Chapter 1

Introduction



ETICULAR CHEMISTRY, a field that bridges inorganic and organic chemistry (Yaghi 2020), has emerged from a simple albeit powerful idea: *combining molecular building blocks to form extended crystalline structures* (Yaghi 2019). It all started in 1990s, with the advent of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), the first "offspring" of reticular chemistry. MOFs, a class of nanoporous materials *composed of metal ions or clusters*

coordinated to organic ligands aka organic linkers, possess extraordinary properties, such as ultrahigh porosity and huge surface areas (Farha et al. 2012). To get a sense of how extraordinary these materials are, it is suffice to say that one gram of such a material can have a surface area as large as a soccer field. The fact that reticular materials are "brought to life" by combining simple building blocks, allows chemists and material scientists to design materials in a judicious manner. The epitome of design in reticular chemistry is found in the synthesis of a zirconium-based MOF (Alezi et al. 2016), incorporating the polybenzene network or "cubic graphite" structure, predicted about 70 years ago.

1.1 Applications of Reticular Chemistry

Owing to their aforedescribed properties along with their extremely tunable and modular nature, MOFs have been considered prominent solutions for gas-adsorption related problems (Li et al. 2007; Jiang et al. 2022). MOFs find application in fields such as gas storage and separation, catalysis and drug delivery, just to name a few.

Carbon capture is a prime example (An et al. 2009; Sumida et al. 2011; Qazvini et al. 2021), where MOF-based sorbents have been deemed as green, low-cost and energy-efficient solutions. These materials provide versatile solutions to carbon capture, spanning various phases of the capture process, with direct air capture (DAC) being a noteworthy example. DAC includes chemical or physical methods for extracting carbon dioxide directly from the ambient air, with MOF-powered DAC showing great potential as a green and sustainable strategy for reducing carbon dioxide levels, contributing to the combating of climate change (Bose et al. 2023).

Hydrogen storage is one of the greatest challenges of hydrogen economy, currently inhibiting the transition from fossil fuels to hydrogen. Fortunately, characteristics of MOF adsorbents such as fast adsorption/desorption kinetics, low operating pressures and high hydrogen capacities, render them as promising answers to the aforementioned challenge (Suh et al. 2011; Suresh et al. 2021).

Methane is an attractive fuel for vehicular applications, being a relatively clean-burning fuel compared to gasoline. *Methane storage* in sorbents known as adsorbed natural gas (ANG) exhibit advantages over compressed natural gas (CNG) and liquefied natural gas (LNG), both in terms of energy-efficiency and vehicular safety. MOFs (Ma et al. 2007; Spanopoulos et al. 2016; Tsangarakis et al.

I

2023) and their "reticular siblings" covalent organic frameworks (COFs)—composed only of light elements—show great promise as ANG solutions (Furukawa et al. 2009; Mendoza-Cortes et al. 2011; Martin et al. 2014; Tong et al. 2018).

1.2 The Problem

The intrinsic combinatorial character of reticular chemistry, translates to practically an infinite number of realizable structures. Currently, the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) contains more than 100 000 experimentally synthesized MOFs (P. Z. Moghadam et al. 2017) while the arrival of in silico designed MOFs (Wilmer et al. 2011; Colón et al. 2017; Boyd et al. 2019; Chung et al. 2019; Lee et al. 2021; Rosen et al. 2021; De Vos et al. 2023) has immensely expanded the available material pool. The huge size of current and future MOF databases (Lee et al. 2021) is both a blessing and a curse for the identification of novel materials. Blessing, since a large number of candidate structures doesn't limit our choices and as such, the chances to find the right material for a given problem. Curse, since the enormous size of MOFs space makes it harder for researchers to efficiently explore it, complicating the tracing of materials with the desired properties. It is therefore crucial to find a way that allows us to efficiently explore such a huge material space. Another way to rephrase our problem is the following: Given a large catalog of MOFs, is there a way to efficiently filter out the most promising ones for the application of interest?

As a first approach to deal with this challenge, one could, in principle experimentally synthesize and characterize each one of the materials listed in the given catalog. Although *experimental synthesis and characterization* is the ultimate way to assess the performance of a material¹, the fact that a single laboratory study can take days or even months, renders experimental techniques impractical. A more efficient approach is computational screening based on *molecular simulations*, which for years has served as the principal tool for the discovery of high-performing MOFs (Simon, Kim, et al. 2015; Banerjee et al. 2016; Gómez-Gualdrón et al. 2016; Jeong et al. 2017; P. Moghadam et al. 2018). Although computational screening dramatically accelerates the assessment of a single material compared to experimental techniques, brute-force screening of current and upcoming databases is considered suboptimal, given the size of the latter.

