Exponential time integration (1) A. DONEY, COURANT When dealing with PDEs, we often arrive at ODE systems of the following form: u'(t) = Au(t) + B(u(t))Stiff But brear (hopefully) non-stiff
but non-stiff but non-linear part

 $B \equiv 0$  Hen u'(t) = An(t)constant A can be solved exactly  $\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} \frac$ We need a matrix-beetor product Between matrix exponential and a beetor of initial conditions. For <u>Sparse</u> A, this can be computed using iterative Krylov methods.

A is diagonal, e At = Diag { earit? trivial to evaluate. A is diagonalizable (normal)  $A = \times \wedge \times^{-1}$ CAt = X Diag Le Xiit } X-1  $e^{At} = \times e^{\Lambda t} \times^{-1}$ 

As an example, consider the pseudospectral discretization of the KdV equation we discussed earlier (HWZ)  $\frac{d\hat{\varphi}}{dt} = \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}$  $= A \dot{\varphi} + B(\hat{\varphi})$ Where A = Diag { ik } so the linear part can be integrated exactly trivially using on exponential method.

In other cases, we can linearize the ODE around the current solution to get: Timestep between t and ttat: u' = f(u(t)) (autonomous)  $u' = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}\right)'(u - u'') + f''$ + f (u(t)) - [(2f)" (u-n") + f")

(just add and subtract linear part)

This leads to 6 n B(n) u' = Au +where  $A^{M} = \frac{\Im f}{\Im u} (n = u^{M}) (Jacobian)$ This approach goes under the name of Posenbroch methods (can be used with RK, exponential, etc.) These are often simple or more accurate than methods that fix A to be constant, as we will see sean

 $\mathcal{U} = A n + B(n) = f(n)$   $\mathcal{D}_{uhamel's} \quad principle \quad n+1$   $\mathcal{U} = e^{A^{n} + h} \quad f \quad A^{n} (t^{n+1} - t^{n})$   $\mathcal{U} = e^{A^{n} + h} \quad f \quad B^{n} (u(t^{n})) dt$ lonear th Once we somehow approximate the Integral we get an exponential method We do this by using some quadrature rule for the integral similar to what we do for Runge - Kutta.

This way If A=0 we get an RK scheme we know and like

 $B(n(\overline{z})) \sim B^{n}(n^{n})$ Approximate  $\int_{h}^{exp} \left(A^{n} \left(t_{n+n} - \overline{z}\right)\right) d\overline{z} = A^{-1} \left(e^{A_{n}k} - \overline{z}\right)$   $= A^{n} \left(e^{A_{n}k} - \overline{z}\right)$ Elliptic solve it An is diffusion (harder than unplicit-explicit)  $u^{n+1} = e^{A_{\Delta t}^{n}} + A_{n}^{-1} \left(e^{A_{n}\Delta t} - I\right) B_{n} \left(u^{n}\right)$ 

Truncation error analysis  $S^{n} = \left(\frac{u(t_{n+n}) - u(t_n)}{\Delta t}\right) - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(e^{n}\right)^{-1} \left(e^{n}\right) u'(t_n)$  $=\frac{4t}{2}\left(f(u(t_n))-A_n\right)u'(t_n)$ It An is Jacobian
then this is zero!
(Dut must be invertible) So first-order accurate if An # f(un), second-order if An = f/(un)

 $u = u + (A^n)^{-1} \left[ e^{A^n + 1} + 1 \right] f^n$ is the exponential truler method Observations 1) If B=0 this is an exact ntegrator: At can be as large as you want without stability issues (2) First order m general but Second order as Posenbrock 3) Accurate it B(n) leavies slowly

Fixed point until on is same as out:

One can derive lingher order multister or RK schemes. For example, it we extrapolate past linearly B(n) from the  $(B-B^{n-1})$ B(n(1)) ~ B+  $\frac{7}{\Delta t}$ mtegral and we perform the ETD2: we get a second-order  $\mathcal{U} = e^{A^{n}\Delta t} \mathcal{U} + A_{n} \left( e^{A_{n}\Delta t} \right) \mathcal{B}^{n}$  $+\frac{A_n^{-2}}{A_n}\left(e^{A_n\Delta t}-T-A_n\Delta t\right)\left(B-B\right)$ 

One can also construct RK schenes, for example, an explicit midpont (12) based scheme (ETDRK2):  $u^{n+1/2,*} = e^{A_n \Delta t/2} u + A_n \left(e^{\frac{A_n \Delta t}{2}} - I\right) B^n$ (step to midpont)  $u^{n+1} = e^{A_n A + n} + A_n (e^{A_n A + I}) B^n$ +2An emat\_I-Anat (B) + B)

ETDRK is usually better than multiskep

Cox and Matthews also derive a set of ETD methods based on Runge–Kutta time-stepping, which they call ETDRK schemes. In this report we consider only the fourth-order scheme of this type, known as ETDRK4. According to Cox and Matthews, the derivation of this scheme is not at all obvious and requires a symbolic manipulation system. The Cox and Matthews ETDRK4 formulae are:

$$a_{n} = e^{\mathbf{L}h/2}u_{n} + \mathbf{L}^{-1}(e^{\mathbf{L}h/2} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{N}(u_{n}, t_{n}),$$

$$b_{n} = e^{\mathbf{L}h/2}u_{n} + \mathbf{L}^{-1}(e^{\mathbf{L}h/2} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{N}(a_{n}, t_{n} + h/2),$$

$$c_{n} = e^{\mathbf{L}h/2}a_{n} + \mathbf{L}^{-1}(e^{\mathbf{L}h/2} - \mathbf{I})(2\mathbf{N}(b_{n}, t_{n} + h/2) - \mathbf{N}(u_{n}, t_{n})),$$

$$u_{n+1} = e^{\mathbf{L}h}u_{n} + h^{-2}\mathbf{L}^{-3}\{[-4 - \mathbf{L}h + e^{\mathbf{L}h}(4 - 3\mathbf{L}h + (\mathbf{L}h)^{2})]\mathbf{N}(u_{n}, t_{n}) + 2[2 + \mathbf{L}h + e^{\mathbf{L}h}(-2 + \mathbf{L}h)](\mathbf{N}(a_{n}, t_{n} + h/2) + \mathbf{N}(b_{n}, t_{n} + h/2)) + [-4 - 3\mathbf{L}h - (\mathbf{L}h)^{2} + e^{\mathbf{L}h}(4 - \mathbf{L}h)]\mathbf{N}(c_{n}, t_{n} + h)\}.$$

FROM PARTER BY KASSAM & TREFETHEN
(linked on course wellpage)

PROBLEM: Roundaff M

2 1

(use lar
complex
contour
mtegration)