r_{22} + r_{33}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \end{bmatrix} &= \varepsilon &= \frac{1}{4q_0} \begin{bmatrix} r_{32} - r_{23} \\ r_{13} - r_{31} \\ r_{21} - r_{12} \end{bmatrix}. \end{array}$$

(b) We now compute a rotation matrix from the corresponding quaternion. Following the definitions given in Equations (3.21) and (3.22),

$$\theta = 2\cos^{-1}q_0$$
$$[\varepsilon] = \frac{R - R^{\mathsf{T}}}{4q_0}.$$

We can derive the rotation matrix from the Rodrigues formula of Equation (3.22) as follows:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} q_0^2 + q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2 & 2(q_1q_2 - q_0q_3) & 2(q_0q_2 + q_1q_3) \\ 2(q_1q_2 + q_0q_3) & q_0^2 - q_1^2 + q_2^2 - q_3^2 & 2(q_2q_3 - q_0q_1) \\ 2(q_1q_3 - q_0q_2) & 2(q_0q_1 + q_2q_3) & q_0^2 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 + q_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) We now derive the formula for the product of the quaternions. Let $R_q, R_p \in SO(3)$ denote two rotation matrices corresponding to unit quaternions q, p, respectively:

$$R_q = \begin{bmatrix} q_0^2 + q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2 & 2(q_1q_2 - q_0q_3) & 2(q_0q_2 + q_1q_3) \\ 2(q_1q_2 + q_0q_3) & q_0^2 - q_1^2 + q_2^2 - q_3^2 & 2(q_2q_3 - q_0q_1) \\ 2(q_1q_3 - q_0q_2) & 2(q_0q_1 + q_2q_3) & q_0^2 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 + q_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_p = \begin{bmatrix} p_0^2 + p_1^2 - p_2^2 - p_3^2 & 2(p_1p_2 - p_0p_3) & 2(p_0p_2 + p_1p_3) \\ 2(p_1p_2 + p_0p_3) & p_0^2 - p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_3^2 & 2(p_2p_3 - p_0p_1) \\ 2(p_1p_3 - p_0p_2) & 2(p_0p_1 + p_2p_3) & p_0^2 - p_1^2 - p_2^2 + p_3^2 \end{bmatrix} .$$

Denote the products qp by n and R_qR_p by R_n . After some calculation, n can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 p_0 - q_1 p_1 - q_2 p_2 - q_3 p_3 \\ q_0 p_1 + q_1 p_0 + q_2 p_3 - q_3 p_2 \\ q_0 p_2 + q_2 p_0 - q_1 p_3 + q_3 p_1 \\ q_0 p_3 + q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1 - q_3 p_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The product formula for two unit quaternions is therefore given by

$$qp = n = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_n \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_q \eta_p - \varepsilon_q^{\mathsf{T}} \varepsilon_p \\ \eta_q \varepsilon_p + \eta_p \varepsilon_q + \varepsilon_q \times \varepsilon_p \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 3.41.

(a) First, calculate $(I + [r])^{-1}$:

$$(I+[r])^{-1} = \frac{1}{1+r^Tr} \begin{bmatrix} 1+r_1^2 & r_3+r_1r_2 & -r_2+r_1r_3 \\ -r_3+r_1r_2 & 1+r_2^2 & r_1+r_2r_3 \\ r_2+r_1r_3 & -r_1+r_2r_3 & 1+r_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1+r^Tr} (I+rr^T-[r]),$$

where $r = [r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3]^T$. Then,

$$R = (I - [r])^{2} (I + [r])^{-2}$$

$$= (I - 2[r] + [r]^{2}) \left(\frac{1}{1 + r^{T}r} (I + rr^{T} - [r])\right)^{2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{1 + r^{T}r}\right)^{2} (I - 2[r] + [r]^{2}) (I + rr^{T} - [r])^{2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{1 + r^{T}r}\right)^{2} (I - 2[r] + [r]^{2}) (I + (rr^{T})^{2} + [r]^{2} + 2rr^{T} - 2[r] - rr^{T}[r] - [r]rr^{T}).$$

Since $rr^T[r] = [r]rr^T = 0$,

$$R = \left(\frac{1}{1+r^Tr}\right)^2 (I-2[r]+[r]^2)(I+(rr^T)^2+[r]^2+2rr^T-2[r])$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{1+r^Tr}\right)^2 (I+2rr^T+(rr^T)^2-4[r]+6[r]^2-4[r]^3+[r]^4)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{1+r^Tr}\right)^2 ((I+rr^T)^2-4[r]+6[r]^2-4[r]^3+[r]^4).$$

The following equations can be derived from straightforward calculation: $[r]^2 = rr^T - r^T r I$, $[r]^3 = -r^T r [r]$, $[r]^4 = -r^T r [r]^2$. Substituting these into the equations,

$$R = \left(\frac{1}{1+r^{T}r}\right)^{2} (((1+r^{T}r)I+[r]^{2})^{2} - 4[r] + 6[r]^{2} - 4[r]^{3} + [r]^{4})$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{1+r^{T}r}\right)^{2} ((1+r^{T}r)^{2}I + 2(1+r^{T}r)[r]^{2} + [r]^{4} - 4[r] + 6[r]^{2} - 4[r]^{3} + [r]^{4})$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{1+r^{T}r}\right)^{2} ((1+r^{T}r)^{2}I - 4[r] + (8+2r^{T}r)[r]^{2} - 4[r]^{3} + 2[r]^{4})$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{1+r^{T}r}\right)^{2} ((1+r^{T}r)^{2}I - 4[r] + (8+2r^{T}r)[r]^{2} + 4r^{T}r[r] - 2r^{T}r[r]^{2})$$

$$= I + \left(\frac{1}{1+r^{T}r}\right)^{2} (-4(1-r^{T}r)[r] + 8[r]^{2})$$

$$= I - 4\frac{1-r^{T}r}{(1+r^{T}r)^{2}}[r] + \frac{8}{(1+r^{T}r)^{2}}[r]^{2}.$$

(b) Substituting $r = -\hat{w} \tan \frac{\theta}{4}$ into the formula in (a),

$$\begin{split} R &= I - 4 \frac{1 - r^T r}{(1 + r^T r)^2} [r] + \frac{8}{(1 + r^T r)^2} [r]^2 \\ &= I - 4 \frac{1 - \tan^2(\theta/4)}{(1 + \tan^2(\theta/4))^2} (-\tan\frac{\theta}{4}) [\hat{w}] + \frac{8}{(1 + \tan^2(\theta/4))^2} \tan^2\frac{\theta}{4} [\hat{w}]^2 \\ &= I + 4 \frac{\cos(\theta/2)}{\cos^2(\theta/4)} \cos^4\frac{\theta}{4} \tan\frac{\theta}{4} [\hat{w}] + 8 \cos^4\frac{\theta}{4} \tan^2\frac{\theta}{4} [\hat{w}]^2 \\ &= I + 4 \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \cos\frac{\theta}{4} \sin\frac{\theta}{4} [\hat{w}] + 8 \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{4} \sin\frac{\theta}{4}\right)^2 [\hat{w}]^2 \\ &= I + 2 \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \sin\frac{\theta}{2} [\hat{w}] + 8 \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2 [\hat{w}]^2 \\ &= I + \sin\theta [\hat{w}] + (1 - \cos\theta) [\hat{w}]^2 \\ &= e^{[\hat{w}]\theta}. \end{split}$$

The given r therefore satisfies the formula. This solution is not unique; another solution is given by

$$r = \frac{\hat{w}}{\tan(\theta/4)}.$$

(c) Let the angular velocity in the body-fixed frame be ω . Then ω satisfies the following equation:

$$[\omega] = R^T \dot{R},$$

where $[\omega]$ is the skew-symmetric representation of ω . Substituting the R derived in (a),

$$\dot{r} = \frac{1}{4} \{ (1 - r^T r)I + 2[r] + 2rr^T \} \omega.$$

For a detailed derivation, see [I.G. Kang and F.C. Park, Cubic spline algorithms for orientation interpolation, Int. J. Numerical Methods in Engineering, vol. 46 (1999): 45-64.].

(d) One of the advantages of the modified Cayley-Rodrigues parameters is that the singularity at π is now relocated to 2π ; rotations up to 2π are now possible. Referring to the radius π solid ball picture of SO(3), the modified Cayley-Rodrigues parameters can be obtained by "stretching" the solid ball of radius 2π (as opposed to π for the standard Cayley-Rodrigues parameters corresponding to the k=1 case) to infinity. However, one now loses the one-to-one correspondence between \mathbb{R}^3 and SO(3) that exists for the standard Cayley-Rodrigues parameters. Moreover, (i) the formulas for the angular velocity and acceleration become more complicated, (ii) one cannot obtain r from R by a simple rational expression as in the case of the standard Cayley-Rodrigues parameters, and (iii) multiplication of two rotation matrices in the modified parameters does not admit a simple rational expression like the standard parameters. Going to higher order, for the case k=4 it can be shown that the corresponding r is given by

$$r = \hat{w} \tan \frac{\theta}{8}.$$

As k increases, one obtains successively closer approximation (up to constant scaling factor) to the canonical coordinates—the nonlinear warping effect caused by the tangent function becomes less severe. As expected, however, the formulas are no longer simple rational expressions, but become increasingly complicated expressions involving transcendental functions.

- (e) Given two rotation matrices R_1 and R_2 , let $R_3 = R_1 R_2$, which is also a rotation matrix.
 - (i) Multiplying two rotation matrices: By simple calculation, it can be seen that 27 multiplications and 18 additions are needed. Therefore, a total of 45 arithmetic operations are needed. Note that by calculating the third column of R_3 as the cross-product of its first column and second column, 6 operations can be reduced.
 - (ii) Multiplying two unit quaternions: Let $q_i = (\eta_i, \varepsilon_i) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, (i = 1, 2, 3) denote the unit quaternion parameters for R_i , (i = 1, 2, 3), where $\eta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, (i = 1, 2, 3) and $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$, (i = 1, 2, 3). Then q_3 is calculated as follows:

$$q_3 = (\eta_1 \eta_2 - \varepsilon_1^T \varepsilon_2, \eta_1 \varepsilon_2 + \eta_2 \varepsilon_1 + (\varepsilon_1 \times \varepsilon_2)).$$

It can be seen that 16 multiplications and 12 additions are needed. Therefore, a total of 28 arithmetic operations are needed.

(iii) Multiplying two Cayley-Rodrigues vectors: Let $r_i = \in \mathbb{R}^3$, (i = 1, 2, 3) denote the Cayley-Rodrigues parameters for R_i , (i = 1, 2, 3). r_3 is then calculated as follows:

$$r_3 = \frac{r_1 + r_2 + (r_1 \times r_2)}{1 - r_1^T r_2}.$$

It can be seen that 9 multiplications and 15 additions are needed. Therefore, a total of 24 arithmetic operations are needed.

Exercise 3.42.

 ${\bf Programming\ assignment.}$

Exercise 3.43.

Programming assignment. Could check how close $R^TR - I$ is to the zero matrix, and whether $\det(R) \approx 1$.

Exercise 3.44.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 3.45.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 3.46.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 3.47.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 3.48.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 3.49.

Programming assignment.

Chapter 4 Solutions

Exercise 4.1.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 4.2.

By inspection M can be obtained as

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ell_1 + \ell_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ell_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The screw axes $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| i | ω_i | v_i |
|---|------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | (0, 0, 1) | (0, 0, 0) |
| 2 | (0, 0, 1) | $(\ell_1, 0, 0)$ |
| 3 | (0, 0, 1) | $(\ell_1 + \ell_2, 0, 0)$ |
| 4 | (0, 0, 0) | (0, 0, 1) |

The screw axes $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| i | ω_i | v_i |
|---|------------|------------------------|
| 1 | (0, 0, 1) | $(-\ell_1-\ell_2,0,0)$ |
| 2 | (0, 0, 1) | $(-\ell_2, 0, 0)$ |
| 3 | (0, 0, 1) | (0, 0, 0) |
| 4 | (0, 0, 0) | (0, 0, 1) |

The end-effector configuration $T \in SE(3)$ can be found, using the FKinSpace and the FKinBody functions, as

$$T = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Exercise 4.3.

$$T(\theta) = Me^{[\mathcal{B}_1]\theta_1}e^{[\mathcal{B}_2]\theta_2}e^{[\mathcal{B}_3]\theta_3}$$

The end-effector zero position configuration M is given by

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} R & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & L_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -L_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The values of the screw parameters $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | ω_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| 1 | (-1,0,0) | $(0,0,-L_1)$ | $(0, L_1, 0)$ |
| 2 | (0, -1, 0) | $(-L_2,0,0)$ | $(0,0,L_2)$ |
| 3 | (0,0,1) | (0,0,0) | (0,0,0) |

Exercise 4.4.

$$T(\theta) = Me^{[\mathcal{B}_1]\theta_1}e^{[\mathcal{B}_2]\theta_2}\cdots e^{[\mathcal{B}_6]\theta_6}$$

The end-effector zero position configuration M is given by

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} R & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L_1 + L_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The values of the screw parameters $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | ω_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 1 | (0,0,1) | $(0, -L_1 - L_2, 0)$ | $(-L_1-L_2,0,0)$ |
| 2 | (1,0,0) | $(0, -L_1 - L_2, 0)$ | $(0,0,L_1+L_2)$ |
| 3 | (0,0,0) | - | (0, 1, 0) |
| 4 | (0,1,0) | (0,0,0) | (0, 0, 0) |
| 5 | (1,0,0) | $(0, -L_2, 0)$ | $(0,0,L_2)$ |
| 6 | (0, 1, 0) | (0,0,0) | (0,0,0) |

Exercise 4.5.

Screw axes in the body frame for UR5 \mathcal{B}_i :

| i | ω_i | v_i |
|---|------------|------------------------|
| 1 | (0, 1, 0) | $(W_1+W_2,0,L_1+L_2)$ |
| 2 | (0, 0, 1) | $(H_2, -L_1 - L_2, 0)$ |
| 3 | (0, 0, 1) | $(H_2, -L_2, 0)$ |
| 4 | (0, 0, 1) | $(H_2, 0, 0)$ |
| 5 | (0, -1, 0) | $(-W_2, 0, 0)$ |
| 6 | (0, 0, 1) | (0, 0, 0) |

Exercise 4.6.

Screw axes in the body frame for the WAM arm S_i :

| i | ω_i | v_i |
|---|------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | (0, 1, 0) | $(-H_1+H_2,0,L_1+L_2)$ |
| 2 | (0, 0, 1) | $(-L_1-L_2-L_3+W_1+W_2, -L_1-L_2, 0)$ |
| 3 | (0, 1, 0) | $(-H_1+H_2,0,L_1+L_2)$ |
| 4 | (0, 0, 1) | $(-L_2-L_3+W_1+W_2, -L_1-L_2+W_1, 0)$ |
| 5 | (0, 1, 0) | $(-H_1+H_2,0,L_1+L_2)$ |
| 6 | (0, 1, 0) | $(-H_1+H_2-L_3, 0, L_1+L_2)$ |
| 7 | (0, 1, 0) | $(-H_1+H_2,0,L_1+L_2)$ |

Exercise 4.7.

By inspection M can be obtained as

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The screw axes $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| i | ω_i | v_i |
|---|------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | (0, 0, 0) | (0, 1, 0) |
| 2 | (0, 0, 1) | $(L_1, 0, 0)$ |
| 3 | (-1, 0, 0) | $(0, -h, L_1)$ |
| 4 | (-1, 0, 0) | $(0, -h, L_1 + L_2)$ |
| 5 | (-1, 0, 0) | $(0, -h, L_1 + L_2 + L_3)$ |
| 6 | (0, 1, 0) | (-h, 0, 1) |

The screw axes $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| i | ω_i | v_i |
|---|------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | (0, 0, 0) | (0, 1, 0) |
| 2 | (0, 0, 1) | $(-L_2-L_3-L_4,0,0)$ |
| 3 | (-1, 0, 0) | $(0, 0, -L_2 - L_3 - L_4)$ |
| 4 | (-1, 0, 0) | $(0, 0, -L_3 - L_4)$ |
| 5 | (-1, 0, 0) | $(0, 0, -L_4)$ |
| 6 | (0, 1, 0) | (0, 0, 0) |

Exercise 4.8.

$$T(\theta) = e^{[S_1]\theta_1} e^{[S_2]\theta_2} \cdots e^{[S_6]\theta_6} M$$
$$= M e^{[B_1]\theta_1} e^{[B_2]\theta_2} \cdots e^{[B_6]\theta_6}$$

The end-effector zero position configuration M is given by

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} R & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & L_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L_3 + L_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -L_5 - L_6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The values of the screw parameters $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | ω_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | (1,0,0) | (0, 0, 0) | (0,0,0) |
| 2 | (0,0,-1) | $(L_1,0,0)$ | $(0, L_1, 0)$ |
| 3 | (0, 1, 0) | $(L_1,0,L_2)$ | $(-L_2,0,L_1)$ |
| 4 | (1,0,0) | $(0, L_3, 0)$ | $(0,0,-L_3)$ |
| 5 | (0,0,0) | - | (0,1,0) |
| 6 | (0, 1, 0) | $(L_1,0,-L_5)$ | $(L_5, 0, L_1)$ |

The values of the screw parameters $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | ω_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | (1,0,0) | $(0, -L_3 - L_4, L_5 + L_6)$ | $(0, L_5 + L_6, L_3 + L_4)$ |
| 2 | (0,0,-1) | $(0, -L_3 - L_4, 0)$ | $(L_3 + L_4, 0, 0)$ |
| 3 | (0,1,0) | $(0,0,L_2+L_5+L_6)$ | $(-L_2-L_5-L_6,0,0)$ |
| 4 | (1,0,0) | $(0, -L_4, L_5 + L_6)$ | $(0, L_5 + L_6, L_4)$ |
| 5 | (0,0,0) | - | (0, 1, 0) |
| 6 | (0,1,0) | $(0,0,L_6)$ | $(-L_6,0,0)$ |

Exercise 4.9.

$$T(\theta) = e^{[S_1]\theta_1}e^{[S_2]\theta_2}\cdots e^{[S_6]\theta_6}M$$
$$= Me^{[B_1]\theta_1}e^{[B_2]\theta_2}\cdots e^{[B_6]\theta_6}$$

The end-effector zero position configuration M is given by

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} R & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 3L \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The values of the screw parameters $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | w_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | (0, 0, 1) | (0,0,0) | (0,0,0) |
| 2 | (1,0,0) | (0,0,-2L) | (0, -2L, 0) |
| 3 | (0,0,0) | - | (0, 1, 0) |
| 4 | (0,0,0) | - | (0,0,1) |
| 5 | (0, 1, 0) | (0,0,-L) | (L, 0, 0) |
| 6 | (0,0,-1) | (0, 3L, 0) | (-3L,0,0) |

The values of the screw parameters $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | w_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | (0,0,-1) | (-3L,0,0) | (0, -3L, 0) |
| 2 | (0, 1, 0) | (-3L,0,0) | (0,0,-3L) |
| 3 | (0,0,0) | - | (1,0,0) |
| 4 | (0,0,0) | - | (0,0,-1) |
| 5 | (1,0,0) | (0, 0, -L) | (0, -L, 0) |
| 6 | (0,0,1) | (0,0,0) | (0,0,0) |

Exercise 4.10.

$$T(\theta) = e^{[S_1]\theta_1} e^{[S_2]\theta_2} \cdots e^{[S_6]\theta_6} M$$
$$= M e^{[B_1]\theta_1} e^{[B_2]\theta_2} \cdots e^{[B_6]\theta_6}$$

The end-effector zero position configuration M is given by

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} R & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & (2 + \sqrt{3})L \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & (1 + \sqrt{3})L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The values of the screw parameters $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | w_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | (0,0,1) | (L, 0, 0) | (0, -L, 0) |
| 2 | (0,1,0) | (L, 0, 0) | (0, 0, L) |
| 3 | (0, 1, 0) | $((1+\sqrt{3})L,0,-L)$ | $(L,0,(1+\sqrt{3})L)$ |
| 4 | (0,1,0) | $((2+\sqrt{3})L,0,(\sqrt{3}-1)L)$ | $((1-\sqrt{3})L,0,(2+\sqrt{3})L)$ |
| 5 | (0,0,0) | - | (0, 0, 1) |
| 6 | (0,0,1) | $((2+\sqrt{3})L,0,0)$ | $(0, -(2+\sqrt{3})L, 0)$ |

The values of the screw parameters $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | w_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | (0,0,1) | $(-(1+\sqrt{3})L,0,0)$ | $(0,(1+\sqrt{3})L,0)$ |
| 2 | (0, 1, 0) | $(-(1+\sqrt{3})L,0,-(1+\sqrt{3})L)$ | $((1+\sqrt{3})L,0,-(1+\sqrt{3})L)$ |
| 3 | (0, 1, 0) | $(-L,0,-(2+\sqrt{3})L)$ | $((2+\sqrt{3})L,0,-L)$ |
| 4 | (0,1,0) | (0, 0, -2L) | (2L, 0, 0) |
| 5 | (0,0,0) | - | (0, 0, 1) |
| 6 | (0,0,1) | (0, 0, 0) | (0, 0, 0) |

Exercise 4.11.

$$T(\theta) = e^{[\mathcal{S}_1]\theta_1} e^{[\mathcal{S}_2]\theta_2} \cdots e^{[\mathcal{S}_5]\theta_5} M$$
$$= M e^{[\mathcal{B}_1]\theta_1} e^{[\mathcal{B}_2]\theta_2} \cdots e^{[\mathcal{B}_5]\theta_5}$$

The end-effector zero position configuration M is given by

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} R & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The values of the screw parameters $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | ω_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|--|----------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | (0,0,1) | (0,0,0) | (0,0,0) |
| 2 | (0,0,0) | - | (1,0,0) |
| 3 | (0,0,1) | (1,0,0) | (0, -1, 0) |
| 4 | (0, -1, 0) | (1,0,-1) | (-1,0,-1) |
| 5 | $(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ | (1,0,0) | $(0, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$ |

The values of the screw parameters $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | ω_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|---|-----------|------------------|
| 1 | (0,0,1) | (-3,0,0) | (0, 3, 0) |
| 2 | (0,0,0) | - | (1,0,0) |
| 3 | (0, 0, 1) | (-2,0,0) | (0, 2, 0) |
| 4 | (0, -1, 0) | (-2,0,-1) | (-1,0,2) |
| 5 | $\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ | (-2,0,0) | $(0,\sqrt{2},0)$ |

Exercise 4.12.

$$T(\theta) = e^{[S_1]\theta_1} e^{[S_2]\theta_2} \cdots e^{[S_6]\theta_6} M$$
$$= M e^{[B_1]\theta_1} e^{[B_2]\theta_2} \cdots e^{[B_6]\theta_6}$$

The end-effector zero position configuration M is given by

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} R & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & L \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & (4 + \sqrt{2})L \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\sqrt{2}L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The values of the screw parameters $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | w_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| 1 | (0, 1, 0) | (0, 0, 0) | (0, 0, 0) |
| 2 | (0, 0, 1) | (0, L, 0) | (L, 0, 0) |
| 3 | (0,0,0) | - | (0, 1, 0) |
| 4 | (0, 1, 0) | (0, 0, L) | (-L, 0, 0) |
| 5 | $(0,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ | (0,4L,L) | $\left(-\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}L,0,0\right)$ |
| 6 | (1,0,0) | $(0, (4+\sqrt{2})L, -\sqrt{2}L)$ | $(0, -\sqrt{2}L, -(4+\sqrt{2})L)$ |

| frame i | w_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|---|
| 1 | (0, 1, 0) | $(-L,0,\sqrt{2}L)$ | $(-\sqrt{2}L,0,-L)$ |
| 2 | (0,0,1) | $(-L, -(3+\sqrt{2})L, 0)$ | $(-(3+\sqrt{2})L,L,0)$ |
| 3 | (0,0,0) | - | (0,1,0) |
| 4 | (0, 1, 0) | $(-L,0,(1+\sqrt{2})L)$ | $(-(1+\sqrt{2})L,0,-L)$ |
| 5 | $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ | (-L,0,L) | $\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}L, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}L, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}L\right)$ |
| 6 | (1 0 0) | (0,0,0) | (0,0,0) |

The values of the screw parameters $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

Setting $\theta_5 = \pi$ and all other joint variables to zero, $e^{[S_1]\theta_1} = e^{[S_2]\theta_2} = e^{[S_3]\theta_3} = e^{[S_4]\theta_4} = e^{[S_6]\theta_6} = I$, while $e^{[S_5]\theta_5}$ can be expressed by following formula:

$$e^{[\mathcal{S}]\theta} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} e^{[\omega]\theta} & \left(I\theta + (1-\cos\theta)[\omega] + (\theta-\sin\theta)[\omega]^2\right)v \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Then $e^{[S_5]\theta_5}$ becomes

$$e^{[\mathcal{S}_5]\theta_5} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 5L\\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 5L\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence T_{06} becomes

$$T_{06} = e^{[\mathcal{S}_5]\theta_5} M = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & -L \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & (5+\sqrt{2})L \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & (1-\sqrt{2})L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right],$$

and T_{60} is T_{06}^{-1} .

