

Assessment of multiple daily precipitation statistics in ERA-Interim driven Med-CORDEX and EURO-CORDEX experiments against high resolution observations

Submitted to Climate Dynamics Med-CORDEX special issue

A. Fantini^{1,2}, F. Raffaele¹, C. Torma¹,
S. Bacer³, E. Coppola¹, F. Giorgi¹, B. Ahrens⁴,
C. Dubois⁵, E. Sanchez⁶, M. Verdecchia⁷

1 - Abdus Salam ICTP, Trieste, Italy

2 - University of Trieste, Italy

3 - Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

4 - Goethe-Universitaet Frankfurt a.M., Frankfurt/Main, Germany

5 - Météo-France and Mercator Océan, France,


6 - Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Toledo, Spain

Assessment of multiple daily precipitation statistics in ERA-Interim driven Med-CORDEX and EURO-CORDEX experiments against high resolution observations

- Assessing the performance of an ensemble of RCMs over various EU regions against HR observations using both Med- and EURO-Cordex, with focus on extremes
- Does increased resolution ($0.44 \rightarrow 0.11$ deg) provide real benefits compared to HR OBS?
- Do modelled precipitation climate extremes show significant Added Value?

DATASETS AND SETUP


- 9 ERA-Interim driven, double nested Med- and EURO-CORDEX Regional Climate Models
- 3 common analysis grids at 0.11, 0.44, 1.50 degrees resolution
- HR observation datasets over 9 different European regions
- Precipitation undercatch correction with UDEL dataset (Matsuura and Willmott 2010, UDEL V3.01) when applicable



Dataset	Institution	Region	Period	~Res	Reference
EURO4M-APGD ⁺	MeteoSwiss	Alps	1971-2008	5km	Isotta et al. (2013)
Spain02 ⁺	Santander Meteorology Group	Spain	1971-2010	0.11 deg	Herrera et al. (2010)
SAFRAN	Meteo-France	France	1958-2013	8km	Vidal et al. (2010)
UK gridded dataset ^{o+}	UK Met Office	United Kingdom	1990-2010	0.11 deg	Perry et al. (2009)
KLIMAGRID ^o	METNO	Norway	1957-2013	1km	Mohr (2009)
PTHBV ^o	SMHI	Sweden and part of Finland	1961-2010	4km	Johansson (2002)
CARPATCLIM ⁺	Hungarian Met Service	Carpathians	1961-2010	0.10 deg	Szalai et al. (2013)
REGNIE ^{o+}	DWD	Germany	1961-2009	1km	Rauthe et al. (2013)
CETEMPS gridded dataset ⁺	CETEMPS, University of L'Aquila	Italy	2000-2014	0.11 deg	Not released yet

^o = covered by EURO-CORDEX only

⁺ = undercatch-corrected with UDEL data



Dataset	Institution	Region	Period	~Res	Reference
EURO4M-APGD ⁺	MeteoSwiss	Alps	1971-2008	5km	Isotta et al. (2013)
Spain02 ⁺	Santander Meteorology Group	Spain	1971-2010	0.11 deg	Herrera et al. (2010)
SAFRAN	Meteo-France	France	1958-2013	8km	Vidal et al. (2010)
UK gridded dataset ^{o+}	UK Met Office	United Kingdom	1990-2010	0.11 deg	Perry et al. (2009)
KLIMAGRID ^o	METNO	Norway	1957-2013	1km	Mohr (2009)
PTHBV ^o	SMHI	Sweden and part of Finland	1961-2010	4km	Johansson (2002)
CARPATCLIM ⁺	Hungarian Met Service	Carpathians	1961-2010	0.10 deg	Szalai et al. (2013)
REGNIE ^{o+}	DWD	Germany	1961-2009	1km	Rauthe et al. (2013)
CETEMPS gridded dataset ⁺	CETEMPS, University of L'Aquila	Italy	2000-2014	0.11 deg	Not released yet

^o = covered by EURO-CORDEX only

⁺ = undercatch-corrected with UDEL data

9 Regional Climate Models @ 0.11 and 0.44 deg resolution

Model	Institution
CCLM4-8-17	CLMcom
HIRHAM5	DMI
INERIS-WRF331F	IPSL
RACMO22E	KNMI
RCA4	SMHI
ALADIN5.2	CNRM
RegCM4.4	ICTP
CCLM4-8-18	GUF
PROMES	UCLM

