

Running head: Burn severity and ecosystem transformation

Title: Fuel connectivity, burn severity, and seedbank survivorship drive the grass fire cycle in a semi-arid shrubland.

Alternate Title: Fuel connectivity, burn severity, and seedbank survivorship drive ecosystem transformation in a semi-arid shrubland.

Adam L. Mahood^{1,2,*}, Michael J. Koontz², Jennifer K. Balch^{1,2}

¹ Department of Geography, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

² Earth Lab, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA

* Corresponding author: admahood@gmail.com

Open Research Statement: Data and code to recreate the analysis are freely available at <https://www.github.com/admahood/seed-bank> (DOI available after acceptance) and the Dryad data repository (url given after acceptance).

Abstract

Persistent ecosystem state changes can result when multiple drivers that affect an ecosystem interact. For example, permanent changes in species composition and losses of biodiversity can result when exotic plant invasions alter ecosystem structure, and this altered structure changes the properties and impacts of disturbances. In sagebrush shrublands in the western United States, annual grass invasion increases vegetation connectivity, which increases the size and spatial contiguity of fires. This increase in fire size and contiguity has led to post-fire plant communities dominated by introduced annual grasses (IAG). The novel grassland state is persistent, and more likely to promote large fires than the shrubland it replaced. But the mechanisms by which pre-fire invasion and fire occurrence are linked to higher post-fire flammability are not fully understood.

Here, we investigate the successive mechanisms of a positive feedback loop that maintains a novel, persistent, and more flammable ecosystem. We used total vegetation cover (TVC) as a proxy for vegetation connectivity and found that pre-fire TVC increased burn severity. We then used a Bayesian joint species distribution model to examine how burn severity affected the proportion of IAG in the seed bank, and found that higher burn severity had mostly positive or neutral effects on the occurrence of IAG and other non-native species, and mostly negative or neutral relationships with native species. Finally, we found that the abundance of IAG seeds in the seedbank immediately post-fire had a positive effect on the fuel connectivity 3 years after fire, thus completing a positive feedback promoting IAG. These results suggest that the strength of the positive feedback is controlled by measurable characteristics of ecosystem structure (e.g. TVC) and disturbance (dNBR), and so it is possible that they can be used to inform management actions to mitigate the undesirable effects of the grass-fire cycle, perhaps via targeted restoration applications or pre-fire fuel treatments.

Keywords: cheatgrass, sagebrush, grass-fire cycle, joint species distribution model, burn severity, fuel connectivity, *Bromus tectorum*, *Artemisia tridentata*

1. Introduction

overview - Alternative stable states Global change drivers like exotic species invasion and climate change can alter ecosystem structure. Altered structure can change many facets of ecosystem function and composition. The combined effects of altered structure on function can cascade and interact, ultimately leading to permanent compositional change, biodiversity losses and the loss of ecosystem services (Ratajczak et al. 2018). These impacts are most difficult to mitigate when internal, self-reinforcing mechanisms are manifested from those structural changes that then maintain the new alternative state (Andersen et al. 2009). There is a long history of theory as well as univariate time series observations that help us understand how systems of any type can exist in alternative stable states (SchefferXXX?). Correlative evidence of alternative stable states have been documented at broad scales in tropical ecosystems, where forests, savannas and grasslands are considered self-maintaining states because they cluster around three values of tree cover (80, 30 and 0 percent) while occurring along overlapping ranges of precipitation (Hirota et al. 2011; Staver, Archibald, and Levin 2011). In the forested state there is thought to be a self-reinforcing positive feedback between evapotranspiration and tree cover (Staal et al. 2020). In the grassland and savanna states, there are feedbacks between grass flammability and fire occurrence (The grass-fire cycle, D’Antonio and Vitousek 1992; Staver, Archibald, and Levin 2011). But these lines of evidence are mostly correlative. The mechanisms here are widely believed to be true but rarely experimentally documented (Schroeder2005oikos?). There are still relatively few studies that document the mechanisms that maintain alternative stable states, outside of a handful of greenhouse and laboratory microcosm experiments (Schroeder2005?).

zoom in alt st st/ seed bank / cheatgrass and fuel connectivity A classic example of an alternative stable state is the invasion of *Bromus tectorum* L. and other introduced annual grasses in the Great Basin of the western United States. Here, the interaction of annual grass invasion fire (Balch et al. 2013) and grazing (Williamson et al. 2019) and

has resulted in the degradation or loss of over half of Wyoming big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata* ssp. *wyomingensis* Beetle & Young) ecosystems (Davies et al. 2011). At uninvaded sites, the space between shrubs is typically composed of bare ground covered in biological soil crust and dotted with perennial plants. The lack of fuel connectivity is believed to limit fire spread, with a historical fire regime of infrequent, patchy fires (Baker 2006; Bukowski and Baker 2013). Annual grass invasion increases fuel connectivity while decreasing fuel moisture (Davies and Nafus 2013), leading to increased fire size and frequency (Knapp 1996; Balch et al. 2013). After fire, the landscape is often dominated by introduced annual grasses and forbs. The post-fire, annual-dominated state may persist without subsequent fire by reductions in soil moisture to the detriment of *Artemisia* seedlings (Turnbull et al. 2012; Wilcox et al. 2012), and competition from non-native annuals for early spring moisture (Meyer 1994; Chambers et al. 2007). But in order to understand how fire drives the persistence of the grassland state, the mechanisms by which fire benefits the introduced annual grass must be understood.

seeds as a demographic bottleneck Because the invading species are annual, and most of the native plants are seed obligates, the key demographic stage that fire must act to benefit the invading plant is likely the seeds and seedlings. Petraitis and Latham (1999) posited that the maintenance of alternate species assemblages requires first a disturbance that removes the species from the initial assemblage and second the arrival of the species of the alternate assemblage. One understudied mechanism that may explain both is the interaction between burn severity and the species composition of the soil seed bank.

A recent hypothesis, “interval squeeze” (Enright2015?), may help explain how climate and fire end up substantially affecting seed bank species composition. The theory is that warmer and drier conditions simultaneously reduce recruitment, growth, and survival. Fire-intolerant, seed obligate plants that are experiencing increased disturbance are especially vulnerable, because the crucial demographic stages of seeds and seedlings are particularly

vulnerable to climate, competition and disturbance. Another complicating factor that we might add to this conceptual model is that warmer and drier climate also increases burn severity ([Parks2019brunsev?](#)), which also impacts recruitment and survival.

seeds In order for an annual like *B. tectorum* it to benefit from fire, which it clearly does ([Balch et al. 2013](#); [Mahood and Balch 2019](#)), it would need enough viable seeds in the post-fire landscape to achieve a fitness benefit and become well-represented in the post-fire plant assemblage ([Bond and Midgley 1995](#)). If the fire is patchy, this can happen through post-fire seed dispersal, and *B. tectorum* seeds have been shown to have increased dispersal distances after fire ([Monty, Brown, and Johnston 2013](#)). Without unburned patches, seeds must survive the fire. If the increase in fuel connectivity caused by *B. tectorum* increases the severity of fire, it stands to reason that burn severity would influence the community composition of the post-fire seed bank in a way that facilitates the post-fire dominance of *B. tectorum*. To our knowledge, despite several studies on the relationship between fire and the seed bank in this system ([Young and Evans 1975](#); [Hassan and West 1986](#); [Humphrey and Schupp 2001](#); [Boudell, Link, and Johansen 2002](#); [Barga and Leger 2018](#)), no studies to date have examined this potential effect of burn severity on the seed bank.

