



**BITS Pilani**  
Pilani Campus



# CS/IS F214 Logic in Computer Science

## MODULE: PREDICATE LOGIC

**Expressing using Predicates : Examples**

**Expressing using Predicates: Horn-Clause Form and Prolog**

# Specifications using Predicate Logic

- Examples:

- *No student attended every lecture*

- $\forall X \neg (\forall Y \text{ student}(X) \wedge \text{lecture}(Y) \rightarrow \text{attended}(X,Y))$

- Exercises:

- Rewrite this (*without changing the meaning*)
  - i. by replacing the outermost quantifier with an existential quantifier.
  - ii. by replacing the innermost quantifier with an existential quantifier.
  - iii. such that the scope of the inner quantifier is the smallest



# Specifications using Predicate Logic

- Examples:

i. *No student attended every lecture*

- $\forall X \neg (\forall Y \text{ student}(X) \wedge \text{lecture}(Y) \rightarrow \text{attended}(X,Y))$

ii. *No lecture was attended by every student*

- $\forall Y \neg (\forall X \text{ student}(X) \wedge \text{lecture}(Y) \rightarrow \text{attended}(X,Y))$

- Exercises:

i. Does (i) imply (ii)?

ii. Does (ii) imply (i)?

iii. If you swap variables X and Y in the quantifier positions will the formula in (ii) remain the same?

iv. If you swap all occurrences of variables X and Y will the formula in (ii) remain the same?



## Exercises (from the text book: Huth & Ryan).

- Write the following in Predicate Logic
  - i. All red things are in the box*
    - $\forall T \text{ red}(T) \rightarrow \text{inBox}(T)$
  - ii. Only red things are in the box*
    - $\forall T \text{ inBox}(T) \rightarrow \text{red}(T)$



## Exercises (adapted from the text book: Huth & Ryan).

- Write the following in Predicate Logic
  - *Raj is Jaya's cousin*
- Given a **cousin** relation defined, this would do:
  - **cousin("Raj","Jaya")**
- Otherwise one has to define a cousin relation.



# Exercises (adapted from the text book: Huth & Ryan).

- Define a *cousin* property using *father*, *mother*, *sister*, *brother*:
  - $\forall \text{Any1 } \forall C \text{ cousin}(\text{Any1}, C) \leftarrow$   
 $\exists Pa \exists Pc \text{ parent}(\text{Any1}, Pa) \wedge \text{parent}(C, Pc) \wedge \text{sibling}(Pa, Pc)$
  - $\forall A \forall B \text{ sibling}(A, B) \leftarrow \text{brother}(A, B) \vee \text{sister}(A, B)$
  - $\forall \text{Any1 } \forall Pa \text{ parent}(\text{Any1}, Pa) \leftarrow \text{mother}(A, Pa) \vee \text{father}(A, Pa)$



## Exercises (from the text book: Huth & Ryan).

- Write the following in Predicate Logic
  - All brothers are siblings
- Given a predicate **brother(X,Y)** and **sibling(X,Y)** to indicate X's brother is Y and X's sibling is Y,
  - $\forall X \forall Y \text{ brother}(X,Y) \rightarrow \text{sibling}(X,Y).$



## Exercises (from the text book: Huth & Ryan).

- Write the following in Predicate Logic
  - i. An attacker can persuade a server that a successful login has occurred even if it hasn't.
- Given the predicates
  - **attacker(X)** // X is an attacker
  - **server(S)** // S is a server
  - **persuade(A, X, Y)** // A can persuade X about Y
  - **login(S, token(S, T))**
    - // attempt to login into S results in token(S,T) where T is TRUE or FALSE
- the statement above can be encoded as:
  - $\forall S \forall A \text{ attacker}(A) \wedge \text{server}(S) \rightarrow$   
 $(\forall T \text{ login}(S, \text{token}(S, T)) \rightarrow \text{persuade}(A, S, \text{token}(S, \text{TRUE})))$





# Encoding in Predicate Logic: Example

- Axioms of Group  $(G,+)$ :
  - Closure:
  - Associativity:
  - Existence of Identity
  - Existence of Inverse



# Encoding in Predicate Logic: Example

- Definition of natural numbers (*incomplete*):
  - $\forall X (\text{equals}(X,0) \vee \exists Y \text{equals}(X, \text{succ}(Y)) \rightarrow \text{natural}(X)$
- **Note:** *We must insist Y to be a natural number – this will require a recursive definition.* **End of Note**
  - **Exercise:** Write such a recursive definition.



# Prolog Programming and Horn Clauses

- Prolog uses Horn Clauses as the basis for programming:
  - A Horn Clause is an implication with zero or more antecedents and one implicand:
    - All antecedents and the implicand are predicates.
  - i.e. a Horn Clause is of the form:
    - $p_1(T_{11}, \dots, T_{1K_1}) \wedge p_2(T_{21}, \dots, T_{2K_2}) \wedge \dots \wedge p_m(T_{m1}, \dots, T_{mK_m}) \rightarrow q(T_{q1}, \dots, T_{qK_q})$ 
      - where each  $p_i$  is a predicate name and each  $T_{ij}$  is a term: i.e.
        - a constant
        - a variable or
        - a function term
- A single predicate  $p(T_1, \dots, T_K)$  is a degenerate implication:
  - $\text{TRUE} \rightarrow p(T_1, \dots, T_K)$

# Prolog Programming and Horn Clauses

- In Prolog, a typical Horn Clause of the form
  - $p_1(T_{11}, \dots, T_{1K1}) \wedge p_2(T_{21}, \dots, T_{2K2}) \wedge \dots \wedge p_m(T_{m1}, \dots, T_{mKm}) \rightarrow q(T_{q1}, \dots, T_{qKq})$
- is represented as:
  - $q(T_{q1}, \dots, T_{qKq}) \text{ :- } p_1(T_{11}, \dots, T_{1K1}), p_2(T_{21}, \dots, T_{2K2}), \dots, p_m(T_{m1}, \dots, T_{mKm}).$   
i.e.
    - the implicand is on the left most end,
    - the antecedents occur on the right of :- (read this as <--),
    - the commas separating the antecedents indicate conjunction, and
    - there is a period ending the clause.

# Prolog Programming and Horn Clauses

- A Prolog program is a conjunction of Horn Clauses and the conjunction is implicit:
  - i.e. syntactically, a Prolog program is a list of Horn Clauses.
- A degenerate clause (with a single predicate) is referred to as a ***fact*** in Prolog: e.g.
  - `nat(0).`
- A typical Horn Clause is referred to as a ***rule*** in Prolog: e.g.
  - `nat(s(X)):-nat(X).`
    - Note: A fact is a special form of a rule. End of Note.
- Argue that these two rules form a specification of *natural numbers* in Prolog.
- Exercise:
  - Specify the *addition* operation in Prolog.