Analysis period: 1989-2008; 1990-2008 (UK); 2000-2010 (Italy)




Precipitation performance indices with emphasis over extremes

Index	Description
RMSE, mean, bias	Standard statistics.
TAYLOR	Taylor diagrams: spatial correlation, std.dev. and centered RMSE.
PDF, KL	Symmetrized Kullback-Leibler divergence for PDFs *. (>1mm / day)
SDII *	Mean daily precipitation intensity. (mm / day)
DDF *	Mean frequency of dry days. (%)
CDD95 *	95th percentile of dry spell length. Replaces CDD. (No. days / year)
Psum>R95 obs *	Total precipitation above the reference 95th percentile of observed daily precipitation. Replaces R95p. (mm / year)




* = daily precipitation indices

Example for mean precipitation (SON)

MODELS








OBS



Results for mean precipitation

Taylor plots






0.11



Results for mean precipitation


Taylor plots

0.44




Results for mean precipitation

Annual cycle




Results for daily PDFs

Resolution: 011




Results for daily precipitation indices

Selected maps: SDII



Results for daily precipitation indices






Selected maps: Psum>R95obs



Results for daily precipitation indices

Taylor plots






0.11



Results for daily precipitation indices


Taylor plots

0.44



Results for daily precipitation indices


DDF




■ ERA-Interim ■ High-res OBS ■ RCM44 ■ RCM11

Models: ■ EURO ... MED

BIAS ratio between 0.11 and 0.44



RMSE ratio between 0.11 and 0.44



CONCLUSIONS

The model ensembles show **remarkable performance** in simulating the **spatial patterns and annual cycle of all metrics analyzed**, with a substantial improvement going from the low resolution of the ERA-interim data to the medium resolution RCM44ensemble and the high resolution RCM11. This conclusion is **retained** even when the data are **upscaled** to the lower resolution (confirms Torma et al., 2015)

EURO-CORDEX and Med-CORDEX models **have similar performance**; both ensembles are of **sufficient quality** to be applied in **climate projections**

Some metrics (e.g. **DDF and CCD95** in some regions) still indicate **deficiencies** in the model's description of precipitation processes mainly due to the **drizzle phenomenon** that is **not solved** only by **increasing resolution**, but there are indications that this problem might be ameliorated which cloud and **convection** processes are **explicitly described**.

Need to develop a homogeneous and internally consistent **high resolution, quality checked, observation dataset** for the entire European territory which can be used for future development of very high resolution, European-wide models.

THANKS!

Paper reference:

Fantini A., Raffaele F., Torma C., Bacer S., Coppola E., Giorgi F., Ahrens B.,
Dubois C., Sanchez E., Verdecchia M. **Assessment of multiple daily
precipitation statistics in ERA-Interim driven Med-CORDEX and
EURO-CORDEX experiments against high resolution observations.**

Submitted to Climate Dynamics, 2016


Speaker: Adriano Fantini, 1st year PhD, UniTrieste/ICTP

Supervisor: Erika Coppola, ICTP, Trieste


Contact: afantini@ictp.it

Example for mean precipitation (DJF)


ERA-Interim



0.44 ensemble







0.11 ensemble




MODELS

OBS




Results for mean precipitation (JJA)


ERA-Interim



0.44 ensemble






0.11 ensemble




MODELS

OBS



Results for daily PDFs

Resolution: 044




- ERA-Interim
- High-res OBS
- RCM11
- RCM44

Results for daily PDFs

Kullback-Leibler divergence


Resolution: 011



Results for daily PDFs

Kullback-Leibler divergence


Resolution: 044



Results for daily PDFs


Kullback-Leibler divergence

Resolution: 150




Results for daily precipitation indices

Selected maps: SDII




Results for daily precipitation indices

Selected maps: Psum>R95obs




Results for daily precipitation indices

Selected maps: DDF



Results for daily precipitation indices

SDII




■ ERA-Interim ■ High-res OBS ■ RCM44 ■ RCM11

Models: — EURO ··· MED

Results for daily precipitation indices

$P_{sum} > R_{95_{obs}}$




ERA-Interim High-res OBS RCM44 RCM11

Models: — EURO ··· MED


Model by model correlation

MAM




Model by model correlation

JJA




Model by model correlation

SON




Model by model bias

DJF




Model by model bias

MAM




Model by model bias

JJA



Model by model bias

SON




Model by model correlation

Increasing model resolution

DJF

Decreasing grid resolution



Model by model correlation

Increasing model resolution

DJF

Decreasing analysis resolution

Correlation with OBS (DJF)