burn severity *Bromus tectorum* invasion alters the physical properties of fire, including fire temperature ([Brooks et al. 2004](#); [Jones et al. 2015](#)) and burn severity (or the proportion of biomass burned ([Keeley 2009](#))). Burn severity can be measured *in situ* after fire, or estimated from satellite images by comparing scenes from before and after the fire ([J. D. Miller et al. 2009](#)). While in many cases fires that burn at higher temperatures will also burn at higher severities, grass fires may not always have such a relationship. Direct measurements have shown that *B. tectorum* burns at rapidly low temperatures ([Beckstead et al. 2011](#); [Germino, Chambers, and Brown 2016](#)). Because *B. tectorum* also increases horizontal fuel connectivity ([Davies and Nafus 2013](#)), this leads to more contiguously burned areas with fewer unburned plants, and therefore higher burn severity, despite lower fire temperatures. Soil heating affects

the response of vegetation to fire (Gagnon et al. 2015), including the capacity of the seeds of *B. tectorum* to remain viable after fire (Humphrey and Schupp 2001).

severity alters seed bank In fire prone ecosystems, seed obligate species typically have strategies to cope with fires that burn at different severities (Maia et al. 2012; Wright, Latz, and Zuur 2016; Palmer, Denham, and Ooi 2018). High severity fire can affect species that use the seedbank positively (Kimura and Tsuyuzaki 2011), negatively (Heydari et al. 2017), or have no effect (Lipoma, Funes, and Díaz 2018), depending on species-specific adaptations. Both the depth of the burn and fire temperature can affect recovery (Morgan and Neuenschwander 1988; Schimmel and Granström 1996), and fire temperature can affect both seed mortality and physical seed dormancy mechanisms (Liyanage and Ooi 2017).

If increased burn severity is a mechanism by which *B. tectorum* invasion excludes native plants in sagebrush shrublands, then an area with high burn severity should have a lower occurrence of viable seeds of native species, and a higher occurrence of the seeds of fire-tolerant introduced annual plants. This would lead to the dominance of introduced annual grasses and forbs and would result in higher fuel connectivity, closing the positive feedback loop. Prior work has demonstrated that *A. tridentata* communities historically had long fire rotations (>150 years) (Baker 2006; Bukowski and Baker 2013). Plants that are not adapted to frequent fire would be less likely to produce seeds that are adapted to surviving fire, or dispersal mechanisms to take advantage of the resources available immediately after fire (Davis et al. 2000; Keeley et al. 2011). Furthermore, *A. tridentata* relies on tolerating drought and opportunistically devoting its resources to producing large seed crops in cool, wet years (Meyer 1994; Perryman et al. 2001; Shriver et al. 2018). Increases in temperature and aridity in the Great Basin (Melillo, Richmond, and Yohe 2014; Bradford et al. 2020) will affect *A. tridentata* directly by decreasing germination and seedling survival, and indirectly by causing the plants to produce lighter seeds (Schlaepfer, Lauenroth, and Bradford 2014), and result in fewer years that are climatically suitable for establishment.

what we did Here, we collected soil cores from 14 locations along the perimeter of a large fire (the Hot Pot fire, ~50,000 ha) immediately after it was extinguished, in northern Nevada in July 2016. Because it burned a large area in only three days, we could sample a broad area while being reasonably certain that the climatic conditions during the fire were the same at all sites. Because we collected our samples immediately after the fire was extinguished, we felt confident that the seed bank samples did not contain seeds deposited by post-fire dispersal. We put the samples in cold storage and germinated the seeds from those cores in a greenhouse the following spring. In spring 2017 and fall 2019 we collected information on vegetation structure and diversity at each location. We hypothesized that (H1) Pre-fire fuel connectivity would be positively related to burn severity; (H2) burn severity would increase the occurrence probability of introduced annual species in the seed bank and reduce the occurrence probability of native species; (H2a) increased fuel connectivity brought on by the invasion of annual grasses already depleted the diversity of the soil seed bank before the fire occurred; (H3) the abundance of post-fire *B. tectorum* seeds would be positively related to post-fire fuel connectivity. In addition, because in our study system sites with high fuel connectivity are often near monocultures of *B. tectorum*, we hypothesized that (H4) high post-fire fuel connectivity of those near-monocultures would result in lower aboveground species diversity due to competitive pressure.

2. Methods

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted in north-central Nevada the day after a large fire (the Hot Pot Fire) was extinguished (Appendix S1, Fig. S1). The Hot Pot Fire burned just over 50,000 hectares in less than a week. The pre-fire landcover was predominantly *B. tectorum* and Wyoming big sagebrush plant communities. The fire occurred after the early season plants, including *B. tectorum* and *Poa secunda* J. Presl, the most abundant native understory species, had gone to seed, and before the late season species, including Wyoming big sagebrush, had produced

flowers. Thus we were able to isolate the effect of the fire without any confounding effects of post-fire seed dispersal, while achieving a broad spatial extent. The sites we sampled ranged from 1397 to 1607 meters in elevation.

2.2 Seed Bank Sampling

In early July 2016, we collected samples of the soil seed bank at fourteen locations the day after the Hot Pot fire was contained. Each site was located at the perimeter of the fire where it was clearly delineated by a bulldozer line or in one case a narrow dirt road. Eleven sites were mature sagebrush communities with no history of fire since at least 1984. Three plots had previously burned in 1984 according to the Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity (MTBS) fire history (Eidenshink et al. 2007) and had high cover of *B. tectorum*, but still had scattered sagebrush cover. We used a metal stake to mark paired burned and unburned sampling locations on each side of the perimeter, 10 m from the nearest evidence of anthropogenic disturbance (i.e. bulldozer effects, footprints) associated with active fire suppression along the perimeter. Within 3 m of each marker, we extracted 12, 6 cm deep, 5 cm diameter, soil cores. Seeds of sagebrush generally do not fall far (<30 m) from their parent plants in this system (Shinneman and McIlroy 2016), and so they are not uniformly distributed (Boudell, Link, and Johansen 2002). In addition, seeds from *B. tectorum* (Young and Evans 1975) and *Artemisia* have different germination rates based on the micro-site they find themselves in (i.e. under a shrub or in the bare ground between shrubs, Eckert et al. 1986). To account for these potentially confounding effects, we placed half of the core locations under shrubs, and half in shrub interspaces. In the burned areas, it was obvious where shrubs had been located. Even when they were completely incinerated, their imprint remained on the soil surface (Bechtold and Inouye 2007; Germino et al. 2018). To examine the effect of seed depth, we divided each soil core into 0-2 cm and 2-6 cm depths. Litter was aggregated with the 0-2 cm samples. Samples were then placed in cold storage (~2 deg C) for 3 months (Meyer, Monsen, and McArthur 2013). At all sites, to be sure that we were at a site where sagebrush germination

could occur we checked for first year germinants on the unburned side (we found them at all sites), and to ensure that there were no confounding effects of post-fire seed dispersal, we determined whether or not the sagebrush were flowering (they were not flowering at all sites), and recorded species occupancy for all aboveground plant species.

We followed the methodology of Ter Heert et al. (1996) to germinate the seeds. Each sample was run through 0.2 mm sieve, and spread in a 3-5 mm layer over the top of 1 - 4 pots. These pots were filled 3 cm deep with potting soil, topped by a thin layer of sand. Pots were watered as needed to stay at field capacity. Every week emerging germinants were identified, counted and removed. Most of the germination occurred within 6 weeks, and after 8 weeks we ended the germination assay.