Machine learning (ML) aka data-driven techniques come to the rescue when dealing with big data and over the last years have picked up the torch from molecular simulations regarding material characterization. Given a collection of input-output pairs, i.e. a mathematical representation of a material and a corresponding property, a ML algorithm² seeks to uncover the underlying structure-property relationship. To put it in a nutshell, a ML algorithm "eats" data³ and "spits out" a model, which can be used to sort a large catalog of MOFs in just few seconds. Obviously, for ML approaches to be effective and reliable, it is necessary that the resulting models are of high quality.

- Decide if figures must be added
- Add missing citations

As Richard Feynmann said: "The test of all knowledge is experiment. Experiment is the sole judge of scientific truth".

²Note that ML algorithms are not limited to solve only such kind of problems, which fall under the umbrella of supervised learning. See subsection ?? for other types of problem tackled by ML.

³The data may come either from experiments, simulations or a combination of the two.

1.3 Literature Review

One of, if not the most important factor for the performance of ML models, is the way we select to mathematically represent materials or molecules. In other words, the type and amount of chemical information that is "injected" into these representations commonly known as descriptors, can make the difference between a high-performing and a baseline model. As such, it is of uttermost importance to employ descriptors that provide sufficient information for the properties of materials or molecules we are interested in to predict.

With regards to gas adsorption in MOFs, one of the first and most commonly used descriptors, are the so called *geometric* ones, which capture the pore environment of the framework. This type of descriptors includes textual characteristics of MOFs such as void fraction, gravimetric surface area and pore limiting diameter. Although ML models build with these descriptors work particularly well at the high pressure regime (Fernandez et al. 2013; Dureckova et al. 2019; Wu et al. 2020), their performance deteriorates when adsorption takes place at low pressures or the guest molecules exhibit non-negligible electrostatic interactions with the framework atoms. This performance drop should be expected, since geometric descriptors completely ignore the "cornerstone" of adsorption: *host-guest interactions*.

In order to improve the performance of ML models and bypass the limitations of geometric descriptors in the aforementioned conditions, another type of descriptors known as *energy-based* descriptors (Simon, Mercado, et al. 2015; Fanourgakis et al. 2019; Orhan et al. 2023; Shi et al. 2023), has been introduced. This type of descriptors supply ML algorithms with information regarding the energetics of adsorption, and can be used standalone or in combination with geometric descriptors.

In one of the first works to fingerprint the energetic landscape of MOFs (Bucior et al. 2019), energy histograms derived from the interactions of guest molecules with the framework atoms were used to predict hydrogen and methane uptake with remarkable accuracy. Prior to calculating the energy histograms, a three dimensional grid is overlayed on the unit cell of the MOF. Next, at each point of the grid, the interaction between the guest molecule with the framework atoms is calculated, producing a three dimensional energy grid. Finally, this energy grid is converted into a histogram, by partitioning the energy values of the grid into bins of specific energy width. By using solely these histograms as descriptors—without including any textual property—Bucior and his coworkers trained Lasso regression models, for predicting: i). H_2 swing capacity between 100 bar and 2 bar at 77 K ii). CH_4 swing capacity between 65 bar and 5.8 bar at 298 K. The resulting models were extremely accurate, achieving a mean absolute error (MAE) of 2.3 g L⁻¹ and 12.9 cm³ cm⁻³ for H_2 and CH_4 , respectively, tested on the hMOFs database (Wilmer et al. 2011).

