Seasonal timing in fruit flies: figures, surival analysis, SVM

Andrew D. Nguyen

2023-08-25

Contents

Load libraries	1
The dataset! Let's explore different plots	2
Barplots	3
Empirical Cumulative Distribution Function plots	4
Quasirandom plots	4
Scatter plots to display regressions	5
Survival analysis	6
Cluster Analysis on adult emergence timing (eclosion)	7
Survival analysis under new eclosion clusters	10
Machine Learning -> predicting host fruit from organismal features	11
Session info	13

I'll be demo'ing the tidyverse, surival analysis, and SVM on a project I worked on in my postdoc at the Unversity of Florida. The project details are publicly available through this github repository.

Load libraries

The dataset! Let's explore different plots

There's a nice dataset I generated from my postdoctoral research in Dr. Dan Hahn's lab. I collected eclosion times (when an insect transitions from pupae to adult) for fruit flies (Rhagoletis) from either the apple or hawthorne fruit. The project and details are found here and has metadata.

Apologies, but latex cuts off the dataset URL, so here it is.

```
fruit.fly<-read.csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/adnguyen/Circadian_rhythm_runs_seasonal_timing/m
#qlimpse(fruit.fly)
#take out the data of interest
fruit.flv1<-fruit.flv%>%
     select(Site_name,mass_day10,Host,cohort_day,resp_day15,new.eclosions,organism,treatment)%>%
     dplyr::filter(organism=="fly" &treatment !="GC" & treatment!="")
# GC = genetic controls, those were saved for genomic analyses
#and we're just focusing on eclosion of flies (not the parasites)
glimpse(fruit.fly1)
## Rows: 564
## Columns: 8
                                                   <chr> "OG", "Ferris", "OG", "OG", "OG", "Ferris", "Ferris", "O~
## $ Site_name
## $ mass_day10
                                                   <dbl> 6.938, 6.719, 3.848, 6.413, 9.365, 7.978, 9.778, 6.499, ~
                                                   <chr> "Apple", "Apple", "Apple", "Apple", "Apple", "Apple", "A-
## $ Host
                                                   ## $ cohort day
                                                   <dbl> 0.1432514, 0.1076286, 0.1182286, 0.1601623, 1.4328830, 0~
## $ resp_day15
## $ new.eclosions <int> 74, 65, 56, NA, 32, 63, 82, 39, 68, 32, 56, 56, 63, 46, ~
                                                   <chr> "fly", "fl
## $ organism
                                                   <chr> "SO", "RT", "RT", "SO", "RT", "SO", "SO", "RT", "SO", "R-"
## $ treatment
```

I need to find out proportions of eclosion and need to transform eclosion data such that NA = 0 and a number in days = 1. And I want to display the proportions by treatment (RT = Room Temperature vs SO = Simulated Overwintering) and host fruit (apple vs haw).

```
fruit.fly1$eclfac<-as.numeric(ifelse(fruit.fly1$new.eclosions>1,"1","0"))
fruit.fly1$eclfac[is.na(fruit.fly1$eclfac)]<-0

ecl.num<-fruit.fly1%>%
   group_by(treatment,Host,eclfac)%>%
   count()
knitr::kable(ecl.num)
```

treatment	Host	eclfac	n
RT	Apple	0	2
RT	Apple	1	198
RT	Haw	0	2
RT	Haw	1	34
SO	Apple	0	3
SO	Apple	1	242
SO	Haw	0	2
SO	Haw	1	81

You can see most flies have eclosed.


We can show the data in a number of different ways:

- barplots
- cumulative distribution plots

Barplots

Let's first start off with a barplot:

```
#let's create a barplot
# treatments, RT = room temperature, SO = simulated overwintering
ggplot(ecl.num,aes(y=n,x=factor(eclfac),fill=factor(eclfac)))+
    geom_bar(stat="identity")+
    facet_wrap(treatment~Host)+ #this function creates multi-panels
#and is super handy at conveying complex datasets with multiple treatments /categorical variables
    ylab("Number of Adults Emerged")+xlab("Eclosed (1) or not (0)")+
    T+ # my own plotting settings, see library
    theme(legend.position="none")+ # removing legend
    scale_y_continuous(expand=c(0,0),limits=c(0,300),breaks=seq(0,300,100),labels=seq(0,300,100))+
# makes sure the y-axis starts at 0, this is something
#I always use when making barplots with ggplot2
    geom_text(aes(label=n),size=5,vjust=-.5) # it is nice to annotate
```



#the bars to help the eyes interpret them

Empirical Cumulative Distribution Function plots


Let's remove the ones that have not eclosed (data could be right censored too) and observe their differences in timing and we can visualize the data as a cumulative distribution plot. ==Remember to save the figure with ggsave() and you can specify the dpi and dimensions of the plot.==

I think this is a nice plot to show for these data. You can see that fruit flies on apple host eclose (adult emergence from pupae) sooner than fruit flies on hawthorn fruit. (See survival analysis below!)

```
fff1<-fruit.fly1%>%
  dplyr::filter(eclfac==1)


ggplot(ff1,aes(x=new.eclosions,colour=Host))+
  stat_ecdf(linewidth=1)+
  facet_wrap(~treatment)+T+
  scale_x_continuous(limits=c(0,300),
  labels=seq(0,300,100),breaks=seq(0,300,100))+
  xlab("Days Until Adult Emergence (Days)")+ylab("ECDF")
```

Warning: Removed 1 rows containing non-finite values ('stat_ecdf()').