2.3 Post-Fire Vegetation Sampling

We sampled the vegetative occupancy and cover in May 2017, the growing season immediately after the fire and again in September 2019. At each location, we established 50m transects starting at the boundary of the burned and unburned sides of the perimeter, running perpendicular to the fire perimeter, and marked the transect ends with rebar. We measured the occupancy and abundance of all plant species by measuring cover of every species in 0.1 m² quadrats spaced every 5 m along each transect. We used the line intercept method to measure shrub cover and herbaceous plant cover along the transect. Both live and dead plants were included in these measurements. Total vegetation cover (TVC) was defined as the sum of herbaceous plant cover and shrub cover.

2.4 Estimating Burn Severity with Landsat 8 OLI

We downloaded the “fire bundle” of the Hot Pot fire from www.mtbs.gov. This included cloud-free Landsat 8 scenes collected before the Hot Pot fire, and already calculated layers of the Differenced Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR, J. D. Miller et al. 2009). Because our sites were generally within 10 meters of the burn perimeter, The pixels directly intersecting the plot locations were likely to be mixed pixels (i.e. containing burned and unburned ground).

To minimize this effect, we extracted all the dNBR values within a 120 meter buffer of each seed bank plot for pixels whose centroids fell inside of the fire perimeter and calculated the mean.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Our statistical analysis centered around trying to understand each component of the positive feedback loop posited by the 4 hypotheses described above. In order to understand how pre-fire fuel connectivity influenced burn severity (H1), we used total vegetation cover (TVC) from two separate data sources as a proxy for fuel connectivity, and created separate linear models with TVC as the predictor variable and burn severity (dNBR, [J. D. Miller et al. 2009](#)) as the response variable. With the field data we collected, we created an ordinary least squares (OLS) linear model with burn severity as the dependent variable and TVC (defined as shrub cover plus herbaceous plant cover from the unburned side of the paired plots), elevation and aspect as independent variables.

We were concerned that because our data were collected at the edge of the fire, the burn severity calculated at each point may have included partially burned pixels. So, as a supplement, we examined the same relationship by creating a model of TVC using Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) surface reflectance data using TVC from the Bureau of Land Management's Assessment, Inventory and Monitoring dataset (AIM, [U.S. Department of Interior 2018](#)). The AIM dataset contained 813 sampling locations within the Central Basin and Range ecoregion ([Commission for Environmental Cooperation 2006](#)) that were visited by BLM field crews between 2011 and 2015. They were mostly sampled once but there were some repeats, for 1,117 total measurements. For each of these points, we extracted the surface reflectance values of each Landsat band for the sampling year near peak biomass using a cloud-free scene from May or early June. Then, we used those surface reflectance values to calculate various vegetation indexes (Appendix S1: Table S1), including the Green Normalized Differenced Vegetation Index (Green NDVI, Equation 1), and Normalized Differenced Senesced Vegetation Index

(NDSVI, Equation 2). We used these indexes to create generalized linear model of TVC with a beta distribution. For this and all linear models, we started with the largest possible model and used backwards selection following the methodology of [Zuur et al. \(2009\)](#). We used the final reduced model to create a layer of predicted TVC for the study area for the pre-fire scene, and extracted both our predictions of TVC and dNBR of the fire from 1000 regularly-spaced points within the fire perimeter. Finally, to quantify the effect of TVC on burn severity, we created an OLS linear model with our modeled TVC and its second-order polynomial as predictor variables and burn severity as the response variable.

Equation 1: $Green\ NDVI = \frac{NIR-Green}{NIR+Green}$

Equation 2: $NDSVI = \frac{SWIR_1-Red}{SWIR_1+Red}$

To examine how burn severity affected the community composition of the seed bank (H2), we created a joint species distribution model (JSDM) in a Bayesian framework ([Tikhonov et al. 2020](#)) for the occurrence of all species germinated from the seed bank that were found at more than one location. We created four Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains, each consisting of 150,000 iterations. We discarded the first 50,000 iterations for each chain and then recorded every 100th for a total of 1,000 posterior samples per chain, and 4,000 total. We assessed model convergence using the effective sample size and the potential scale reduction factor ([Gelman, Rubin, and others 1992](#)). We used the model to predict the probability of occurrence of germinable seeds of a given species along a gradient of burn severity. We included burn severity, elevation, aspect, pre-fire seedbank diversity and soil depth as independent variables.

To account for the possibility of H2a as a confounding factor, we included the Shannon-Weaver diversity index ([Shannon and Weaver 1949](#)) in the paired, unburned seed bank samples as one of the predictor variables in our JSDM. We also created OLS models with the unburned species richness and Shannon-Weaver diversity index predicted by prefire fuel connectivity, with the expectation that pre-fire fuel connectivity would have had a negative effect on the

prefire seedbank diversity. To examine how community composition and burn severity then affected subsequent fuel connectivity (H3), we created OLS models with fuel connectivity three years post-fire as the dependent variable, and burn severity, seed counts for *B. tectorum*, *P. secunda* and other species, elevation, aspect, depth, and alpha diversity as independent variables. To examine how the resulting fuel connectivity was related to biodiversity (H4), we used the diversity data and connectivity data that we collected in 2019 to create a Poisson GLM with number of species encountered aboveground at each plot location as the dependent variable, as well as an OLS linear model with the Shannon-Weaver index (Shannon and Weaver 1949) as a dependent variable. We used fuel connectivity, elevation, and aspect as independent variables.

All analyses were done in R (R Core Team 2020). Data and code to recreate the analysis are freely available at <https://www.github.com/admahood/seed-bank> (DOI available after acceptance) and the Dryad data repository (url given after acceptance).

3. Results

We found support for each hypothesized component of the positive feedback loop. For H1, the most parsimonious model for our *in situ* observations had only TVC as the predictor, which had a weak positive relationship with burn severity ($\beta = 2.4$, $p = 0.083$, $R^2 = 0.27$, Figure 1a, Appendix 1: Table S2). For our remotely sensed analysis, our most parsimonious model of TVC explained 35% of the variation and had Green NDVI, NDSVI and their interaction as predictors (Appendix S1: Table S2). Our model of dNBR using the predicted TVC within the fire perimeter as a predictor of dNBR explained 42% of the variation and the relationship between TVC and burn severity was positive and significant ($p \ll 0.01$, Figure 1a, Appendix S1: Table S2).

The vast majority of seeds that germinated in the greenhouse were the two most common grass species, *P. secunda* and *B. tectorum* (Appendix S1: Table S3). Eight forb species were

found in more than one location, and these 10 prevalent species are those that were used in our JSDM. Burned plots had an average of 34 ± 32 total seeds in the top 2 cm, and 12 ± 14 in the bottom 4 cm. Unburned plots had an average of 299 ± 170 in the top 2 cm and 59 ± 29 in the bottom 4 cm (Appendix S1: Fig. S2). For H2, the JSDM converged well (Appendix S1: Fig S3). Gelman diagnostics all very close to 1 and the effective sample size centered on 4,000. Elevation had the most significant effects on individual species and explained the most variance on average (36%). Burn severity explained 23% of the variance on average and was supported at the 95% level for 5 species. For the introduced species, the predictions along a gradient of burn severity were positive for *B. tectorum*, *Sisymbrium altissimum* L. and *Lepidium perfoliatum* L., and negative for *Ceratocephala testiculata* and *Alyssum desertorum* Stapf. For native species, the effect of burn severity on occurrence was positive for *A. tridentata*, neutral for *P. secunda* and negative for the remaining species. Testing H2a revealed a positive relationship between pre-fire aboveground species diversity and pre-fire fuel connectivity, and so we felt it was reasonable to rule out pre-fire fuel connectivity as a confounding factor for H2.

