# Advanced Quantum Mechanics: Assignment #1

Due August 28, 2018

# Aditya Vijaykumar

# Problem 1

### Solution

We solve each part separately.

### Part 1 - Commutators

We expand out each term as follows,

$$[A, [B, C]] = ABC - ACB - BCA + CBA$$
$$[C, [A, B]] = CAB - CBA - ABC + BAC$$
$$[B, [C, A]] = BCA - BAC - CAB + ACB$$

Adding the three expressions above, we arrive at the expression

$$[A, [B, C]] + [C, [A, B]] + [B, [C, A]] = 0.$$

Hence Proved.

### Part 2 - Poisson Brackets

 $\{.,.\}$  denotes Poisson Bracket,  $X_y = \frac{\partial X}{\partial y}$  and  $X_{yz} = \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial y \partial z}$ . We expand each term as follows,

$$\{A, \{B, C\}\} = \{A, B_q C_p - B_p C_q\}$$

$$= A_q B_{pq} C_p + A_q B_q C_{pp} + A_p B_{pq} C_p + A_p B_q C_{pq} - A_q B_{pp} C_q - A_q B_p C_{pq} - A_p B_{pq} C_q - A_p B_p C_{qq}$$

$$\{C, \{A, B\}\} = C_q A_{pq} B_p + C_q A_q B_{pp} + C_p A_{pq} B_p + C_p A_q B_{pq} - C_q A_{pp} B_q - C_q A_p B_{pq} - C_p A_{pq} B_q - C_p A_p B_{qq}$$

$$\{B, \{C, A\}\} = B_q C_{pq} A_p + B_q C_q A_{pp} + B_p C_{pq} A_p + B_p C_q A_{pq} - B_q C_{pp} A_q - B_q C_p A_{pq} - B_p C_{pq} A_q - B_p C_p A_{qq}$$

Adding the three expressions above, we arrive at the expression

$${A, {B, C}} + {C, {A, B}} + {B, {C, A}} = 0$$

Hence Proved.

# Problem 2

### Solution

$$\begin{split} [AB,CD] &= A[B,CD] + [A,CD]B \\ &= A[B,C]D + AC[B,D] + C[A,D]B + [A,C]DB \\ &= A(\{B,C\} - 2CB)D + AC(2BD - \{B,D\}) + C(2AD - \{A,D\}) + (\{A,C\} - 2CA)DB \\ &= A\{B,C\}D - 2ACBD + 2ACBD - AC\{B,D\} + 2CADB - C\{A,D\}B + \{A,C\}DB - 2CADB \\ &= -AC\{B,D\} + A\{B,C\}D - C\{A,D\}B + \{A,C\}DB \\ &= -AC\{D,B\} + A\{C,B\}D - C\{D,A\}B + \{C,A\}DB \end{split}$$

Hence Proved.

# Problem 3

Solution

$$\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{pmatrix} n_z & n_x - in_y \\ n_x + in_y & -n_z \end{pmatrix}$$

The corresponding equation for eigenvalues of this matrix is,

$$\lambda^2 - n_x^2 - n_y^2 - n_z^2 = 0$$

which gives as eigenvalues  $\lambda = \pm \sqrt{n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2}$ . Substituting these values in the eigenvalue equation  $(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{n}})X = \lambda X$ , we get the following eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\sqrt{n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2} + n_z}{n_x + i n_y} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{and} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2} + n_z}{n_x + i n_y} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Problem 4

#### Solution

Let  $|\beta\rangle$  be an arbitrary state, and  $|\lambda_i\rangle$  be the eigenstates such that  $\sum_k |\lambda_k\rangle \langle \lambda_k| = 1$ . Consider the following,

$$\prod_{i \neq j} \left( \frac{A - \lambda_i}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \right) |\beta\rangle = \prod_{i \neq j} \left( \frac{A - \lambda_i}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \right) \sum_k |\lambda_k\rangle \langle \lambda_k |\beta\rangle$$

$$= \sum_k \prod_{i \neq j} \left( \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \right) |\lambda_k\rangle \langle \lambda_k |\beta\rangle$$

Lets look closer at the sum above. For  $k \neq j$ , the coefficient  $\frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_i}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}$  in the sum will vanish for some i, rendering the whole product to be zero. So all that remains in the summation is the term corresponding to k = j. Hence,

$$\prod_{i \neq j} \left( \frac{A - \lambda_i}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \right) |\beta\rangle = \prod_{i \neq j} \left( \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \right) |\lambda_j\rangle \langle \lambda_j |\beta\rangle 
= \prod_{i \neq j} (1) |\lambda_j\rangle \langle \lambda_j |\beta\rangle 
= |\lambda_j\rangle \langle \lambda_j |\beta\rangle 
= P_j |\beta\rangle$$

Hence,  $\prod_{i\neq j} \left(\frac{A-\lambda_i}{\lambda_j-\lambda_i}\right) = P_j$ , the Projection operator onto  $|\lambda_j\rangle$ .