Quasirandom plots

These types of plots are nice to show the distribution of the data. In this case, we have continuous data on the y axis and categorical on the x.



#add average horizontal line, which adds a nice touch

It looks like there are two populations within the RT treatment. When conditions are optimal for growth (they're at room temperature and not diapausing), then there looks to be a subpopulation that emerges very quickly.


Scatter plots to display regressions

For illustrative purposes, I'm plotting mass with eclosion days just to show how to implement scatter plots.

```
ggplot(ff1,aes(x=mass_day10,y=new.eclosions,colour=Host))+
  geom_point()+stat_smooth(method="lm")+
#fits regression lines with standard errors
#and takes into account the Host when colour is specified
```

```
facet_wrap(~treatment,scale="free")+
ylab("Days Until Adult Emergence (days)")+
xlab("Mass (mgs)")+T
```

'geom_smooth()' using formula = 'y ~ x'



Survival analysis

The data are best suited for a surival analysis because we have time of events. I'll fit a cox hazard proportional regression model to identify interactions between treatment and host fruit on eclosion timing.

```
##
##
    n= 555, number of events= 555
##
##
                          coef exp(coef) se(coef)
                                                        z Pr(>|z|)
## HostHaw
                       -0.4273
                                   0.6523
                                            0.1864 -2.292
                                                            0.0219 *
                       -0.7010
                                   0.4961
                                            0.1002 -6.997 2.62e-12 ***
## treatmentSO
## HostHaw:treatmentSO -0.2808
                                   0.7552
                                            0.2278 - 1.233
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
                       exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
                          0.6523
                                       1.533
                                                0.4527
                                                          0.9400
## HostHaw
                          0.4961
## treatmentSO
                                       2.016
                                                0.4077
                                                          0.6037
                          0.7552
                                       1.324
## HostHaw:treatmentSO
                                                0.4833
                                                          1.1801
##
## Concordance= 0.711 (se = 0.011)
## Likelihood ratio test= 122 on 3 df,
                                           p=<2e-16
                                             p=<2e-16
## Wald test
                        = 117.4 on 3 df,
## Score (logrank) test = 128 on 3 df,
                                           p = < 2e - 16
```


There are two main effects: host fruit and treatment. Compared to Apple, the Hawthorne fruit has a 34.77% lower eclosion (hazard) rate, which supports the ECDF figure where fruit flies on apple fruit had earlier eclosion timing. The simulated overwintering effect had a 53.39% lower eclosion (hazard) rate than the room temperature treatment. This makes sense because overwintering costs energy and energy levels become depleted afterwards, so development to eclosion is slower.

Cox hazard regression models assumes a proportional hazard ratio. But the ECDF plots show that for the RT treatment, this assumption is violated. From the quasi-random plots, you can see that there are 3 populations of early, medium, and longer eclosers. Let's see if we can create clusters from eclosion timing.

Cluster Analysis on adult emergence timing (eclosion)

I'll be using mclust, which uses a guassian finite mixture model fitted by EM algorithm.


```
mcbic<-mclustBIC(ff1$new.eclosions)#
plot(mcbic) #finding the optimal number of clusters</pre>
```




```
#based on BIC criterion
clustmod1 <- Mclust(ff1$new.eclosions, x = mcbic)</pre>
summary(clustmod1, parameters = TRUE)
## Gaussian finite mixture model fitted by EM algorithm
## Mclust V (univariate, unequal variance) model with 3 components:
##
   log-likelihood n df
                                BIC
##
         -2306.346 555 8 -4663.243 -4669.272
##
## Clustering table:
       2
             3
##
    1
##
    88 459
             8
##
## Mixing probabilities:
## 0.15394794 0.83139701 0.01465504
##
## Means:
##
           1
## 31.84773 69.79520 242.28321
## Variances:
```

```
1.282201 186.645694 2722.222714
##
#plot(clustmod1, what="classification")
ff1$ecl.class<-factor(predict(clustmod1)$classification)</pre>
#rename clusters
ff1$ecl.class<-ifelse(ff1$ecl.class=="1","Non-Diapause",ifelse(ff1$ecl.class=="2","Shallow Diapause","L
#need to reorder factors
ff1\$ecl.class<-factor(ff1\$ecl.class,levels=c("Non-Diapause","Shallow Diapause","Late Diapause"))
#plot out new classifications
#need to add sample sizes to facets
ff1<-ff1%>%
  dplyr::group_by(treatment,ecl.class)%>%
  mutate(nfac=paste("N = ",length(ecl.class),sep=""))
ecl.trt<-ggplot(ff1,aes(x=Host,y=new.eclosions,fill=ecl.class,group=ecl.class))+
  geom_quasirandom(size=2,alpha=.5,
                   shape=21,colour="black")+
  facet_wrap(~treatment)+
  ylab("Days Until Adult Emergence (Days)")+
  stat_summary(fun = mean, geom = "errorbar",
               aes(ymax =after_stat(y) , ymin = after_stat(y)), width = .75, lwd=1, colour="black")+
  T+guides(fill=guide_legend(title="Eclosion Class"))+theme(legend.position="top")
ecl.trt
```

