

Productivity estimation in waterfowl using a non-invasive method

Adrien Tableau* Alain Caizergues[†] Iain Henderson[‡] Sébastien Reeber[§]
Matthieu Guillemain[¶]

22 octobre, 2021

*Office Français de la Biodiversité, France - adrien.tableau@ofb.gouv.fr - contribution in data analyses & writing

[†]Office Français de la Biodiversité, France - alain.caizergues@ofb.gouv.fr - contribution in writing

[‡]Animal and Plant Health Agency, United Kingdom - iain.henderson@apha.gov.uk - contribution in data collection

[§]Société Nationale pour la Protection de la Nature, France - sebastien.reeber@snpn.fr - contribution in data collection

[¶]Office Français de la Biodiversité, France - matthieu.guillemain@ofb.gouv.fr - contribution in writing

Abstract

The response of a waterfowl population to a harvest pressure depends on its capacity to renew. The recruitment, i.e. the number of young adults reproducing for the first time, is a key indicator to describe the renewal of a population, and therefore an essential tool in population management. The productivity, defined inhere as the number of recruits produced per breeder, is even more informative because it is independent of the breeding population size and allows comparisons over time and between species. The proportion of young adults in a waterfowl population, which is a main step in estimating productivity, is often estimated from game-hunting samples. However, this proportion is not accessible in years without harvest, or with a low harvest rate. Moreover, the age-structure in the harvest samples, commonly called hunting bag, does not necessarily reflect the underlying age-structure of the population. It is often skewed towards juveniles and can lead to an overestimation of the productivity. In waterfowl, adult males usually display brighter colours than immatures and females. This dichromatism can be characterized and monitored from count surveys, a non-invasive method. Such information can be used to infer the proportion of young adults, and consequently the productivity. In using two populations of ruddy duck, *Oxyura oxyura*, this study develops a Bayesian method to estimate the productivity from count data. The results are compared to productivity estimates from samples and are discussed by testing their consistency with the population growth rates. The results suggest that the counting method is a versatile tool to estimate the productivity if the species is monitored during the appropriate time period.

1 Introduction

1.1 Definitions

One defines the productivity of a breeding population as the average number of recruits produced per each breeder (Johnson et al. 1987). If one considers a species reaching the sexual maturity as early as its first year, all individuals are mature at the reproduction season. The productivity is thus the ratio of the number of young adults at the reproduction season of year t , i.e. the recruits, to the number of all individuals at the reproduction season of the previous year, $t - 1$.

The productivity parameter is complex because it is composed of two main sub-parameters: the reproductive success and the juvenile survival. Both sub-parameters can be split into other sub-parameters. For instance, the reproductive success is the product of the nesting success and the hatching success. The juvenile survival is the combination of the post-hatching survival and the fledging juvenile survival. According to Blums and Clark (2004), the recruitment for diving ducks is not related to the reproductive success, but depends mostly on the juvenile survival, which is driven by weather conditions. However, the results of this study does not necessarily reflect a general reality because several sources of variations of the reproductive success are controlled in the experimental plan of this study. The productivity as defined in the present study is thus a parameter integrating many sources of variability affecting all life stages from the egg to the young adult breeding for its first time.

The use of productivity in population management

The management of an exploited population generally aims at preserving the conservation status of the population, generally by targeting a minimum population size. To do so, a first strategy is to test iteratively management rules to reach progressively an optimum. Such approach can be time-consuming given the intrinsic variability of the population response to its changing environment. It might also suffer from a rejection of the public because of its lack of transparency and its arbitrary process. Another approach is to try to predict the growth rate of the population as a function of the harvest rate and other environment inputs. Such modelling approach can provide clues on the recovering time of a population upon a variety of management rules. These decision-support tools are then key inputs for the stakeholders to status on the best management rules to implement. The growth rate of a population is the sum of the adult survival and

the productivity, see Equation (8) in Section 2. The adult survival is generally estimated by Capture Mark Recapture methods. If the harvest pressure behaves as an additive mortality to the natural mortality, it is quite straightforward to predict its impact of the adult survival. The key to predict the growth rate of a population is then to estimate the value and the variability of the productivity as defined inhere and to understand if this parameter is impacted or not by a harvest pressure.