1.4 Objective of Thesis

Bibliography

- Li, Yingwei and Ralph T. Yang (Nov. 2007). "Gas Adsorption and Storage in Metal–Organic Framework MOF-177". In: *Langmuir* 23.26, pp. 12937–12944. DOI: 10.1021/la702466d.
- Ma, Shengqian et al. (Dec. 2007). "Metal-Organic Framework from an Anthracene Derivative Containing Nanoscopic Cages Exhibiting High Methane Uptake". In: *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 130.3, pp. 1012–1016. DOI: 10.1021/ja0771639.
- An, Jihyun, Steven J. Geib, and Nathaniel L. Rosi (Dec. 2009). "High and Selective CO₂ Uptake in a Cobalt Adeninate Metal-Organic Framework Exhibiting Pyrimidine- and Amino-Decorated Pores". In: *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 132.1, pp. 38–39. DOI: 10.1021/ja909169x.
- Furukawa, Hiroyasu and Omar M. Yaghi (2009). "Storage of Hydrogen, Methane, and Carbon Dioxide in Highly Porous Covalent Organic Frameworks for Clean Energy Applications". In: *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 131.25, pp. 8875–8883. DOI: 10.1021/ja9015765.
- Mendoza-Cortes, Jose L., Tod A. Pascal, and William A. Goddard (Nov. 2011). "Design of Covalent Organic Frameworks for Methane Storage". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 115.47, pp. 13852–13857. DOI: 10.1021/jp209541e.
- Suh, Myunghyun Paik et al. (Dec. 2011). "Hydrogen Storage in Metal–Organic Frameworks". In: *Chemical Reviews* 112.2, pp. 782–835. DOI: 10.1021/cr200274s.
- Sumida, Kenji et al. (Dec. 2011). "Carbon Dioxide Capture in Metal–Organic Frameworks". In: *Chemical Reviews* 112.2, pp. 724–781. DOI: 10.1021/cr2003272.
- Wilmer, Christopher E. et al. (2011). "Large-scale screening of hypothetical metal-organic frameworks." In: *Nature chemistry* 4 2, pp. 83–9.
- Farha, Omar K. et al. (Aug. 2012). "Metal-Organic Framework Materials with Ultrahigh Surface Areas: Is the Sky the Limit?" In: *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 134.36, pp. 15016–15021. DOI: 10.1021/ja3055639.
- Fernandez, Michael et al. (2013). "Large-Scale Quantitative Structure–Property Relationship (QSPR) Analysis of Methane Storage in Metal–Organic Frameworks". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 117.15, pp. 7681–7689. DOI: 10.1021/jp4006422.
- Martin, Richard L. et al. (Oct. 2014). "In Silico Design of Three-Dimensional Porous Covalent Organic Frameworks via Known Synthesis Routes and Commercially Available Species". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 118.41, pp. 23790–23802. DOI: 10.1021/jp507152j.
- Simon, Cory M., Jihan Kim, et al. (2015). "The materials genome in action: identifying the performance limits for methane storage". In: *Energy Environ. Sci.* 8 (4), pp. 1190–1199. DOI: 10 . 1039/C4EE03515A.
- Simon, Cory M., Rocio Mercado, et al. (2015). "What Are the Best Materials To Separate a Xenon/Krypton Mixture?" In: *Chemistry of Materials* 27.12, pp. 4459-4475. DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater. 5b01475.
- Alezi, Dalal et al. (2016). "Reticular Chemistry at Its Best: Directed Assembly of Hexagonal Building Units into the Awaited Metal-Organic Framework with the Intricate Polybenzene Topology, pbz-

- MOF". In: *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 138.39. PMID: 27615117, pp. 12767–12770. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b08176.
- Banerjee, Debasis et al. (June 2016). "Metal-organic framework with optimally selective xenon adsorption and separation". In: *Nature Communications* 7.1, ncomms11831. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms11831.
- Gómez-Gualdrón, Diego A. et al. (2016). "Evaluating topologically diverse metal—organic frameworks for cryo-adsorbed hydrogen storage". In: *Energy Environ. Sci.* 9 (10), pp. 3279–3289. DOI: 10.1039/C6EE02104B.
- Spanopoulos, Ioannis et al. (Jan. 2016). "Reticular Synthesis of HKUST-like tho-MOFs with Enhanced CH₄ Storage". In: *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 138.5, pp. 1568–1574. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b11079.
- Colón, Yamil J., Diego A. Gómez-Gualdrón, and Randall Q. Snurr (2017). "Topologically Guided, Automated Construction of Metal–Organic Frameworks and Their Evaluation for Energy-Related Applications". In: *Crystal Growth & Design* 17.11, pp. 5801–5810. DOI: 10.1021/acs.cgd.7b00848.
- Jeong, WooSeok et al. (2017). "Modeling adsorption properties of structurally deformed metal-organic frameworks using structure-property map". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114.30, pp. 7923–7928. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1706330114.
- Moghadam, Peyman Z. et al. (2017). "Development of a Cambridge Structural Database Subset: A Collection of Metal-Organic Frameworks for Past, Present, and Future". In: *Chemistry of Materials* 29.7, pp. 2618–2625. DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.7b00441.
- Moghadam, Peyman and Bar Foo (Apr. 2018). "Computer-aided discovery of a metal-organic framework with superior oxygen uptake". In: *Nature Communications* 9.1, p. 1378. DOI: 10 . 1038 / s41467-018-03892-8.
- Tong, Minman et al. (May 2018). "Computation-Ready, Experimental Covalent Organic Framework for Methane Delivery: Screening and Material Design". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 122.24, pp. 13009–13016. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b04742.
- Boyd, Peter G. et al. (Dec. 2019). "Data-driven design of metal-organic frameworks for wet flue gas CO₂ capture". In: *Nature* 576.7786, pp. 253–256. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-1798-7.
- Bucior, Benjamin J. et al. (2019). "Energy-based descriptors to rapidly predict hydrogen storage in metal–organic frameworks". In: *Mol. Syst. Des. Eng.* 4, pp. 162–174. DOI: 10.1039/C8ME00050F.
- Chung, Yongchul G. et al. (Nov. 2019). "Advances, Updates, and Analytics for the Computation-Ready, Experimental Metal-Organic Framework Database: CoRE MOF 2019". In: *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data* 64.12, pp. 5985–5998. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jced.9b00835.
- Dureckova, Hana et al. (Jan. 2019). "Robust Machine Learning Models for Predicting High CO₂ Working Capacity and CO₂ Selectivity of Gas Adsorption in Metal-Organic Frameworks for Precombustion Carbon Capture". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 123.7, pp. 4133–4139. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b10644.
- Fanourgakis, George S. et al. (2019). "A Robust Machine Learning Algorithm for the Prediction of Methane Adsorption in Nanoporous Materials". In: *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 123.28, pp. 6080–6087. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpca.9b03290.
- Yaghi, Omar M. (2019). "Emergence of Metal-Organic Frameworks". In: *Introduction to Reticular Chemistry*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Chap. 1, pp. 1–27. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527821099.ch1.