# Problem 5

 $F(\hat{x})$  and  $G(\hat{p})$  have regular series expansions. So, for some constants  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$ ,

$$F(\hat{x}) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \hat{x} + \alpha_2 \hat{x}^2 + \dots$$

$$G(\hat{p}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \hat{p} + \beta_2 \hat{p}^2 + \dots$$

Consider  $[\hat{p}, \hat{x}^n]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{p}, \hat{x}^n] &= [\hat{p}, \hat{x}] \hat{x}^{n-1} + \hat{x} [\hat{p}, \hat{x}] \hat{x}^{n-2} + \hat{x}^2 [\hat{p}, \hat{x}] \hat{x}^{n-3} + \dots \text{n terms} \\ &= -in \hat{x}^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $[\hat{p}, F(\hat{x})]$ .

$$[\hat{p}, F(\hat{x})] = [\hat{p}, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \hat{x} + \alpha_2 \hat{x}^2 + \dots]$$

$$= [\hat{p}, \alpha_0] + \alpha_1 [\hat{p}, \hat{x}] + \alpha_2 [\hat{p}, \hat{x}^2] + \dots$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j [\hat{p}, \hat{x}^j]$$

$$= -i \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j (j \hat{x}^{j-1})$$

$$= -i F'(\hat{x})$$

Similarly,  $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}^n] = in\hat{p}^{n-1}$ , and,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}, G(\hat{p})] &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_j [\hat{x}, \hat{p}^j] \\ &= i \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j (j \hat{p}^{j-1}) \\ &= i G'(\hat{p}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence Proved

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\hat{x}^{2}, \hat{p}^{2}\right] &= \hat{x} \left[\hat{x}, \hat{p}^{2}\right] + \left[\hat{x}, \hat{p}^{2}\right] \hat{x} \\ &= 2i \{x, p\} \end{aligned}$$

# Problem 6

### Solution

# Part (a)

The normalized coherent states are given by,

$$|z\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi} \exp\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} + \alpha x + i\beta x\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\alpha)^2}{2} + i\beta x\right)$$

where  $z = \alpha + i\beta$ ,  $\hat{a} |z\rangle = z |z\rangle$ . Hence,

$$\langle z'|z\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi} \exp\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi} \exp\left(\frac{\alpha'^2}{2}\right)} \exp\left(-x^2 + (\alpha + \alpha')x + i(\beta - \beta')x\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}i\alpha'\beta' + \frac{1}{2}i\beta\alpha' + \frac{\alpha\alpha'}{2} - \frac{(\alpha')^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}i\alpha\beta' + \frac{i\alpha\beta}{2} - \frac{\beta^2}{4} + \frac{\beta\beta'}{2} - \frac{(\beta')^2}{4}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha - \alpha')^2}{4} - \frac{(\beta - \beta')^2}{4} + \frac{\alpha\alpha'(\beta - \beta')}{2}i\right)$$

Here,  $z = \alpha + i\beta$  and  $z' = \alpha' + i\beta'$ 

### Part (b)

Consider  $\langle x'|x\rangle = \delta(x-x')$ . The completeness relation is of the form  $\int d^2z f(z)|z\rangle\langle z| = 1$ . Using this identity, we insert the complete states in  $\langle x|x\rangle$  as follows,

$$\int d^2z f(z) \left\langle x'|z\right\rangle \left\langle z|x\right\rangle = \delta(x-x')$$

$$\int d\alpha \ d\beta f(\alpha,\beta) \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x'-\alpha)^2}{2} + i\beta x'\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\alpha)^2}{2} - i\beta x\right) = \int d\beta \exp(i\beta(x-x'))$$

This relation should hold for all x', specifically for x = x'. Hence,

$$\int d\alpha \ d\beta f(\alpha, \beta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\{-(x - \alpha)^2\} = \int d\beta$$
$$\int d\beta \left\{ \int d\alpha f(\alpha, \beta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\{-(x - \alpha)^2\} - 1 \right\} = 0$$

For this to hold for all x, the term in curly brackets should be zero.

$$\int d\alpha f(\alpha, \beta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\{-(x - \alpha)^2\} = 1$$
 (1)

At this point, we note that,

$$\int d\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\{-(x-\alpha)^2\} = 1$$

By comparing preceding two equations, we can claim that  $f(\alpha, \beta) = 1$  is **one** possibility and the corresponding completeness relation is

$$\int d^2z \, |z\rangle\!\langle z| = 1$$

Note that this is a completeness relation and not the completeness relation. In principle, any  $f(\alpha, \beta)$  that satisfies (1) can be included in the completeness relation.