current.resol: 011

	0.11 current.resol: 011									
AVERAGE	0.74	0.7	0.71	0.76	0.82	0.51	0.87	0.83	0.87	0.92
RCA4	0.79	0.65	0.69	0.77	0.87	0.53	0.88	0.87	0.91	0.96
RACMO22E	0.81	0.8	0.73	0.8	0.79	0.63	0.87	0.91	0.84	0.88
WRF331F	0.79	0.68	0.7	0.81	0.86	0.46	0.87	0.88	0.9	0.95
HIRHAM5	0.73	0.66	0.69	0.72	0.74	0.51	0.83	0.79	0.82	0.86
CCLM	0.78	0.67	0.75	0.79	0.84	0.45	0.89	0.84	0.89	0.94
PROMES	0.67	0.68	0.64	0.64		0.59		0.78		
RegCM4	0.62	0.56	0.65	0.66		0.52		0.7		
GUF-CCLM	0.73	0.76	0.79	0.83		0.42		0.83		
ALADIN	0.74	0.83	0.78	0.79			0.85			

0.44

current.resol: 044

	0.44 current.resol: 044									
AVERAGE	0.77	0.7	0.72	0.74	0.86	0.68	0.87	0.84	0.86	0.91
RCA4	0.81	0.65	0.71	0.75	0.88	0.73	0.88	0.87	0.91	0.95
RACMO22E	0.84	0.79	0.75	0.8	0.83	0.86	0.87	0.92	0.82	0.88
WRF331F	0.81	0.65	0.71	0.8	0.89	0.6	0.87	0.9	0.89	0.95
HIRHAM5	0.75	0.65	0.71	0.69	0.78	0.68	0.83	0.78	0.8	0.83
CCLM	0.81	0.67	0.74	0.78	0.88	0.6	0.89	0.86	0.89	0.95
PROMES	0.71	0.68	0.65	0.6		0.82		0.8		
RegCM4	0.65	0.58	0.64	0.67		0.65		0.72		
GUF-CCLM	0.77	0.76	0.79	0.82		0.58		0.86		
ALADIN	0.76	0.82	0.77	0.76		0.58		0.87		

1.50


current.resol: 150

	1.50 current.resol: 150									
AVERAGE	0.77	0.75	0.8	0.7	0.83	0.5	0.93	0.87	0.89	0.97
RCA4	0.81	0.71	0.75	0.75	0.9	0.41	0.93	0.93	0.9	0.98
RACMO22E	0.85	0.86	0.86	0.76	0.83	0.68	0.93	0.92	0.88	0.95
WRF331F	0.81	0.65	0.71	0.8	0.89	0.46	0.94	0.92	0.9	0.97
HIRHAM5	0.79	0.76	0.83	0.64	0.7	0.56	0.91	0.88	0.88	0.96
CCLM	0.8	0.64	0.79	0.78	0.83	0.52	0.92	0.85	0.86	0.96
PROMES	0.78	0.9	0.86	0.47		0.83		0.85		
RegCM4	0.71	0.64	0.74	0.63		0.77		0.77		
GUF-CCLM	0.72	0.72	0.84	0.73		0.46		0.84		
ALADIN	0.62	0.85	0.81	0.74	-0.18		0.9			

orig.resol: 0.11 0.11

orig.resol: 0.44 0.44

orig.resol: 150 150



Regions




Model by model correlation

Increasing model resolution

DJF

Decreasing analysis resolution




Model by model correlation

Increasing model resolution

DJF

Decreasing analysis resolution

Correlation with OBS (DJF)



Model by model correlation

Increasing model resolution

DJF

Decreasing analysis resolution

Correlation with OBS (DJF)