The variability of the productivity

A waterfowl species that is released in a favourable habitat will naturally expand (Malthus 1872). The growth of such a population can be explained with a productivity parameter that is higher than the adult mortality rate. This expansion is limited by the carrying capacity of the habitat (Sayre 2008). When a waterfowl species is endemic of an ecosystem and evolves in stable environmental conditions, the population size varies around the carrying capacity because the individuals compete for space or/and food (Nummi et al. 2015), which prevents the population from expanding. This competition commonly induces a lower reproductive success or/and a higher youth mortality. The population is then less productive because the productivity parameter is dampened by density-dependent effects. For an endemic species evolving in stable environmental conditions, the productivity and the adult mortality rate are balanced, which explains the stabilization of the number of individuals.

Hunting waterfowl induces adult and juvenile mortality (Bellrose 1980). Paradoxically, this juvenile mortality does not always induce a decrease of productivity. Indeed, one expects that a population with a high adult mortality rate combined to a lower productivity would rapidly extinct. However, hunting waterfowl has a long history, and most of these species have persisted over time. The reason is that harvest pressure reduces the competition for space and food. The density-dependent effects affecting the productivity in case of high population density are reduced by the harvest mortality. The exploitation of a population thus increases adult mortality, but also productivity. A balance between these two parameters can be reached if the population is exploited to a constant and moderate harvest rate. The size of a newly exploited population in stable environmental conditions should thus reach a new equilibrium, which is expected to be lower than the size without exploitation.

The reproductive success and the juvenile survival varies a lot following weather conditions (Blums and Clark 2004). So the response of the productivity to the harvest rate can be dilluted by a lot.

Productivity is thus a varying parameter that reaches its highest values when no density-dependent effect occurs and when the harvest pressure on juveniles does not exceed a certain limit. Defining the maximum productivity and exploring the variability of productivity to predict the response of a population to different harvest rates is a challenge in population management.

What part of the productivity gradient should we know for population management?

We need to know what is the expected maximum productivity. What is the limit of the harvest pressure before affecting the productivity. What is the range of productivity variability.

If the maximum productivity is not reached under optimal conditions (maximum population size not reached, and limited harvest pressure), it is a signal that one should explore the intermediate life stages before recruitment to provide a diagnostic on the changes of the environment conditions that have affected the productivity.

What is the ideal biological model to explore the gradient of the productivity?

Hunting waterfowl has a long history, and few species have observed long-term moratorium. So there are few opportunities to explore the response of the productivity to a gradient of pressure in such species.

Alien species colonizing new territories and then heavily controlled are then a good model to overcome density-dependent effects and explore the gradient productivity. These species are often introduced during involuntary release events. Since its presence might impact the balance of the colonized ecosystem, it happens that managers take control measures to restrict the growth of these populations or to eradicate them.

In Europe, a population following its maximum growth rate is a perfect study model.

What are the limitations of the sampling method to estimate the productivity?

- We cannot explore the productivity when there is no harvest, however, it is crucial to explore what happens when there is no harvest in a scenario where we suggest a moratorium to let the population recover.
- Bias because hunting like any predation action is selective. Often towards the weakest individuals. So overestimation of productivity, and potentially maximum sustainable harvest rate overestimated and consequently depletion of the managed population.

For hunted duck species in North America, the mortality rate on first-year immatures compared to adults is higher by a factor ranging from 1.4 to 2 (.

Why counting data is a potential tool to estimate the productivity?

Long time series, easy access, no need of samples. Can only provide underestimation of productivity because if some male recruits have already their male colours, they are considered as adult and not a recruit.

What is the methodology of this study?

Nichols -> il faut compter de la façon dont on demande

idée: estimer l'âge moyen de la pop grâce la vulnérabilité et le sexe ratio chez les adultes

breeding/reproductive success good but not enough because variable survival of juveniles

Introduction:

productivity often defined as J_t/A_t but more realistic to defined as J_t/A_{t-1}

2 Materials & methods


2.1 The studied species

The ruddy duck is a species introduced in the United Kingdom in the 50's. The first reproduction was observed in the 60's. The population rapidly grew until reaching about more than 5000 individuals spread over the entire country. A new population set up in France from the 90's, likely because of an arrival of few individuals from the United Kingdom. This population set up around a single wintering spot, the Grand Lieu Lake. Since this species is considered as a major threat to the white-headed duck because of the risk of hybridization (AEWA report), a European plan of eradication has been concluded (EU report). Control measures were taken in both countries from 1999 in the UK and 2004 in France.