For H3, we found that, after accounting for elevation, pre-fire aboveground richness, and the number of *P. secunda* seeds, the number of *B. tectorum* seeds in the postfire seedbank was positively associated with the fuel connectivity in 2019 ($\beta = 0.54$, $p = 0.01$, Adj $R^2 = 0.75$, Figure 1c, Appendix S1: Table S2). For H4 the most parsimonious model (Adj $R^2 = 0.89$, Appendix S1: Table S2) had elevation, aspect, fuel connectivity and an interaction between elevation and fuel connectivity as predictors of aboveground Shannon-Weaver alpha diversity. Fuel connectivity was negatively associated with Shannon-Weaver diversity ($\beta = -0.28$, $p=0.004$, Figure 1d).

4. Discussion

Here we document the mechanisms by which changes in ecosystem structure brought on by invasion can lead to cascading effects on ecosystem function and composition via changes

in the disturbance regime. It has already been shown that *B. tectorum* invasion increases fire frequency (Balch et al. 2013), and from this we can infer the existence of a grass-fire cycle. However, in order to truly consider an annual grass-fire cycle to be maintained by self-reinforcing feedbacks, the mechanisms by which fire occurrence is linked to higher post-fire flammability must be understood. The interaction between burn severity and seed bank composition may explain that link. Prior work has shown that annual grass invasion increases fuel connectivity in western US sagebrush ecosystems by filling in shrub interspaces with a contiguous bed of fine fuels (Davies and Nafus 2013). This change in the spatial distribution of fine fuels has been associated with larger and more frequent fires (Balch et al. 2013). Here, we found higher fuel connectivity (via TVC) also increases burn severity (H1, Figure 1a). Higher burn severity was associated with an increased occurrence of introduced annuals in the seedbank and a decreased occurrence of native plants (H2, Figure 1b). Finally, higher abundance of *B. tectorum* seeds in the post-fire seedbank resulted in higher post-fire fuel connectivity (H3, Figure 1c). In addition, we found evidence that high post-fire fuel connectivity was associated with lower aboveground diversity (H4, Figure 1d). This suggests that during inter-fire intervals, there may be additional mechanisms (e.g. competition) maintaining the post-fire, annual grass-dominated species assemblage.

The difference in species composition before and after fire explains an apparent contradiction in results between H2a (positive relationship between pre-fire fuel connectivity and diversity) and H4 (negative relationship between post-fire fuel connectivity and diversity). Most plot locations had mature canopies of native shrubs with the inter-shrub space occupied mostly by native bunchgrasses and forbs, with no fire occurrence since 1984. Even in locations with high annual grass cover between shrubs, shrubs provide ecosystem structural heterogeneity and islands of fertility (Doescher, Miller, and Winward 1984; Bechtold and Inouye 2007), and perennial natives that may have been established before invasion have deep roots established that allow for the avoidance of competition for water with shallow-rooted annuals (Gibbens and Lenz 2001; Ottaviani et al. 2020). This may provide enough niche compartmentalization

to allow native plants to persist. Three years after fire, almost all of the plots were dominated by introduced annuals, and lacked any structural heterogeneity. Thus native plants may have been able to persist via niche compartmentalization after the initial invasion, but fire burned away most of the seeds (Appendix S1, Fig. S1) and removed all of the structural benefits that shrub cover provides. In this clean slate post-fire environment, the altered species composition of the seedbank and superior post-fire dispersal of *B. tectorum* (Monty, Brown, and Johnston 2013) allow the process of interspecific competition to be dominant (Schlaepfer, Lauenroth, and Bradford 2014).

Global impacts

Understanding the mechanisms of hysteresis, and in particular how multiple redundant mechanisms act in concert, will provide important insights for ecosystem change on a global scale. At least 13 grass species initiate self-reinforcing feedbacks with fire in the U.S. (Fusco et al. 2019; Tortorelli, Krawchuk, and Kerns 2020), and many more worldwide, including Australia (G. Miller et al. 2010; Setterfield et al. 2010), Brazil (Rossi et al. 2014) and South Africa (Milton 2004). The grass-fire cycle studied here is reinforced through providing fitness benefits to the introduced annual grasses and forbs via at least 4 redundant processes: i) changing the composition of the seedbank, ii) competitive exclusion of native plants, iii) CO₂ enrichment which may preferentially enhance biomass (i.e. higher fuel connectivity) and seed production of annual grass species (Smith et al. 2000; Nagel et al. 2004), strengthening the fuel connectivity to burn severity to seed composition feedback loop, and iv) ecohydrological feedbacks that create a warmer, drier microclimate (Turnbull et al. 2012; Wilcox et al. 2012). It is likely that some of these feedbacks are idiosyncratic to the system being studied, while others may reflect fundamental properties of ecosystem function that change when a system is converted from being dominated by woody plants to being dominated by herbaceous plants (Kitzberger et al. 2016). The conversion of temperate forests and shrublands to grasslands have consequences relevant to the global carbon cycle, especially when forests (rather than

the shrublands studied here) are replaced by herbaceous ecosystems (Kerns et al. 2020).

Potential limitations

We found fewer species and lower diversity in our seedbank germination assays than we did in the aboveground sampling (Appendix S1, Fig. S3). This result may be influenced by the methodological limitations inherent to greenhouse germination trials (Vandvik et al. 2016). In particular our results may be understating the occurrence of native species in the seedbank. Habitat specialists have been shown to emerge more in *in situ* germination assays than in greenhouse germination assays, while the opposite has been found for ruderal species (Plue et al. 2017). Nevertheless, for those species that were prevalent in our germination studies, we still found consistent relationships between their abundance and occurrence by biogeographic origin, and those species that were most prevalent in the postfire seedbank germination assays were also those most common in the aboveground community postfire.

Contrasts among forests and shrublands as it pertains to remote sensing

Burn severity metrics like dNBR were conceived of in the context of forested ecosystems, and calibrated using the composite burn index (Key and Benson 1999), tree mortality, and percent change in tree canopy cover (J. D. Miller et al. 2009). These do not apply in shrubland systems. Here we estimated burn severity using dNBR and understand it to be a proxy for the amount of biomass that was burned in the fire. We recorded qualitative observations of burn severity while we were sampling, mainly to ensure that we sampled a range of severities, and the dNBR we used appears to correspond with our observations. In areas where the space between shrubs was well-connected by fine fuels (Figure 2 a-c) the dNBR was higher, and the shrubs had completely burned throughout the root system, leaving only a hole in the ground filled with ashes as evidence of their prior presence. In these areas the entirety of the soil surface—underneath shrub canopy and in canopy interspaces—was consumed by fire, and there was little evidence of remaining litter or biological soil crust. Areas with lower fuel connectivity had lower dNBR (Figure 2 d-f). Here, shrubs were usually consumed only to the

stumps, and sometimes left standing and charred, destined for mortality. In these areas the soil surface often still had biological soil crust, partially consumed litter (Jones et al. 2015) and unconsumed annual and perennial grass bases. The manual severity classification provided by MTBS had exclusively low and medium severity, but our observations of essentially complete consumption of plant and litter tissues and very few unburned patches suggested that these should have been mostly medium and high severity. This discrepancy was not unexpected, as the ordinal burn severity classifications produced by MTBS are known to be of limited use for research (Kolden, Smith, and Abatzoglou 2015).