Exercise 4.13.

$$\begin{array}{lcl} T(\theta) & = & e^{[\mathcal{S}_1]\theta_1}e^{[\mathcal{S}_2]\theta_2}\cdots e^{[\mathcal{S}_6]\theta_6}M \\ & = & Me^{[\mathcal{B}_1]\theta_1}e^{[\mathcal{B}_2]\theta_2}\cdots e^{[\mathcal{B}_6]\theta_6} \end{array}$$

The end-effector zero position configuration M is given by

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The values of the screw parameters $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | w_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|---|-----------|----------------------------|
| 1 | (0, 0, 1) | (0, 0, 0) | (0,0,0) |
| 2 | (1,0,0) | (0, 0, 2) | (0, 2, 0) |
| 3 | (1,0,0) | (0, 1, 2) | (0, 2, -1) |
| 4 | (0,0,0) | - | (0, 1, 0) |
| 5 | $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ | (0, 1, 0) | $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0,0)$ |
| 6 | (0,0,-1) | (0, 4, 0) | (-4,0,0) |

The values of the screw parameters $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | w_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|--|-------------|------------------|
| 1 | (0,0,-1) | (0, -4, 0) | (4,0,0) |
| 2 | (-1,0,0) | (0, -4, -1) | (0,1,-4) |
| 3 | (-1,0,0) | (0, -3, -1) | (0,1,-3) |
| 4 | (0,0,0) | - | (0, 1, 0) |
| 5 | $(0,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ | (0,-1,-1) | $(\sqrt{2},0,0)$ |
| 6 | (0, 0, 1) | (0,0,0) | (0,0,0) |

Exercise 4.14.

By inspection M can be obtained as:

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L_0 + L_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & L_1 - L_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The screw axes $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| i | ω_i | v_i |
|---|------------|------------------|
| 1 | (0, 0, 1) | $(L_0, 0, 0)$ |
| 2 | (0, 0, 0) | (0, 1, 0) |
| 3 | (0, 0, -1) | $(-L_0-L_2,0,h)$ |

where $L_0 = 4$, $L_1 = 3$, $L_2 = 2$, $L_3 = 1$, and h = 0.1.

The screw axes $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| i | ω_i | v_i |
|---|------------|---------------|
| 1 | (0, 0, -1) | $(L_2, 0, 0)$ |
| 2 | (0, 0, 0) | (0, 1, 0) |
| 3 | (0, 0, 1) | (0, 0, -h) |

Using FKinSpace and FKinBody should give the following configuration T:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 - L_2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & L_0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & \pi h + L_1 - L_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -5 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2.314 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 4.15.

$$T(\theta) = e^{[S_1]\theta_1}e^{[S_2]\theta_2}e^{[S_3]\theta_3}M$$
$$= Me^{[B_1]\theta_1}e^{[B_2]\theta_2}e^{[B_3]\theta_3}$$

The end-effector zero position configuration M is given by

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} R & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L_2 + L_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -L_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Note that the first joint of the robot is a screw joint with nonzero pitch h. Hence, for frame $\{1\}$ we should use $v = -\omega \times q + h\omega$.

The values of the screw parameters $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | ω_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | (0,0,1) | (0,0,0) | (0, 0, h) |
| 2 | (0,1,0) | (0,0,0) | (0,0,0) |
| 3 | (1,0,0) | $(0, L_2, -L_3)$ | $(0, -L_3, -L_2)$ |

The values of the screw parameters $\mathcal{B}_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | ω_i | q_i | v_{i} |
|-----------|------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 1 | (0, 0, 1) | $(0, -L_2 - L_4, 0)$ | $(-L_2-L_4,0,h)$ |
| 2 | (0, 1, 0) | $(0,0,L_3)$ | $(-L_3,0,0)$ |
| 3 | (1,0,0) | $(0, -L_4, 0)$ | $(0,0,L_4)$ |

Exercise 4.16.

The forward kinematics of a four-dof open chain manipulator in its zero position is written in the following exponential form:

$$T_{04}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) = e^{[A_1]\theta_1} e^{[A_2]\theta_2} M e^{[A_3]\theta_3} e^{[A_4]\theta_4}.$$

Substituting $\theta_i = \theta'_i + \alpha_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, 4)$ into the above formula, the forward kinematics is of the form:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} T_{04}(\theta'_1,\theta'_2,\theta'_3,\theta'_4) & = & e^{[A_1](\theta'_1+\alpha_1)}e^{[A_2](\theta'_2+\alpha_2)}Me^{[A_3](\theta'_3+\alpha_3)}e^{[A_4](\theta'_4+\alpha_4)} \\ & = & e^{[A_1]\theta'_1}e^{[A_1]\alpha_1}e^{[A_2]\theta'_2}e^{[A_2]\alpha_2}Me^{[A_3]\alpha_3}e^{[A_3]\theta'_3}e^{[A_4]\alpha_4}e^{[A_4]\theta'_4} \\ & = & e^{[A_1]\theta'_1}e^{[A'_2]\theta'_2}e^{[A_1]\alpha_1}e^{[A_2]\alpha_2}Me^{[A_3]\alpha_3}e^{[A_4]\alpha_4}e^{[A'_3]\theta'_3}e^{[A_4]\theta'_4}, \end{array}$$

where $A'_2 = [Ad_{e^{[A_1]\alpha_1}}]A_2$ and $A'_3 = [Ad_{e^{-[A_4]\alpha_4}}]A_3$.

$$\begin{split} & \therefore [A'_1] &= [A_1] \\ & [A'_2] &= e^{[A_1]\alpha_1} [A_2] e^{-[A_1]\alpha_1} \\ & [A'_3] &= e^{-[A_4]\alpha_4} [A_3] e^{[A_4]\alpha_4} \\ & [A'_4] &= [A_4] \\ & M' &= e^{[A_1]\alpha_1} e^{[A_2]\alpha_2} M e^{[A_3]\alpha_3} e^{[A_4]\alpha_4} \end{split}$$

Exercise 4.17.

(a) As given in the problem, the forward kinematics of the manipulator is expressed as $T_{b_1b_2} = e^{[S_1]\theta_1}e^{[S_2]\theta_2}\cdots e^{[S_5]\theta_5}M$, with the end-effector 1 grasping the tree. Let S_i be the screw parameter of the i^{th} joint expressed in the $\{b_1\}$ frame, and $M \in SE(3)$ be the displacement from the $\{b_1\}$ frame to the $\{b_2\}$ frame. M and S_i can be derived as follows:

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} R & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 4L \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & L \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$S_{1} : w_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{2} : w_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -L \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{3} : w_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ 0 \\ L \end{bmatrix}, v_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ 0 \\ -L \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{4} : w_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 2L \\ L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ -2L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{5} : w_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 3L \\ 0 \\ L \end{bmatrix}, v_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ 0 \\ -3L \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) Here end-effector 2 is rigidly grasping a tree; the forward kinematics of the manipulator is expressed as

$$T_{b_2b_1} = e^{[A_5]\theta_5} e^{[A_4]\theta_4} e^{[A_3]\theta_3} N e^{[A_2]\theta_2} e^{[A_1]\theta_1}. \tag{4.1}$$

This equation can be modified as follows:

$$T_{b_2b_1} = e^{[A_5]\theta_5}e^{[A_4]\theta_4}e^{[A_3]\theta_3}e^{[A_2']\theta_2}e^{[A_1']\theta_1}N.$$

 $A_1' - A_5$ are the screw parameters of the i^{th} joint expressed in the $\{b_2\}$ frame, and $N \in SE(3)$ is the displacement from the $\{b_2\}$ frame to the $\{b_1\}$ frame. Therefore, N and $A_1' - A_5$ can be derived as follows:

$$N = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & -4L \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -L \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$A_{5} : w_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} -L \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{4} : w_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} -2L \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -2L \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{3} : w_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} -3L \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A'_{2} : w_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ L \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_1'$$
: $w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -3L\\0\\L \end{bmatrix}, v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -L\\0\\3L \end{bmatrix}.$

Now if we move N as below and compare it with Equation (4.1), we get the following:

$$T_{b_2b_1} = e^{[A_5]\theta_5} e^{[A_4]\theta_4} e^{[A_3]\theta_3} N e^{N^{-1}[A_2']N\theta_2} e^{N^{-1}[A_1']N\theta_1}$$

$$[A_{2}] = N^{-1}[A'_{2}]N$$

$$[A_{1}] = N^{-1}[A'_{1}]N$$

$$A_{2} : w_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -L \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{1} : w_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 4.18.

(a) As given in the problem, the forward kinematics of the robot A is expressed as

$$T_{Aa} = e^{[S_1]\theta_1} e^{[S_2]\theta_2} e^{[S_3]\theta_3} e^{[S_4]\theta_4} e^{[S_5]\theta_5} M_a$$

 S_i is the screw parameter of the i^{th} joint expressed in the $\{A\}$ frame, and $M_a \in SE(3)$ is the displacement from the $\{A\}$ frame to the $\{a\}$ frame at the zero position. Therefore, M_a and S_i are derived as follows:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & L_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$S_1 : w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_2$$
: $w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\mathcal{S}_3$$
 : $w_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ L_1 \end{bmatrix}, v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\mathcal{S}_4$$
: $w_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\mathcal{S}_5$$
 : $w_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ L_1 \end{bmatrix}, v_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$

(b) Because robots A and B are the same robot, T_{Bb} can be expressed as

$$T_{Bb} = e^{[S_1]\phi_1} e^{[S_2]\phi_2} e^{[S_3]\phi_3} e^{[S_4]\phi_4} e^{[S_5]\phi_5} M_{a}$$

where S_i and M_a are the same as in (a). The displacement T_{AB} from the {A} frame to the {B} frame, and the displacement T_{ab} from the {a} frame to the {b} frame, are derived as follows:

$$T_{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & L_3 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & L_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_{ab} = T_{ba} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the relation $T_{Aa} = T_{AB}T_{Bb}T_{ba}$,

$$T_{Aa} = T_{AB}T_{Bb}T_{ba}$$

$$e^{[S_1]\theta_1}e^{[S_2]\theta_2}\cdots e^{[S_5]\theta_5}M_a = T_{AB}e^{[S_1]\phi_1}e^{[S_2]\phi_2}\cdots e^{[S_5]\phi_5}M_aT_{ab},$$

$$e^{[S_1]\theta_1}e^{[S_2]\theta_2}\cdots e^{[S_5]\theta_5}M_a = e^{T_{AB}[S_1]T_{AB}^{-1}\phi_1}\cdots e^{T_{AB}[S_5]T_{AB}^{-1}\phi_5}T_{AB}M_aT_{ba},$$

$$(\because Pe^S = e^{PSP^{-1}}P)$$

$$e^{[S_1]\theta_1}e^{[S_2]\theta_2}\cdots e^{[S_5]\theta_5}M_a = e^{[B_1]\phi_1}e^{[B_2]\phi_2}\cdots e^{[B_5]\phi_5}T_{AB}M_aT_{ba},$$

$$e^{-[B_5]\phi_5}\cdots e^{-[B_1]\phi_1}e^{[S_1]\theta_1}\cdots e^{[S_5]\theta_5} = T_{AB}M_aT_{ba}M_a^{-1}.$$

where $[\mathcal{B}_i] = T_{AB}[\mathcal{S}_i]T_{AB}^{-1}$ or $\mathcal{B}_i = Ad_{T_{AB}}(\mathcal{A}_i)$, and $M = T_{AB}M_aT_{ba}M_a^{-1}$. The \mathcal{B}_i are the screw parameters of robot B as seen from $\{A\}$:

$$\mathcal{B}_{1}: w_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{2}: w_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{3} \\ L_{4} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{4} \\ -L_{3} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{3}: w_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{3} \\ 0 \\ L_{1} \end{bmatrix}, v_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_{1} \\ 0 \\ L_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{4}: w_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{5}: w_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L_{4} \\ L_{1} \end{bmatrix}, v_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -L_{1} \\ L_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = T_{AB}M_aT_{ba}M_a^{-1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & L_3 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & L_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & L_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -L_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -L_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2L_2 + L_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} .$$

Exercise 4.19.

(a) To derive the forward kinematics in the given form, it is convenient to use the Denavit-Hartenberg parameters. However, we are not able to find the Denavit-Hartenberg parameters for the given link reference frames. Correct link frames for finding the Denavit-Hartenberg parameters are given in Figure (4.1). Using frame {3} of the third link, the corresponding Denavit-Hartenberg parameters are as follows:

| i | α_{i-1} | a_{i-1} | d_i | θ_i |
|---|------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ | 0 | 2L | θ_1 |
| 2 | $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ | L | 0 | $\theta_2 - \frac{\pi}{2}$ |
| 3 | $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ | 0 | $L + \theta_3$ | $\frac{\pi}{2}$ |
| 4 | $\frac{\pi}{2}$ | 0 | -2L | θ_4 |
| 5 | $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ | 0 | L | $\theta_5 - \frac{\pi}{2}$ |




Figure 4.1

Otherwise, using the frame $\{3'\}$ of the third link, the corresponding Denavit-Hartenberg parameters for i = 3, 4 transform as follows:

| i | α_{i-1} | a_{i-1} | d_i | θ_i |
|---|------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| 3 | $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ | 0 | $L + \theta_3$ | $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ |
| 4 | $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ | 0 | -2L | $\theta_4 + \pi$ |

Using the Denavit-Hartenberg parameters derived above,

$$\begin{array}{lll} M_2 & = & \operatorname{Rot}\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Trans}\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, L\right) \cdot \operatorname{Rot}\left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ & = & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ M_3 & = & \operatorname{Rot}\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Trans}\left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, L\right) \cdot \operatorname{Rot}\left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ & = & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(\text{or using the frame } \{3'\}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right), \\ \mathcal{A}_2 & = & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T, \\ \mathcal{A}_3 & = & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T. \end{array}$$

(b) From the given forward kinematics in (a),

$$T_{sb} = M_{1}e^{[\mathcal{A}_{1}]\theta_{1}}M_{2}e^{[\mathcal{A}_{2}]\theta_{2}}\cdots M_{5}e^{[\mathcal{A}_{5}]\theta_{5}}$$

$$= \left(M_{1}e^{[\mathcal{A}_{1}]\theta_{1}}M_{1}^{-1}\right)\left(M_{1}M_{2}e^{[\mathcal{A}_{2}]\theta_{2}}M_{2}^{-1}M_{1}^{-1}\right)$$

$$\cdots \left(M_{1}\cdots M_{5}e^{[\mathcal{A}_{5}]\theta_{5}}M_{5}^{-1}\cdots M_{1}^{-1}\right)\left(M_{1}\cdots M_{5}\right)$$

$$= e^{M_{1}[\mathcal{A}_{1}]M_{1}^{-1}\theta_{1}}e^{(M_{1}M_{2})[\mathcal{A}_{2}](M_{1}M_{2})^{-1}\theta_{2}}\cdots e^{(M_{1}\cdots M_{5})[\mathcal{A}_{5}](M_{1}\cdots M_{5})^{-1}\theta_{5}}\left(M_{1}\cdots M_{5}\right)$$

$$= e^{[\mathcal{S}_{1}]\theta_{1}}e^{[\mathcal{S}_{2}]\theta_{2}}\cdots e^{[\mathcal{S}_{5}]\theta_{5}}M.$$

Therefore,

$$S_{1} = \operatorname{Ad}_{M_{1}}(A_{1}),$$

$$S_{2} = \operatorname{Ad}_{M_{1}M_{2}}(A_{2}),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$S_{5} = \operatorname{Ad}_{M_{1}\cdots M_{5}}(A_{5}),$$

$$M = M_{1}\cdots M_{5}.$$

Exercise 4.20.

The end-effector frame $\{b\}$ as seen from the fixed frame $\{0\}$ is

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -3L \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -L \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The values of the screw parameters $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i)$ are listed in the following table:

| frame i | ω_i | q_i | v_i |
|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| 1 | (0,0,0) | - | (0,0,1) |
| 2 | (0, 0, 1) | (0,0,0) | (0,0,0) |
| 3 | (0, -1, 0) | (-L, 0, L) | (L,0,L) |
| 4 | (0,0,0) | - | (-1,0,0) |
| 5 | (1,0,0) | (0, 0, L) | (0, L, 0) |
| 6 | (0,0,1) | (0,0,0) | (0,0,0) |

Notice that the last row of above table corresponds to the screw parameters as seen from the end-effector frame {b}. The forward kinematics is written in the following exponential form:

$$T_{06} = e^{[S_1]\theta_1} \cdots e^{[S_5]\theta_5} e^{[S'_6]\theta_6} M = e^{[S_1]\theta_1} \cdots e^{[S_5]\theta_5} M e^{M^{-1}[S'_6]M\theta_6}$$
$$= e^{[S_1]\theta_1} \cdots e^{[S_5]\theta_5} M e^{[S_6]\theta_6}$$

where $[S_6] = M^{-1}[S_6']M$.

 $[S_6] = M^{-1}[S'_6]M$ can be verified using following matrices:

Exercise 4.21.

$$T = \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \alpha) \operatorname{Trans}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, a) \operatorname{Trans}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, d) \operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \phi)$$

$$\tag{4.2}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi_i & 0 & a \\ \sin \phi \cos \alpha & \cos \phi \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & -d \sin \alpha \\ \sin \phi \sin \alpha & \cos \phi \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & d \cos \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.3)

(a)

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_a & p_a \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $R_a \notin SO(3)$, there is no solution for this T.

(b)

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & \sin \beta & 0 & 1\\ \sin \beta & -\cos \beta & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_b & p_b\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

By a correspondence with Equation (4.3),

$$\alpha = \tau$$

$$a =$$

$$d = 3$$

$$d = 2$$

$$\phi = -\beta \quad (\because \cos \phi = \cos \beta, \quad \sin \phi = -\sin \beta).$$

(c)

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_c & p_c \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

By a correspondence with Equation (4.3),

$$\cos \alpha = 0$$

$$d\cos\alpha = 2,$$

from which it follows that there is no solution for this T.

Chapter 5 Solutions

Exercise 5.1.

(a) Given a rolling rate $\omega=1$ and radius $r=1,\,v=r\omega=1,$ so that the rotation angle around the $-\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{\mathrm{s}}$ -axis is $\theta=\omega t=t$. Therefore

$$T_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{sb} & p_{sb} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & \sin t & 0 & t + \cos t \\ -\sin t & \cos t & 0 & 1 - \sin t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[\mathcal{V}_s(t)] = \dot{T}_{sb} T_{sb}^{-1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_s(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

(b) Position of the $\{b\}$ frame origin: $p_{sb} = (t + \cos t, 1 - \sin t, 0)^T$. Linear velocity of the $\{b\}$ frame origin: $\dot{p}_{sb} = (1 - \sin t, -\cos t, 0)^T$.

Exercise 5.2.

(a) The planar space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ can be computed and written in matrix form as follows:

$$J_s(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & -1 & -1 - 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The planar wrench expressed in the space frame, \mathcal{F}_s :

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \left[\begin{array}{c} m_s \\ f_s \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

So the set of joint torques τ can be obtained as

$$\tau = J_s^T(\theta)\mathcal{F}_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1 - 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5/\sqrt{2} \\ -5/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) Similarly to (a), the set of joint torques τ can be obtained as

$$\tau = J_s^T(\theta)\mathcal{F}_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1 - 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 + 5/\sqrt{2} \\ 5 + 5/\sqrt{2} \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 5.3.

(a) By inspection $M \in SE(2)$ can be obtained as

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The screw axes $S_i = (\omega_i, v_i) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are listed in the following table:

| i | ω_i | v_i |
|---|------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 1 | (0, 0) |
| 2 | 1 | $(0, -L_1)$ |
| 3 | 1 | $(0, -L_1 - L_2)$ |
| 4 | 1 | $(0, -L_1 - L_2 - L_3)$ |

(b) The planar body Jacobian $J_b(\theta)$ can be computed by either inspection in the body frame or transforming from the planar space Jacobian. The answer can be written in matrix form as follows:

$$J_b(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ L_3 s_4 + L_2 s_{34} + L_1 s_{234} & L_3 s_4 + L_2 s_{34} & L_3 s_4 & 0 \\ L_4 + L_3 c_4 + L_2 c_{34} + L_1 c_{234} & L_4 + L_3 c_4 + L_2 c_{34} & L_4 + L_3 c_4 & L_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) The space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ in the the configuration $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0, \theta_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \theta_4 = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ can be computed and written in matrix form as follows:

$$J_s(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & L_3 \\ 0 & -L_1 & -L_1 - L_2 & -L_1 - L_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The wrench expressed in the space frame, \mathcal{F}_s :

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \left[egin{array}{c} m_s \ f_s \end{array}
ight] = \left[egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \ 10 \ 10 \ 10 \ 0 \end{array}
ight].$$

So the set of joint torques τ can be obtained as

$$\tau = J_s^T(\theta)\mathcal{F}_s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -L_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -L_1 - L_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L_3 & -L_1 - L_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 10 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 - L_1 \\ 1 - L_1 - L_2 \\ 1 - L_1 - L_2 + L_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(d) Similarly to (a), the set of joint torques τ can be obtained as

$$\tau = J_s^T(\theta)\mathcal{F}_s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -L_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -L_1 - L_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L_3 & -L_1 - L_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -10 \\ -10 \\ 10 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = -10 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 + L_1 \\ 1 + L_1 + L_2 \\ 1 + L_1 + L_2 + L_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(e) Mathematically a singular posture is one in which the Jacobian $J(\theta)$ fails to be of maximal rank. In this case, based on $J_s(\theta)$, S_1 is linearly independent of S_2 , S_3 and S_4 . So at any singularity, S_2 , S_3 and S_4 should be linear dependent, which leads to $\theta_2 = \theta_3 = \theta_4 = k\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Exercise 5.4.

(a) The displacements from the contact frames to the can frame are given by

$$T_{b_1b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} R_{b_1b} & p_{b_1b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{b_2b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & L \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} R_{b_2b} & p_{b_2b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The total spatial force applied to the can expressed in $\{b\}$ coordinates is

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_b &= & [\mathrm{Ad}_{T_{b_1b}}]^T \mathcal{F}_{b_1} + [\mathrm{Ad}_{T_{b_2b}}]^T \mathcal{F}_{b_2} \\ &= & \begin{bmatrix} R_{b_1b}^T & R_{b_1b}^T [p_{b_1b}]^T \\ 0 & R_{b_1b}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ f_{b_1x} \\ f_{b_1y} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} R_{b_2b}^T & R_{b_2b}^T [p_{b_2b}]^T \\ 0 & R_{b_2b}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ f_{b_2x} \\ f_{b_2y} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -L \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ f_{b_1x} \\ f_{b_1y} \\ f_{b_1z} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & L \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ f_{b_2x} \\ f_{b_2x} \\ f_{b_2y} \\ f_{b_2z} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= & \begin{bmatrix} -Lf_{b_1z} + Lf_{b_2z} \\ 0 \\ Lf_{b_1x} - Lf_{b_2y} \\ f_{b_1y} - f_{b_2x} \\ f_{b_1z} + f_{b_2z} \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

(b) From the above it can be seen that the second element of \mathcal{F}_b is 0. Therefore, moments about the y-axis cannot be resisted.

Exercise 5.5.

(a) Since $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_b = (\cos \theta)\hat{\mathbf{x}}_s + (\sin \theta)\hat{\mathbf{y}}_s$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_b = (-\sin \theta)\hat{\mathbf{x}}_s + (\cos \theta)\hat{\mathbf{y}}_s$,

$$p_P = L\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathrm{s}} + L\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathrm{s}} - d\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathrm{b}} = (L + d\sin\theta)\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathrm{s}} + (L - d\cos\theta)\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathrm{s}}.$$

(b) $\dot{p}_P = (d\dot{\theta}\cos\theta, d\dot{\theta}\sin\theta, 0)^T$.

(c)

$$T_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 & L + d \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & L - d \cos \theta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(d)

$$\dot{T}_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} -\dot{\theta}\sin\theta & -\dot{\theta}\cos\theta & 0 & d\dot{\theta}\cos\theta \\ \dot{\theta}\cos\theta & -\dot{\theta}\sin\theta & 0 & d\dot{\theta}\sin\theta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ T_{sb}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0 & -L(\cos\theta + \sin\theta) \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 & -L(\cos\theta - \sin\theta) + d \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$[\mathcal{V}_s] = \dot{T}_{sb} T_{sb}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\dot{\theta} & 0 & L\dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\theta} & 0 & 0 & -L\dot{\theta} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\theta} \\ L\dot{\theta} \\ -L\dot{\theta} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_s \\ v_s \end{bmatrix}.$$

- $\begin{array}{l} ({\bf f}) \ \ [\mathcal{V}_s] = T_{sb}[\mathcal{V}_b] T_{sb}^{-1}. \\ ({\bf g}) \ \ {\bf Since} \ \dot{p}_P = \dot{p}_{sb}, \ R_{sb}^{-1} \dot{p}_P = v_b. \\ ({\bf h}) \ \ {\bf From} \ \dot{R}_{sb} R_{sb}^{-1} = \omega_s, \ -\omega_s p_P + \dot{p}_P = v_s. \end{array}$

Exercise 5.6.