##



```
#ggsave(ecl.trt,filename="2023.08.26_adultemergence_byeclosionclass.png",dpi=300,width=10,height=5)
e1<-ggplot(ff1,aes(x=new.eclosions,colour=Host))+
    stat_ecdf(linewidth=1)+
    facet_wrap(treatment~ecl.class~nfac,scale="free_x")+T+
        xlab("Days Until Adult Emergence (Days)")+ylab("ECDF")
#+scale_x_continuous(limits=c(0,300),
        #labels=seq(0,300,100),breaks=seq(0,300,100))
e1</pre>
```



```
\#ggsave(e1, filename="2023.08.26\_ECDF\_clusters.png", dpi=300, width=15, height=7.5)
```

There's no variation in group 1 (early eclosers) and group 3(late eclosers) have very few sample sizes. We can partition data to just focus on group 2 (medium eclosers).

Survival analysis under new eclosion clusters

```
ff1.2<-ff1%>%
  dplyr::filter(ecl.class=="Shallow Diapause")
coxmod2<-coxph(Surv(new.eclosions, eclfac) ~ Host*treatment,data=ff1.2)
summary(coxmod2)</pre>
```

Call:

```
## coxph(formula = Surv(new.eclosions, eclfac) ~ Host * treatment,
##
       data = ff1.2
##
##
    n= 459, number of events= 459
##
                          coef exp(coef) se(coef)
                                                        z Pr(>|z|)
##
## HostHaw
                       -0.5201
                                  0.5944
                                           0.2482 - 2.096
                                                            0.0361 *
## treatmentSO
                       -0.7371
                                  0.4785
                                           0.1133 -6.506 7.74e-11 ***
## HostHaw:treatmentSO -0.4933
                                  0.6106
                                           0.2866 -1.721
                                                            0.0852 .
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
                       exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
                                      1.682
                                               0.3655
## HostHaw
                          0.5944
                                                          0.9668
## treatmentSO
                          0.4785
                                      2.090
                                                0.3832
                                                          0.5975
## HostHaw:treatmentSO
                          0.6106
                                      1.638
                                                0.3482
                                                          1.0708
##
## Concordance= 0.688 (se = 0.013)
## Likelihood ratio test= 138 on 3 df,
                                          p=<2e-16
## Wald test
                        = 130.3 on 3 df,
## Score (logrank) test = 146.4 on 3 df,
                                            p=<2e-16
```

There is a staticial trend (not significant) for a host by treatment interaction such that there flies emerging from hawthorne fruit have a slower rate of eclosion compared to applies under SO than RT treatments. This is all focused on the group 2 eclosers (medium timing).

Machine Learning -> predicting host fruit from organismal features

Is it possible to predict host fruit based on the measurements we made? We'll create data partitions for training and then testing the model.

```
ff2<-ff1[,-11:-12]%>%
  dplyr::filter(treatment=="SO")%>%
  dplyr::select(hfac,mass_day10,resp_day15,new.eclosions)
```