- Wu, Ying, Haipeng Duan, and Hongxia Xi (Mar. 2020). "Machine Learning-Driven Insights into Defects of Zirconium Metal-Organic Frameworks for Enhanced Ethane-Ethylene Separation". In: *Chemistry of Materials* 32.7, pp. 2986–2997. DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05322.
- Yaghi, Omar M. (Nov. 2020). "The Reticular Chemist". In: *Nano Letters* 20.12, pp. 8432–8434. DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c04327.
- Lee, Sangwon et al. (2021). "Computational Screening of Trillions of Metal—Organic Frameworks for High-Performance Methane Storage". In: ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 13.20, pp. 23647—23654. DOI: 10.1021/acsami.1c02471.
- Qazvini, Omid T., Ravichandar Babarao, and Shane G. Telfer (Jan. 2021). "Selective capture of carbon dioxide from hydrocarbons using a metal-organic framework". In: *Nature Communications* 12.1. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-20489-2.
- Rosen, Andrew S. et al. (May 2021). "Machine learning the quantum-chemical properties of metalorganic frameworks for accelerated materials discovery". In: *Matter* 4.5, pp. 1578–1597. DOI: 10. 1016/j.matt.2021.02.015.
- Suresh, Kuthuru et al. (July 2021). "Optimizing Hydrogen Storage in MOFs through Engineering of Crystal Morphology and Control of Crystal Size". In: *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 143.28, pp. 10727–10734. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.1c04926.
- Jiang, Chuanhai et al. (2022). "Recent advances in metal-organic frameworks for gas adsorption/separation". In: *Nanoscale Advances* 4.9, pp. 2077–2089. DOI: 10.1039/d2na00061j.
- Bose, Saptasree et al. (2023). "Challenges and Opportunities: Metal-Organic Frameworks for Direct Air Capture". In: *Advanced Functional Materials*. DOI: 10.1002/adfm.202307478.
- De Vos, Juul S. et al. (2023). "ReDD-COFFEE: a ready-to-use database of covalent organic framework structures and accurate force fields to enable high-throughput screenings". In: *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* II.14, pp. 7468–7487. DOI: 10.1039/d3ta00470h.
- Orhan, Ibrahim B. et al. (Oct. 2023). "Accelerating the prediction of CO2 capture at low partial pressures in metal-organic frameworks using new machine learning descriptors". In: *Communications Chemistry* 6.1. DOI: 10.1038/s42004-023-01009-x.
- Shi, Kaihang et al. (Feb. 2023). "Two-Dimensional Energy Histograms as Features for Machine Learning to Predict Adsorption in Diverse Nanoporous Materials". In: *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jctc.2c00798.
- Tsangarakis, Constantinos et al. (2023). "Water-Stable etb-MOFs for Methane and Carbon Dioxide Storage". In: *Inorganic Chemistry* 62.14, pp. 5496–5504. DOI: 10 . 1021 / acs . inorgchem . 2c04483.