

#### MODULE: PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

#### **Horn Clauses and Horn Formulas:**

- Alternative Form
- Limitation
- Satisfiability

#### **Horn Clauses and Horn Formulas**

- A propositional logic formula is said to be a Horn formula if it is a conjunction of Horn clauses
  - where a Horn clause is of the form:
    - $p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge ... p_k \longrightarrow q$
  - where p<sub>i</sub> and q are:
    - either atomic propositions or
    - atomic values (i.e. TRUE or FALSE)



#### **Horn Clauses: Alternative Form**

- A *Horn clause* is of the form:
  - $p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge ... \wedge p_k \longrightarrow q$

where  $p_i$  and q are atomic propositions or atomic values (i.e. TRUE or FALSE)

- Alternative form of a Horn clause:
  - $p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge ... \wedge p_k \longrightarrow q$  is equivalent to
  - $\neg (p_1 \land p_2 \land ... \land p_k) \lor q$  which is equivalent to
  - $\neg p_1 \lor \neg p_2 \lor ... \lor \neg p_k \lor q$
  - Therefore an alternative description of a Horn clause is this:
    - A Horn clause is <u>a disjunction of literals</u> in which <u>at</u> <u>most one of them is positive</u>.



## **Horn Formulas – Satisfiability**

- When is a Horn formula satisfiable?
  - When is a Horn clause satisfiable?
    - When is p-->q satisfiable for any atomic propositions p and q?
  - But a Horn clause may be formed out of atomic values (TRUE and FALSE) as well:
    - Is p-->FALSE satisfiable?
    - Is TRUE-->q satisfiable?
    - Is TRUE-->FALSE satisifiable?



## **Horn Formulas – Satisfiability**

- When is a Horn formula satisfiable?
  - When is a <u>conjunction of Horn clauses</u> satisfiable?
    - Transitivity of Implication!
  - e.g. TRUE -->q  $\wedge$  q -->FALSE:
    - Can you generalize this?
- Argue whether this example formula is satisfiable or not:
  - $(p \land q \rightarrow r) \land (s \rightarrow p) \land (t \rightarrow q) \land (s \rightarrow t) \land (\neg r) \land (s)$





#### MODULE: PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

**Algorithm for Satisfiability of Horn Formulas** 

- When is a Horn formula satisfiable?
  - When is a Horn clause satisfiable?
    - p --> q is satisfiable for any atomic propositions p and q
  - But a Horn clause may be formed out of atomic values (TRUE and FALSE) as well:
    - TRUE --> FALSE is not satisfiable.
  - When is a conjunction of Horn clauses not satisfiable?
    - Consider  $C_1$  of the form  $p_1$ --> $q_1$  and  $C_2$  of the form  $p_2$ --> $q_2$ :
      - What if  $q_1$  and  $p_2$  are the same but  $p_1$  is TRUE and  $q_2$  is FALSE.
    - Can you generalize this?

- A Horn formula is a conjunction of Horn clauses:
  - Consider these formulas for each, identify whether it is satisfiable and if so, when is it satisfied?
    - (p-->q) ∧ (q-->FALSE)
    - $(p-->q)\land(s-->t)\land(q-->s)\land(q-->r)\land(TRUE-->p)\land(t-->u)\land(u-->FALSE)$

- HORN\_SAT(\(\phi\))
  - pre-condition: φ is a Horn formula
  - returns: yes if  $\phi$  is satisfiable, no otherwise
- Steps:
  - 1. mark all occurrences of TRUE in  $\phi$
  - 2. while

```
(there is a clause p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge ... \wedge p_k --> q of \phi such that all p_j are marked but q is not marked)
```

```
do { mark q }
```

3. if FALSE is marked then return "no" else return "yes"



- HORN\_SAT(φ)
  - pre-condition: φ is a Horn formula
  - returns : yes if  $\phi$  is satisfiable, no otherwise
- Steps:
  - 1. mark all occurrences of TRUE in  $\phi$
  - 2. while

```
(there is a clause p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge ... \wedge p_k --> q of \phi such that all p_j are marked but q is not marked)
```

```
do { mark q }
```

3. if FALSE is marked then return "no" else return "yes"

Claim: This algorithm terminates for all correct inputs



- HORN\_SAT(φ)
  - <u>pre-condition</u>: φ is a Horn formula
  - returns : yes if  $\phi$  is satisfiable, no otherwise
- Steps:
  - 1. mark all occurrences of TRUE in  $\phi$
  - 2. while

```
(there is a clause p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge ... \wedge p_k --> q of \phi such that all p_j are marked but q is not marked)
```

do { mark q }

3. if FALSE is marked then return "no" else return "yes" Claim: This algorithm terminates in at most n+1 iterations where n is the number of atomic propositions in  $\phi$ 





#### MODULE: PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

**Horn Clauses vs. Propositional Formulas** 

### **Horn Formulas - Limitation**

- Can any propositional formula be written as a Horn formula?
  - No, by Horn's Theorem.
- Examples?



#### Horn's Theorem

- A propositional formula  $\varphi$  over atoms  $x_1,...,x_n$  is expressible as a conjunction of Horn clauses if and only if
  - whenever  $\phi$  evaluates to 1 for the assignments  $\mathbf{z_1},...,\mathbf{z_n}$  and  $\mathbf{y_1},...,\mathbf{y_n}$
  - it also evaluates to 1 for the assignment  $\mathbf{z_1} \wedge \mathbf{y_1}, ..., \mathbf{z_n} \wedge \mathbf{y_n}$  for all assignments  $\mathbf{z}^{\rightarrow}$  and  $\mathbf{y}^{\rightarrow}$

#### • Exercise:

- Find formulas that cannot be written in Horn form.
  - Hint: <u>Use a truth table.</u> End of Hint.





#### MODULE: PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

**Horn Clauses and Prolog Programming** 

## **Logic Programming - Prolog**

- HORN clauses form the basis of the programming language Prolog
  - Of course Prolog uses predicates rather than propositions.
- A program in Prolog is a collection of rules
  - where each rule is a Horn clause.
    - e.g. grandparent(X,Y) :- parent(X,Z), parent(Z,Y).
  - is a Horn clause:
    - parent(X,Z) \( \simes \) parent(Z,Y) --> grandparent(X,Y).
- A query is answered (i.e. resolved) in Prolog by finding a proof for the query using the given rules.



## **Prolog Programming and Complexity**

- The execution overhead in a Prolog program is polynomialtime.
  - *i.e.* execution of a Prolog program proceeds by finding satisfiable assignments for Horn Clauses
    - which can be done in polynomial-time.