(a) Given $\theta_1=t,\; \theta_2=t,\; \dot{\theta}_1=\dot{\theta}_2=1,\; \text{the problem asks for the linear velocity and angular velocity}$ expressed in $\{b\}$ frame coordinates. First find the spatial body velocity V_b as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}_b = J_b(\theta)\dot{\theta}$$

$$J_b(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{b1}(\theta) & \mathcal{V}_{b2}(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}_{b1}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta_2 \\ \cos \theta_2 \\ 0 \\ -20 \cos \theta_2 \\ 20 \sin \theta_2 \\ -10 \cos \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{V}_{b2}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{V}_b = J_b(\theta)\dot{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin t & 0 \\ \cos t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -20\cos t & 0 \\ 20\sin t & 10 \\ -10\cos t & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin t \\ \cos t \\ 1 \\ -20\cos t \\ 20\sin t + 10 \\ -10\cos t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_b \\ v_b \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\omega_b = \begin{bmatrix} \sin t \\ \cos t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad v_b = \begin{bmatrix} -20\cos t \\ 20\sin t + 10 \\ -10\cos t \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) The linear velocity of the rider in the fixed frame $\{s\}$ coordinates is \dot{p} . Then $\dot{p}=R_{sb}v_b$, which expanded becomes

$$\dot{p}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & 0 & \sin t \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin t & 0 & \cos t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & 0 \\ \sin t & \cos t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -20\cos t \\ 20\sin t + 10 \\ -10\cos t \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -20\cos t - 20\cos t \sin t \\ 10\cos t \\ 20\sin t + 10\sin^2 t - 10\cos^2 t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 5.7.

(a) The forward kinematics of the RRP robot is expressed as $T(\theta) = e^{[S_1]\theta_1}e^{[S_2]\theta_2}e^{[S_3]\theta_3}M$ with the screw axes in the space frame:

$$\mathcal{S}_{1}: \qquad \omega_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{2}: \qquad \omega_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{3}: \qquad \omega_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

When $\theta = (90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 1)$, the forward kinematics can be evaluated as follows:

$$T(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this configuration, the arm and the end-effector frame are shown in Figure 5.1. In order to obtain




Figure 5.1

the space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ at this configuration,

$$\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore J_s(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) The forward kinematics of the RRP robot is expressed as $T(\theta) = Me^{[\mathcal{B}_1]\theta_1}e^{[\mathcal{B}_2]\theta_2}e^{[\mathcal{B}_3]\theta_3}$ with the screw axes in the end-effector body frame.

$$\mathcal{B}_{1}: \qquad \omega_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}, v_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{2}: \qquad \omega_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}, v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{3}: \qquad \omega_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{rrrr} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

When $\theta = (90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 1)$, the forward kinematics can be evaluated as follows:

$$T(\theta) \ = \ \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which are the same as the results obtained in (a).

In order to obtain the space Jacobian J_b at this configuration,

$$\mathcal{V}_{b1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{b1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{b1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{b2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{b2} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}, v_{b2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{b3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{b3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{b3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore J_b(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 5.8.

(a) The space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ can be computed and written in matrix form as follows:

$$J_s(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sin \theta_1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \theta_1 \\ 0 & 0 & (2L + \theta_2) \cos \theta_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(2L + \theta_2) \sin \theta_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) In the zero position, The body Jacobian $J_b(\theta)$ can be computed and written in matrix form as follows:

$$J_b(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -L \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose an external force $f = (f_x, f_y, f_z)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$, which is applied to the $\{b\}$ frame origin, can be resisted by the manipulator. The the set of joint torques τ should satisfy:

$$\tau = J_b^T(\theta)\mathcal{F}_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -L & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ f_x \\ f_y \\ f_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f_y \\ -Lf_x \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this case, there is zero torques from the manipulator, which means $f_y = f_x = 0$. So the external force can be obtained as $f = (0, 0, f_z)^T$, which means force along the \hat{z} -axis can be resisted by the manipulator with zero torques.

Exercise 5.9.

We consider only the rotation component; in this case $J_s(\theta) = \dot{R}R^T$:

$$\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s2} = Rot(\hat{z}, \theta_1) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\cos \theta_1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\sin \theta_1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s3} = Rot(\hat{z}, \theta_1) e^{[\hat{\omega}_2]\theta_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\cos \theta_1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2}{2} - \frac{\sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\sin \theta_1}{2} + \frac{\sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2}{2} + \frac{\cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\cos \theta_2}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$e^{[\hat{\omega}_2]\theta_2} = I + \sin\theta_2[\hat{\omega}_2] + (1 - \cos\theta_2)[\hat{\omega}_2]^2.$$

From above the space Jacobian J_s can be expressed as follows:

$$J_{s}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{\cos\theta_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\cos\theta_{1}}{2} + \frac{\cos\theta_{1}\cos\theta_{2}}{2} - \frac{\sin\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{\sin\theta_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\sin\theta_{1}}{2} + \frac{\sin\theta_{1}\cos\theta_{2}}{2} + \frac{\cos\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\cos\theta_{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(J_s) = 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{\sin \theta_2}{2} = 0.$$

Therefore when θ_2 is $0, \pm \pi$, a singularity arises. Another approach: Intuitively, when θ_2 is 0, three axes lie in the same plane and intersect at a single point, resulting in a singularity. When θ_2 is $\pm \pi$, two axes are collinear, also resulting in a singularity.

Exercise 5.10.

(a) By Taylor expansion with respect to h,

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}e^{A(t)} &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} (e^{A(t+h)} - e^{A(t)}) \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} (e^{A(t) + h\dot{A}(t) + O(h^2)} - e^{A(t)}). \end{split}$$

The $O(h^2)$ terms go to zero in the limit, and hence can be ignored. The above equation then reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{A(t)} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} (e^{A(t)} + h \frac{d}{dh} e^{A(t) + h\dot{A}(t) + O(h^2)} - e^{A(t)})$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{d}{dh} e^{A(t) + h\dot{A}(t)}.$$

Now if A, B are matrices and ϵ , t are scalars, it can be shown that $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}e^{(A+\epsilon B)t}|_{\epsilon=0}=\int_0^t e^{As}Be^{A(t-s)}ds$. From this result it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{A(t)} = e^{A(t)} \int_0^1 e^{-A(t)s} \dot{A}(t)e^{A(t)s} ds.$$

Therefore

$$X^{-1}\dot{X} = \int_0^1 e^{-A(t)s}\dot{A}(t)e^{A(t)s}ds.$$

Similarly, $\dot{X}X^{-1}$ can be derived using same manner.

(b) By using the result of (a) and the relation $R[\omega]R^T = [R\omega]$ for $R \in SO(3)$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$[\omega_b] = R^T R$$

$$= \int_0^1 e^{-[r(t)]s} [\dot{r}(t)] e^{[r(t)]s} ds$$

$$= \int_0^1 \left[e^{-[r(t)]s} \dot{r}(t) \right] ds$$

$$= \left[\int_0^1 e^{-[r(t)]s} ds \cdot \dot{r}(t) \right].$$

Let $\int_0^1 e^{-[r(t)]s} ds$ be A(r). The characteristic polynomial of [r(t)] is $s^3 + ||r(t)||^2 s$, and by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem we have $[r(t)]^3 = -||r(t)||^2 [r(t)]$. From this result A(r) can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{split} A(r) &= \int_0^1 e^{-[r(t)]s} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(I - [r(t)]s + [r(t)]^2 \frac{s^2}{2!} - [r(t)]^3 \frac{s^3}{3!} + \cdots \right) ds \\ &= I - \frac{[r(t)]}{2!} + \frac{[r(t)]^2}{3!} - \frac{[r(t)]^3}{4!} + \cdots \\ &= I - \frac{1}{||r||^2} \left(\frac{||r||^2}{2!} - \frac{||r||^4}{4!} + \frac{||r||^6}{6!} - \cdots \right) [r(t)] + \frac{1}{||r||^3} \left(\frac{||r||^3}{3!} - \frac{||r||^5}{5!} + \frac{||r||^7}{7!} - \cdots \right) [r(t)]^2 \\ &= I - \frac{1 - \cos||r||}{||r||^2} [r(t)] + \frac{||r|| - \sin||r||}{||r||^3} [r(t)]^2. \end{split}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\omega_b = A(r)\dot{r}$$

where

$$A(r) = I - \frac{1 - \cos||r||}{||r||^2} [r(t)] + \frac{||r|| - \sin||r||}{||r||^3} [r(t)]^2.$$

(c) Applying the similar method used in (b) to the angular velocity in the space frame, $[\omega_s] = \dot{R}R^T$, we can obtain

$$\omega_s = A(r)\dot{r}$$

where

$$A(r) = I + \frac{1 - \cos||r||}{||r||^2} [r(t)] + \frac{||r|| - \sin||r||}{||r||^3} [r(t)]^2.$$

Exercise 5.11.

(a)

$$J_{b}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -L & 0 & 0 \\ 2L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2L & L \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v_{b} = R_{bs}v_{tip} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\because R_{bs} = I)$$

$$v_{b} = J_{b}(0)\dot{\theta}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -L & 0 & 0 \\ 2L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2L & L \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta_{1}} \\ \dot{\theta_{2}} \\ \dot{\theta_{3}} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 10 = -L\dot{\theta_{1}} \\ 0 = 2L\dot{\theta_{1}} \end{cases}$$

Therefore no solution exists.

(b) At the configuration $\theta = (0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, -45^{\circ}),$

$$J_b(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -L & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}L & 0 & 0 \\ (1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})L & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})L & L \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \tau = J_b^T(\theta) F_b$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -10L \\ -5\sqrt{2}L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) $J_b(\theta)$ is the same as obtained in (b):

$$F_b = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\therefore \tau = J_b^T(\theta) F_b$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$J_b(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -L & 0 & 0 \\ 2L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2L & L \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ f_x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \end{bmatrix} = J_b^T(0)F_b$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -Lf_x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \|f_x\| = \frac{\|\tau_1\|}{L} \le \frac{10}{L}.$$

Exercise 5.12.

(a) Given $\dot{\theta} = (0, 0, \frac{\pi}{2}, L)^T$,

$$J_b(heta) = \left[egin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ -L & 0 & -L & 0 \ L & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & L & 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight].$$

(b) Given $\dot{\theta} = (0, 0, \frac{\pi}{2}, L)^T$,

$$\mathcal{V}_b = J_b(\theta)\dot{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\\frac{\frac{\pi}{2}}{2}\\-\frac{\pi L}{2}\\L\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_b\\v_b \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$R_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \dot{p} = R_{sb}v_b = \begin{bmatrix} -L \\ -\frac{\pi L}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given $\dot{\theta} = (1, 1, 1, 1)^T$,

$$\mathcal{V}_b = J_b(heta)\dot{ heta} = \left[egin{array}{c} 0 \ -1 \ 2 \ -2L \ L+1 \ L \end{array}
ight] = \left[egin{array}{c} \omega_b \ v_b \end{array}
ight].$$

$$R_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \dot{p} = R_{sb}v_b = \begin{bmatrix} -L - 1 \\ -2L \\ L \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 5.13.

(a)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta): & \omega_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0$$

$$\therefore J_s(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta) & \mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta) & \mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta) & \mathcal{V}_{s4}(\theta) & \mathcal{V}_{s5}(\theta) & \mathcal{V}_{s6}(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Case 1. Two collinear revolute joint axes: When $\theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}\pi$, axis 1 and axis 3 are collinear, and z-translation becomes impossible.

Case 2. Three parallel coplanar revolute joint axes: When $\theta_4 = 0$ and π , axis 3, axis 4 and axis 5 are coplanar, and y-translation becomes impossible.

Case 3. Four intersecting revolute joint axes: Axis 1, axis 2 and axis 3 always intersect. When axis 6 also intersects these three axes at a single point, z-rotation becomes impossible.

Exercise 5.14.

Suppose that the prismatic joint axis is coincident with the z-axis of the fixed frame, and the two revolute joint axes are parallel to the x-axis of the fixed frame as shown in Figure 5.2. Then

$$\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -L_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L_1 + L_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -L_1 - L_2 \end{bmatrix}$$




Figure 5.2

This is a singularity because the rank of $J_s(\theta)$ is less than 3.

Exercise 5.15.

(a)

$$\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + Rot(\hat{z}, \theta_2) \begin{bmatrix} -L \\ L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -L\cos\theta_2 - L\sin\theta_2 \\ \theta_1 - L\sin\theta_2 + L\cos\theta_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 + L(\cos\theta_2 - \sin\theta_2) \\ L(\cos\theta_2 + \sin\theta_2) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) From (a), the first three columns of the space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$J_{s}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta) & \mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta) & \mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \theta_{1} & \theta_{1} + L(\cos\theta_{2} - \sin\theta_{2}) \\ 1 & 0 & L(\cos\theta_{2} + \sin\theta_{2}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta))' = \mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta) - L(\cos\theta_2 + \sin\theta_2)\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \theta_1 + L(\cos\theta_2 - \sin\theta_2) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $(\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta))'$ and $\mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta)$ to be equal,

$$\theta_1 = \theta_1 + L(\cos \theta_2 - \sin \theta_2)$$

$$0 = \cos \theta_2 - \sin \theta_2$$

$$\therefore \theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{4}.$$

(c) At the configuration $\theta = (0^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}),$

$$J_s(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & L \\ 1 & 0 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To obtain the wrench \mathcal{F}_s expressed in the space frame $\{s\}$, use the displacement $T_{bs} \in SE(3)$ of the space frame $\{s\}$ expressed in the body frame $\{b\}$:

$$T_{bs} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -5L \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{s} = \operatorname{Ad}_{T_{bs}}^{T}(\mathcal{F}_{b})$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -5L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -L & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -L & -5L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -50L \\ -10L \\ -50L \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ -10 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \tau = J_s(\theta)^T \mathcal{F}_s$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L & L & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -50L \\ -10L \\ -50L \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ -10 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -50L \\ -40L \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 5.16.

$$\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = e^{\hat{z}\theta_2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta_2 \\ \cos \theta_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{V}_{b6}(\theta): \qquad & \omega_{b6} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{b6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathcal{V}_{b5}(\theta): \qquad & \omega_{b5} = e^{\hat{x}(-\theta_6)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sin \theta_6 \\ \cos \theta_6 \end{bmatrix}, q_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{b5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

(c) At the zero position,

$$J_s(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -L \end{bmatrix}.$$

When L = 0, $J_s(0)$ becomes singular.

(d) In the zero position, consider the wrench \mathcal{F}_s expressed in the space frame $\{s\}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \left[\begin{array}{c} m_s \\ f_s \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} p_{sb} \times f_b \\ f_b \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} -100L \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -100 \end{array} \right]$$

where

$$p_{sb} = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ L \\ 0 \end{array} \right], f_b = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ -100 \end{array} \right]$$

Exercise 5.17.

(a) If θ is arbitrary,

$$\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \theta_1 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s3} = e^{[\hat{x}]\theta_2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\sin\theta_2 \\ \cos\theta_2 \end{bmatrix}, q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L\cos\theta_2 \\ \theta_1 + L\sin\theta_2 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} L+\theta_1\sin\theta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the first three columns of the space Jacobian

$$J_s(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin\theta_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos\theta_2 \\ 0 & 0 & L + \theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \\ 0 & \theta_1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_s(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & L & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -L & -\frac{L}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) In the zero position, the space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ is singular, since $\mathcal{V}_{s4}(0) + L\mathcal{V}_{s1}(0) = \mathcal{V}_{s2}(0)$.

Exercise 5.18.

(a)

$$\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
\mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta_1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta_1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)

$$J_{s}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -(3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})L \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & (1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})L & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -(2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})L & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s} = J_{s}(0) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - (1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})L + 2 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + (2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}L \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) There does not exist a kinematic singularity in the zero position, because rank $(J_s(0))=6$.

Exercise 5.19.

(a)

$$\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \theta_{1} \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta_{1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{2} \\ \cos\theta_{2} \end{bmatrix}, q_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \cos\theta_{2} \\ \theta_{1} + \sin\theta_{2} \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2} + 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s4}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{2} \\ \cos\theta_{2} \end{bmatrix}, q_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos\theta_{3} - \sin\theta_{3} \\ \cos\theta_{2}(\cos\theta_{3} - \sin\theta_{3}) \\ \theta_{1} + \sin\theta_{2}(\cos\theta_{3} - \sin\theta_{3}) \end{bmatrix}, v_{s4} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2} + \cos\theta_{3} - \sin\theta_{3} \\ \cos\theta_{2}(\cos\theta_{3} + \sin\theta_{3}) \\ \sin\theta_{2}(\cos\theta_{3} + \sin\theta_{3}) \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Consider the space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ at the zero position:

$$J_{s}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{s1}(0) & \mathcal{V}_{s2}(0) & \mathcal{V}_{s3}(0) & \mathcal{V}_{s4}(0) & \mathcal{V}_{s5}(0) & \mathcal{V}_{s6}(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ is singular in the zero position, since $\mathcal{V}_{s4}(0) - \mathcal{V}_{s6}(0) = \mathcal{V}_{s3}(0)$.

(c) When $\mathcal{F}_s = (0, 1, -1, 1, 0, 0)^T$,

$$\tau_{(i)} = J_s^T(0)\mathcal{F}_s$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

When $\mathcal{F}_s = (1, -1, 0, 1, 0, -1)^T$,

$$\tau_{(ii)} = J_s^T(0)\mathcal{F}_s$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{1}: \qquad \omega_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{2}: \qquad \omega_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ L_{0} - L_{1} \end{bmatrix}, v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{0} - L_{1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{3}: \qquad \omega_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{4}: \qquad \omega_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ L_{0} \end{bmatrix}, v_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L_{0} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{5}: \qquad \omega_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -L_{1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{6}: \qquad \omega_{6} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_{6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ L_{0} - L_{1} \end{bmatrix}, v_{6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L_{0} - L_{1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & L_1 + L_2 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L_0 - L_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s2} = e^{[\hat{z}]\theta_1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta_1 \\ -\cos \theta_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ L_0 - L_1 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} (L_0 - L_1)\cos \theta_1 \\ (L_0 - L_1)\sin \theta_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s3} = e^{[\hat{z}]\theta_1} e^{[-\hat{y}]\theta_2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \\ -\sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \\ \cos \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s'1}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s'1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -L_1 - L_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s'1} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_1 - L_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s'2}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s'2} = e^{[\hat{z}]\theta_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta_1 \\ \sin\theta_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -L_1 - L_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s'2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (L_1 + L_2)\cos\theta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{s'3}(\theta): \qquad \omega_{s'3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{s'3} = e^{[\hat{z}]\theta_1}e^{[\hat{x}]\theta_2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2 \\ -\cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2 \\ \cos\theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d) Consider the space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ at the zero position:

$$J_{s}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{s1}(0) & \mathcal{V}_{s2}(0) & \mathcal{V}_{s3}(0) & \mathcal{V}_{s4}(0) & \mathcal{V}_{s5}(0) & \mathcal{V}_{s6}(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & L_{0} - L_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{0} & -L_{1} & L_{0} - L_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ is singular in the zero position, since $\mathcal{V}_{s1}(0) - \mathcal{V}_{s5}(0) = \mathcal{V}_{s4}(0) - \mathcal{V}_{s6}(0)$. Geometrically, this singularity corresponds to four coplanar revolute joint screw axes.

Exercise 5.21.

(a) We can express the forward kinematics of the manipulator in the following form:

$$T_{0t} = e^{[\mathcal{S}_1]} \theta_1 e^{[\mathcal{S}_2]} \theta_2 e^{[\mathcal{S}_3]} \theta_3 e^{[\mathcal{S}_4]} \theta_4 e^{[\mathcal{S}_5]} \theta_5 e^{[\mathcal{S}_6]} \theta_6 M$$

where S_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 6) is the screw for the i^{th} link relative to frame $\{0\}$, and M is the displacement from frame $\{0\}$ to frame $\{t\}$ when the manipulator is in its zero position. We further know that

$$Te^{[S]}T^{-1} = e^{[S']} (S' = [Ad_T]S).$$

Using the above formula, the forward kinematics can be modified to the following form:

$$\begin{split} T_{0t} &= e^{[S_1]} \theta_1 e^{[S_2]} \theta_2 e^{[S_3]} \theta_3 e^{[S_4]} \theta_4 e^{[S_5]} \theta_5 M e^{[S'_6]} \theta_6 \quad (S'_6 \triangleq [\mathrm{Ad}_{M^{-1}}] \mathcal{S}_6) \\ &= e^{[S_1]} \theta_1 e^{[S_2]} \theta_2 e^{[S_3]} \theta_3 e^{[S_4]} \theta_4 M e^{[S'_5]} \theta_5 e^{[S'_6]} \theta_6 \quad (S'_5 \triangleq [\mathrm{Ad}_{M^{-1}}] \mathcal{S}_5) \\ &= e^{[S_1]} \theta_1 e^{[S_2]} \theta_2 e^{[S_3]} \theta_3 e^{[S_4]} \theta_4 M_{0c} M_{ct} e^{[S'_5]} \theta_5 e^{[S'_6]} \theta_6 \quad (M = M_{0c} M_{ct}) \\ &= e^{[S_1]} \theta_1 e^{[S_2]} \theta_2 e^{[S_3]} \theta_3 M_{0c} e^{[S'_4]} \theta_4 M_{ct} e^{[S'_5]} \theta_5 e^{[S'_6]} \theta_6 \quad (\mathcal{S}'_4 \triangleq [\mathrm{Ad}_{M_{0c}^{-1}}] \mathcal{S}_4) \\ &= e^{[S_1]} \theta_1 e^{[S_2]} \theta_2 M_{0c} e^{[S'_3]} \theta_3 e^{[S'_4]} \theta_4 M_{ct} e^{[S'_5]} \theta_5 e^{[S'_6]} \theta_6 \quad (\mathcal{S}'_3 \triangleq [\mathrm{Ad}_{M_{0c}^{-1}}] \mathcal{S}_3) \\ &= e^{[A_1]} \theta_1 e^{[A_2]} \theta_2 M_{0c} e^{[A_3]} \theta_3 e^{[A_4]} \theta_4 M_{ct} e^{[A_5]} \theta_5 e^{[A_6]} \theta_6 \end{split}$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1, \ \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2, \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{S'}_i \ (i = 3, 4, 5, 6)$$

One difficulty with the above is that it is very complex to calculate. Calculating A_i based on the physical meaning of screws is easier. The adjoint transformation is used to change the relative coordinate frame: S_1 and S_2 are screws relative to frame $\{0\}$, S'_3 and S'_4 are screws relative to frame $\{c\}$, and finally S'_5 and S'_6 are screws relative to frame $\{t\}$:

$$S_1: \qquad \omega = (0,0,1), \ q = (L,0,0), \ v = (0,-L,0)$$

$$S_2: \qquad \omega = (0,1,0), \ q = (L,L,-L), \ v = (L,0,L)$$

$$S'_3: \qquad \omega = (0,0,0), \ v = (1,0,0),$$

$$S'_4: \qquad \omega = (1,0,0), \ q = (0,L,0), \ v = (0,0,-L)$$

$$S'_5: \qquad \omega = (0,1,0), \ q = (-2L,0,-L), \ v = (L,0,-2L)$$

$$S'_6: \qquad \omega = (0,0,-1), \ q = (-L,0,-L), \ v = (0,-L,0)$$

Also,
$$M_{0c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2L \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -L \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $M_{ct} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -2L \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

(b) The space Jacobian when $\theta_2 = 90^{\circ}$ and all the other joint variables are set to zero is given by

$$J_s(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2L \\ -L & 0 & 0 & L & -3L & L \\ 0 & L & -1 & 0 & L & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore the spatial velocity \mathcal{V}_s is

$$\mathcal{V}_s = J_s(\theta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 2L & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

(c) First, intuitively it is clear that if $\theta_2 = 90^{\circ}$, the axes of joint 1 and joint 4 are colinear. For six degree of freedom open chains, two collinear revolute joint axes correspond to a kinematic singularity. We now show this more rigorously. From part (c), the space Jacobian when $\theta_2 = 90^{\circ}$ and all the other joint variables are at zero is

$$J_s(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2L \\ -L & 0 & 0 & L & -3L & L \\ 0 & L & -1 & 0 & L & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From above, if we denote the first column by J_1 and the fourth column by J_4 , we can write $J_1 = -J_4$. The rank of J_S must therefore be less than six. It follows that this configuration is a kinematic singularity.

(d) Denote the *i*-th column of J_s as J_i , i = 1, ..., 6. The spatial forces that the robot is generating are $(-\mathcal{F}_{elbow})$ and $(-\mathcal{F}_{tip})$, respectively (action and reaction). Joint torques τ_5 and τ_6 are affected only by \mathcal{F}_{tip} :

$$\tau_5 = -J_5^T \mathcal{F}_{tip} = 3L$$

$$\tau_6 = -J_6^T \mathcal{F}_{tip} = -3L$$

Joint torques τ_1 , τ_2 , τ_3 and τ_4 are affected by \mathcal{F}_{elbow} and \mathcal{F}_{tip} :

$$\tau_1 = -J_1^T(\mathcal{F}_{elbow} + \mathcal{F}_{tip}) = L$$

$$\tau_2 = -J_2^T(\mathcal{F}_{elbow} + \mathcal{F}_{tip}) = -1 - 2L$$

$$\tau_3 = -J_3^T(\mathcal{F}_{elbow} + \mathcal{F}_{tip}) = 1$$

$$\tau_4 = -J_4^T(\mathcal{F}_{elbow} + \mathcal{F}_{tip}) = -L.$$

Exercise 5.22.