Spectral reflectance has long been used to characterize ecosystem structure, including wildfire fuels. Unique signatures of remotely-sensed spectral reflectance are typically matched to categorical fuel classifications (CFCs), which describe the physiognomy of vegetation and its potential to support various fire behavior (Ottmar et al. 2007). While different CFCs can provide a general understanding of fuel amount and connectivity, recent efforts using data with finer spatial and spectral resolution may improve fuel classification with more continuous, multi-dimensional measurements (Stavros et al. 2018). The continuous measure of NDVI in western U.S. coniferous forests is a proxy for live fuel biomass, which likely explains its positive association with wildfire severity (Parks et al. 2018; Koontz et al. 2020). NDVI also correlates with vegetation cover in these forested systems, and so greater crown connectivity may also explain the NDVI/severity relationship at local scales. When using a more direct NDVI-derived measure of vegetation connectivity in Sierra Nevada yellow pine/mixed-conifer, Koontz et al. (2020) found that greater variability in forest structure also increased the probability of high-severity fire. Here, we arrived at a combination of NDVI and NDSVI to describe the fuel connectivity of the annual grass invaded Great Basin sagebrush community to better reflect key differences in the physiognomies of forest and arid shrublands. In sagebrush shrublands, the fuel that contributes to large wildfires is a mixture of evergreen shrubs interspersed with herbaceous plants that remain green for only a portion of the growing season, and then become dry and straw-colored. Thus, both the live and dead

fuel need to be taken into account in remote measurements of fuel connectivity.

Management implications

These results demonstrate that the strength of the grass-fire cycle in this system is controlled by measurable fire properties and ecosystem structural components. Land managers may be able to increase their chances of restoration success by using existing methods or developing novel ones that manipulate these components to weaken or even break the positive feedback cycle. This work provides further evidence that the post-fire annual grassland is a system where the degraded state represents an alternative species assemblage from that of the restoration target. Because the propagules of the original assemblage are no longer present, methods that rely on natural succession may not be sufficient (Suding, Gross, and Houseman 2004). Our results highlight the importance of prioritizing the preservation of native shrub cover and in particular policies that encourage land managers to maximize the preservation of unburned patches during the suppression of wildfires in this system (Steenvoorden et al. 2019), as these are the primary sources of native propagules.

Commonly encountered native plants and the keystone shrub species *A. tridentata* depend on post-fire seed dispersal from surviving individuals in unburned patches (Schlaepfer, Lauenroth, and Bradford 2014). Once the system achieves a canopy dominated by annual grasses and forbs, the competitive pressure from the annual grass monoculture makes it more difficult if not impossible for perennial native forbs and shrubs to establish from the depleted seedbank. Post-fire seeding efforts may restore native propagules, but if there is dense cover of annuals further effort may be required to reduce fuel connectivity in order to reduce both fire risk and competitive pressure from annuals. Calculating the burn severity using Landsat or Sentinel images may help land managers identify areas with a greater likelihood of successful seeding. Livestock grazing can reduce fuel connectivity in uninvaded sagebrush (Davies et al. 2010). At the same time, livestock grazing can decrease the resistance to invasion by *B. tectorum* via negative effects on biological soil crust (BSC) (Chambers et al. 2014; Condon and Pyke 2018),

and can reduce the survival of *Artemisia* seedlings that are not protected by shrub canopies (Owens and Norton 1992). In wet years, targeted grazing at already invaded sagebrush sites may reduce fuel connectivity and alleviate fire risk. Plant community composition in the years immediately after fire may be highly variable spatially and from year to year. Post-fire grazing may help reduce *B. tectorum* cover, but it may also exacerbate the problem by introducing cheatgrass in uninvaded sites (Williamson et al. 2019) or increasing the already superior postfire dispersal of *B. tectorum* seeds (Monty, Brown, and Johnston 2013). We suggest management approaches that are specifically tailored each year to the conditions of a given site, and targeting grazing only in already invaded areas to reduce *B. tectorum* cover where it may aid in native plant restoration.

Greenhouse or *in situ* germination assays are time-consuming and require botanical expertise, and are very important. There are many studies that study fire occurrence as it relates to the seed bank but do not incorporate severity. One potential avenue for future research may be linking satellite-derived estimates of burn severity (Parks et al. 2018) and ecosystem structure with locations of prior seedbank studies in retrospective meta-analyses.

Acknowledgements

We thank Abdelhakim Farid, Julia Lopez, Dylan Murphy and C. Nick Whittemore for their help in the field and in the greenhouse. We also appreciate the use of the University of Colorado Boulder’s Ecology Evolution and Biology Greenhouse. We thank Lindsay P. Chiquoine and Thomas T. Veblen for constructive feedback that greatly improved the manuscript. We are grateful to everyone in the Winnemucca office of the Bureau of Land Management and the Central Nevada Interagency Dispatch Center. This project was funded in part by the CU Boulder Geography department’s Adam Kolff Memorial Graduate Research Grant and CU Boulder’s Undergraduate Research Opportunities Program.

References

- Andersen, Tom, Jacob Carstensen, Emilio Hernández-García, and Carlos M. Duarte. 2009. “Ecological thresholds and regime shifts: approaches to identification.” *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24 (1): 49–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.07.014>.
- Baker, William L. 2006. “Fire and restoration of sagebrush ecosystems.” *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 34 (1): 177–85. [https://doi.org/10.2193/0091-7648\(2006\)34%5B177:farose%5D2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.2193/0091-7648(2006)34%5B177:farose%5D2.0.co;2).
- Balch, Jennifer K., Bethany A. Bradley, Carla M. D’Antonio, and José Gómez-Dans. 2013. “Introduced annual grass increases regional fire activity across the arid western USA (1980-2009).” *Global Change Biology* 19 (1): 173–83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12046>.
- Barga, Sarah, and Elizabeth A. Leger. 2018. “Shrub cover and fire history predict seed bank composition in Great Basin shrublands.” *Journal of Arid Environments* 154 (November 2017): 40–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2018.03.004>.
- Bechtold, H. A., and R. S. Inouye. 2007. “Distribution of carbon and nitrogen in sagebrush steppe after six years of nitrogen addition and shrub removal.” *Journal of Arid Environments* 71 (1): 122–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2007.02.004>.
- Beckstead, Julie, Laura E. Street, Susan E. Meyer, and Phil S. Allen. 2011. “Fire effects on the cheatgrass seed bank pathogen *Pyrenophora semeniperda*.” *Rangeland Ecology and Management* 64 (2): 148–57. <https://doi.org/10.2111/REM-D-10-00052.1>.
- Bond, William J., and Jeremy J. Midgley. 1995. “Kill Thy Neighbour: An Individualistic Argument for the Evolution of Flammability.” *Oikos* 73 (1): 79. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3545728>.
- Boudell, JA, SO Link, and JR Johansen. 2002. “Effect of soil microtopography on seed bank distribution in the shrub-steppe.” *Western North American Naturalist* 62 (1): 14–24. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41717153>.