### Problem 7

#### Solution

### Part (a)

We know that  $\langle z|\hat{a}|z\rangle=z$  and  $\langle z|\hat{a}^{\dagger}|z\rangle=z^*$ . Adding these two up, we get,

$$\sqrt{2} \langle z | \hat{x} | z \rangle = z + z^* = 2 \operatorname{Re} \{ z \}$$

$$\langle z|\hat{x}|z\rangle = \sqrt{2}\operatorname{Re}\{z\}$$

Similarly, subtracting the two, we get,

$$\sqrt{2}i \langle z|\hat{p}|z\rangle = z - z^* = 2i \operatorname{Im}\{z\}$$
  
 $\langle z|\hat{p}|z\rangle = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im}\{z\}$ 

Part (b)

$$\hat{a}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{x}^2 - \hat{p}^2 + i\{x, p\}) \tag{2}$$

$$\hat{a^{\dagger}}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{x}^2 - \hat{p}^2 - i\{x, p\}) \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{a}\hat{a}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{x}^2 + \hat{p}^2 - i[x, p]) \tag{4}$$

$$\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{x}^2 + \hat{p}^2 + i[x, p]) \tag{5}$$

Adding the above equations, we see,

$$\begin{split} 2 \left\langle z | \hat{x}^2 | z \right\rangle &= \left\langle z | \hat{a}^2 + \hat{a^\dagger}^2 + \left\{ a, a^\dagger \right\} | z \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle z | \hat{a}^2 | z \right\rangle + \left\langle z | \hat{a^\dagger}^2 | z \right\rangle + \left\langle z | \left[ a, a^\dagger \right] | z \right\rangle + 2 \left\langle z | a^\dagger a | z \right\rangle \\ &= z^2 + z^{*2} + 1 + 2zz^* \\ &= 1 + 4 \operatorname{Re}(z)^2 \\ \left\langle z | \hat{x}^2 | z \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} + 2 \operatorname{Re}(z)^2 \end{split}$$

(1) + (2) - (3) - (4) gives,

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \langle z | \hat{p}^2 | z \rangle &= \langle z | \hat{a}^2 + \hat{a^\dagger}^2 - \left\{ a, a^\dagger \right\} | z \rangle \\ &= -4 \operatorname{Im} \{ z \}^2 - 1 \\ \langle z | \hat{p}^2 | z \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} + 2 \operatorname{Im} \{ z \}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting all required values above, we get,

$$\Delta x = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$$
;  $\Delta p = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ ;  $\Delta x \Delta p = \frac{1}{2}$ 

As is evident, this saturates the uncertainty relation  $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

# Problem 8

Solution

Part (a)

$$|\psi\rangle = a_{00}|00\rangle + a_{01}|01\rangle + a_{10}|10\rangle + a_{11}|11\rangle$$

The density matrix of system 1 is obtained by tracing over the degrees of freedom of system 2.  $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ 

$$\begin{split} \rho_{A} &= \langle 0_{B} | \psi \rangle \, \langle \psi | 0_{B} \rangle + \langle 1_{B} | \psi \rangle \, \langle \psi | 1_{B} \rangle \\ &= (a_{00} \, | 0 \rangle + a_{10} \, | 1 \rangle) (a_{00}^{*} \, \langle 0 | + a_{10}^{*} \, \langle 1 |) + (a_{11} \, | 1 \rangle + a_{01} \, | 0 \rangle) (a_{11}^{*} \, \langle 1 | + a_{01}^{*} \, \langle 0 |) \\ &= (|a_{00}|^{2} + \left| a_{01}^{2} \right|) \, |0 \rangle \langle 0 | + (a_{11}^{*} a_{01} + a_{10}^{*} a_{00}) \, |0 \rangle \langle 1 | + (a_{11} a_{01}^{*} + a_{10} a_{00}^{*}) \, |1 \rangle \langle 0 | + (|a_{11}|^{2} + \left| a_{10}^{2} \right|) \, |1 \rangle \langle 1 | \\ &= \left( \begin{array}{c} |a_{00}|^{2} + \left| a_{01}^{2} \right| & a_{11}^{*} a_{01} + a_{10}^{*} a_{00} \\ a_{11} a_{01}^{*} + a_{10} a_{00}^{*} & |a_{11}|^{2} + \left| a_{10}^{2} \right| \end{array} \right) \end{split}$$

Similarly, one can find  $\rho_B$ 

$$\begin{split} \rho_{B} &= \langle 0_{A} | \psi \rangle \, \langle \psi | 0_{A} \rangle + \langle 1_{A} | \psi \rangle \, \langle \psi | 1_{A} \rangle \\ &= (a_{00} \, | 0 \rangle + a_{01} \, | 1 \rangle) (a_{00}^{*} \, \langle 0 | + a_{01}^{*} \, \langle 1 |) + (a_{11} \, | 1 \rangle + a_{10} \, | 0 \rangle) (a_{11}^{*} \, \langle 1 | + a_{10}^{*} \, \langle 0 |) \\ &= (|a_{00}|^{2} + \left| a_{10}^{2} \right|) |0 \rangle \langle 0 | + (a_{11}^{*} a_{10} + a_{01}^{*} a_{00}) \, |0 \rangle \langle 1 | + (a_{11} a_{10}^{*} + a_{01} a_{00}^{*}) \, |1 \rangle \langle 0 | + (|a_{11}|^{2} + \left| a_{01}^{2} \right|) \, |1 \rangle \langle 1 | \\ &= \left( \begin{array}{cc} |a_{00}|^{2} + \left| a_{10}^{2} \right| & a_{11}^{*} a_{10} + a_{01}^{*} a_{00} \\ a_{11} a_{10}^{*} + a_{01} a_{00}^{*} & |a_{11}|^{2} + \left| a_{01}^{2} \right| \end{array} \right) \end{split}$$