(a) For \mathcal{V}_2 ,

$$T_{02} = e^{[S_1]\theta_1} e^{[S_2]\theta_2} M_2$$

$$\dot{T}_{02} = [S_1] e^{[S_1]\theta_1} e^{[S_2]\theta_2} M_2 \dot{\theta}_1 + e^{[S_1]\theta_1} [S_2] e^{[S_2]\theta_2} M_2 \dot{\theta}_2$$

$$T_{02}^{-1} = M_2^{-1} e^{-[S_2]\theta_2} e^{-[S_1]\theta_1}$$

$$[\mathcal{V}_2] = \dot{T}_{02} T_{02}^{-1}$$

$$= [S_1] \dot{\theta}_1 + e^{[S_1]\theta_1} [S_2] e^{-[S_1]\theta_1} \dot{\theta}_2$$

$$\mathcal{V}_2 = S_1 \dot{\theta}_1 + \operatorname{Ad}_{e^{[S_1]\theta_1}} (S_2) \dot{\theta}_2$$

For V_3 ,

$$T_{03} = e^{[S_1]\theta_1}e^{[S_2]\theta_2}e^{[S_3]\theta_3}M_3$$

$$\dot{T}_{03} = [S_1]e^{[S_1]\theta_1}e^{[S_2]\theta_2}e^{[S_3]\theta_3}M_3\dot{\theta}_1 + e^{[S_1]\theta_1}[S_2]e^{[S_2]\theta_2}e^{[S_3]\theta_3}M_3\dot{\theta}_2 + e^{[S_1]\theta_1}e^{[S_2]\theta_2}[S_3]e^{[S_3]\theta_3}M_3\dot{\theta}_3$$

$$T_{03}^{-1} = M_3^{-1}e^{-[S_3]\theta_3}e^{-[S_2]\theta_2}e^{-[S_1]\theta_1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{V}_{3}] &= \dot{T}_{03} T_{03}^{-1} \\ &= [\mathcal{S}_{1}] \dot{\theta}_{1} + e^{[\mathcal{S}_{1}]\theta_{1}} [\mathcal{S}_{2}] e^{-[\mathcal{S}_{1}]\theta_{1}} \dot{\theta}_{2} + e^{[\mathcal{S}_{1}]\theta_{1}} e^{[\mathcal{S}_{2}]\theta_{2}} [\mathcal{S}_{3}] e^{-[\mathcal{S}_{2}]\theta_{2}} e^{-[\mathcal{S}_{1}]\theta_{1}} \dot{\theta}_{3} \\ \mathcal{V}_{3} &= \mathcal{S}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1} + \operatorname{Ad}_{e^{[\mathcal{S}_{1}]\theta_{1}}} (\mathcal{S}_{2}) \dot{\theta}_{2} + \operatorname{Ad}_{e^{[\mathcal{S}_{1}]\theta_{1}} e^{[\mathcal{S}_{2}]\theta_{2}}} (\mathcal{S}_{3}) \dot{\theta}_{3} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Based on the result obtained in (a), we can construct a recursive formula for \mathcal{V}_{k+1} as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}_{k+1} = \mathcal{S}_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1} + \operatorname{Ad}_{e[S_{1}]\theta_{1}}(\mathcal{S}_{2})\dot{\theta}_{2} + \dots + \operatorname{Ad}_{e[S_{1}]\theta_{1}\dots e[S_{k}]\theta_{k}}(\mathcal{S}_{k+1})\dot{\theta}_{k+1}
= \mathcal{V}_{k} + \operatorname{Ad}_{e[S_{1}]\theta_{1}\dots e[S_{k}]\theta_{k}}(\mathcal{S}_{k+1})\dot{\theta}_{k+1}
= \left[\mathcal{V}_{s1}(\theta) \quad \mathcal{V}_{s2}(\theta) \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{V}_{s,k+1}(\theta) \right]\dot{\theta}$$

Exercise 5.23.

(a) The plot of the arm and its manipulability ellipse is shown in Figure 5.3. Based on the ratio between




Figure 5.3

the longest and shortest semi-axes of the manipulability ellipsoid,

$$\mu_1(A) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A)}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min}(A)}} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\max}(A)}{\lambda_{\min}(A)}} \ge 1,$$

where $A = JJ^T$. When $\mu_1(A)$ is low, close to one, then the manipulability ellipsoid is nearly spherical or isotropic. At the configuration $(135^{\circ}, 90^{\circ})$, $\mu_1(A) = 2.618$ comes to be the lowest. So the arm appears most isotropic at the configuration $(135^{\circ}, 90^{\circ})$.

(b) The eccentricity of the ellipse depends only on θ_2 . θ_1 only effects on the orientation and position of the ellipse. The effect caused by the first joint is related with the distance between its rotation axis and the end-effector, whose magnitude is determined by θ_2 . This conclusion can also be drawn from

planar body Jacobian shown below, which doesn't include θ_1 .

$$J_b(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ L_1 \sin \theta_2 & 0 \\ L_2 + L_1 \cos \theta_2 & L_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) The drawing is shown in Figure 5.4.




Figure 5.4

Exercise 5.24.

The plot is shown in Figure 5.5.

Exercise 5.25.

(a) Using the software of the textbook and the kinematics of the 6R UR5, the space Jacobian J_s when all joint angles are $\pi/2$ can be obtained as

$$J_s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.336 & -0.297 \\ 0 & -0.089 & 0.336 & 0.336 & 0 & 0.109 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.392 & -0.109 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which can be separated as

$$J_{\omega} = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right],$$

and

$$J_v = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.336 & -0.297 \\ 0 & -0.089 & 0.336 & 0.336 & 0 & 0.109 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.392 & -0.109 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

(b) Let

$$A_{\omega} = J_{\omega} J_{\omega}^{T} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{array} \right].$$




Figure 5.5

The lengths of the principal semi-axes of the angular-velocity manipulability ellipsoid equal to the square roots of its eigenvalues. The eigenvalues are 3, 1, and 2, so the lengths are 1.7321, 1, and 1.4142.

The directions of the principal semi-axes are aligned with its eigenvectors, which are $(1,0,0)^T$, $(0,1,0)^T$, and $(0,0,1)^T$.

Let

$$A_v = J_v J_v^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2011 & -0.0324 & -0.0366 \\ -0.0324 & 0.2456 & -0.1317 \\ -0.0366 & -0.1317 & 0.1655 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The lengths of the principal semi-axes of the linear-velocity manipulability ellipsoid equal to the square roots of its eigenvalues, The eigenvalues are 0.0520, 0.2169, and 0.3434, so the lengths are 0.2280, 0.4657, and 0.5860.

The directions of the principal semi-axes are aligned with its eigenvectors, which are $(0.3106, 0.5696, 0.7609)^T$, $(0.9500, -0.1596, -0.2683)^T$, and $(-0.0314, 0.8062, -0.5907)^T$.

(c) The moment ellipsoid can be obtained by stretching the angular-velocity manipulability ellipsoid along each principal axis i by a factor $1/\lambda_i$, where λ_i is its corresponding eigenvalue. So for current moment ellipsoid, the lengths of the principal semi-axes are 0.5774, 1, and 0.7071. The directions are $(1,0,0)^T$, $(0,1,0)^T$, and $(0,0,1)^T$.

The force ellipsoids can be obtained by stretching the linear-velocity manipulability ellipsoid along each principal axis i by a factor $1/\lambda_i$, where λ_i is its corresponding eigenvalue. So for current force ellipsoid, the lengths of the principal semi-axes are 4.3854, 2.1473, and 1.7066. The directions are $(0.3106, 0.5696, 0.7609)^T$, $(0.9500, -0.1596, -0.2683)^T$, and $(-0.0314, 0.8062, -0.5907)^T$.

Exercise 5.26.

(a) Using the software of the textbook and the kinematics of the 7R WAM, the space Jacobian J_b when

all joint angles are $\pi/2$ can be obtained as

$$J_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -0.105 & 0 & 0.006 & -0.045 & 0 & 0.006 & 0 \\ -0.889 & 0.006 & 0 & -0.844 & 0.006 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.105 & 0.889 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which can be separated as

$$J_{\omega} = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right],$$

and

$$J_v = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} -0.105 & 0 & 0.006 & -0.045 & 0 & 0.006 & 0 \\ -0.889 & 0.006 & 0 & -0.844 & 0.006 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.105 & 0.889 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

(b) Let

$$A_{\omega} = J_{\omega} J_{\omega}^T = \left[egin{array}{ccc} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{array}
ight].$$

The lengths of the principal semi-axes of the angular-velocity manipulability ellipsoid equal to the square roots of its eigenvalues. The eigenvalues are 2, 2, and 3, so the lengths are 1.4142, 1.4142, and 1.7321.

The directions of the principal semi-axes are aligned with its eigenvectors, which are $(1,0,0)^T$, $(0,1,0)^T$, and $(0,0,1)^T$.

Let

$$A_v = J_v J_v^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0131 & 0.1313 & 0.0053 \\ 0.1313 & 1.5027 & -0.0006 \\ 0.0053 & -0.0006 & 0.8013 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The lengths of the principal semi-axes of the linear-velocity manipulability ellipsoid equal to the square roots of its eigenvalues, The eigenvalues are 0.0016, 0.8014, and 1.5142, so the lengths are 0.0400, 0.8952, and 1.2305.

The directions of the principal semi-axes are aligned with its eigenvectors, which are $(-0.9962, 0.0872, 0.0067)^T$, $(0.0067, -0.0004, 1.0000)^T$, and $(-0.0872, -0.9962, 0.0002)^T$.

(c) The moment ellipsoid can be obtained by stretching the angular-velocity manipulability ellipsoid along each principal axis i by a factor $1/\lambda_i$, where λ_i is its corresponding eigenvalue. So for current moment ellipsoid, the lengths of the principal semi-axes are 0.7071, 0.7071, and 0.5774. The directions are $(1,0,0)^T$, $(0,1,0)^T$, and $(0,0,1)^T$.

The force ellipsoids can be obtained by stretching the linear-velocity manipulability ellipsoid along each principal axis i by a factor $1/\lambda_i$, where λ_i is its corresponding eigenvalue. So for current force ellipsoid, the lengths of the principal semi-axes are 25.0246, 1.1171, and 0.8127. The directions are $(-0.9962, 0.0872, 0.0067)^T$, $(0.0067, -0.0004, 1.0000)^T$, and $(-0.0872, -0.9962, 0.0002)^T$.

Exercise 5.27.

Programming assignment.

Chapter 6 Solutions

Exercise 6.1.

Given x, y and θ , the position of the last joint is determined by $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (x - L_3 \cos \theta, y - L_3 \sin \theta)$. Then the problem boils down to solving the inverse kinematics θ_1 and θ_2 for a planar 2R robot with given endeffector position (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) , analytic solutions of which are well described in the text. Finally, θ_3 is determined by $\theta_3 = \theta - \theta_1 - \theta_2$.

Exercise 6.2.

By placing the base frame at the intersection of first three axes and tool frame at the intersection of the final two axes, we can think of the given manipulator as an inverted version of the standard elbow manipulator (e.g., a) a PUMA design with zero shoulder offset), whose base frame and tool frame are switched. The standard elbow manipulator is known to have four inverse kinematic solutions (two corresponding to elbow-up and elbow-down solutions, and two corresponding to the ZYX Euler angle-type wrist, resulting in four inverse kinematic solutions.). Suppose the forward kinematics for the standard elbow manipulator is of the form $e^{[S_1]\theta_1} \cdots e^{[S_6]\theta_6} = T$. It readily follows that $e^{-[S_6]\theta_6} \cdots e^{-[S_1]\theta_1} = T^{-1}$. Note that the forward kinematics of the inverse elbow manipulator can be made to assume exactly this form. Therefore the inverse elbow manipulator also has four inverse kinematic solutions. Intuitively these solutions also correspond to the elbow-up/down and the ZYX Euler angle solutions.

Exercise 6.3.

Given $T' \in SE(3)$, one can obtain the original end-effector pose $T \in SE(3)$ by $T = T' \cdot \text{Trans}(\hat{y}, (2 - \sqrt{2})L)$. Therefore, we can instead solve for

$$T = T(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_6) = e^{[S_1]\theta_1} e^{[S_2]\theta_2} e^{[S_3]\theta_3} e^{[S_4]\theta_4} e^{[S_5]\theta_5} e^{[S_6]\theta_6} M_{\mathcal{A}}$$

where the S_i are the corresponding joint screws.

It can be easily seen that θ_4 , θ_5 , θ_6 do not change the position of T; only θ_1 , θ_2 , θ_3 do. Let the position vector of T be $p = (p_x, p_y, p_z)^T$. Then by following similar reasoning for solving the analytic inverse kinematics of 6R puma type arm, we have two possible solutions for θ_1 :

$$\theta_1 = \tan^{-1}(-\frac{p_y}{p_x}),$$

and

$$\theta_1 = \tan^{-1}(-\frac{p_y}{p_x}) + \pi.$$

(in the latter case the original solution for θ_2 is replaced by $\pi - \theta_2$.) Observe that when $p_x = p_y = 0$, the arm is in singluarity configuration, resulting in infinitely many possible solutions for θ_1 . Now θ_2 and θ_3 are determined by solving the inverse kinematics for a planar two-link chain. From the law of cosines,

$$\cos \theta_3 = \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 - 2L^2}{2L^2} \triangleq D,$$

holds, and $\theta_3 = \tan^{-1}\left(\pm \frac{\sqrt{1-D^2}}{D}\right)$. Given a solution for θ_3 , θ_2 can be obtained as

$$\theta_2 = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{p_z}{\sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{L\sin\theta_3}{L + L\cos\theta_3}\right).$$

To sum up, given the position p, we have 4 possible solutions for $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$, just like the case for a 6R PUMAtype arm. Now, we are left with determining $\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_6$. Having the solutions for $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$, forward kinematics can be manipulated into the form

$$e^{[S_4]\theta_4}e^{[S_5]\theta_5}e^{[S_6]\theta_6} = e^{-[S_3]\theta_3}e^{-[S_2]\theta_2}e^{-[S_1]\theta_1}TM^{-1}$$

where the right-hand side is given. By following the same reasoning as for the 6R PUMA-type arm, this problem boils down to

$$Rot(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \theta_4)Rot(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \theta_5)Rot(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \theta_6) = R,$$

where R is the SO(3) component of $e^{-[S_3]\theta_3}e^{-[S_2]\theta_2}e^{-[S_1]\theta_1}TM^{-1}$. The solutions for $\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_6$ then correspond to the XZY Euler angles.

Exercise 6.4.

The forward kinematics results in the following relaton:

$$p = e^{[\omega_1]\theta_1} e^{[\omega_2]\theta_2} (0, 1 + \theta_3, 0)^T, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\omega_1 = (0, 0, 1)^T$ and $\omega_2 = (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})^T$. (a) Taking the norm of both sides of Equation (6.1),

$$\theta_3 = ||p|| - 1 = 7.$$

Now we are left with an equation of the form $p = e^{[\omega_2]\theta_2}q$, where $q = (0, 8, 0)^T$. Figure 6.1 shows a geometric illustration of $p = e^{[\omega_2]\theta_2}q$. Defining vectors p' and q', depicted in Figure 6.1, as $p' = p - (\omega_2^T p)\omega_2 = (0, 3/4, -\sqrt{3}/4)^T$ and $q' = q - (\omega_2^T q)\omega_2 = (-3/4, 3/8, -\sqrt{3}/8)^T$, we can obtain a solution for θ_2 from these two vectors as follows:

$$\theta_2 = \operatorname{atan2}(\omega_2^T(q' \times p'), q' \cdot p')$$

= 60°.




Figure 6.1

(b) Figure 6.2 shows a geometric illustration of Equation (6.1) in general.

Since ω_1 and ω_2 are clearly independent vectors, ω_1 , ω_2 and $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$ constitute basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 . Therefore we can represent vector r, depicted in Figure 6.2, with the basis vectors, and coefficients α, β and γ as

$$r = \alpha \omega_1 + \beta \omega_2 + \gamma(\omega_1 \times \omega_2). \tag{6.2}$$

Taking the inner product of both sides of Equation (6.2) with ω_1 and ω_2 , and using the relations $\omega_2^T r = \omega_2^T q$ and $\omega_1^T r = \omega_1^T p$, the following two equations in terms of α and β can be obtained:

$$\omega_2^T q = (\omega_2^T \omega_1)\alpha + \beta$$
$$\omega_1^T p = \alpha + (\omega_1^T \omega_2)\beta.$$




Figure 6.2

Solving for α and β yields $\alpha = -4\sqrt{3}$ and $\beta = 10$. Now, taking the squared norm of Equation (6.2) and solving for γ , we have

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{\|q\|^2 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta(\omega_1^T \omega_2)}{\|\omega_1 \times \omega_2\|^2} = 144 = 12^2$$

(Here we use the fact that ||r|| = ||q||). Therefore $\gamma = \pm 12$ and $r = -4\sqrt{3}\omega_1 + 10\omega_2 \pm 12(\omega_1 \times \omega_2) = (\mp 6, 5, \sqrt{3})^T$. Now by following the same reasoning used in (a), from q and r we get θ_2 , and from r and p we get θ_3 . The two solutions representing elbow up and elbow down configurations are

$$(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = (0, 60^{\circ}, 7), (atan2(60, -11), -60^{\circ}, 7).$$

Exercise 6.5.

Among the joint variables, only θ_1 and θ_3 affect p_z :

$$p_z = L + h\theta_1 - \theta_3.$$

A top-down view of this manipulator is shown in Figure 6.3. The angle β can be found from the law of




Figure 6.3

cosines:

$$L^{2} + L^{2} - 2L^{2} \cos \beta = p_{x}^{2} + p_{y}^{2}$$
$$\therefore \beta = \cos^{-1}(\frac{p_{x}^{2} + p_{y}^{2} - 2L^{2}}{2L^{2}}).$$

From the figure, $\theta_2 = \pi - \beta$. Also, γ can be found by

$$L^{2} + p_{x}^{2} + p_{y}^{2} - 2L\sqrt{p_{x}^{2} + p_{y}^{2}}\cos\gamma = L^{2}$$

$$\therefore \gamma = \cos^{-1}(\frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2}{2L\sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}}).$$

From Figure 6.3, $\tan(\theta_1 + \gamma) = \frac{p_y}{p_x}$. Therefore, $\theta_1 = \tan^{-1} \frac{p_y}{p_x} - \gamma$. Finally for θ_4 , considering the orientation of the end-effector frame,

$$Rot(\hat{z}, \theta_1)Rot(\hat{z}, \theta_2)Rot(\hat{z}, \theta_4) = Rot(\hat{z}, \alpha)$$
$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_4 = \alpha.$$

Therefore

$$\theta_4 = \alpha - \theta_1 - \theta_2 = \alpha - \tan^{-1} \frac{p_x}{p_y} + \gamma - \pi + \beta.$$

This is an elbow-down solution; the elbow-up solution can be obtained in the same way:

$$(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}, \theta_{4}) = \begin{cases} (\tan^{-1} \frac{p_{x}}{p_{y}} - \gamma, \pi - \beta, L + h \tan^{-1} \frac{p_{y}}{p_{x}} - h\gamma - p_{z}, \alpha - \tan^{-1} \frac{p_{x}}{p_{y}} + \gamma - \pi + \beta) \\ (\tan^{-1} \frac{p_{x}}{p_{y}} + \gamma, \pi + \beta, L + h \tan^{-1} \frac{p_{y}}{p_{x}} + h\gamma - p_{z}, \alpha - \tan^{-1} \frac{p_{x}}{p_{y}} - \gamma - \pi - \beta) \end{cases}$$

Exercise 6.6.

(a) First, consider the position inverse kinematics.

Figure 6.4 on the left is the robot projected to the plane perpendicular to joint axes 4, 5, and 6. Denote




Figure 6.4

the distance between joint 5 and the end-effector by R:

$$R = \sqrt{(2L)^2 + (2L + \theta_6)^2}.$$

Figure 6.4 on the right shows the paths of joint 5 and the end-effector. The radius of the path of joint 5 is 2L. Let the radii of the three paths be R1, R2, and R3, respectively. We can see that three circles intersect at a point. This means that by changing θ_4 , θ_5 , and θ_6 simultaneously, the end-effector can be fixed to a stationary point. Therefore, there are an infinite number of position inverse kinematics solutions.

Now let's consider the desired end-effector orientation. Varying θ_1 , θ_2 and θ_3 , and preserving the desired position, the end-effector frame will rotate about the \hat{z}_0 axis. Then varying θ_4 , θ_5 , θ_6 , and preserving the desired position, the end-effector frame will rotate about an axis on the $\hat{x}_0 - \hat{y}_0$ plane. Therefore,

two consequent rotations of constant axes determine the end-effector orientation, which means the feasible orientations of the end-effector are of dimension two. Indeed, the end-effector orientation can be determined by,

$$R = e^{[w_1](\theta_1 + \theta_2)} e^{[w_4](\theta_4 + \theta_5)} R_0 = e^{[\hat{\mathbf{z}}_0](\theta_1 + \theta_2)} e^{[\hat{\mathbf{x}}_0](\theta_4 + \theta_5)} R_0.$$

Therefore, unless the given desired end-effector orientation is a valid orientation, no feasible solution exists.

(b) First determine θ_1 , θ_2 , and θ_3 for point A. Since $\frac{\theta_3}{\sqrt{2}} = -z_A$, θ_3 can be easily determined as $\theta_3 = -\sqrt{2}z_A$. From Figure 6.5, D and d are determined as

$$D = \sqrt{x_A^2 + y_A^2}$$

$$d = L + \frac{\theta_3}{\sqrt{2}} = L - z_A$$

Using the law of cosines, α and β can be determined as




Figure 6.5

$$\alpha = \cos^{-1} \frac{x_A^2 + y_A^2 + 4L^2 - (L - z_A)^2}{4L\sqrt{x_A^2 + y_A^2}}$$
$$\beta = \cos^{-1} \frac{4L^2 + (L - z_A)^2 - x_A^2 - y_A^2}{4L(L - z_A)}$$

For the elbow-down configuration,

$$\theta_1 = \tan^{-1}(-\frac{x_A}{y_A}) - \alpha$$

$$\theta_2 = \pi - \beta$$

For the elbow-up configuration,

$$\theta_1 = \tan^{-1}(-\frac{x_A}{y_A}) + \alpha$$

 $\theta_2 = \pi + \beta$

Next we determine θ_4 and θ_5 for points A and B. Once θ_1, θ_2 , and θ_3 are obtained, we can easily derive the position and orientation of joint 4. Consider a new frame positioned at the center of joint 4 with the same z-axis as frame $\{0\}$ and x-axis in the direction of rotation of joint 4. Then the new coordinates for point B can be determined from the coordinate change. Finally the problem of solving (θ_4, θ_5) boils down to the inverse kinematics problem for a 2R planar robot.

Exercise 6.7. As shown in Figure 6.6, the slope is mostly horizontal near the initial solution, so the numerical inverse kinematics method jumps over the closer solution and converges to the farther one. The




Figure 6.6

basins of attraction for each root depend on the slope of the function $x_d - f(\theta)$ near them. Steeper slopes are more likely to converge to the closer solution.

Exercise 6.8.

The gradient is [2x, 0; 0, 2y]. The initial guess and first two iterations are given as: $(x_1, y_1) = (1, 1), (x_2, y_2) = (2.5, 5),$ and $(x_3, y_3) = (2.05, 3.4).$ The solution converges to (x, y) = (2, 3) eventually, but (x, y) = (-2, -3),(x, y) = (-2, 3),and (x, y) = (2, -3) are all valid as well. Therefore there are a total of four solutions.

Exercise 6.9.

Solution converged after three iterations using $\epsilon_{\omega} = 0.001 \text{ rad (or } 0.057^{\circ}) \text{ and } \epsilon_{v} = 10^{-4} \text{ m}.$

| i | θ_i (in degrees) | (x,y) | $\mathcal{V}_b = (\omega_{zb}, v_{xb}, v_{yb})$ | $\ \omega_b\ $ | $ v_b $ |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---|----------------|-----------|
| 0 | $(0.00, 30.00^{\circ})$ | (1.866, 0.500) | (3.142, 2.145, 3.717) | 3.142 | 4.292 |
| 1 | $(121.2^{\circ}, 52.82^{\circ})$ | (-1.512, 0.960) | (0.628, -0.545, 0.594) | 0.628 | 0.806 |
| 2 | $(90.00^{\circ}, 128.4^{\circ})$ | (-0.783, 0.378) | (-0.147, 0.000, -0.147) | 0.147 | 0.147 |
| 3 | $(90.00^{\circ}, 120.00^{\circ})$ | (-0.866, 0.5) | (0.000, 0.000, 0.000) | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Exercise 6.10.

- (a) The orientation of the end-effector is determined by $R_{sb}(\theta) = e^{[\hat{z}]\theta_1}e^{[\hat{y}]\theta_2}e^{-[\hat{z}]\theta_3}$. We define a body twist ω_b for SO(3) as $[\omega_b(\theta)] = \log(R_{sb}^{-1}(\theta)R)$. This leads to the following inverse kinematics algorithm, analogous to that of SE(3):
 - 1) Initialization: Given R and inital guess $\theta^0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Set i = 0
 - 2) Set $[\omega_b] = \log(R_{sb}^{-1}(\theta^i)R)$. While $\|\omega_b\| > \epsilon_\omega$ for small ϵ_ω :
 - Set $\theta^{i+1} = \theta_i + J_b^{\dagger}(\theta^i)\omega_b$.
 - Increment i.
- (b) The forward kinematics is described in (a), and the corresponding body jacobian is computed as

$$J_b(\theta) = \left[e^{[\hat{\mathbf{z}}]\theta_3} e^{-[\hat{\mathbf{y}}]\theta_2} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \mid e^{[\hat{\mathbf{z}}]\theta_3} \hat{\mathbf{y}} \mid \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}.$$

With the initial guess $\theta^0 = (0, \pi/6, 0)^T$ and desired end-effector frame R as defined in the problem, a single iteration results in $\theta^1 = (23.5505^{\circ}, 1.5720^{\circ}, 23.5505^{\circ})^T$.