- Bradford, John B., Daniel R. Schlaepfer, William K. Lauenroth, and Kyle A. Palmquist. 2020. “Robust ecological drought projections for drylands in the 21st century.” *Global Change Biology* 26 (7): 3906–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15075>.
- Brooks, Matthew L., Carla M. D’Antonio, David M. Richardson, James B. Grace, Jon E. Keeley, Joseph M. DiTomaso, Richard J. Hobbs, Mike Pellant, and David Pyke. 2004. “Effects of Invasive Alien Plants on Fire Regimes.” *BioScience* 54 (7): 677–88.
- Bukowski, Beth, and William L. Baker. 2013. “Historical fire regimes, reconstructed from land-survey data, led to complexity and fluctuation in sagebrush landscapes.” *Ecological Applications* 23 (3): 546–64.
- Chambers, Jeanne C., Bethany A. Bradley, Cynthia S. Brown, Carla M. D’Antonio, Matthew J. Germino, James B. Grace, Stuart P. Hardegree, Richard F. Miller, and David A. Pyke. 2014. “Resilience to Stress and Disturbance, and Resistance to *Bromus Tectorum* L. Invasion in Cold Desert Shrublands of Western North America.” *Ecosystems* 17 (2): 360–75. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-013-9725-5>.
- Chambers, Jeanne C., Bruce A. Roundy, Robert R. Blank, Susan E. Meyer, and A. Whittaker. 2007. “What makes Great Basin sagebrush ecosystems invasible by *Bromus tectorum*?” *Ecological Monographs* 77 (1): 117–45. <https://doi.org/10.1890/05-1991>.
- Commission for Environmental Cooperation. 2006. “Ecological regions of North America – Levels I, II, and III: Montreal, Quebec, Canada, Commission for Environmental Cooperation, scale 1:10,000,000.” <https://www.epa.gov/eco-research/ecoregions-north-america>.
- Condon, Lea A., and David A. Pyke. 2018. “Fire and Grazing Influence Site Resistance to *Bromus tectorum* Through Their Effects on Shrub, Bunchgrass and Biocrust Communities in the Great Basin (USA).” *Ecosystems* 21 (7): 1416–31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-018-0230-8>.

- D'Antonio, Carla M., and Peter M. Vitousek. 1992. "Biological invasions by exotic grasses, the grass/fire cycle, and global change." *Annual Review of Ecological Systems* 23: 63–87.
- Davies, Kirk W., Jonathan D. Bates, Tony J. Svejcar, and Chad S. Boyd. 2010. "Effects of long-term livestock grazing on fuel characteristics in rangelands: An example from the sagebrush steppe." *Rangeland Ecology and Management* 63 (6): 662–69. <https://doi.org/10.2111/REM-D-10-00006.1>.
- Davies, Kirk W., Chad S. Boyd, Jeffrey L. Beck, Jon D. Bates, Tony J. Svejcar, and Michael A. Gregg. 2011. "Saving the sagebrush sea: An ecosystem conservation plan for big sagebrush plant communities." *Biological Conservation* 144 (11): 2573–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.07.016>.
- Davies, Kirk W., and Aleta M. Nafus. 2013. "Exotic annual grass invasion alters fuel amounts, continuity and moisture content." *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 22 (3): 353–58. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WF11161>.
- Davis, Mark A., J P Grime, Ken Thompson, and J Philip. 2000. "Fluctuating resources in plant communities: a general of invasibility theory." *Journal of Ecology* 88 (3): 528–34. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2000.00473.x>.
- Doescher, Paul S., Richard F. Miller, and Alma H. Winward. 1984. "Soil Chemical Patterns under Eastern Oregon Plant Communities Dominated by Big Sagebrush." <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1984.03615995004800030038x>.
- Eckert, Richard E., Frederick F. Peterson, Michael S. Meurisse, and L. Stephens. 1986. "Effects of Soil-Surface Morphology on Emergence and Survival of Seedlings in Big Sagebrush Communities." *Journal of Range Management* 39 (5): 414–20. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3899441>.
- Eidenshink, Jeff, Brian Schwind, Ken Brewer, Zhi-liang Zhu, Brad Quayle, and Stephen Howard. 2007. "A Project for Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity." *Fire Ecology* 3 (1):

3–21. <https://doi.org/10.4996/fireecology.0301003>.

Fusco, Emily J., John T. Finn, Jennifer K. Balch, R. Chelsea Nagy, and Bethany A. Bradley. 2019. “Invasive Grasses Increase Fire Occurrence and Frequency Across US Ecoregions.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116 (47): 23594–99. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1908253116>.

Gagnon, Paul R., Heather A. Passmore, Matthew Slocum, Jonathan A. Myers, Kyle E. Harms, William J. Platt, and C. E. Timothy Paine. 2015. “Fuels and fires influence vegetation via above- and belowground pathways in a high-diversity plant community.” *Journal of Ecology* 103 (4): 1009–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12421>.

Gelman, Andrew, Donald B Rubin, and others. 1992. “Inference from Iterative Simulation Using Multiple Sequences.” *Statistical Science* 7 (4): 457–72.

Germino, Matthew J., David M. Barnard, Bill E. Davidson, Robert S. Arkle, David S. Pilliod, Matthew R. Fisk, and Cara Applestein. 2018. “Thresholds and hotspots for shrub restoration following a heterogeneous megafire.” *Landscape Ecology* 33 (7): 1177–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-018-0662-8>.

Germino, Matthew J., Jeanne C. Chambers, and Cynthia S. Brown. 2016. *Exotic Brome-Grasses in Arid and Semiarid Ecosystems of the Western US Causes, Consequences, and Management Implications*. <http://www.springer.com/series/412>.

Gibbens, Robert P., and James M. Lenz. 2001. “Root systems of some Chihuahuan Desert plants.” *Journal of Arid Environments* 49: 221–63.

Hassan, M. A., and N. E. West. 1986. “Dynamics of Soil Seed Pools in Burned and Unburned Sagebrush Semi-Deserts.” *Ecology* 67 (1): 269–72.

Heerdt, G. N. J. Ter, G. L. Verweij, R. M. Bekker, and J. P. Bakker. 1996. “An Improved Method for Seed-Bank Analysis: Seedling Emergence After Removing the Soil by Sieving.” *Functional Ecology* 10 (1): 144. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2390273>.

- Heydari, Mehdi, Reza Omidipour, Mehdi Abedi, and Carol Baskin. 2017. "Effects of fire disturbance on alpha and beta diversity and on beta diversity components of soil seed banks and aboveground vegetation." *Plant Ecology and Evolution* 150 (3): 247–56. <https://doi.org/10.5091/plecevo.2017.1344>.
- Hirota, Marina, Milena Holmgren, Egbert H. Van Nes, and Marten Scheffer. 2011. "Global resilience of tropical forest and savanna to critical transitions." *Science* 334 (6053): 232–35. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1210657>.
- Humphrey, L David, and Eugene W Schupp. 2001. "Seed banks of *Bromus tectorum*-dominated communities in the Great Basin." *Western North American Naturalist* 61 (1): 85–92. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41717080>.
- Jones, Rachel O., Jeanne C. Chambers, David I. Board, Dale W. Johnson, and Robert R. Blank. 2015. "The role of resource limitation in restoration of sagebrush ecosystems dominated by cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*)." *Ecosphere* 6 (7): 1–21.
- Keeley, Jon E. 2009. "Fire intensity, fire severity and burn severity: A brief review and suggested usage." *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 18 (1): 116–26. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WF07049>.
- Keeley, Jon E., Juli G. Pausas, Philip W. Rundel, William J. Bond, and Ross A. Bradstock. 2011. "Fire as an evolutionary pressure shaping plant traits." *Trends in Plant Science* 16 (8): 406–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.04.002>.
- Kerns, Becky K., Claire Tortorelli, Michelle A. Day, Ty Nietupski, Ana M. G. Barros, John B. Kim, and Meg A. Krawchuk. 2020. "Invasive grasses: A new perfect storm for forested ecosystems?" *Forest Ecology and Management* 463 (November 2019): 117985. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.117985>.
- Key, Carl H, and Nathan C Benson. 1999. "The Composite Burn Index (CBI): Field Rating of Burn Severity." *USGS, NRMSC Research,[online] Available: Http://Nrmsc. Usgs.*

Gov/Research/Cbi. Htm [3/14/2006].

Kimura, Hideo, and Shiro Tsuyuzaki. 2011. “Fire severity affects vegetation and seed bank in a wetland.” *Applied Vegetation Science* 14 (3): 350–57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-109X.2011.01126.x>.