Adding missing grouping variables: 'treatment'

```
#just picking out variables that we want
ff2<-ff2[,-1]

intrain <- createDataPartition(y = ff2$hfac, p= 0.7, list = FALSE)

training <- ff2[intrain,]
testing <- ff2[-intrain,]

trctrl <- trainControl(method = "repeatedcv", number = 10, repeats = 3)
#The "method" parameter defines the resampling method,
#in this demo we'll be using the repeatedcv or the repeated cross-validation method.

#The next parameter is the "number",
#this basically holds the number of resampling iterations.</pre>
```

```
#The "repeats " parameter contains the sets to
#compute for our repeated cross-validation.
#We are using setting number =10 and repeats =
svm_Linear <- train(hfac ~., data = training, method = "svmLinear",</pre>
trControl=trctrl, preProcess = c("center", "scale"), tuneLength = 10)
svm_Linear
## Support Vector Machines with Linear Kernel
##
## 227 samples
##
   3 predictor
    2 classes: '0', '1'
##
## Pre-processing: centered (3), scaled (3)
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold, repeated 3 times)
## Summary of sample sizes: 205, 204, 204, 204, 204, 205, ...
## Resampling results:
##
##
     Accuracy
                Kappa
##
    0.8341238 0.5312868
## Tuning parameter 'C' was held constant at a value of 1
##let's see how well it predicts
test_pred <- predict(svm_Linear, newdata = testing)</pre>
confusionMatrix(table(test_pred, testing$hfac))
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
## test_pred 0 1
##
           0 8 3
           1 16 69
##
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.8021
##
                    95% CI: (0.7083, 0.8764)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.75
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.143676
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.3559
##
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 0.005905
##
               Sensitivity: 0.33333
##
##
               Specificity: 0.95833
##
            Pos Pred Value : 0.72727
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.81176
                Prevalence: 0.25000
##
##
            Detection Rate: 0.08333
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.11458
```

```
## Balanced Accuracy : 0.64583
##
## 'Positive' Class : 0
##
```

Session info

sessionInfo()

```
## R version 4.3.1 (2023-06-16 ucrt)
## Platform: x86_64-w64-mingw32/x64 (64-bit)
## Running under: Windows 10 x64 (build 19045)
##
## Matrix products: default
##
## locale:
## [1] LC_COLLATE=English_United States.utf8
## [2] LC_CTYPE=English_United States.utf8
## [3] LC_MONETARY=English_United States.utf8
## [4] LC_NUMERIC=C
## [5] LC_TIME=English_United States.utf8
## time zone: America/New_York
## tzcode source: internal
## attached base packages:
## [1] stats
                 graphics grDevices utils
                                               datasets methods
                                                                    base
##
## other attached packages:
## [1] mclust_6.0.0
                         survival_3.5-7
                                          caret_6.0-94
                                                            lattice_0.21-8
  [5] ggbeeswarm_0.7.2 lubridate_1.9.2 forcats_1.0.0
                                                            stringr 1.5.0
## [9] dplyr_1.1.2
                                          readr_2.1.4
                                                            tidyr_1.3.0
                         purrr_1.0.2
## [13] tibble_3.2.1
                                          tidyverse_2.0.0
                         ggplot2_3.4.3
##
## loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
                             timeDate_4022.108
## [1] tidyselect_1.2.0
                                                  vipor_0.4.5
## [4] farver_2.1.1
                                                  pROC_1.18.4
                             fastmap_1.1.1
## [7] digest_0.6.33
                             rpart_4.1.19
                                                  timechange_0.2.0
## [10] lifecycle_1.0.3
                             magrittr_2.0.3
                                                  kernlab_0.9-32
## [13] compiler_4.3.1
                             rlang_1.1.1
                                                  tools_4.3.1
## [16] utf8_1.2.3
                             yaml_2.3.7
                                                  data.table_1.14.8
## [19] knitr_1.43
                             labeling_0.4.2
                                                  plyr_1.8.8
## [22] withr_2.5.0
                             nnet_7.3-19
                                                  grid_4.3.1
## [25] stats4_4.3.1
                             fansi_1.0.4
                                                  e1071_1.7-13
## [28] colorspace_2.1-0
                             future_1.33.0
                                                  globals_0.16.2
## [31] scales 1.2.1
                             iterators 1.0.14
                                                  MASS 7.3-60
## [34] cli_3.6.1
                             rmarkdown_2.24
                                                  generics_0.1.3
## [37] rstudioapi_0.15.0
                                                  reshape2_1.4.4
                             future.apply_1.11.0
## [40] tzdb_0.4.0
                             proxy_0.4-27
                                                  splines_4.3.1
## [43] parallel_4.3.1
                             vctrs_0.6.3
                                                  hardhat_1.3.0
```

##	[46]	Matrix_1.6-1	hms_1.1.3	beeswarm_0.4.0
##	[49]	listenv_0.9.0	foreach_1.5.2	gower_1.0.1
##	[52]	recipes_1.0.7	glue_1.6.2	parallelly_1.36.0
##	[55]	codetools_0.2-19	stringi_1.7.12	gtable_0.3.4
##	[58]	munsell_0.5.0	pillar_1.9.0	htmltools_0.5.6
##	[61]	ipred_0.9-14	lava_1.7.2.1	R6_2.5.1
##	[64]	evaluate_0.21	highr_0.10	class_7.3-22
##	[67]	Rcpp_1.0.11	nlme_3.1-163	prodlim_2023.03.31
##	[70]	mgcv_1.9-0	xfun_0.40	pkgconfig_2.0.3
##	[73]	ModelMetrics_1.2.2.2		