Exercise 6.11.

(a) The end-effector position vector $p = (x, y, z)^T$ is determined by $p = \text{Rot}(-\hat{z}, \theta_1) \text{Rot}(\hat{\omega}_2, \theta_2) \text{Rot}(-\hat{y}, \theta_3) (0, L, 0)^T$, where each rotaion matrix can be represented in explicit form as

$$\operatorname{Rot}(-\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \theta_1) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_1 & \sin \theta_1 & 0 \\
-\sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\operatorname{Rot}(\hat{\omega}_2, \theta_2) = I + [\hat{\omega}_2] \sin \theta_2 + [\hat{\omega}_2]^2 (1 - \cos \theta_2) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_2 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_2 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_2 \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_2 & \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos \theta_2) & \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \theta_2) \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_2 & \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \theta_2) & \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos \theta_2)
\end{bmatrix} \text{ and }
\operatorname{Rot}(-\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \theta_3) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_3 & 0 & -\sin \theta_3 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\sin \theta_3 & 0 & \cos \theta_3
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Through straightforward matrix multiplication we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}L\cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2 + \frac{1}{2}L\sin\theta_1(1+\cos\theta_2) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}L\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2 + \frac{1}{2}L\cos\theta_1(1+\cos\theta_2) \\ \frac{1}{2}L(1-\cos\theta_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Let, $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a map defined as

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right] = f\left(\left[\begin{array}{c} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{array} \right] \right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L\cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2 + \frac{1}{2} L\sin\theta_1 (1 + \cos\theta_2) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2 + \frac{1}{2} L\cos\theta_1 (1 + \cos\theta_2) \end{array} \right].$$

The Jacobian of f with respect to $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} = L \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta_1 (1 + \cos \theta_2) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_1 (1 + \cos \theta_2) & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Newton-Rhapson method is applied via the following iterative update rule for some initial value θ^0 :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_1^{k+1} \\ \theta_2^{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1^k \\ \theta_2^k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} (\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - f(\begin{bmatrix} \theta_1^k \\ \theta_2^k \end{bmatrix}))$$

Note that given only the position information, θ_3 can be arbitrary.

(b)

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \text{Rot}(-\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \theta_1) \text{Rot}(\hat{\omega}_2, \theta_2) \text{Rot}(-\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \theta_3)$$

Substituting the explicit forms of the rotation matrices and after straightforward multiplication, we have

$$r_{32} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos\theta_2) \tag{6.3}$$

$$r_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sin\theta_1(1+\cos\theta_2)$$
 (6.4)

$$r_{31} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\theta_3\sin\theta_2 - \frac{1}{2}\sin\theta_3(1+\cos\theta_2)$$
 (6.5)

From Equation (6.3),

$$\theta_2 = \pm \cos^{-1}(1 - r_{32}).$$

Let $\rho \sin \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_2$ and $\rho \cos \phi = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos \theta_2)$ for some positive scalar ρ and angle ϕ determined via

$$\rho = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\sin^2\theta_2 + \frac{1}{4}(1 + \cos\theta_2)^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{1 - r_{32}^2} = \sqrt{r_{12}^2 + r_{22}^2} = \sqrt{r_{31}^2 + r_{33}^2}$$

$$\phi = \operatorname{atan2}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\theta_2, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos\theta_2))$$
(6.6)

Equation (6.4) then reduces to $r_{12} = \rho \sin(\theta_1 + \phi)$. Also, since $\rho^2 = r_{12}^2 + r_{22}^2$ holds from Equation (6.6), we have $r_{22} = \rho \cos(\theta_1 + \phi)$. Therefore,

$$\theta_1 = -\phi + \operatorname{atan2}(r_{12}, r_{22}).$$

Similarly, from Equation (6.5) and (6.6) we have $r_{31} = \rho \sin(\phi - \theta_3)$ and $r_{33} = \rho \cos(\phi - \theta_3)$. Therefore,

$$\theta_3 = \phi - \text{atan2}(r_{31}, r_{33}).$$

Exercise 6.12.

$$\theta_d = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_6) = (8.86937, 44.5978, -77.1251, 82.793, -0.5554, -32.9868)$$

Exercise 6.13.

$$\theta_d = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_6, \theta_7) = (-8.88147, 5.8112, -16.5589, -35.8052, -15.28, 21.0232, 3.64464)$$

Exercise 6.14.

First the condition $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*)\dot{x}(0) = 0$ implies $\dot{x}(0) \in \text{Null}(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*)) = \text{Range}(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*)^T)^{\perp}$. Therefore

$$\dot{x}(0) \perp \text{Range}(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*)^T)$$

holds. Meanwhile, the second condition implies that $\nabla f(x^*)$ is perpendicular to $\dot{x}(0)$. Since Range $(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*)^T)$ forms the orthogonal space to arbitrary $\dot{x}(0)$, we have $\nabla f(x^*) \in \text{Range}(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*)^T)$ which means there exists some vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\nabla f(x^*) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x^*)^T c$. Defining the Lagrange multiplier as $\lambda^* = -c$, we obtain the first-order necesary condition of the form

$$\nabla f(x^*) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} (x^*)^T \lambda^* = 0.$$

Exercise 6.15.

- (a) The formula can be proven through direct multiplication of $\begin{bmatrix} A & D \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} + EG^{-1}F & -EG^{-1} \\ -G^{-1}F & G^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$, and checking whether the resulting four sub-matrices equal those of identity matrix.
- (b) The first-order necessary condition can be derived as

$$Qx + c + A^T\lambda = 0$$
,

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a Lagrange multiplier. The above together with the constraint Ax = b can be integrated into a single matrix equation as

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} Q & A^T \\ A & 0_{m \times m} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x^* \\ \lambda^* \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} -c \\ b \end{array}\right].$$

Computing the matrix inverse using the formula in (a), we have the following closed form solutions for optimal x^* and corresponding Lagrange multiplier λ^* :

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} x^* \\ \lambda^* \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} Q^{-1} - Q^{-1}A^T(AQ^{-1}A^T)A^TQ^{-1} & Q^{-1}A^T(AQ^{-1}A^T)^{-1} \\ (AQ^{-1}A^T)^{-1}A^TQ^{-1} & (AQ^{-1}A^T)^{-1} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} -c \\ b \end{array}\right]$$

Chapter 7 Solutions

Exercise 7.1.

As shown in Figure 7.1, let θ_{i1} and θ_{i3} be the revolute joint angles at A_i and B_i respectively, and θ_{i2} be the prismatic joint value at the rod A_iB_i . Let the rotation matrix $R(\theta)$ be $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$, and d_{i0} be




Figure 7.1

the unit vector of the direction from A_i to B_i when $\theta_{i1} = 0$, which together lead to $d_i = R(\theta_i)d_{i0}$.

- (a) For the inverse kinematics, θ_{i2} for i=1,2,3 are to be determined given R and p. The position of B_i is given by $\theta_{i2}d_i + a_i = Rb_i + p$. Thus the solution is $\theta_{i2} = ||Rb_i + p a_i||$.
- (b) For the forward kinematics, R and p are to be determined given θ_{i2} for i = 1, 2, 3. The number of unknowns in R and p is equal to 3 (1 for R and 2 for p). R and p can be found from the following equations:

$$\theta_{i2} = ||Rb_i + p - a_i||, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The solution for the forward kinematics can be derived in another way. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\theta_{12}d_1 + a_1) - (\theta_{22}d_2 + a_2)\| - \|b_1 - b_2\| = 0, \\ &\|(\theta_{22}d_2 + a_2) - (\theta_{32}d_3 + a_3)\| - \|b_2 - b_3\| = 0, \\ &\|(\theta_{32}d_3 + a_3) - (\theta_{12}d_1 + a_1)\| - \|b_3 - b_1\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

 d_i , for i = 1, 2, 3, can be found from above three equations. Let v_i denote $Rb_i + p = \theta_{i2}d_i + a_i$. Note that v_i is known for i = 1, 2, 3. Then we have

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - v_2 & v_2 - v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 - b_2 & b_2 - b_3 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}, \ p = v_i - Rb_i$$

(c)
$$\mathcal{F} = G^{T} \tau$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{z} \\ f_{x} \\ f_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (Rb_{1})_{x} & -(Rb_{2})_{x} & (Rb_{3})_{x} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{1} \\ \tau_{2} \\ \tau_{3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since G^T is singular, this configuration is an end-effector singularity. The spatial twist of the end-effector frame can be written as $V = G_1(\theta_1)\dot{\theta}_1 = G_2(\theta_2)\dot{\theta}_2 = G_3(\theta_3)\dot{\theta}_3$. In this configuration,

$$G_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \theta_{12} \\ \|a_1\| & 1 & \|a_1\| \end{bmatrix}, G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \|a_2\| & 0 & \|a_2\| - \theta_{22} \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, G_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \theta_{32} \\ -\|a_3\| & 1 & -\|a_3\| \end{bmatrix},$$

which can be rearranged as

$$G\dot{ heta} = \left[egin{array}{ccc} G_1 & -G_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -G_2 & G_3 \end{array}
ight] \left[egin{array}{c} \dot{ heta}_1 \\ \dot{ heta}_2 \\ \dot{ heta}_3 \end{array}
ight].$$

Rearrange this equation again into the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_a & G_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_a \\ \dot{\theta}_p \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$G_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_{12} & -\|a_2\| & -\|a_2\| + \theta_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ \|a_1\| & \|a_1\| & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\|a_2\| & -\|a_2\| + \theta_{22} & 0 & \theta_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\|a_3\| & -\|a_3\| \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since G_p is singular, this configuration is an actuator singularity

Exercise 7.2.

(a) Note that $p + R_{sb}b_i = a_i + d_i$ for i = 1, 2, 3, where $R_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore, $\begin{bmatrix} d_{ix} \\ d_{iy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{ix} \\ b_{iy} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a_{ix} \\ a_{iy} \end{bmatrix}$ for i = 1, 2, 3. Since $s_i^2 = d_{ix}^2 + d_{iy}^2$, we get

$$s_i^2 = (p_x + b_{ix}\cos\phi - b_{iy}\sin\phi - a_{ix})^2 + (p_y + b_{ix}\sin\phi + b_{iy}\cos\phi - a_{iy})^2, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

(b) If all the joint variables are fixed, the length of legs 1, 2, 3 shown in Figure 7.2, become constant. Then ends of leg 1 and leg 2 meet at two points (right-side and left-side) as they form triangles. If




Figure 7.2

we draw a circle of radius 2 (the distance between the R-joints of moving platform) and find the point

which satisfies the condition that the distance from the base joint of the 3rd leg is equal to the length of leg 3 on the circle, we also get two points. Therefore, the maximum possible number of forward kinematics solution is $2 \times 2 = 4$.

(c) The planar wrench in the fixed frame {s} is

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \left[\begin{array}{c} m_s \\ f_{sx} \\ f_{sy} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} -w_1 \times q_1 & -w_2 \times q_2 & -w_3 \times q_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \end{array} \right],$$

where $w_1 = (1,0), w_2 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}), w_3 = (1,0), q_1 = (0,1), q_2 = (0,-1), q_3 = (0,-1)$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{F}_{s} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1\\ 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $m_b = R_{sb}^T [p_{sb}]^T f_s + R_{sb}^T m_s$ and $f_b = R_{bs} f_s$ with $R_{bs} = I$, we have $\mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{F}_b$, or equivalently,

$$(m_{bz}, f_{bx}, f_{by}) = (-2, 0, 0).$$

(d) Using Grübler's formula with N=21, J=27, and $\sum f_i=27$, the degrees of freedom of this mechanism is $3 \times (21 - 27 - 1) + 27 = 6$.

Exercise 7.3.

(a) Define vector $\mathbf{d}_i = \mathbf{p} + R\mathbf{b}_i - \mathbf{a}_i$ for i = 1, 2, 3, where $R = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix}$. Using the relationship between $|d_i|^2$ and θ_i , a set of independent equations relating ϕ , p and joint variables $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ can be derived. From the law of cosines and the above definition,

$$|d_i|^2 = 2L^2 - 2L^2 \cos(\pi - \theta_i) = 2L^2(1 + \cos \theta_i)$$

= $(p + Rb_i - a_i)^T (p + Rb_i - a_i)$

for i = 1, 2, 3, where L is the length of each link. Therefore

$$(p + Rb_i - a_i)^T (p + Rb_i - a_i) = 2L^2 (1 + \cos \theta_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

(b) Given R and p from the above equation, the maximum possible number of solutions for θ_i is two for i = 1, 2, 3. This means each joint can have elbow-up and elbow-down solutions. Therefore, the maximum possible number of inverse kinematic solutions is $2 \times 2 \times 2 = 8$. In contrast, given $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$, there exist three constraint equations with three unknowns (two for p, one for R). Since $|\mathbf{d}_i|^2$ can be determined, this problem is similar to that of the 3×RPR planar parallel mechanism in Section 7.1.1. According to this problem, three constraint equations can be reduced to a single sixth-order polynomial. Thus, the maximum possible number of forward kinematic solutions is six. Further verification is needed to show that all six mathematical solution are feasible.

Exercise 7.4.

- (a) There are six variables $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$, so six equations are needed. In order to derive the equations, define the following vectors shown in Figure 7.3:
 - a_1 is the vector \vec{a}_1 expressed in $\{s\}$ frame coordinates.
 - a_2 is the vector \vec{a}_2 expressed in $\{s\}$ frame coordinates.
 - b_1 is the vector \vec{b}_1 expressed in $\{b\}$ frame coordinates.
 - b_2 is the vector \vec{b}_2 expressed in $\{b\}$ frame coordinates.




Figure 7.3

From the problem description,

$$a_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1x} \\ a_{1y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad a_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{2x} \\ a_{2y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$b_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1x} \\ b_{1y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad b_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{2x} \\ b_{2y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, derive the equations for each leg.

1) Leg ABC:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1x} \\ a_{1y} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -\cos\theta_1 \\ \sin\theta_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -\sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \\ \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \cos\phi & -\sin\phi \\ \sin\phi & \cos\phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{1x} \\ b_{1y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(7.1)
$$\phi = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3.$$
(7.2)

2) Leg DEF:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{2x} \\ a_{2y} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -\cos\psi_1 \\ \sin\psi_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(\psi_1 + \psi_2) \\ -\sin(\psi_1 + \psi_2) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \cos\phi & -\sin\phi \\ \sin\phi & \cos\phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{2x} \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(7.3)
$$\phi = \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3.$$

By solving equations (7.1), (7.2), (7.3), and (7.4), the inverse kinematics solution can be found.

(b) In order to determine whether or not the configuration is an actuator singularity, we need to examine the determinant of H_p in the equation

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} H_a & H_p \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \dot{q}_a \\ \dot{q}_p \end{array}\right] = 0.$$

If H_p is invertible, this configuration is not an actuator singularity. In order to find H_p , the Jacobian of each leg must be determined. From the figure, each leg Jacobian is given by

Leg ABC:
$$J_{1,s} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -3 & -2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Leg DEF:
$$J_{2,s} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ -4 & -3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

The kinematic loop constraints can be expressed as $T_1(\theta) = T_2(\psi)$. Taking right differentials of both sides of the equation, we have $\dot{T}_1T_1^{-1} = \dot{T}_2T_2^{-1}$. Since $\dot{T}T^{-1} = [V]$, the identity can also be expressed in terms of the forward kinematics Jacobian for each chain:

$$J_{1,s}\dot{\theta}=J_{2,s}\dot{\psi},$$

which can also be arranged as

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{1,s} & -J_{2,s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 & -3 & -3 \\ -3 & -2 & -2 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \\ \dot{\theta}_3 \\ \dot{\psi}_1 \\ \dot{\psi}_2 \\ \dot{\psi}_3 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

The equation above can be rearranged as

Therefore

$$H_p = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ -2 & -2 & 2 \end{array} \right].$$

Since $det(H_p)$ is equal to zero, this configuration is an actuator singularity.

(c) Since the actuator joints now become A, B, and D, the equation in (b) can now be rearranged into the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 1 & -3 & -3 \\ -3 & -2 & 4 & -2 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \\ \dot{\psi}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_3 \\ \dot{\psi}_2 \\ \dot{\psi}_3 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

with $H_a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \\ -3 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ and $H_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -3 & -3 \\ -2 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Since H_p is invertible, we have

$$\dot{q}_p = -H_p^{-1} H_a \dot{q}_a = G_a \dot{q}_a,$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_3 \\ \dot{\psi}_2 \\ \dot{\psi}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \\ -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \\ \dot{\psi}_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can find the Jacobian J_a from the equation below:

$$V_{s} = J_{1,s}\dot{\theta} = J_{1,s} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_{1} \\ \dot{\theta}_{2} \\ \dot{\theta}_{3} \end{bmatrix} = J_{1,s} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_{1} \\ \dot{\theta}_{2} \\ \dot{\psi}_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_{1} \\ \dot{\theta}_{2} \\ \dot{\psi}_{1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the Jacobian such that $V_s = J_a \dot{q}_a$ is

$$J_a = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0\\ -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Exercise 7.5.

(a) Using Grübler's formula for spatial mechanism with N=8 and J=9, the degrees of freedom is

$$M = 6 \cdot (N - 1 - J) + \Sigma f_i = 6 \cdot (8 - 1 - 9) + 1 \cdot 6 + 3 \cdot 3 = 3.$$

(b) As shown in Figure 7.4, let a_i and d_i respectively be the vector \mathbf{a}_i and \mathbf{d}_i expressed in $\{s\}$ -frame coordinates; b_i is the vector \mathbf{b}_i expressed in $\{b\}$ -frame coordinates. The kinematic constraint equations




Figure 7.4

are then given by

$$d_i = R_{sb}b_i + p_{sb} - a_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

(c) Defining three unit vectors \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} along the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ -, $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ - and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ -axis of the moving coordinate frame $\{\mathbf{b}\}$,

the rotation matrix R_{sb} is given by

$$R_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} u_x & v_x & w_x \\ u_y & v_y & w_y \\ u_z & v_z & w_z \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta\cos\phi & -\sin\theta\cos\psi + \cos\theta\sin\phi\sin\psi & \sin\theta\sin\psi + \cos\theta\sin\phi\cos\psi \\ \sin\theta\cos\phi & \cos\theta\cos\psi + \sin\theta\sin\phi\sin\psi & -\cos\theta\sin\psi + \sin\theta\sin\phi\cos\psi \\ -\sin\phi & \cos\phi\sin\psi & \cos\phi\cos\psi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define $s_i = a_i + d_i$ and $b'_i = R_{sb}b_i$ (note that these are expressed in {s}-frame coordinates). Then

$$a_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, a_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}a & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a & 0 \end{bmatrix}, a_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}a & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$p_{sb} = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \end{bmatrix},$$

$$s_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & d_{1} \end{bmatrix}, s_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}a & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a & d_{2} \end{bmatrix}, s_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}a & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a & d_{3} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$b'_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} a - x & -y & d_{1} - z \end{bmatrix},$$

$$b'_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}a - x & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a - y & d_{2} - z \end{bmatrix},$$

$$b'_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}a - x & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a - y & d_{3} - z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that a, x, y, z are known, while d_1, d_2, d_3 are unknown. We have

$$b_1' \cdot b_2' = \|b_1'\| \cdot \|b_2'\| \cdot \cos 120, \quad b_2' \cdot b_3' = \|b_2'\| \cdot \|b_3'\| \cdot \cos 120, \quad b_1' \cdot b_3' = \|b_1'\| \cdot \|b_3'\| \cdot \cos 120,$$

which can be written again as follows:

$$f(d_1, d_2, d_3) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(d_1, d_2, d_3) \\ f_2(d_1, d_2, d_3) \\ f_3(d_1, d_2, d_3) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b'_1 \cdot b'_2 - ||b'_1|| \cdot ||b'_2|| \cdot \cos 120 \\ b'_2 \cdot b'_3 - ||b'_2|| \cdot ||b'_3|| \cdot \cos 120 \\ b'_1 \cdot b'_3 - ||b'_1|| \cdot ||b'_3|| \cdot \cos 120 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
 (7.5)

Equation (7.5) can be solved numerically for d_1, d_2, d_3 using the Newton-Raphson method. We can also solve for the three unit vectors \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} of the moving frame $\{\mathbf{b}\}$. These unit vectors can be expressed as follows:

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_x & u_y & u_z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\mathbf{b}_1}{\|\mathbf{b}_1\|}$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_x & w_y & w_z \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{s_{normal}}$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_x & v_y & v_z \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{u}$$

Therefore the Euler angles using R_{sb} are obtained as

$$\theta = \tan^{-1}(\frac{u_y}{u_x}), \quad \phi = \sin^{-1}(-u_x), \quad \psi = \tan^{-1}(\frac{v_z}{w_z})$$

Exercise 7.6.

- (a) The following kinematics analysis is described in more detail in J. Kim et al. (2002).
 - 1) Forward kinematics: We use the numerical approach since analytic solutions are not easily derived for this mechanism. First, the constraint equations are given by

$$f(\theta_a, \theta_p) = \begin{bmatrix} (c_1 - c_2)^T (c_1 - c_2) - D^2 \\ (c_2 - c_3)^T (c_2 - c_3) - D^2 \\ (c_3 - c_1)^T (c_3 - c_1) - D^2 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$
(7.6)

where the positions of the spherical joints C_i in fixed frame coordinates are given by

$$c_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_{i}(r_{b} - L \cos \theta_{i+6}) \\ \sin \theta_{i}(r_{b} - L \cos \theta_{i+6}) \\ \theta_{i+3} + L \sin \theta_{i+6} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

In the equations above, $\theta_a = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_6]$ represents the active joints, $\theta_p = [\theta_7, \theta_8, \theta_9]$ the passive revolute joints, r_b the base radius, r_p the moving platform radius, $L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = L$ the link length between the revolute joint and the spherical joint for each leg, and $D = D_1 = D_2 = D_3 = \sqrt{3}r_p$ the distances between the spherical joints.

Second, given θ_a , determine θ_p that satisfy Equation (7.6) using the Newton-Raphson method or other numerical methods. Denote by $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $R \in SO(3)$ the position and orientation of the end-effector frame, respectively, expressed in the fixed frame coordinates. Then we get p and R as follows:

$$p = \frac{1}{3}(c_1 + c_2 + c_3),$$

$$R = [R_x \ R_y \ R_z],$$

$$R_x = \frac{1}{r_p}(c_1 - p),$$

$$R_y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}r_p}(c_2 - c_3),$$

$$R_z = R_x \times R_y$$

2) Inverse kinematics: In contrast to the forward kinematics, the inverse kinematics problem can be solved analytically. Given the desired position and orientation of the end-effector frame, p and R, the positions of the spherical joint centers in the fixed frame are

$$b_i = R b_i' + p,$$

where b'_i is the position of B_i in the end-effector frame coordinates for each leg, and given by

$$b'_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{p} \cos(\frac{2}{3}(i-1)\pi) \\ r_{p} \sin(\frac{2}{3}(i-1)\pi) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The joint variable for the circular slide is obtained via the atan2 function:

$$\theta_i = \text{atan2}(c_{ix}, c_{iy}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Calculating the revolute joint angles for C_i ,

$$\theta_{i+6} = \arccos\left(\frac{R_a - \sqrt{c_{ix}^2 + c_{iy}^2}}{L}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Two solutions are obtained from this equation; these solutions need to be checked if they are physically realizable. The prismatic joint values are

$$\theta_{i+3} = c_{iz} - L\sin\theta_{i+6}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

At most two solutions are possible depending on the number of solutions for the revolute joint angles. (b) The Jacobian J_a such that $V_s = J_a \dot{\theta}_a$ can be obtained by differentiating the kinematic constraint Equation (7.6).

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_a} \dot{\theta}_a + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_p} \dot{\theta}_p = 0,$$
$$\dot{\theta}_p = -\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_p}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_a} \dot{\theta}_a.$$

An actuator singularity occurs when $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_p}$ is not invertible, in which case the passive joint values cannot be obtained from the active joint values. According to J. Kim et al. (2001), this happends when the tilting angle of the moving platform is approximately 30 degrees.

An end-effector singularity occurs when the end-effector loses one or more degrees of freedom, for example, the configuration in which the moving platform is parallel with respect to the ground, and one of the spherical joints C_i is located exactly above the center of the fixed platform. A more detailed singularity analysis including visualization of some singularity examples can be found in J. Kim et al. (2001).

- (i) J. Kim, K.S. Cho, J.C. Hwang, C.C. Iurascu, and F.C. Park. Eclipse-RP: A new RP machine based on repeated deposition and machining. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics*, 216(1), pp.13-20, 2002.
- (ii) J. Kim, F.C. Park, S.J. Ryu, J. Kim, J.C. Hwang, C. Park, and C.C. Iurascu. Design and analysis of a redundantly actuated parallel mechanism for rapid machining. *IEEE Transactions on robotics and automation*, 17(4), pp.423-434, 2001.