Kitzberger, Thomas, G. L. W. Perry, J. Paritsis, J. H. Gowda, A. J. Tepley, A. Holz, and T. T. Veblen. 2016. “Fire–vegetation feedbacks and alternative states: common mechanisms of temperate forest vulnerability to fire in southern South America and New Zealand.” *New Zealand Journal of Botany* 54 (2): 247–72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0028825X.2016.1151903>.

Knapp, Paul A. 1996. “Cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum* L) dominance in the Great Basin Desert.” *Global Environmental Change* 6 (1): 37–52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-3780\(95\)00112-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-3780(95)00112-3).

Kolden, Crystal A, Alistair M S Smith, and John T. Abatzoglou. 2015. “Limitations and utilisation of Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity products for assessing wildfire severity in the USA.” *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 24: 1023–28.

Koontz, Michael J, Malcolm P North, Chhaya M Werner, Stephen E Fick, and Andrew M Latimer. 2020. “Local Forest Structure Variability Increases Resilience to Wildfire in Dry Western US Coniferous Forests.” *Ecology Letters* 23 (3): 483–94.

Lipoma, M. Lucrecia, Guillermo Funes, and Sandra Díaz. 2018. “Fire effects on the soil seed bank and post-fire resilience of a semi-arid shrubland in central Argentina.” *Austral Ecology* 43 (1): 46–55. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12533>.

Liyanage, Ganesha S., and Mark K. J. Ooi. 2017. “Do dormancy-breaking temperature thresholds change as seeds age in the soil seed bank?” *Seed Science Research* 27 (1): 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960258516000271>.

- Mahood, Adam L., and Jennifer K. Balch. 2019. "Repeated fires reduce plant diversity in low-elevation Wyoming big sagebrush ecosystems (1984 – 2014)." *Ecosphere* 10 (2): e02591. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2591>.
- Maia, P., J. G. Pausas, V. Arcenegui, C. Guerrero, A. Pérez-Bejarano, J. Mataix-Solera, M. E. T. Varela, I. Fernandes, E. T. Pedrosa, and J. J. Keizer. 2012. "Wildfire effects on the soil seed bank of a maritime pine stand - The importance of fire severity." *Geoderma* 191: 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.02.001>.
- Melillo, Jerry M., Terese (T.C.) Richmond, and Gary W. Yohe. 2014. *Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment*. U.S. Global Change Research Program. <https://doi.org/10.7930/j0z31WJ2>.
- Meyer, Susan E. 1994. "Germination and establishment ecology of big sagebrush: implications for community restoration." In *Symposium on Management, Ecology, and Restoration of Intermountain Annual Rangelands, Boise, ID, May 18-21, 1992*, 244–51.
- Meyer, Susan E, Stephen B Monsen, and E Durant Mcarthur. 2013. "Germination Response of *Artemisia tridentata* (Asteraceae) to Light and Chill: Patterns of Between-Population Variation." *Botanical Gazette* 151 (2): 176–83.
- Miller, Georgia, Margaret Friedel, Paul Adam, and Vanessa Chewings. 2010. "Ecological impacts of buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris* L.) invasion in central Australia does field evidence support a fire-invasion feedback?" *The Rangeland Journal* 32 (4): 353–65. <https://doi.org/10.1071/RJ09076>.
- Miller, Jay D., Eric E. Knapp, Carl H. Key, Carl N. Skinner, Clint J. Isbell, R. Max Creasy, and Joseph W. Sherlock. 2009. "Calibration and validation of the relative differenced Normalized Burn Ratio (RdNBR) to three measures of fire severity in the Sierra Nevada and Klamath Mountains, California, USA." *Remote Sensing of Environment* 113 (3): 645–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2008.11.009>.

- Milton, Sue J. 2004. "Grasses as invasive alien plants in South Africa." *South African Journal of Science* 100 (1-2): 69–75.
- Monty, Arnaud, Cynthia S. Brown, and Danielle B. Johnston. 2013. "Fire promotes downy brome (*Bromus tectorum* L.) seed dispersal." *Biological Invasions* 15 (5): 1113–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-012-0355-1>.
- Morgan, P., and L. F. Neuenschwander. 1988. "Seed-Bank Contributions to Regeneration of Shrub Species After Clear-Cutting and Burning." *Canadian Journal of Botany* 66 (1): 169–72. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b88-026>.
- Nagel, Jennifer M., Travis E. Huxman, Kevin L. Griffin, and Stanley D. Smith. 2004. "CO₂ enrichment reduces the energetic cost of biomass construction in an invasive desert grass." *Ecology* 85 (1): 100–106. <https://doi.org/10.1890/02-3005>.
- Ottaviani, Gianluigi, Rafael Molina-Venegas, Tristan Charles-Dominique, Stefano Chelli, Giandiego Campetella, Roberto Canullo, and Jitka Klimešová. 2020. "The Neglected Belowground Dimension of Plant Dominance." *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 35 (9): 763–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.06.006>.
- Ottmar, Roger D, David V Sandberg, Cynthia L Riccardi, and Susan J Prichard. 2007. "An Overview of the Fuel Characteristic Classification System—Quantifying, Classifying, and Creating Fuelbeds for Resource Planning." *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 37 (12): 2383–93.
- Owens, M. K., and B. E. Norton. 1992. "Interactions of Grazing and Plant Protection on Basin Big Sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata* ssp . *tridentata*) Seedling Survival." *Journal of Range Management* 45 (3): 257–62. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4002974>.
- Palmer, Harrison D., Andrew J. Denham, and Mark K. J. Ooi. 2018. "Fire severity drives variation in post-fire recruitment and residual seed bank size of *Acacia* species." *Plant Ecology* 219 (5): 527–37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-018-0815-5>.

- Parks, Sean A., Lisa M. Holsinger, Morgan A. Voss, Rachel A. Loehman, and Nathaniel P. Robinson. 2018. “Mean composite fire severity metrics computed with google earth engine offer improved accuracy and expanded mapping potential.” *Remote Sensing* 10 (6): 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10060879>.
- Perryman, Barry L, Aaron M Maier, Ann L Hild, and Richard A Olson. 2001. “Demographic characteristics of 3 *Artemisia tridentata* Nutt. subspecies.” *Journal of Range Management* 54 (2): 166–70.
- Petraitis, Peter S., and Roger Earl Latham. 1999. “The importance of scale in testing the origins of alternative community states.” *Ecology* 80 (2): 429–42. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(1999\)080%5B0429:TIOSIT%5D2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080%5B0429:TIOSIT%5D2.0.CO;2).
- Plue, J., F. Colas, A. G. Auffret, and S. A. O. Cousins. 2017. “Methodological bias in the seed bank flora holds significant implications for understanding seed bank community functions.” *Plant Biology* 19 (2): 201–10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12516>.
- R Core Team. 2020. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Ratajczak, Zak, Stephen R. Carpenter, Anthony R. Ives, Christopher J. Kucharik, Tanjona Ramiadantsoa, M. Allison Stegner, John W. Williams, Jien Zhang, and Monica G. Turner. 2018. “Abrupt Change in Ecological Systems: Inference and Diagnosis.” *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 33 (7): 513–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.04.013>.
- Rossi, Rafael Drumond, Carlos Romero Martins, Pedro Lage Viana, Evandro Luís Rodrigues, and José Eugênio Côrtes Figueira. 2014. “Impact of invasion by molasses grass (*Melinis minutiflora* P. Beauv.) on native species and on fires in areas of campo-cerrado in Brazil.” *Acta Botanica Brasilica* 28 (4): 631–37. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-33062014abb3390>.
- Schimmel, Johnny, and Anders Granström. 1996. “Fire Severity and Vegetation Response in the Boreal Swedish Forest.” *Ecology* 77 (5): 1436–50.