2.2 Dichromatism characterization



Figure 1: Typical observation of a ruddy duck flock in winter: 4 male-like individuals with a white cheek and a black cap, 10 female-like individuals with a whitish cheek and a dark stripe across it, 3 unidentified individuals © Jay McGowan - 3 February 2013 - Tompkins, New York, United States



2.3 Data time series

The French and the UK wintering populations have been monitored since the presence of the first individuals was validated.

$PR_{i,t}$ estimation by the counting method




Figure 3: Evolution of the size of the two Ruddy duck populations in light of the data time series; on restricted time periods with available data, population growth rates can be estimated (slopes in red for the UK and in blue for France)

2.4 Model

Name	Class	Description
SM	Data	Total number of adult males sampled
SF	Data	Total number of adult females sampled
PM	Parameter	Proportion of males in the adult part of population at its equilibrium
$S_{i,t}$	Data	Number of individuals sampled in population i in year t
$SJ_{i,t}$	Data	Number of juveniles sampled in population i in year t
$CM_{i,t}$	Data	Cumulated number of type-male individuals counted in population i in year t
$C_{i,t}$	Data	Cumulated number of individuals counted in population i in year t
$Cmax_{i,t}$	Data	Maximum number of individuals counted in population i in year t
$PR_{i,t}$	Parameter	Proportion of recruits in population i in year t

Name	Class	Description
$R_{i,t}$	Parameter	Number of recruits in population i in year t
$P_{i,t}$	Parameter	Number of recruits in population i in year t per breeder in year $t - 1$
$N0_j$	Parameter	Intercept of the regression model, the j index refers to a restricted time period for a single population
SD_j	Parameter	Standard deviation of the regression model, the j index refers to a restricted time period for a single population
λ_j	Parameter	Population growth rate (in $year^{-1}$), the j index refers to a restricted time period for a single population
S_j	Parameter	Adult survival rate (in $year^{-1}$), the j index refers to a restricted time period for a single population

The proportion of males in the adult part of a population at its equilibrium is inferred from the samples. Indeed, the available French samples were insufficient to get Since the . Age in samples to 2003 to 2020 for the United Kingdom and

$$PM \sim \text{Beta}(SM, SF) \quad (1)$$

$PR_{i,t}$ estimation by the counting method

$$CM_{i,t} \sim \text{Binom}(PM.(1 - PR_{i,t}), C_{i,t}) \quad (2)$$

$PR_{i,t}$ estimation by the sampling method

$$SJ_{i,t} \sim \text{Binom}(PR_{i,t}, S_{i,t}) \quad (3)$$

From $PR_{i,t}$ estimates, $R_{i,t}$ and $P_{i,t}$ are expressed as:

$$R_{i,t} = PR_{i,t}.Cmax_{i,t} \quad (4)$$

$$P_{i,t} = \frac{PR_{i,t}.Cmax_{i,t}}{Cmax_{i,t-1}} \quad (5)$$

The index j refers to a single population i and a restricted time period for t . The evolution of the population size can be related to the time to infer the population growth rate. It is a linear regression on the logarithm scale:

$$\log(Cmax_{i,t}) \sim \text{Norm}(N0_j + \log(\lambda_j).t, SD_j) \quad (6)$$

$$S_j = \lambda_j - \overline{P_{i,t}} \quad (7)$$

2.5 Result validation using survival analysis

The adult survival is commonly assessed by capture mark recapture and published in the literature. Since the survival can also be estimated from both the counting and the sampling methods, the consistency of the two approaches is discussed by comparing the survival estimates with the literature values.

Name	Description
N_t	Number of breeders in year t
D_t	Number of breeders dead after reproduction in year t
R_t	Number of recruits in year t
S_t	Adult survival rate, i.e. proportion of breeder in year $t - 1$ still alive in year t
P_t	Number of recruits in year t produced per breeder in year $t - 1$
λ_t	Growth rate of the population between the reproduction period of year $t - 1$ and year t

$$\begin{aligned}
N_t &= N_{t-1} - D_{t-1} + R_t \\
N_t &= N_{t-1} - (1 - S_t).N_{t-1} + P_t.N_{t-1} \\
N_t &= S_t.N_{t-1} + P_t.N_{t-1} \\
\frac{N_t}{N_{t-1}} &= S_t + P_t \\
\lambda_t &= S_t + P_t
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The population size in year t is equal to the number of breeders that survived over year $t - 1$ plus the offspring produced in year $t - 1$ that survived until the reproduction period of year t , i.e. the recruitment in year t . The growth rate of a population is thus the sum of the productivity and the adult survival rate.