Exercise 7.7. The Delta robot consists of three kinematic chains connecting the base with the end-effector. Due to parallelogram four-bar linkage, the end-effector always remains parallel to the base. First derive the kinematic constraint equations; denote the parameters as shown in Figure 7.5. Three revolute joints A_1, A_2, A_3 are actuated and their joint variables are $\theta_{11}, \theta_{12}, \theta_{13}$. Define the following vectors:

- $p_{sb} = (x, y, z)^T = p$ in {s}-frame coordinates.
- $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^3 = a_i$ in $\{s\}$ -frame coordinates.
- $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^3 = b_i$ in $\{s\}$ -frame coordinates.
- $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^3 = c_i$ in $\{s\}$ -frame coordinates.
- $d_i \in \mathbb{R}^3 = d_i$ in {b}-frame coordinates.
- $R_{sb} \in SO(3)$ is the orientation of $\{b\}$ as seen from $\{s\}$.

Note that

$$a_i + b_i + c_i = p_{sb} + R_{sb}d_i = p_{sb} + d_i,$$

for i = 1, 2, 3, where $R_{sb} = I$. Since the length of c_i is constant, we obtain three kinematic constraint equations:

$$||c_i||^2 = L_2^2 = ||p_{sb}d_i - a_i - b_i||^2, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

(a) Given the position of the end-effector frame {b}, $p_{sb} = (x, y, z)^T$, the above kinematic equations can be written in the form

$$P_i \cos \theta_i + Q_i \sin \theta_i + R_i = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Defining $t_i = \tan(\theta_i/2)$ for i = 1, 2, 3,

$$P_i\left(\frac{1-t_i^2}{1+t_i^2}\right) + Q_i\left(\frac{2t_i}{1+t_i^2}\right) + R_i = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$(R_i - P_i)t_i^2 + 2Q_it_i + R_i + P_i = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We obtain two solutions for each leg:

$$t_i = \frac{-Q_i \pm \sqrt{P_i^2 + Q_i^2 - R_i^2}}{R_i - P_i}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\theta_i = 2 \tan^{-1} t_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Therefore eight possible solutions exist.




Figure 7.5

- (b) Although it is generally difficult to solve the forward kinematics for closed chains, the solution for the Delta robot can be derived comparably easily since the end-effector always remains parallel to the base and only translational movement is possible (i.e., $R_{sb} = I$). Given the joint angles $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$, the position of B_i in {s}-frame coordinates is $a_i + b_i$. Now consider a set of imaginary spheres with their centers located at B'_i , with the position vectors of B'_i expressed in {s} as $a_i + b_i d_i$ for i = 1, 2, 3. The forward kinematics solution is simply the intersection of these spheres, the raidus of which is L_2 . In most cases, the number of intersection points of three spheres is two. From the configuration of the Delta robot, the unique solution can be determined in certain cases.
- (c) Let us define coordinates frame {i}, composed by $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i \hat{\mathbf{z}}_i$ as shown in Figure 7.6, such that plane $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_s \hat{\mathbf{y}}_s$ and plane $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i$ are identical, and axes $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_s$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_i$ are also identical. Let ϕ_i be the angle measured from $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_s$ to $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$. Note that ϕ_i is constant. Let θ_{1i} be the angle measured from $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$ to A_iB_i . Let θ_{2i} be the angle measured from $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i$ to B_iC_i . Since we assume that the revolute joints at the fixed base are actuated, the vector of actuated joint variables q_a is given by $q_a = (\theta_{11}, \theta_{12}, \theta_{13})^T$.
 - The Jacobian matrix relates the velocities of actuator joints to the spatial velocity of the end-effector. We only need to consider the linear velocity of the end-effector frame as the angular velocity is zero




Figure 7.6

for all time, so that we have $\dot{p} = J_a \dot{q}_a$. First note that

$$p + d_i = a_i + b_i + c_i. (7.7)$$

Expressing the equation above with respect to $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i - \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i - \hat{\mathbf{z}}_i$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x\cos\phi_i - y\sin\phi_i \\ x\sin\phi_i - y\cos\phi_i \\ z \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}L_b \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}L_s \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + L_1 \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta_{1i} \\ 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{1i} \end{bmatrix} + L_2 \begin{bmatrix} \sin\theta_{3i}\cos(\theta_{2i} + \theta_{1i}) \\ \cos\theta_{3i} \\ -\sin\theta_{3i}\sin(\theta_{2i} + \theta_{1i}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we differentiate the Equation (7.7) with respect to time,

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \dot{\mathbf{b}}_i + \dot{\mathbf{c}}_i = \omega_{\mathbf{b}_i} \times \mathbf{b}_i + \omega_{\mathbf{c}_i} \times \mathbf{c}_i$$

since a_i, d_i are constant. ω_{b_i} and ω_{c_i} are the angular velocity of links A_iB_i and B_iC_i , respectively. To eliminate ω_{c_i} , take the scalar product with the unit vector $\frac{c_i}{||c_i||}$:

$$\frac{\mathbf{c}_i}{||\mathbf{c}_i||} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{\mathbf{c}_i}{||\mathbf{c}_i||} \cdot (\omega_{\mathbf{b}_i} \times \mathbf{b}_i)$$

since $c_i \cdot (\omega_{c_i} \times c_i) = 0$. Expressing the vectors in the \hat{x}_i - \hat{y}_i - \hat{z}_i -frame,

$$\frac{\mathbf{c}_{i}}{||\mathbf{c}_{i}||} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{p}} = \{\sin \theta_{3i} \cos(\theta_{2i} + \theta_{1i})\} \{\dot{x} \cos \phi_{i} - \dot{y} \sin \phi_{i}\} + \cos \theta_{3i} (\dot{x} \sin \phi_{i} - \dot{y} \cos \phi_{i}) - \sin \theta_{3i} \sin(\theta_{2i} + \theta_{1i}) \dot{z},$$

$$(7.8)$$

$$\frac{c_i}{||c_i||} \cdot (\omega_{b_i} \times b_i) = L_1 \sin \theta_{2i} \sin \theta_{3i} \dot{\theta}_{1i}, \tag{7.9}$$

where $\omega_{\mathbf{b}_i} = (0, \dot{\theta}_{1i}, 0)^T$. Rearranging Equation (7.8), (7.9),

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{1x} & J_{1y} & J_{1z} \\ J_{2x} & J_{2y} & J_{2z} \\ J_{3x} & J_{3y} & J_{3z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{z} \end{bmatrix} = L_1 \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta_{21} \sin \theta_{31} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \theta_{22} \sin \theta_{32} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \theta_{23} \sin \theta_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_{11} \\ \dot{\theta}_{12} \\ \dot{\theta}_{13} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$J_{ix} = \sin \theta_{3i} \cos(\theta_{2i} + \theta_{1i}) \cos \phi_i + \cos \theta_{3i} \sin \phi_i,$$

$$J_{iy} = -\sin \theta_{3i} \cos(\theta_{2i} + \theta_{1i}) \sin \phi_i - \cos \theta_{3i} \cos \phi_i,$$

$$J_{iz} = -\sin \theta_{3i} \sin(\theta_{2i} + \theta_{1i}).$$

Rewriting this equation again as $J_p \dot{p} = J_\theta \dot{q}_a$, the linear velocity of the end-effector is $\dot{p} = J_p^{-1} J_\theta \dot{q}_a$. Therefore the Jacobian J_a is given by $J_a = J_p^{-1} J_\theta$. (d) The actuator singularities arise when J_p is non-invertible. This happens if

$$\theta_{3i} = 0 \text{ or } \pi \qquad \text{for all } i$$
 (7.10)

or

$$\theta_{2i} + \theta_{1i} = 0 \text{ or } \pi \qquad \text{for all } i. \tag{7.11}$$

If either (7.10) or (7.11) holds, the third column of J_p is equal to zero.

A more detailed derivation of the forward and inverse kinematics, and singularity analysis of the Delta robot can be found in the following papers:

- (i) K. S. Hsu, M. Karkoub, M. C. Tsai, M. G. Her, Modelling and index analysis of a Delta-type mechanism, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics, 218(3):121-132, Sep 2004.
- (ii) M. Lopez, E. Castillo, G. Garcia, A. Bashir. Delta robot: inverse, direct, and intermediate Jacobians, Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, 220(1):103-109, Jan 2006.

Exercise 7.8. In general, three prismatic joints in each leg of the 3×UPU mechanism are actuated, while two passive universal joints are attached at the ends of each leg. It is known that the platform of the 3×UPU mechanism undergoes only translational motion if the universal joints are aligned so that axis 1 is parallel to the axis 4, and axis 2 is also parallel to axis 3 as shown in the exercise.

Let \hat{z}_{ii} denote a unit vector which points along the direction of axis j of leg i, and θ_{ii} be the corresponding joint angle. In addition, let $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{Pi}$ be a unit vector pointing along the prismatic joint axis of leg i. Let $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be the vector from the origin of $\{0\}$ to A_i expressed in coordinates frame $\{0\}$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be the vector from the origin of $\{1\}$ to B_i expressed in coordinates frame $\{1\}$. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be the position vector of the origin of the frame $\{1\}$ with respect to the frame $\{0\}$. Since no rotation is allowed for the moving platform, $R_{01} = I$. The kinematic constraint equations are

$$p + b_i = a_i + d_i \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{Pi}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

(a) Given the leg lengths d_i for i = 1, 2, 3, the position p of the moving platform needs to be determined. From the kinematic constraint equations,

$$d_i^2 = (p + b_i - a_i)^T (p + b_i - a_i), \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Each equation represents a sphere of radius d_i whose center is located at $(a_i - b_i)$. The solution of the forward kinematics is the intersection of these three spheres; generally, two solutions exist for this problem. After some algebraic manipulation, we get two solutions whose \hat{x} and \hat{y} coordinate values are the same, and the \hat{z} coordinate values are equal and opposite.

(b) Given the position p of the moving platform, the length of each leg d_i needs to be determined. From the kinematic constraint equations,

$$d_i = \sqrt{(p + b_i - a_i)^T (p + b_i - a_i)}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3$$

since d_i should be positive.

(c) The forward kinematics can be derived in another way using the product of exponentials:

$$T_i(\theta_{1i}, \theta_{2i}, d_i, \theta_{3i}, \theta_{4i}) = e^{[\mathcal{S}_{1i}]\theta_{1i}} e^{[\mathcal{S}_{2i}]\theta_{2i}} e^{[\mathcal{S}_{Pi}]d_i} e^{[\mathcal{S}_{3i}]\theta_{3i}} e^{[\mathcal{S}_{4i}]\theta_{4i}} M, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3$$

where $T_i, M \in SE(3)$. The kinematic loop constraints can be written as $T_1(\theta_1) = T_2(\theta_2)$ and $T_2(\theta_2) = T_2(\theta_2)$ $T_3(\theta_3)$ where $\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}^5$ is defined to be $(\theta_{1i}, \theta_{2i}, d_i, \theta_{3i}, \theta_{4i})$ for i = 1, 2, 3. Taking right differentials of these equations, we have $\dot{T}_1 T_1^{-1} = \dot{T}_2 T_2^{-1}$ and $\dot{T}_2 T_2^{-1} = \dot{T}_3 T_3^{-1}$. Since $\dot{T} T^{-1} = [\mathcal{V}]$, these can also be expressed in terms of the foward kinematcs Jacobian. Arranging them into a matrix form, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{1,s}(\theta_1) & -J_{2,s}(\theta_2) & 0\\ 0 & J_{2,s}(\theta_2) & -J_{3,s}(\theta_3) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1\\ \dot{\theta}_2\\ \dot{\theta}_3 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

The equation above can be rearranged into the form

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} H_a & H_p \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \dot{q}_a \\ \dot{q}_p \end{array}\right] = 0,$$

- where $q_a=(d_1,d_2,d_3)$ and $q_p\in\mathbb{R}^{12}$ is the vector for all revolute (passive) joint angles. If H_p is invertible, using $\dot{q}_p=-H_p^{-1}H_a\dot{q}_a$, the Jacobian J_a such that $\mathcal{V}_s=J_{1,s}\dot{\theta}_1=J_a\dot{q}_a$ can be obtained. (d) An actuator singularity occurs when H_p becomes singular. According to Han et al. (2002), when the
- (d) An actuator singularity occurs when H_p becomes singular. According to Han et al. (2002), when the three legs lengths are identical, $\begin{bmatrix} H_a & H_p \end{bmatrix}$ become rank-deficient, which implies both a configuration space singularity and an actuator singularity. Experiments with a real prototype, however, show that even though the three legs are locked, the mechanism still has extra degrees of freedom, which contradict the singularity analysis results. Han et al. reveal that this gross motion is due to manufacturing clearances and tolerances in the universal joints. More details can be found in (i) for the kinematics, and (ii), (iii) for the singularity analysis.
 - (i) L. W. Tsai. Kinematics of a three-DOF platform with three extensible limbs. *Recent advances in robot kinematics*, pages 401-410. Springer Netherlands. 1996
 - (ii) C. Han, J. Kim, and F. C. Park. Kinematic sensitivity analysis of the 3-UPU parallel mechanism. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 37(8):787-798, 2002.
 - (iii) R. Di Gregorio and V. Parenti-Castelli. Mobility analysis of the 3-UPU parallel mechanism assembled for a pure translational motion. *ASME Journal of Mechanical Design*, 124(2):259-264, 2002.

Chapter 8 Solutions

Exercise 8.1.

First, the inertia matrix of a thin rectangular plate perpendicular to the z-axis can be derived as follows:

$$I_{xx} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{12}Mw^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$I_{yy} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{12}Ml^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$I_{zz} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}M(w^2 + l^2) \end{bmatrix},$$

where the reference frame is located at the center of mass, M denotes the mass of the plate, and w and l are the length of the edges along the x-axis and y-axis, respectively. Similarly, the inertia matrix of a circular plate can be derived as follows:

$$I_{xx} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}Mr^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$I_{yy} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4}Mr^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$I_{zz} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}Mr^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the reference frame is located at the center of mass with z-axis perpendicular to the plate, M denotes the mass of the plate, and r is the radius of the plate.

(a) Rectangular parallelepiped:

$$I_{xx} = \int \frac{1}{12} (w^2 + h^2) dm = \frac{1}{12} (w^2 + h^2) \int 1 \ dm = \frac{1}{12} (w^2 + h^2) M,$$

where dm is the infinitesimal mass of the thin plate between x and x + dx. By symmetry, it is straightfoward to derive the remaining inertia components as $I_{yy} = \frac{1}{12}(l^2 + h^2)M$, $I_{zz} = \frac{1}{12}(w^2 + l^2)M$.

(b) Circular cylinder:

Following (a), using a thin circulate plate of infinitesimal mass dm between z and z + dz, it is straightfoward to compute $I_{zz} = \int \frac{1}{2} r^2 dm = \frac{1}{2} r^2 \int dm = \frac{1}{2} m r^2$. To derive I_{xx} , use a thin rectangular plate of an infinitesimal mass dm_x between x and x + dx as follows:

$$I_{xx} = \int \frac{1}{12} (4(r^2 - x^2) + h^2) dm_x = \int_{-r}^{r} \frac{1}{6} (4(r^2 - x^2) + h^2) \rho \sqrt{r^2 - x^2} h dx,$$

where the infinitesimal mass is $dm_x = 2\rho\sqrt{r^2 - x^2}h\ dx$ and ρ is the density. Integrating by substituting $dx = r \tan \theta$ and $m = \int dm_x = \int_{-r}^r 2\rho\sqrt{r^2 - x^2}h\ dx$, we obtain $I_{xx} = \frac{m(3r^2 + h^2)}{12}$ and $I_{yy} = \frac{m(3r^2 + h^2)}{12}$.

(c) Ellipsoid:

Note that the inertia I_{cm} of a sphere about the axis through the center of mass is $I_{cm} = \frac{2}{5}MR^2$ where M is the mass and R is the radius. Since $I = \int r^2 dm$, we can compute the inertia terms of an ellipsoid using the following change of coordinates:

$$I_{zz} = \int_{ellipsoid} x^2 + y^2 dm$$

$$= \int_{sphere} (\frac{a}{r}x)^2 + (\frac{b}{r}y)^2 dm'$$

$$= (\frac{a}{r})^2 \int_{sphere} x^2 dm' + (\frac{b}{r})^2 \int_{sphere} y^2 dm'$$

$$= \frac{1}{5}m(a^2 + b^2)$$

where dm is an infinitesimal mass of ellipsoid, dm' is an infinitesimal mass of sphere, and also the fact that $\int_{sphere} x^2 dm' = \frac{1}{2} \int_{sphere} x^2 + y^2 dm' = \frac{1}{5} mr^2$. Similarly, $I_{xx} = \frac{1}{5} m(c^2 + b^2)$, $I_{yy} = \frac{1}{5} m(a^2 + c^2)$.

Exercise 8.2.

- (a) Aligning the z axis along the length of the dumbell gives $\mathcal{I}_{cylinder} = diag\{0.00647, 0.00647, 0.000377\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{sphere,1} = \mathcal{I}_{sphere,2} = diag\{0.126, 0.126, 0.126\}$. Using the parallel axis theorem gives $\mathcal{I}_{dumbell} = diag\{2.771, 2.771, 0.252\}$.
- (b) The total mass of the dumbbell is $m_{\text{dumbbell}} = 64.72 \text{ kg}$. The spatial inertia matrix is $\mathcal{G}_b = \text{diag}\{\mathcal{I}_b, \mathfrak{m}I\}$, so $\mathcal{G}_b = \text{diag}\{2.771, 2.771, 0.252, 64.72, 64.72, 64.72\}$

Exercise 8.3.

(a) The relation between \mathcal{G}_a and \mathcal{G}_b can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{G}_{a} = [\mathrm{Ad}_{T_{ba}}]^{T} \mathcal{G}_{b} [\mathrm{Ad}_{T_{ba}}]
\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{m}I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{ba}^{T} & R_{ba}^{T} [p_{ba}]^{T} \\ 0 & R_{ba}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{b} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{m}I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{ba} & 0 \\ [p_{ba}]R_{ba} & R_{ba} \end{bmatrix}.$$

So we get

$$\mathcal{I}_{a} = R_{ba}{}^{T} \mathcal{I}_{b} R_{ba} + \mathfrak{m} R_{ba}^{T} [p_{ba}]^{T} [p_{ba}] R_{ba}.$$

When frame $\{a\}$ is aligned with frame $\{b\}$, $R_{ba} = I$. Substituting this into the formula yields

$$\mathcal{I}_a = \mathcal{I}_b + \mathfrak{m}[p_{ba}]^T [p_{ba}].$$

Assume $p_{ba} = q = (q_x, q_y, q_z)$, we finally get

$$\mathcal{I}_{a} = \mathcal{I}_{b} + \mathfrak{m}[q]^{T}[q]
= \mathcal{I}_{b} + \mathfrak{m} \begin{bmatrix} q_{y}^{2} + q_{z}^{2} & -q_{x}q_{y} & -q_{x}q_{z} \\ -q_{y}q_{x} & q_{x}^{2} + q_{z}^{2} & -q_{y}q_{z} \\ -q_{z}q_{x} & -q_{z}q_{y} & q_{x}^{2} + q_{y}^{2} \end{bmatrix}
= \mathcal{I}_{b} + \mathfrak{m}(q^{T}qI - qq^{T}).$$

So Steiner's theorem is a special case of the given equation and in turn that equation is a generalization of Steiner's theorem.

(b) Given the dynamic equations for a single rigid body, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{a} = [\operatorname{Ad}_{T_{ba}}]^{T} \mathcal{F}_{b}
= [\operatorname{Ad}_{T_{ba}}]^{T} \mathcal{G}_{b} \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{b} - [\operatorname{Ad}_{T_{ba}}]^{T} [\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{V}_{b}}]^{T} \mathcal{G}_{b} \mathcal{V}_{b}
= [\operatorname{Ad}_{T_{ba}}]^{T} \mathcal{G}_{b} [\operatorname{Ad}_{T_{ba}}] \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{a} - [\operatorname{Ad}_{T_{ba}}]^{T} [\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{V}_{b}}]^{T} \mathcal{G}_{b} [\operatorname{Ad}_{T_{ba}}] \mathcal{V}_{a}
= \mathcal{G}_{a} \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{a} - [\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{V}_{a}}]^{T} \mathcal{G}_{a} \mathcal{V}_{a}$$

Exercise 8.4.

Figure 8.1 shows the rotational inverted pendulum for arbitrary θ_1 and θ_2 .

(a) The dynamic equations are derived via the Lagrangian formulation. Assume that frame $\{b_1\}$ is the end-effector frame. Then the body Jacobian J_{b_1} with respect to frame $\{b_1\}$ is derived as follows:

where $v_i = -w_i \times q_i$. Therefore

$$J_{b_1} = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ L_1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right].$$




Figure 8.1

The spatial velocity of link 1 expressed in frame $\{b_1\}$ coordinates is

$$\mathcal{V}_{b_1} = J_{b_1} \dot{ heta} = \left[egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ L_1 \ 0 \end{array}
ight] \dot{ heta}_1 = \left[egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \ \dot{ heta}_1 \ 0 \ L_1 \dot{ heta}_1 \ 0 \end{array}
ight].$$

Now assume that frame $\{b_2\}$ is the end-effector frame. Then the body Jacobian J_{b_2} with respect to frame $\{b_2\}$ is derived as follows:

| i | w_i | q_i | v_i |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------|---|
| 1 | $(0,\sin\theta_2,\cos\theta_2)$ | $(-L_1,0,L_2)$ | $(-L_2\sin\theta_2, L_1\cos\theta_2, -L_1\sin\theta_2)$ |
| 2 | (1,0,0) | $(0,0,L_2)$ | $(0, L_2, 0)$ |

where $v_i = -w_i \times q_i$. Therefore

$$J_{b_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ \sin \theta_2 & 0\\ \cos \theta_2 & 0\\ -L_2 \sin \theta_2 & 0\\ L_1 \cos \theta_2 & L_2\\ -L_1 \sin \theta_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The spatial velocity of link 2 expressed in frame $\{b_2\}$ coordinates is

$$\mathcal{V}_{b_2} = J_{b_2} \dot{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \sin \theta_2 & 0 \\ \cos \theta_2 & 0 \\ -L_2 \sin \theta_2 & 0 \\ L_1 \cos \theta_2 & L_2 \\ -L_1 \sin \theta_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_2 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \sin \theta_2 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \cos \theta_2 \\ -L_2 \dot{\theta}_1 \sin \theta_2 \\ L_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \cos \theta_2 + L_2 \dot{\theta}_2 \\ -L_1 \dot{\theta}_1 \sin \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Substituting $L_1 = L_2 = 1$,

$$\mathcal{V}_{b_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{V}_{b_2} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_2 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \sin \theta_2 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \cos \theta_2 \\ -\dot{\theta}_1 \sin \theta_2 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \cos \theta_2 + \dot{\theta}_2 \\ -\dot{\theta}_1 \sin \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The kinetic energy of the system is

$$\mathcal{K}(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{b_1}^T \mathcal{G}_{b_1} \mathcal{V}_{b_1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{b_2}^T \mathcal{G}_{b_2} \mathcal{V}_{b_2}
= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{b_1}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_1 I \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{b_1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{b_2}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 I \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{b_2},$$

where \mathcal{G}_{b_i} is the spatial inertia matrix of link *i* expressed in frame $\{b_i\}$ attached at the center of mass. Substituting $m_1 = m_2 = 2$,

$$\mathcal{K}(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{b_1}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2I \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{b_1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{b_2}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2I \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{b_2}
= 3\dot{\theta}_1^2 + (\dot{\theta}_1^2 + 3\dot{\theta}_2 + 3\dot{\theta}_1^2 \sin^2\theta_2 + 2\dot{\theta}_1\dot{\theta}_2 \cos\theta_2)
= 4\dot{\theta}_1^2 + 3\dot{\theta}_2 + 3\dot{\theta}_1^2 \sin^2\theta_2 + 2\dot{\theta}_1\dot{\theta}_2 \cos\theta_2.$$

Let the potential energy of the zero position be zero. The potential energy of the system is given by

$$\mathcal{P}(\theta) = m_2 g L_2 (1 - \cos \theta_2).$$

Substituting $m_2 = 2$, g = 10, and $L_2 = 1$,

$$\mathcal{P}(\theta) = 20 - 20\cos\theta_2$$
.