- Schlaepfer, Daniel R., William K. Lauenroth, and John B. Bradford. 2014. "Natural Regeneration Processes in Big Sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*).” *Rangeland Ecology & Management* 67 (4): 344–57. <https://doi.org/10.2111/REM-D-13-00079.1>.
- Setterfield, Samantha A., Natalie A. Rossiter-Rachor, Lindsay B. Hutley, Michael M. Douglas, and Richard J. Williams. 2010. "Turning up the heat: The impacts of *Andropogon gayanus* (gamba grass) invasion on fire behaviour in northern Australian savannas.” *Diversity and Distributions* 16 (5): 854–61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00688.x>.
- Shannon, CE, and W Weaver. 1949. "The Mathematical Theory of Communication. University of Illinois Press, Urbana-Champaign, Illinois, USA, 117 p.”
- Shinneman, Douglas J., and Susan K. McIlroy. 2016. "Identifying key climate and environmental factors affecting rates of post-fire big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*) recovery in the northern Columbia Basin, USA.” *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 25: 933–45. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WF16013>.
- Shriver, Robert K., Caitlin M. Andrews, David S. Pilliod, Robert S. Arkle, Justin L. Welty, Matthew J. Germino, Michael C. Duniway, David A. Pyke, and John B. Bradford. 2018. "Adapting Management to a Changing World: Warm Temperatures, Dry Soil, and Interannual Variability Limit Restoration Success of a Dominant Woody Shrub in Temperate Drylands.” *Global Change Biology* 24 (10): 4972–82. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14374>.
- Smith, Stanley D., Travis E. Huxman, Stephen F. Zitzer, Therese N. Charlet, David C. Housman, James S. Coleman, Lynn K. Fenstermaker, Jeffrey R. Seemann, and Robert S. Nowak. 2000. "Elevated CO₂ increases productivity and invasive species success in an arid ecosystem.” *Nature* 408 (6808): 79–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35040544>.
- Staal, Arie, Ingo Fetzer, Lan Wang-Erlandsson, Joyce H. C. Bosmans, Stefan C. Dekker, Egbert H. van Nes, Johan Rockström, and Obbe A. Tuinenburg. 2020. "Hysteresis

of tropical forests in the 21st century.” *Nature Communications* 11 (1): 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18728-7>.

Staver, A. Carla, Sally Archibald, and Simon A. Levin. 2011. “The global extent and determinants of savanna and forest as alternative biome states.” *Science* 334 (6053): 230–32. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1210465>.

Stavros, E. Natasha, Janice Coen, Birgit Peterson, Harshvardhan Singh, Kama Kennedy, Carlos Ramirez, and David Schimel. 2018. “Use of Imaging Spectroscopy and LIDAR to Characterize Fuels for Fire Behavior Prediction.” *Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment* 11: 41–50. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2018.04.010>.

Steenvoorden, Jasper, Arjan J. H. Meddens, Anthony J. Martinez, Lee J. Foster, and W. Daniel Kissling. 2019. “The potential importance of unburned islands as refugia for the persistence of wildlife species in fire-prone ecosystems.” *Ecology and Evolution* 9 (15): 8800–8812. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5432>.

Suding, Katharine N., Katherine L. Gross, and Gregory R. Houseman. 2004. “Alternative states and positive feedbacks in restoration ecology.” *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 19 (1): 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2003.10.005>.

Tikhonov, Gleb, Otso Ovaskainen, Jari Oksanen, Melinda de Jonge, Oystein Opedal, and Tad Dallas. 2020. *Hmsc: Hierarchical Model of Species Communities*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Hmsc>.

Tortorelli, Claire M., Meg A. Krawchuk, and Becky K. Kerns. 2020. “Expanding the invasion footprint: *Ventenata dubia* and relationships to wildfire, environment, and plant communities in the Blue Mountains of the Inland Northwest, USA.” *Applied Vegetation Science*, no. May: 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12511>.

Turnbull, Laura, Bradford P. Wilcox, J. Benlap, S. Ravi, P. D’Odorico, D. Childers, W. Gwenzi, et al. 2012. “Understanding the role of ecohydrological feedbacks in ecosystem

state change in drylands.” *Ecohydrology* 5: 174–83. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eco>.

U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2018. “BLM AIM TerrADat TerrestrialAIM point.” BLM National Operations Center: BLM. https://gis.blm.gov/AIMdownload/layerpackages/BLM_AIM_Terrestrial.lpk.

Vandvik, Vigdis, Kari Klanderud, Eric Meineri, Inger E. Måren, and Joachim Töpper. 2016. “Seed banks are biodiversity reservoirs: Species-area relationships above versus below ground.” *Oikos* 125 (2): 218–28. <https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.02022>.

Wilcox, Bradford P., Laura Turnbull, Michael H. Young, C. Jason Williams, Sujith Ravi, Mark S. Seyfried, David R. Bowling, et al. 2012. “Invasion of shrublands by exotic grasses: ecohydrological consequences in cold versus warm deserts Bradford.” *Ecohydrology* 5: 160–73. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.247>.

Williamson, Matthew A., Erica Fleishman, Ralph C. Mac Nally, Jeanne C. Chambers, Bethany A. Bradley, David S. Dobkin, David I. Board, et al. 2019. “Fire, livestock grazing, topography, and precipitation affect occurrence and prevalence of cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) in the central Great Basin, USA.” *Biological Invasions* 22 (2): 663–80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-019-02120-8>.

Wright, Boyd R., Peter K. Latz, and A. F. Zuur. 2016. “Fire severity mediates seedling recruitment patterns in slender mulga (*Acacia aptaneura*), a fire-sensitive Australian desert shrub with heat-stimulated germination.” *Plant Ecology* 217 (6): 789–800. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-015-0550-0>.

Young, James A ., and Raymond A . Evans. 1975. “Germinability of Seed Reserves in a Big Sagebrush Community.” *Weed Science* 23 (5): 358–64. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4042337>.

Zuur, A. F., E. N. Leno, N. J. Walker, A. A. Saveliev, and G. M. Smith. 2009. *Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387->

Figure Captions

Figure 1. On the left side of (a), burn severity (dNBR) as predicted by total vegetation cover (TVC; the sum of live and dead, shrub and herbaceous cover). On the right, burn severity is predicted by modelled TVC. Panel b shows the modelled occurrence of germinable seeds for all species found at more than one location along a gradient of burn severity, after accounting for soil depth, aspect, elevation and pre-fire diversity. Black line is the mean prediction, each colored line represents one posterior sample. In (c), fuel connectivity three years post-fire is modelled by seedbank composition, elevation and pre-fire aboveground species richness. In (d) shannon-Weaver diversity index of the aboveground, post-fire community composition, was negatively affected by fuel connectivity after accounting for elevation. For a, c and d, lines are the fitted partial effects, points are the partial residuals, and dotted lines are the 95% confidence intervals. $p < 0.05$ for black lines, $p > 0.05$ for grey lines.

Figure 2. Visual illustration of the relationship between fuel connectivity and burn severity. On the left, panel a shows the intershrub space invaded by annual grasses. The photo in panel b was taken in the exact same place two weeks later, days after all of the biomass was consumed by the fire. Panel C is a closeup of the soil surface, showing in more detail how the litter was also almost completely consumed by the fire. On the right, the photos in panels d and e were on opposite sides of a fire line in an area that had minimal annual grass invasion over a broad area, and thus lower fuel connectivity. Note the remaining plants and stumps in panel e and the presence of only partially consumed litter in panel f.