The proportion of males in the adult part of a population at its equilibrium is inferred from the samples.

Indeed, the available French samples were insufficient to get Since the . Age in samples to 2003 to 2020 for the United Kingdom and

develop the counting method :

2 ways to check the method :

sampling method? Ok but few data as poorly harvested

realistic survival? if > 1 , estimated productivity is too low to support the maximum growth rate in the range of similar species in litterature -> satisfying

blabla




Figure 4: Evolution of the size of the two Ruddy duck populations in light of the harvest pressure; on time periods without harvest, population growth rates can be estimated (slopes in red for the UK and in blue for France)

2.6 Result validation by comparison to maximum productivity




Figure 5: Evolution of the size of the two Ruddy duck populations in light of the harvest pressure; on time periods without harvest, population growth rates can be estimated (slopes in red for the UK and in blue for France)

3 Results

3.1 Productivity variability




Figure 6: (A) Estimates of productivity, i.e. average number of recruits produced per breeder; (B) Comparison of the productivity values between the two estimation methods; bars define the 95% confidence intervals

quite stable when harvest rate is limited (France 2000), but is lower and noisy when harvest rate is huge and the population depleted.

3.2 Result validation using survival analysis




Figure 7: (A) Estimates of the population growth rate on time intervals with data availability. This aims at producing survival estimates to discuss the reliability of the methods to estimate productivity; (B) Growth rate estimates; bars define the 95% confidence intervals




Figure 8: (A) Average productivity estimates on the time intervals defined for survival analysis; (B) Average survival estimates deduced from growth rate and productivity estimates on the time intervals; bars define the 95% confidence intervals

3.3 Result validation by comparison to maximum productivity




Figure 9: (A) Estimates of the population growth rate without harvest; two estimates were produced for the UK population because the growth rate dropped significantly when its size reached more than 1000 individuals; (B) Growth rate estimates; bars define the 95% confidence intervals




Figure 10: Comparison between a proxy of maximum productivity and the average productivity estimated following *counting* and *sampling* methods; the proxy is estimated during the maximum growth periods by combining the growth rate to the hypothesis of a 100% adult survival (*max growth*); this hypothesis implies that the true maximum of productivity is likely higher; bars define the 95% confidence intervals

4 Discussion

Productivité: Stabilité grosse pop, variabilité petite pop.

Au delà du fait que la croissance de la pop est la somme de la survie et de la productivité, intéressant de parler de la variabilité de la survie vs variabilité de la productivité pour parler de risk management.

Productivity: drop from 2009

blabla

Nichols -> il faut compter de la façon dont on demande

Aknowledgements

This work was partly funded by the LIFE Oxyura project (LIFE17 NAT/FR/000942) through the LIFE program. This work was carried on with the impulse of Jean-François Maillard, and Jean-Baptiste Mouronval from the Office Français de la Biodiversité, and Jean-Marc Gillier from the Société Nationale pour la Protection de la Nature. The authors acknowledge all the contributors of the data collection in the UK and in France, especially: **UK names**, Vincent Fontaine, Denis Lacourpaille, Jules Joly, Justin Potier, Valentin Boniface, Alexis Laroche, and Médéric Lortion, **other names**.

References

- Bellrose, F. C. 1980. Ducks, geese & swans of north america: 3rd. Ed. Stackpole Books.
- Blums, P., and R. G. Clark. 2004. Correlates of lifetime reproductive success in three species of european ducks. *Oecologia* 140:61–67.
- Johnson, D. H., D. W. Sparling, and L. M. Cowardin. 1987. A model of the productivity of the mallard duck. *Ecological Modelling* 38:257–275.
- Malthus, T. R. 1872. An essay on the principle of population.
- Nummi, P., S. Holopainen, J. Rintala, and H. Pöysä. 2015. Mechanisms of density dependence in ducks: Importance of space and per capita food. *Oecologia* 177:679–688.
- Sayre, N. F. 2008. The genesis, history, and limits of carrying capacity. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 98:120–134.

Supplement 1




Figure 11: (A1) Estimates of the number of recruits, i.e. the young adults reproducing for their first time; (B1) estimates of the proportion of recruits in the population at the reproduction period; (A2) & (B2) comparison of the values between the two estimation methods; bars define the 95% confidence intervals