The Lagrangian of the system is then

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = \mathcal{K}(\theta, \dot{\theta}) - \mathcal{P}(\theta)$$

$$= 4\dot{\theta}_1^2 + 3\dot{\theta}_2 + 3\dot{\theta}_1^2 \sin^2\theta_2 + 2\dot{\theta}_1\dot{\theta}_2\cos\theta_2 + 20\cos\theta_2 - 20.$$

Therefore, the Euler-Lagrange equations can be written as

$$\tau = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} 8\dot{\theta}_1 + 6\dot{\theta}_1 \sin^2\theta_2 + 2\dot{\theta}_2 \cos\theta_2 \\ 6\dot{\theta}_2 + 2\dot{\theta}_1 \cos\theta_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 6\dot{\theta}_1^2 \sin\theta_2 \cos\theta_2 - 2\dot{\theta}_1\dot{\theta}_2 \sin\theta_2 - 20\sin\theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 8\ddot{\theta}_1 + 6\ddot{\theta}_1 \sin^2\theta_2 + 12\dot{\theta}_1\dot{\theta}_2 \sin\theta_2 \cos\theta_2 + 2\ddot{\theta}_2 \cos\theta_2 - 2\dot{\theta}_2^2 \sin\theta_2 \\ 6\ddot{\theta}_2 + 2\ddot{\theta}_1 \cos\theta_2 - 6\dot{\theta}_1^2 \sin\theta_2 \cos\theta_2 + 20\sin\theta_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Substituting $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \pi/4$ and $\dot{\theta}_1 = \dot{\theta}_2 = \ddot{\theta}_1 = \ddot{\theta}_2 = 0$,

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 10\sqrt{2} \end{array}\right].$$

(b) Substituting $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \pi/4$ to the dynamic equations derived in (a),

$$\tau = \begin{bmatrix} 11\ddot{\theta}_1 + 6\dot{\theta}_1\dot{\theta}_2 + \sqrt{2}\ddot{\theta}_2 - \sqrt{2}\dot{\theta}_2^2 \\ 6\ddot{\theta}_2 + \sqrt{2}\ddot{\theta}_1 - 3\dot{\theta}_1^2 + 10\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 11 & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\theta}_1 \\ \ddot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \dots$$

Therefore, the mass matrix $M(\theta)$ when $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \pi/4$ is

$$M(\theta) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 11 & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & 6 \end{array} \right].$$

The eigenvalues of the mass matrix are $\lambda_1 = 5.6277$ and $\lambda_2 = 11.3723$, and the corresponding eigenvectors are $v_1 = (0.2546, -0.9671)^T$ and $v_2 = (-0.9671, -0.2546)^T$. Then the torque ellipsoid for the mass matrix can be drawn as in Figure 8.2.




Figure 8.2

Exercise 8.5.

For two arbitrary $X, Y \in se(3)$,

$$[ad_X(Y)] = [X][Y] - [Y][X]$$

holds. Then applying the same computation rule, we get the following identity

$$[\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{V}_i}(\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{V}_i}(\mathcal{V}_k))] = [\mathcal{V}_i][\mathcal{V}_i][\mathcal{V}_k] - [\mathcal{V}_i][\mathcal{V}_k][\mathcal{V}_i] - [\mathcal{V}_i][\mathcal{V}_k][\mathcal{V}_i] + [\mathcal{V}_k][\mathcal{V}_i][\mathcal{V}_i]$$
(8.1)

for arbitrary given $V_i, V_j, V_k \in se(3)$. Summing Equation (8.1) for (i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3), (3, 1, 2), (2, 3, 1) whose left hand side is exactly that of the Jacobi identity, it is straightforward to show that all the terms in the right hand side cancel each other and become zero.

Exercise 8.6.

(a) The *i*th column of the space Jacobian $J_s(\theta)$ is

$$J_i = \operatorname{Ad}_{\mathfrak{S}_1 \mid \theta_1 \cdots \mid \mathcal{S}_{i-1} \mid \theta_{i-1}}(\mathcal{S}_i),$$

for i=2,...,n, with the first column $J_1=\mathcal{S}_1$. Since J_i depends only on $\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_{i-1}$, the partial derivative of the space Jacobian $\frac{\partial J_i}{\partial \theta_j}$ becomes zero for $i\leq j$. Otherwise, for i>j, $\frac{\partial J_i}{\partial \theta_j}$ can be derived using $[\cdot]$ notation as follows:

$$\frac{\partial [J_i]}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (e^{[\mathcal{S}_1]\theta_1} \cdots e^{[\mathcal{S}_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}}) [\mathcal{S}_i] e^{-[\mathcal{S}_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}} \cdots e^{-[\mathcal{S}_1]\theta_1} \\
+ e^{[\mathcal{S}_1]\theta_1} \cdots e^{[\mathcal{S}_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}} [\mathcal{S}_i] \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} (e^{-[\mathcal{S}_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}} \cdots e^{-[\mathcal{S}_1]\theta_1}).$$

For the sake of simplicity, let $e^{[S_1]\theta_1} \cdots e^{[S_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}} = T_{i-1}$ and $e^{[S_1]\theta_1} \cdots e^{[S_{j-1}]\theta_{j-1}} = T_{j-1}$. Then the first term is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} (e^{[S_{1}]\theta_{1}} \cdots e^{[S_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}}) [S_{i}] e^{-[S_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}} \cdots e^{-[S_{1}]\theta_{1}}$$

$$= T_{j-1}[S_{j}] e^{[S_{j}]\theta_{j}} \cdots e^{[S_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}} [S_{i}] T_{i-1}^{-1}$$

$$= T_{j-1}[S_{j}] T_{j-1}^{-1} T_{i-1}[S_{i}] T_{i-1}^{-1}$$

$$= [J_{j}][J_{i}],$$

and, in a similar way, the second term is

$$e^{[S_1]\theta_1} \cdots e^{[S_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}} [S_i] \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (e^{-[S_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}} \cdots e^{-[S_1]\theta_1})$$

$$= T_{i-1}[S_i] e^{-[S_{i-1}]\theta_{i-1}} \cdots e^{-[S_j]\theta_j} (-[S_j]) T_{j-1}^{-1}$$

$$= T_{i-1}[S_i] T_{i-1}^{-1} T_{j-1} (-[S_j]) T_{j-1}^{-1}$$

$$= -[J_i][J_j].$$

Therefore, $\frac{\partial [J_i]}{\partial \theta_j}$ for i > j becomes the Lie bracket of J_j and J_i ,

$$\frac{\partial [J_i]}{\partial \theta_j} = [J_j][J_i] - [J_i][J_j] = [J_j, J_i],$$

which can be written in vector form as

$$\frac{\partial J_i}{\partial \theta_j} = \operatorname{ad}_{J_j}(J_i).$$

(b) The *i*th column of the body Jacobian $J_b(\theta)$ is

$$J_i = \operatorname{Ad}_{\mathbf{r}^{-[\mathcal{B}_n]\theta_n \cdots - [\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}}}(\mathcal{B}_i),$$

for i = n - 1, ..., 1, with the *n*th column $J_n = \mathcal{B}_n$. Since J_i depends only on $\theta_n, \dots, \theta_{i+1}$, the partial derivative of the body Jacobian $\frac{\partial J_i}{\partial \theta_j}$ becomes zero for $i \geq j$. Otherwise, for i < j, $\frac{\partial J_i}{\partial \theta_j}$ can be derived using $[\cdot]$ notation as follows:

$$\frac{\partial [J_i]}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (e^{-[\mathcal{B}_n]\theta_n} \cdots e^{-[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}}) [\mathcal{B}_i] e^{[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}} \cdots e^{[\mathcal{B}_n]\theta_n} \\
+ e^{-[\mathcal{B}_n]\theta_n} \cdots e^{-[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}} [\mathcal{B}_i] \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (e^{[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}} \cdots e^{[\mathcal{B}_n]\theta_n}).$$

For the sake of simplicity, let $e^{-[\mathcal{B}_n]\theta_n}\cdots e^{-[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}}=T'_{i+1}$ and $e^{-[\mathcal{B}_n]\theta_n}\cdots e^{-[\mathcal{B}_{j+1}]\theta_{j+1}}=T'_{j+1}$. Then the first term is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} (e^{-[\mathcal{B}_{n}]\theta_{n}} \cdots e^{-[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}}) [\mathcal{B}_{i}] e^{[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}} \cdots e^{[\mathcal{B}_{n}]\theta_{n}}
= T'_{j+1} (-[\mathcal{B}_{j}]) e^{-[\mathcal{B}_{j}]\theta_{j}} \cdots e^{-[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}} [\mathcal{B}_{i}] (T'_{i+1})^{-1}
= T'_{j+1} (-[\mathcal{B}_{j}]) (T'_{j+1})^{-1} T'_{i+1} [\mathcal{B}_{i}] (T'_{i+1})^{-1}
= -[J_{j}] [J_{i}],$$

and, in a similar way, the second term is

$$e^{-[\mathcal{B}_{n}]\theta_{n}} \cdots e^{-[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}} [\mathcal{B}_{i}] \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} (e^{[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}} \cdots e^{[\mathcal{B}_{n}]\theta_{n}})$$

$$= T'_{i+1}[\mathcal{B}_{i}] e^{[\mathcal{B}_{i+1}]\theta_{i+1}} \cdots e^{[\mathcal{B}_{j}]\theta_{j}} [\mathcal{B}_{j}] (T'_{j+1})^{-1}$$

$$= T'_{i+1}[\mathcal{B}_{i}] (T'_{i+1})^{-1} T'_{j+1}[\mathcal{B}_{j}] (T'_{j+1})^{-1}$$

$$= [J_{i}][J_{j}].$$

Therefore, $\frac{\partial [J_i]}{\partial \theta_j}$ for i < j becomes the Lie bracket of J_i and J_j ,

$$\frac{\partial [J_i]}{\partial \theta_j} = [J_i][J_j] - [J_j][J_i] = [J_i, J_j],$$

which can be written in vector form as

$$\frac{\partial J_i}{\partial \theta_i} = \operatorname{ad}_{J_i}(J_j).$$

Exercise 8.7.

From the closed form dynamics formulation derived in chapter 8.4, the mass matrix is expressed as follows:

$$M(\theta) = \mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{L}^T(\theta) \mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(\theta) \mathcal{A}.$$

Since \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{G} are time-invariant, taking the derivative of both sides leads to

$$\dot{M}(\theta) = \mathcal{A}^T \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}^T(\theta) \mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(\theta) \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{L}^T(\theta) \mathcal{G} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(\theta) \mathcal{A}.$$

The time derivative of $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$ is required:

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}(\theta) &= \frac{d}{dt}\left((I - \mathcal{W}(\theta))^{-1}\right) \\ &= -\left(I - \mathcal{W}(\theta)\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\left(I - \mathcal{W}(\theta)\right)\right)\left(I - \mathcal{W}(\theta)\right)^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{L}(\theta)\left(\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W}(\theta)\right)\mathcal{L}(\theta). \end{split}$$

The time derivative of the adjoint is derived in Chapter 8.3.1:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\left[\operatorname{Ad}_{T_{i,i-1}} \right] \right) = - \left[\operatorname{ad}_{A_i \dot{\theta}_i} \right] \left[\operatorname{Ad}_{T_{i,i-1}} \right] \therefore \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\theta) = - \left[\operatorname{ad}_{A \dot{\theta}} \right] \mathcal{W}(\theta).$$

Therefore the time derivative of $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$ is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}(\theta) = -\mathcal{L}(\theta) \left[\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{A}\dot{\theta}} \right] \mathcal{W}(\theta) \mathcal{L}(\theta).$$

Similarly, the time derivative of $\mathcal{L}^T(\theta)$ can be derived as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}^{T}(\theta) = \left(\left(I - \mathcal{W}(\theta) \right)^{-1} \right)^{T} = \left(I - \mathcal{W}^{T}(\theta) \right)^{-1}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}^{T}(\theta) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(I - \mathcal{W}^{T}(\theta) \right)^{-1} \\
= -\left(I - \mathcal{W}^{T}(\theta) \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(I - \mathcal{W}^{T}(\theta) \right) \right) \left(I - \mathcal{W}^{T}(\theta) \right)^{-1} \\
= \mathcal{L}^{T}(\theta) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}^{T}(\theta) \right) \mathcal{L}^{T}(\theta) = \mathcal{L}^{T}(\theta) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{W}(\theta) \right)^{T} \mathcal{L}^{T}(\theta) \\
= -\mathcal{L}^{T}(\theta) \mathcal{W}^{T}(\theta) \left[\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{A}\dot{\theta}} \right]^{T} \mathcal{L}^{T}(\theta)$$

Therefore,

$$\dot{M}(\theta) = -\left(\mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{L}^T \mathcal{W}^T \left[\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{A}\dot{\theta}} \right]^T \mathcal{L}^T \mathcal{G} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{L}^T \mathcal{G} \mathcal{L} \left[\operatorname{ad}_{\mathcal{A}\dot{\theta}} \right] \mathcal{W} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A} \right).$$

Exercise 8.8.

First consider the bold ellipse in the top right plot (force ellipse corresponding to an acceleration circle when the robot is at $(0^{\circ}, 90^{\circ})$. Accelerating the end-effector in the x-direction feels only the mass \mathfrak{m}_2 ; the mass \mathfrak{m}_1 does not accelerate instantaneously. Hence the required force is just $\mathfrak{m}_2 a$. Accelerating in the y-direction feels both masses equally, and the required force is $(\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_2)a$.

For the bold ellipse in the bottom right plot, accelerating the end-effector at a 60° angle feels only \mathfrak{m}_2 , as \mathfrak{m}_1 does not accelerate instantaneously. Accelerating at 150° requires the force $\mathfrak{m}_2 a$ to accelerate \mathfrak{m}_2 plus an additional force larger than $\mathfrak{m}_1 a$, because only some of this additional force accelerates \mathfrak{m}_1 while the rest acts against the constraint of joint 1. This constraint force occurs because link 1 is not orthogonal to the direction of endpoint acceleration, as it is in the top right plot for an acceleration in the y-direction.

Exercise 8.9.

$$\begin{split} d\ddot{\theta}/dG &= \frac{\tau_m}{G^2 \mathcal{I}_{\text{rotor}} + \mathcal{I}_{\text{link}}} - \frac{2G^2 \tau_m \mathcal{I}_{\text{rotor}}}{(G^2 \mathcal{I}_{\text{rotor}} + \mathcal{I}_{\text{link}})^2} = 0\\ &\frac{\tau_m \left(\mathcal{I}_{\text{link}} - G^2 \mathcal{I}_{\text{rotor}}\right)}{(G^2 \mathcal{I}_{\text{rotor}} + \mathcal{I}_{\text{link}})^2} = 0\\ &\sqrt{\mathcal{I}_{\text{link}}/\mathcal{I}_{\text{rotor}}} = G \end{split}$$

Exercise 8.10.

$$\begin{split} & P\tau = P(M\ddot{\theta} + h) \\ & P\tau = PM\ddot{\theta} + Ph \\ & P(\tau - h) = PM\ddot{\theta} \\ & M^{-1}P(\tau - h) = M^{-1}PM\ddot{\theta} \\ & P_{\ddot{\theta}}M^{-1}(\tau - h) = P_{\ddot{\theta}}\ddot{\theta}, \text{ where } P_{\ddot{\theta}} = M^{-1}PM. \end{split}$$

Exercise 8.11.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 8.12.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 8.13.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 8.14.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 8.15.

Programming assignment.

Chapter 9 Solutions

Exercise 9.1.

$$x = 2(1 - \cos 2\pi s)$$
$$y = \sin 2\pi s$$

Exercise 9.2.

$$\begin{split} \ddot{X} &= \frac{dX}{ds} \dot{s} \\ \dot{x} &= -\frac{1}{2} \pi (t+1) \sin(2\pi s) \\ \dot{y} &= \frac{1}{2} \pi (t+1) \cos(2\pi s) \\ \dot{z} &= \frac{t+1}{2} \\ \ddot{X} &= \frac{dX}{ds} \ddot{s} + \frac{d^2 X}{ds^2} \dot{s}^2 \\ \ddot{x} &= -\frac{1}{4} \pi \left(\pi (t+1)^2 \cos(2\pi s) + 2 \sin(2\pi s) \right) \\ \ddot{y} &= -\frac{1}{4} \pi \left(\pi (t+1)^2 \sin(2\pi s) - 2 \cos(2\pi s) \right) \\ \ddot{z} &= \frac{1}{2} \end{split}$$

Exercise 9.3.

Since

$$X(s) = X_{\text{start}} \exp(\log(X_{\text{start}}^{-1} X_{\text{end}}) s),$$

where s = s(t) and $\log(X_{\text{start}}^{-1}X_{\text{end}}) = \mathcal{S}\theta(t)$, So

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{S}\dot{\theta}(t) = \log(X_{\mathrm{start}}^{-1}X_{\mathrm{end}})\dot{s}$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{S}\ddot{\theta}(t) = \log(X_{\mathrm{start}}^{-1}X_{\mathrm{end}})\ddot{s}$$

Exercise 9.4.

For time scaled joint trajectories, $\dot{\theta} = \dot{s}(\theta_{\rm end} - \theta_{\rm start})$ and $\ddot{\theta} = \ddot{s}(\theta_{\rm end} - \theta_{\rm start})$. Since θ_1 moves a distance of π and θ_2 only moves a distance of $\pi/3$, the time scaling is going to be limited by θ_1 . Therefore we can substitute $(\theta_{\rm end} - \theta_{\rm start}) = \pi$ leading to $\dot{\theta} = \dot{s}\pi$ and $\ddot{\theta} = \ddot{s}\pi$. The joint velocity/acceleration limits are $|\dot{\theta}_1| \leq 2 \text{ rad/s}$ and $|\ddot{\theta}_1| \leq 0.5 \text{ rad/s}^2$. Therefore $\dot{s} \leq 2/\pi$ and $\ddot{s} \leq 0.5/\pi$.

$$s(t) = a_3 t^3 + a_2 t^2 + a_1 t + a_0$$

$$\dot{s}(t) = 3a_3t^2 + 2a_2t + a_1$$

$$\ddot{s}(t) = 6a_3t + 2a_2$$

$$\left\{a_0 \to 0, a_1 \to 0, a_2 \to \frac{3\pi}{T^2}, a_3 \to -\frac{2\pi}{T^3}\right\}$$

For a cubic trajectory, the max velocity occurs at T/2, and the max acceleration occurs at t=0 and t=T. $\dot{\theta}_{\max}=\frac{3\pi}{2T}$ and $\ddot{\theta}_{\max}=\frac{6\pi}{T^2}$ Based on the velocity limit, $T_{\min}=\frac{3}{4}\pi^2$, and based on the acceleration limit $T_{\min}=2\pi\sqrt{3}$. Therefore the fastest motion time is the larger of the two $T=2\pi\sqrt{3}$

Exercise 9.5.

$$s(t) = a_5 t^5 + a_4 t^4 + a_3 t^3 + a_2 t^2 + a_1 t + a_0$$

$$\left\{ a_0 \to 0, a_1 \to 0, a_2 \to 0, a_3 \to \frac{10}{T^3}, a_4 \to -\frac{15}{T^4}, a_5 \to \frac{6}{T^5} \right\}$$

Exercise 9.6.

In terms of the T, the fifth-order time scaling can be represented as $\ddot{s} = \frac{120t^3}{T^5} - \frac{180t^2}{T^4} + \frac{60t}{T^3}$. Taking the derivative and setting it equal to zero yields $t_{\ddot{\theta},\text{max}} = \frac{1}{6} \left(3T - \sqrt{3}T \right)$

Exercise 9.7.

7th order.

Exercise 9.8.

The area under a curve in the time vs. velocity domain is the total distance traveled. The maximum time should be spent traveling at the max velocity v to minimize the travel time. Since the trajectory starts and begins at rest, applying the maximum acceleration/deceleration a/-a on either side of the max velocity v satisfies the endpoint constraints and maximizes the area under the curve. Therefore the trajectory minimizes the trajectory time T.

Exercise 9.9.




Figure 9.1

Exercise 9.10.

A trapezoidal velocity profile has the constraint that the area under the curve \dot{s} is equal to S(T)=1. The limit case where the trapezoidal profile barely reaches the max velocity v occurs for a triangular profile with an acceleration to velocity v in time $t_a=v/a$ followed by an immediate deceleration to rest in time t_a . The total area of this profile is expressed as $b*h/2=2t_a*v/2=v^2/a$. As long as $v^2/a\leq 1$, the max velocity is reached before satisfying the constraint S(T)=1, and therefore the max velocity v is reached during the path.

Exercise 9.11.

A trapezoidal velocity profile has the constraint that the area under the curve \dot{s} is equal to S(T)=1. With a specified time T and max velocity v, if the max acceleration a is infinite then the area under the curve simplifies to vT. Since the area under the curve must be equal to 1, and infinite accelerations are impossible, this leads to the constraint vT>1. For the limit case of a three-stage trapezoidal motion, the velocity profile is triangular with an area of vT/2. This area must less than or equal to 1 or else the system would have to decelerate before reaching the max velocity v. This leads to the constraint that $vT/2 \leq 1$, or equivalently $vT \leq 2$.

Exercise 9.12.

A trapezoidal velocity profile has the constraint that the area under the curve \dot{s} is equal to S(T)=1. When given a and T, for the motion to complete in time the area under the curve must reach 1 in time T. The maximal area in time T assuming no velocity limit v is constrained by the acceleration a. The maximum area for time T is a bang-bang triangular profile with maximum acceleration/deceleration a and a. The height of this triangle is aT/2, and the base is aT/2, so the total area is aT/2/4. This must be greater than or equal to 1 or else the area 1 cannot be achieved in time T. Therefore $aT/2/4 \ge 1$, or equivalently $aT/2 \ge 4$.

Exercise 9.13.

Exercise 3.13.
$$0 \le t \le \frac{T}{2} : \ddot{s}(t) = a, \dot{s}(t) = at, s(t) = \frac{1}{2}at^2.$$
 $\frac{T}{2} < t \le T : \ddot{s}(t) = -a, \dot{s}(t) = a(T-t), s(t) = \frac{aT^2 - 2a(T-t)^2}{4}.$

Exercise 9.14.




Figure 9.2

Exercise 9.15.

A seven-stage S-curve is fully specified by seven variables. The curve must also satisfy the following three constraints: S(T) = 1, $t_J J = a$, and $1/2Jt_J^2 + at_a = v$. Therefore four of the seven quantities can be specified independently.

Exercise 9.16.

There are three possible S-curves with fewer than 7 stages. The first occurs if the time t_v is zero and deceleration must occur during the third stage before the max velocity v has been achieved. This corresponds to 6 total stages. The second occurs if t_v and t_a are zero, resulting in a 4-stage trajectory which never has a constant acceleration. The third possibility occurs if the system must decelerate before the constant acceleration a is achieved. Plots of the three cases are shown in Figure 9.3




Figure 9.3

Exercise 9.17.

Since the S-curve uses a jerk J, an acceleration a, the plot of $\dot{s}(t)$ should be symmetrical and

$$t_1 = t_3 = t_5 = t_7 = a/J,$$

$$t_2 = t_6 = \frac{v - \frac{1}{2}a^2/J \times 2}{a},$$

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{7} t_i,$$

where t_i represents the duration of the ith stage. So

$$t_v = t_4 = T - 2a/J - 2v/a$$
.

Exercise 9.18.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 9.19.

$$\begin{array}{l} {\rm a0} \to B_{j}, \quad {\rm a1} \to \dot{B}_{j}, \quad {\rm a2} \to \frac{\ddot{B}_{j}}{2}, \\ {\rm a3} \to \frac{\left(\ddot{B}_{j+1} - 3\ddot{B}_{j}\right)\Delta {\rm T}_{j}^{2} - \left(12\dot{B}_{j} + 8\dot{B}_{j+1}\right)\Delta {\rm T}_{j} + 20(B_{j+1} - B_{j})}{2\Delta {\rm T}_{j}^{3}}, \\ {\rm a4} \to \frac{\left(3\ddot{B}_{j} - 2\ddot{B}_{j+1}\right)\Delta {\rm T}_{j}^{2} + \left(16\dot{B}_{j} + 14\dot{B}_{j+1}\right)\Delta {\rm T}_{j} + 30(B_{j} - B_{j+1})}{2\Delta {\rm T}_{j}^{4}} \\ {\rm a5} \to \frac{\left(\ddot{B}_{j+1} - \ddot{B}_{j}\right)\Delta {\rm T}_{j}^{2} - 6\left(\dot{B}_{j} + \dot{B}_{j+1}\right)\Delta {\rm T}_{j} + 12(B_{j+1} + B_{j})}{2\Delta {\rm T}_{j}^{5}}. \end{array}$$

Exercise 9.20.




Figure 9.4

Exercise 9.21.

A is not possible because \dot{s} is positive the entire time but s decreases in the middle of the path. B is not possible because when $\dot{s}=0$, the system must start moving before s changes so the path must initially be normal to $\dot{s}=0$. C is possible because s is always increasing while \dot{s} is positive and it is normal to the s axis when $\dot{s}=0$.

When $\dot{s} = 0$ the system must accelerate before s can change, therefore a is the only possible motion cone which represents the possibility of a positive or negative acceleration.

Exercise 9.22.

- (a) The curve integrated forward from $(s_{\text{lim}}, \dot{s}_{\text{test}})$ is following the maximum negative acceleration. Therefore it can never intersect F because they are both following maximum deceleration curves. It will therefore impact the velocity limit curve or $\dot{s} = 0$.
- (b) The final time scaling can only touch the velocity limit curve tangentially since any penetration of the limit curve would cause the robot to be uncontrollable.
- (c) For a bang-bang trajectory the robot is always following the max or min acceleration. Before the path intersects F, it is time optimal for it to be accelerating whenever it will not intersect the velocity limit curve. Therefore when the path runs tangent to the limit curve it switches to the max acceleration.

Exercise 9.23.

If the initial or final velocities are not zero, the algorithm should set (s_i, \dot{s}_i) to $(0, \dot{s}_o)$ instead of (0, 0), and generate F by integrating backwards from $(1, \dot{s}_f)$ instead of (1, 0). The algorithm would also have to check

whether a feasible trajectory exists because initial and final values of \dot{s} that are too high could mean that the path will intersect the infeasible region regardless of the chosen controls.

