# Assignment-Discussion HMM-Viterbi

Deepak Singh Baghel 203050005 Ankush Agarwal 203050007 Nilesh Kshirsagar 203059004

#### **Problem Statement**

- Given a sequence of words, produce the POS tag sequence
- Technique to be used: HMM-Viterbi
- Use Universal Tag Set (12 in number)
- 5-fold cross validation

tags: {'ADP', 'CONJ', 'PRON', 'DET', 'PRT', 'VERB', 'NOUN', 'NUM', 'X', 'ADJ', '.', 'ADV'}

#### Overall performance

- **Precision** : 93.55 %
- Recall: 93.50 %
- F-score (3 values)
  - F1-score: 93.46 %
  - F0.5-score: 93.50 %
  - F2-score: 93.47 %

### Per POS performance

```
recall: 86.82 %,
            Precision: 89.65 %,
                                                   F1: 88.21
• Tag: ADV
• Tag: DET Precision: 89.58 %,
                                recall: 98.63 %,
                                                   F1: 93.89
• Tag: VERB
            Precision: 95.25 %,
                                recall: 90.59 %,
                                                   F1: 92.86
                                recall: 96.46 %,
• Tag: ADP
            Precision: 91.85 %,
                                                   F1: 94.10
            Precision: 99.29 %,
                                recall: 99.31 %,
                                                   F1: 99.30
• Tag: CONJ
                                                   F1: 95.29
• Tag: PRON
            Precision: 92.90 %,
                                recall: 97.81 %,
• Tag: NUM
            Precision: 96.93 %,
                                recall: 86.37 %,
                                                   F1: 91.34
                                recall: 86.89 %,
                                                   F1: 87.15
• Taq: ADJ
            Precision: 87.41 %,
            Precision: 65.55 %,
                                recall: 45.02 %,
                                                   F1: 53.38
• Taq: X
            Precision: 89.34 %,
                                                   F1: 89.42
• Tag: PRT
                                recall: 89.49 %,
                                recall: 90.12 %,
                                                   F1: 92.27
• Taq: NOUN
            Precision: 94.53 %,
• Tag: . Precision: 97.55 %,
                               recall: 99.89 %, F1: 98.70
```

### Confusion Matrix (12 X 12)



# Interpretation of confusion (error analysis)

Noun-Det, Noun-Verb, Verb-Noun

- Same words are used in different senses in different sentences e.g. Play can be used as both noun and verb
- Noun and verbs have higher overall frequency in corpus

# Data Processing Info (Pre-processing)

- Use nltk.brown.tagged\_sents for tokenization.
- Stored count of tag and tag bigrams in a dictionary using tags as key and used it to calculate the transition prob
- Similarly stored count of word tag pairs in a dictionary and used it to calculate the emission prob

### Inferencing/Decoding Info

- For each word, stored the maximum probability path ending at each tag from the list of Universal tags
- From tags for the last word of a sentence, selected tag
  with maximum probability since it has the maximum
  probability path for whole sentence and then used back
  pointer to find the path.

# Any thoughts on generative vs. discriminative POS tagging

- Discriminative models model conditional probability P(Y|X) while generative models model joint probability P(Y, X)
- Hence, discriminative models don't need to model marginal probability P(X)