Exercise 9.24.

If the robot can hold itself at equilibrium at every configuration s along the path, then $U(s,0) \ge 0$ and $L(s,0) \le 0$ at those configurations. In general, the motion cone will have an upward vertical ray and a downward vertical ray. If the robot cannot hold itself at static equilibrium at some s, then, at most, at that point the robot will only be capable of forward or reverse acceleration along the path, not both. Therefore, slow motion along the path is not a feasible motion, but solutions may still exist if the robot maintains a sufficiently positive \dot{s} at configurations where the robot cannot hold itself statically.

The algorithm should terminate if the integral curve in step 2, which integrates $L(s, \dot{s})$ backward from the final state (1,0), runs into the s-axis instead of hitting the velocity limit curve or reaching s=0. No state above the integral curve can reach the final state, and there is no way to get to this integral curve from the initial state (0,0).

The algorithm should also terminate if the forward-integrated curve along $U(s, \dot{s})$, in step 3, ever intersects the s-axis.

Exercise 9.25.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 9.26.




Figure 9.5

Chapter 10 Solutions

Exercise 10.1.




Figure 10.1

Exercise 10.2.




Figure 10.2

Exercise 10.3.

Exercise 10.4.

Exercise 10.5.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 10.6.

We can compare all squared distances $(r_i - b_j)^T (r_i - b_j)$ and $(R_i + B_j)^2$ and take square root of the minimum squared distance to calculate the distance.

Exercise 10.7.

Exercise 10.8.

If an edge is "colliding" with an obstacle, then the edge is visiting a "concave" vertex. A path through the




Figure 10.3




Figure 10.4

edges connected to a concave vertex is always longer than a path skip the concave vertex. Therefore in a shortest path there is never edge which does not hit the obstacle tangentially.

Exercise 10.9.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 10.10.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 10.11.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 10.12.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 10.13.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 10.14.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 10.15.




Figure 10.5

Programming assignment.

Exercise 10.16.

 ${\bf Programming\ assignment.}$

Exercise 10.17.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 10.18.

 ${\bf Programming\ assignment.}$

Chapter 11 Solutions

Exercise 11.1.

- (a) hybrid motion-force control
- (b) motion control
- (c) motion control
- (d) impedance control
- (e) motion control
- (f) motion control/impedance control
- (g) motion control/impedance control
- (h) hybrid motion-force control
- (i) hybrid motion-force control
- (j) motion control/impedance control

Exercise 11.2.

The 5% settling time is approximately $t = 3/(\zeta \omega_n)$, since $\ln(0.05) = -3$.

Exercise 11.3.

As shown in Figure 11.1, the damped natural frequency is $\omega_d = 3.92$, the overshoot is 52%, and the 2% settling time is 4.9 s.




Figure 11.1

Exercise 11.4.

As shown in Figure 11.2, the damped natural frequency is $\omega_d = 9.95$.

Exercise 11.5.

- (a) $\ddot{\theta} + 10\sin\theta = 0$.
- (b) Linearization: $\ddot{\theta} + 10\theta = 0$. m = 1, b = 0 and k = 10. The damping ratio is $\zeta = 0$. The system is underdamped. The natural frequency is $\omega_n = \sqrt{10}$. The time constant is ∞ .
- (c) Linearization: $\ddot{\theta} 10\theta = 0$, and k = -10.
- (d) $K_p < -10$.

Exercise 11.6.

- (a) The damping ratio is $\zeta = 1.58$, the system is overdamped. The time constants are $\tau_1 = 16.7 \, s$ and $\tau_2 = 2.27 \, s$.
- $\tau_2 = 2.27 \, s.$ (b) $\zeta = \frac{b}{2\sqrt{(k+K_p)\mathfrak{m}}}$. When $\zeta = 1, K_p = 0.15$.




Figure 11.2

- (c) $\zeta = \frac{b + K_d}{2\sqrt{km}}$. When $\zeta = 1$, $K_d = 3.26$.
- (d) $\zeta = \frac{b + K_d}{2\sqrt{(k + K_p)\mathfrak{m}}}$, and $\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k + K_p}{\mathfrak{m}}}$. Since $\zeta = 1$ and $4/(\zeta \omega_n) = 0.01$, we can solve $K_p = 6.4 \times 10^5$ and $K_d = 10^7$.
- (e) Steady-state error $e_{ss} = \frac{k}{k+K_n}x_d = 0$. The steady-state control force is 0.1 N.
- (f) The error dynamics:

$$\mathbf{m}\ddot{x}_e + (b + K_d)\dot{x}_e + (k + K_p)x_e + K_i \int x_e = kx_d.$$

Take time derivative:

$$\mathfrak{m}x_e^{(3)} + (b + K_d)\ddot{x}_e + (k + K_p)\dot{x}_e + K_i x_e = 0.$$

Stable conditions: $K_p > -k$, $K_d > -b$ and $0 < K_i < \frac{(b+K_d)(k+K_p)}{m}$.

Exercise 11.7.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 11.8.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 11.9.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 11.10.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 11.11.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 11.12.

In this problem, x is $(\theta_e, \dot{\theta}_e)$. If $K_p = 0$, $\dot{\theta}_e = 0$, and $\theta_e \neq 0$, then V > 0 but $\dot{V} = 0$ even though the error is not zero. A trajectory beginning at this state $x = (\theta_e, 0)$ remains at this state for all time (since there is no spring trying to eliminate the error), so this state is a member of the set \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} contains more than the origin, the origin is not globally asymptotically stable.

If $K_d = 0$, then there is no damping to bring the robot to rest. Viscous friction plays the same role as positive-definite K_d for setpoint control, so a robot subject to viscous friction can still be shown to be

globally asymptotically stable by the Krasovskii-LaSalle invariance principle.

Exercise 11.13.

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} -L_1 \sin \theta_1 - L_2 \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) & L_2 \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \\ -L_1 \cos \theta_1 - L_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) & L_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\Lambda(\theta) = J^{-T} M(\theta) J^{-1}$$

$$\eta(\theta, \mathcal{V}) = J^{-T} b(\theta, J^{-1} \mathcal{V}) - \Lambda(\theta) \dot{J} J^{-1} \mathcal{V},$$

where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{1} + \mathcal{I}_{2} + \mathfrak{m}_{2}L_{1}(L_{1} + 2r_{2}\cos\theta_{2}) & -\mathcal{I}_{2} - \mathfrak{m}_{2}r_{2}L_{1}\cos\theta_{2} \\ -\mathcal{I}_{2} - \mathfrak{m}_{2}r_{2}L_{1}\cos\theta_{2} & \mathcal{I}_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{m}_{2}r_{2}L_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\dot{\theta_{2}}(\dot{\theta_{2}} - 2\dot{\theta_{1}}) - g((m_{2}L_{1} + m_{1}r_{1})\cos\theta_{1} + m_{2}r_{2}\cos(\theta_{1} - \theta_{2})) \\ m_{2}r_{2}(g\cos(\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) + L_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\dot{\theta_{1}}^{2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\dot{\theta} = J^{-1}V$$

Exercise 11.14.

- (a) Space frame s could be chosen as a frame fixed to the human body, and b should be chosen at the hand.
 - natural constraints: zeros motions in five directions (3 linear, 2 rotatory) and zero force in one rotation.
 - artificial constraints: forces in five directions (3 linear, 2 rotatory) and motion in one rotation.
- (b) Space frame s could be chosen as a frame fixed to the human body or the table, and b should be fixed to the screw.
 - natural constraints: zeros motions in four directions (2 linear, 2 rotatory) and zero force in two directions.
 - artificial constraints: forces in four directions (2 linear, 2 rotatory) and motions in two directions with the advance speed and rotating speed are related by a constant factor p.
- (c) Space frame s could be chosen fixed to the blackboard, and b should be at the chalk contact point.
 - natural constraints: zeros motion in one linear direction and zero force in five directions.
 - artificial constraints: force in one direction (linearly push into the board) and motions in five directions.

Exercise 11.15.

$$A = [1 - 1].$$

Exercise 11.16.

$$\mathcal{F} = \Lambda(\theta)\dot{\mathcal{V}} + \eta(\theta, \mathcal{V}) + A^T(\theta)\lambda$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \Lambda(\theta)\dot{\mathcal{V}} + \eta(\theta, \mathcal{V}) + A^T(\theta)(A\Lambda^{-1}A^T)^{-1}(A\Lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{F} - \eta) - A\dot{\mathcal{V}})$$

$$\mathcal{F} - A^T(\theta)(A\Lambda^{-1}A^T)^{-1}A\Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{F} = \eta(\theta, \mathcal{V}) - A^T(\theta)(A\Lambda^{-1}A^T)^{-1}A\Lambda^{-1}\eta$$

$$+ \Lambda(\theta)\dot{\mathcal{V}} - A^T(\theta)(A\Lambda^{-1}A^T)^{-1}A(\Lambda^{-1}\Lambda)\dot{\mathcal{V}}$$

$$P(\theta)\mathcal{F} = P(\theta)\eta(\theta, \mathcal{V}) + P(\theta)\Lambda(\theta)\dot{\mathcal{V}}$$

$$P(\theta)\mathcal{F} = P(\theta)(\Lambda(\theta)\dot{\mathcal{V}} + \eta(\theta, \mathcal{V})).$$

Exercise 11.17.

- (a) $1/k_t$. Mechanical dissipation like friction, etc.
- (b) May introduce some delay in dynamic response due to the control bandwidth of the torque controller. Also may require more complex mechanical structure that may reduce the reliability.

Exercise 11.18.

Programming assignment.

Chapter 12 Solutions

Exercise 12.1.

$$\mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{V}_A = ([p_A] \hat{n})^T \omega_A + \hat{n}^T v_A$$
$$= -\hat{n}^T [p_A] \omega_A + \hat{n}^T v_A$$
$$= \hat{n}^T [\omega_A] p_A + \hat{n}^T v_A = \hat{n}^T \dot{p}_A$$

Exercise 12.2.




Figure 12.1

Exercise 12.3.

$$-3\omega_x + \omega_z + v_y \ge 0.$$

Exercise 12.4.

p = (0, 0, 0) and $\hat{n} = (0, 0, 1)$.

- (a) $v_z = 0$.
- (b) v = 0.
- (c) V = 0.

Exercise 12.5.

Form closure subsets: $\{1,3,4,5\}$ and $\{1,2,3,5\}$.




Figure 12.2

Exercise 12.6.




Figure 12.3

Exercise 12.7.




Figure 12.4

Exercise 12.8.




Figure 12.5

Exercise 12.9.




Figure 12.6

Exercise 12.10.




Figure 12.7

Exercise 12.11.




Figure 12.8

Exercise 12.12.




Figure 12.9

Exercise 12.13.




Figure 12.10

Exercise 12.14.




Figure 12.11

Exercise 12.15.

(a) The conditions for force closure of Figure 12.12(a) can be arranged into a linear equation of the form Ax = b:

$$f_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} c_1, \ f_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} c_2, \ f_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} c_3, \ f_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} c_4, \ f_5 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} c_5$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_x \\ f_y \\ M_z \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix A can be reduced to the following row echelon form via Gauss-Jordan elimination:




Figure 12.12

$$[I \quad S] = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

There does not exist any $w \ge 0$ satisfying Sw < 0. Thus, this is not force closure.

(b) In order to make force closure by adding one frictionless point contact, new point contact should be able to exert positive moment to planar square. Figure 12.12(b) represents all possible locations of this contact as red line.

Exercise 12.16.

We can express the force applied to the center by a vector sum of c_1, c_2 as shown in Figure 12.13:




Figure 12.13

If we place the reference frame at the center, the grasp matrix is a 3×4 matrix of the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_x \\ f_y \\ M_z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the four vectors have no z-component (moment term), the convex hull lies on the X-Y plane, and the grasp is not force-closure. For force closure we need 2 more vectors, one with a positive moment component, another with a negative moment component:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} 0\\0\\L_1 \end{array}\right] \text{ and } \left[\begin{array}{c} 0\\0\\-L_2 \end{array}\right],$$

where $L_1, L_2 > 0$. The additional two frictionless point contacts can be placed between the concave edges, e.g. one at the upper edge, another at the lower.

Exercise 12.17.

- (a) From Nguyen's theorem, this is a force closure grasp.
- (b) From Nguyen's theorem, this is NOT a force closure grasp.
- (c) From Nguyen's theorem, all positions x that ensure that the grasp is force closure are of the form 0 < x < L.

Exercise 12.18.

The conditions for force closure of Figure 12.14 can be arranged into a linear equation of the form Ax = b:

$$f_{1a} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} c_1, \ f_{1b} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} c_2, \ f_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} c_3, \ f_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} c_4$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\mu & \mu & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix A can be reduced to the following row-echelon form via Gauss-Jordan elimination:




Figure 12.14

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\mu & \mu & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 + \frac{1}{2\mu} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 - \frac{1}{2\mu} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[I \quad S] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 + \frac{1}{2\mu} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 - \frac{1}{2\mu} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

In order to be force closure, all elements of S should be negative. In other words, $-1 + \frac{1}{2\mu} < 0$ and $-1 - \frac{1}{2\mu} < 0$.

$$\therefore \mu > \frac{1}{2}$$

Exercise 12.19.

(a) The conditions for force closure of Figure 12.15(a) can be arranged into a linear equation of the form Ax = b:

$$f_1 = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -1 \end{array} \right] c_1, f_2 = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] c_2, f_3 = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -1 \end{array} \right] c_3, f_4 = \left[\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] c_4, f_5 = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] c_5$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} f_x \\ f_y \\ M_z \end{array}\right]$$

Matrix A can be reduced to the following row-echelon form via Gauss-Jordan elimination:




Figure 12.15

$$[I \quad S] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Since there exists w satisfying Sw < 0, this grasp is force closure.

(b) The conditions for force closure of Figure 12.15(b) can be arranged into a linear equation of the form Ax = b:

$$f_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} c_{1}, f_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} c_{2}, f_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} c_{3}, f_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} c_{4}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -\mu & \mu \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{1} \\ c_{2} \\ c_{3} \\ c_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1} \\ f_{2} \\ f_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix A can be reduced to the following row-echelon form via Gauss-Jordan elimination:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -\mu & \mu \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\mu}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[I \quad S] = \left[\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

Regardless of μ , this grasp is force closure except when $\mu = 0$. When $\mu = 0$, there are only three columns in the matrix, so it is impossible to construct a fourth column with all elements negative.

$$\therefore 0 < \beta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Exercise 12.20.

- (a) $\mu > \tan^{-1}(\pi/4n)$.
- (b) $\mu > 0$.

Exercise 12.21.

- (a) 4-3=1. The three constraints come from force balance in the normal direction and moment balances about two horizontal directions.
- (b) $4 \times 3 3 3 = 6$. Each contact force is three dimensional, and there are three constraints in forces and three in moments.

Exercise 12.22.

As shown in Figure 12.16, when place the finger on the bottom, then the location of the finger x < 0.




Figure 12.16

And when the finger is placed on the top of the rod, let x > d can balance the gravity force of the rod. The magnitude of the second contact normal forces when placed on the top are larger than on the

bottom since when on the top the normal force need to balance the gravity force plus the normal force of the stationary finger.

Exercise 12.23.

- (a) See Figure 12.17(a).
- (b) From Figure 12.17(a), the box will tip right.
- (c) If we reduce the support friction a little, as in Figure 12.17(c), the box will slide right.




Figure 12.17

Exercise 12.24. The contact wrenches are labelled as in Figure 12.18.




Figure 12.18

And we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{1} = (0, -\mu, 1)^{T}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{2} = (0, \mu, 1)^{T}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{3} = (y - \mu x_{L}, -1, -\mu)^{T}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{4} = (y + \mu x_{L}, -1, \mu)^{T}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{5} = (x_{L} + \mu, -\mu, 1)^{T}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{6} = (x_{L} - \mu, \mu, 1)^{T}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{7} = (x_{R} + \mu, -\mu, 1)^{T}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{8} = (x_{R} - \mu, \mu, 1)^{T}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{g1} = (-m_{1}gx_{1}, 0, -m_{1}g)^{T}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{g2} = (-m_{2}gx_{2}, 0, -m_{2}g)^{T}$$

The conditions to stay standing are

$$k_1\mathcal{F}_1 + k_2\mathcal{F}_2 + k_3\mathcal{F}_3 + k_4\mathcal{F}_4 + k_5\mathcal{F}_5 + k_6\mathcal{F}_6 + k_7\mathcal{F}_7 + k_8\mathcal{F}_8 + \mathcal{F}_{q1} + \mathcal{F}_{q2} = 0$$

where $k_i \geq 0$. There are 3 equations and 8 unknowns.

Exercise 12.25.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 12.26.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 12.27.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 12.28.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 12.29.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 12.30.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 12.31.

Programming assignment.

Chapter 13 Solutions

Exercise 13.1.

For robots with greater than three wheels, the constraints from the wheels mean that slipping will occur in general if each wheel velocity is specified arbitrarily, so it makes sense to start with the chassis velocity then determine the consistent wheel velocities. This modeling approach does not work if the rank of the matrix H(0) is not full (rank three). The matrix H(0) depends on the wheel locations and driving directions.

Exercise 13.2.

The kinematic modeling may be unintuitive because it does not consider forces or accelerations. Under the kinematic velocity modeling, each extra wheel only provides motion constraints (in terms of its max velocity), not extra forces or torques that increase the acceleration of the robot.

Exercise 13.3.

No it is not possible to drive the wheels so that they skid. The matrix H(0) is invertible, and therefore there is a 1:1 mapping from the wheel velocities to the motion of the robot.

Exercise 13.4.

Yes it is possible for the wheels to slip. Any choice of u that does not have a valid solution \mathcal{V}_b means slipping is occurring. By choosing $u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = 1$, we can solve for the unique values of ω_{bz} , ν_{bx} , and ν_{by} which are $\{0, r, 0\}$. Multiplying $H(0)\mathcal{V}_b$ gives $u_4 = 1$. Therefore if $u_4 \neq 1$, slipping occurs.

Exercise 13.5.

Assuming
$$r = l = w = 1$$
,

$$H(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 - \sqrt{3} & 1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ 1 + \sqrt{3} & 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ 1 + \sqrt{3} & 1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ -1 - \sqrt{3} & 1 & \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is rank three.

Exercise 13.6.

If the three-omniwheel robot has its wheels replaced with 45° mecanum wheels,

$$H(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d}{r_i} & \frac{1}{r_i} & \frac{1}{r_i} \\ -\frac{d}{r_i} & \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}}{2r_i} & -\frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2r_i} \\ -\frac{d}{r_i} & -\frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2r_i} & \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}}{2r_i} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is rank three, so it is still a properly constructed omnidirectional mobile robot.

Exercise 13.7.

If the triangular, three-omniwheel robot in Exercise 6 has wheel one replaced with a -45° mecanum wheel and wheels two and three replaced with 45° mecanum wheels aligned with the \hat{x}_b axis,

$$H(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d}{r_i} & \frac{1}{r_i} & -\frac{1}{r_i} \\ \frac{(1+\sqrt{3})d}{2r_i} & \frac{1}{r_i} & \frac{1}{r_i} \\ -\frac{(-1+\sqrt{3})d}{2r_i} & \frac{1}{r_i} & \frac{1}{r_i} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is rank three. Therefore it is a properly constructed omnidirectional mobile robot.

Exercise 13.8.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.9.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.10.

For the described robot,

$$H(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is rank three. Therefore it is a properly constructed omnidirectional mobile robot.

Exercise 13.11.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.12.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.13.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.14.

For the unicycle problem the wheel cannot slip perpendicular to the velocity direction which results in the Pfaffian constraint,

$$A(q)\dot{q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sin(\phi) & -\cos(\phi) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Can also assume that there is no slipping in the x or y directions at the contact between the wheel and the ground leading to the two constraints: $\dot{x} - r\cos\phi\dot{\theta} = 0$, and $\dot{y} - r\sin\phi\dot{\theta} = 0$

which can be expressed as,
$$A(q)\dot{q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -r\cos\phi \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -r\sin\phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Exercise 13.15.

For the differential drive robot, the constraints that there is no slipping in the x or y direction at each wheel

are given by:
$$A(q)\dot{q} = \begin{bmatrix} -d\cos\phi & 1 & 0 & -r\cos\phi & 0 \\ -d\sin\phi & 0 & 1 & -r\sin\phi & 0 \\ d\cos\phi & 1 & 0 & 0 & -r\cos\phi \\ d\sin\phi & 0 & 1 & 0 & -r\cos\phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta}_{\rm L} \\ \dot{\theta}_{\rm R} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Exercise 13.16.

For a car we have the Pfaffian constraints for no slipping at the back wheel, and no slipping at the virtual wheel located between the front two wheels,

$$A(q)\dot{q} = \begin{bmatrix} -l\cos\psi & \sin(\phi + \psi) & -\cos(\phi + \psi) & 0\\ 0 & \sin(\phi) & -\cos(\phi) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi}\\ \dot{x}\\ \dot{y}\\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Exercise 13.17.

A space shuttle with two rear thrusters is STLA. A robot arm with no joint limits, but with motors that

can only move in one direction is also STLA.

Exercise 13.18.

$$\begin{split} q(3\epsilon) &= F_{\epsilon}^{-g_i}(q(2\epsilon)) = q(2\epsilon) - \epsilon g_i(q(2\epsilon)) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial q} g_i(q(2\epsilon)) + O(\epsilon^3) \\ &= q(0) + \epsilon g_i(q(0)) + \epsilon g_j(q(0)) + \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_i(q(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial q} g_i(q(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_j(q(0)) \\ &- \epsilon g_i(q(0)) - \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial q} g_i(q(0)) - \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial q} g_j(q(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial q} g_i(q(0)) + O(\epsilon^3) \\ &= q(0) + \epsilon g_j(q(0)) + \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_i(q(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_j(q(0)) - \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial q} g_j(q(0)) + O(\epsilon^3) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} q(4\epsilon) &= F_{\epsilon}^{-g_j}(q(3\epsilon)) = q(3\epsilon) - \epsilon g_j(q(3\epsilon)) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_j(q(3\epsilon)) + O(\epsilon^3) \\ &= q(0) + \epsilon g_j(q(0)) + \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_i(q(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_j(q(0)) - \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial q} g_j(q(0)) \\ &- \epsilon g_j(q(0)) - \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_i(q(0)) - \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_j(q(0)) + \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_i(q(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_j(q(0)) + O(\epsilon^3) \\ &= q(0) + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial q} g_i(q(0)) - \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial q} g_j(q(0)) \right) + O(\epsilon^3) \end{split}$$

Exercise 13.19.

If we draw the body frame $\{b\}$ as in Figure 13.1, then $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.




Figure 13.1

Exercise 13.20.

We have
$$A_1 = (0, \cos \phi \sin \phi)^T$$
 and $A_2 = (1, 0, 0)^T$. So $A_3 = [A_1, A_2] = \begin{bmatrix} [\omega_1] & 0 \\ [v_1] & [\omega_1] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sin \phi \\ -\cos \phi \end{bmatrix}$.

Exercise 13.21.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.22.

We have $g_1 = (-\frac{r}{2d}, \frac{r}{2}\cos\phi, \frac{r}{2}\sin\phi, 1, 0)^T$ and $g_2 = (\frac{r}{2d}, \frac{r}{2}\cos\phi, \frac{r}{2}\sin\phi, 0, 1)^T$. Then $g_3 = [g_1, g_2] = (0, \frac{r^2}{2d}\sin\phi, -\frac{r^2}{2d}\cos\phi, 0, 0)^T$, and $g_4 = [g_1, g_3] = (0, -\frac{r^4}{4d^2}\cos\phi, -\frac{r^4}{4d^2}\sin\phi, 0, 0)^T$.

The Pfaffian constraint is $A(q)\dot{q} = (0, \sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0, 0)\dot{q} = 0.$

Exercise 13.23.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.24.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.25.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.26.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.27.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.28.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.29.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.30.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_e &= J_e(\theta_1) \begin{bmatrix} u_L \\ u_R \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \end{bmatrix} = [J_{base}J_{arm}] \begin{bmatrix} u_L \\ u_R \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ J_{base} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta_1 + \phi) & \sin(\theta_1 + \phi) \\ h & -\sin(\theta_1 + \phi) & \cos(\theta_1 + \phi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{r}{2d} & \frac{r}{2d} \\ \frac{r}{2}\cos\phi & \frac{r}{2}\cos\phi \\ \frac{r}{2}\sin\phi & \frac{r}{2}\sin\phi \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{r}{2d} & \frac{r}{2d} \\ \frac{r}{2}\cos\theta_1 & \frac{r}{2}\cos\theta_1 \\ -\frac{r(h+d\sin\theta_1)}{2d} & \frac{r(h-d\sin\theta_1)}{2d} \end{bmatrix}, \\ J_{arm} &= (1,0,0)^T, \end{aligned}$$

where $h = \sqrt{L_1^2 + x_r^2 + 2x_r L_1 \cos(\theta_1)}$. And $\det(J_e) = \frac{hr^2 \cos \theta_1}{2d}$. So J_e is not full rank when $x_r = 0$, $L_1 = 0$ or $\theta_1 = \pi/2 + k\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Exercise 13.31.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.32.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.33.

Programming assignment.

Exercise 13.34.

Programming assignment.