

System Verification and Validation Plan for Attitude Check

Adrian Sochaniwsky

April 6, 2024

Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
February 13, 2024	1.0	Initial draft
April 6, 2024	2.0	Address comments, add unit tests.

Contents

1	Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms	iv
2	Introduction	1
3	General Information	1
3.1	Summary	1
3.2	Objectives	1
3.3	Relevant Documentation	2
4	Plan	2
4.1	SRS Verification Plan	2
4.2	Design Verification Plan	3
4.3	Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan	3
4.4	Implementation Verification Plan	3
4.5	Automated Testing and Verification Tools	4
4.6	Software Validation Plan	4
5	System Test	4
5.1	Tests for Functional Requirements	4
5.1.1	Inputs and Outputs	4
5.1.2	Calculations	5
5.2	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	7
5.2.1	Accuracy	7
5.3	Performance	7
5.3.1	Understandability	8
5.3.2	Maintainability	8
5.3.3	Portability	9
5.4	Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements	9
6	Unit Test	9
6.1	Unit Testing Scope	9
6.2	Tests for Functional Requirements	10
6.2.1	Quaternion Module	10
6.2.2	Utilities Module	10
6.2.3	Initializers Module	11
6.2.4	Attitude Check Module	11
6.3	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	11

6.4	Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules	11
7	Appendix	13
7.1	Symbolic Parameters	13
7.2	IMU Test Data	13

List of Tables

1	Table of Abbreviations and Acronyms	iv
2	Relation of Test Cases and Requirements.	9
3	Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules	12
4	Sample of ground truth quaternion.	14
5	Sample of sequential sensor measurements	14

List of Figures

1 Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

For symbols and units see the Section 1 of the SRS ([Sochaniwsky, 2024](#)).
Table 1 defines the abbreviations and acronyms used in this document.

Table 1: Table of Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
accel	Accelerometer
gyro	Gyroscope
IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit
mag	Magnetometer
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
VnV	Verification and Validation
T	Test
UT	Unit Test

2 Introduction

A Verification and Validation (VnV) plan is a document that describes the objectives, scope, methods, and criteria for verifying and validating a software product. Verification is the process of checking whether the software meets the specified requirements and design specifications. Validation is the process of checking whether the software meets the user's needs and expectations. A VnV plan helps to ensure the quality, reliability, and functionality of the software, as well as to identify and correct any defects or errors before the software is released or deployed.

This document details the plan for verification and validation of Attitude Check. Section 3 provides an overview and objectives of this document. Section 4 discusses the methods of achieving the objectives. Section 5 covers the test descriptions.

3 General Information

This section will provide the background and objectives for this document.

3.1 Summary

Attitude Check is an IMU-based attitude estimation algorithm. It consumes sensor data and produces an estimate of the current orientation of the sensor relative to the Earth.

3.2 Objectives

The objectives of this Verification and Validation plan are the following:

- Build confidence in the software correctness.
- Demonstrate the software's ability to accurately estimate orientation.

Objectives that are not included in the scope of this document:

- Demonstration of adequate understandability.
- Verification of external libraries.

It will be assumed that the documentation of Attitude Check is adequate to facilitate sufficient understandability for developers wishing to use it. Furthermore, it is assumed that external libraries have been independently verified and validated.

3.3 Relevant Documentation

See the Software Requirements Specification ([Sochaniwsky, 2024](#)) for Attitude Check, it details the goals, requirements, assumptions, and theory of the software. The [MG](#) and [MIS](#) document the design of Attitude Check.

Further reading about attitude estimation can be found at ([Madgwick](#)). Information regarding evaluation of attitude estimation algorithms can be found at ([Laidig et al., 2021](#)).

4 Plan

This section will detail the plan for the verification of the documentation and software for Attitude Check. The primary items that will be verified are: SRS, design, VnV, implementation.

4.1 SRS Verification Plan

The SRS ([Sochaniwsky, 2024](#)) will be verified via feedback from a domain expert and assigned secondary reviewer. The following is a checklist for SRS review derived from ([Wiegers, 2002](#)):

- ☐ Are all internal cross-references to other requirements correct?
- ☐ Are all requirements written at a consistent and appropriate level of detail?
- ☐ Do any requirements conflict with or duplicate other requirements?
- ☐ Is each requirement written in clear, concise, unambiguous language?
- ☐ Is each requirement verifiable by testing, demonstration, review, or analysis?
- ☐ Are all requirements actually requirements, not design or implementation solutions?

4.2 Design Verification Plan

To verify the design, feedback will be collected from domain expert and an assigned secondary reviewer will use the following checklist:

- ☐ Create a high-level diagram that represents the relationships between modules based on the Module Guide (MG) and Module Interface Specification (MIS) [to be cited]. This is intended to find gaps in the design.
- ☐ Confirm traceability matrices are complete.
- ☐ The uses diagram is a hierarchy.
- ☐ Spelling and grammar check.
- ☐ Low coupling between modules.

4.3 Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan

This VnV plan will be verified via inspection from a domain expert and a secondary review. The inspection will look for the following items:

- ☐ Confirm all sections contain a verification checklist or description.
- ☐ Inspect system-level test cases for coverage of SRS requirements and goal statements, via traceability matrices.
- ☐ Inspect all test cases for complete definitions.

4.4 Implementation Verification Plan

A combination of static and dynamic tests will be used to verify Attitude Check. Cppcheck ([cpp](#), [2023](#)) is a static code checking tool that will be employed to analyze the code for undefined behaviour and poor design constructs.

System and unit level tests will be used to dynamically verify Attitude Check. Section [5](#) outlines the system-level tests. Section [6](#) details the unit tests.

4.5 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

- Cmake ([cma, 2023](#)) is a build system tool that will be used to simplify building and testing.
- GoogleTest is a unit testing framework that support C++ code. It also includes a mocking framework. See <https://github.com/google/googletest>.
- GCOV, LCOV are tools for calculating and displaying unit test coverage metrics.
- Uncrustify is a tool that applies code formatting rules based on a configuration file. It will be used before every commit to the repo.
- GitHub PR checklists will help reviewers/developers see and check that all rules and tests are completed before code is merged into a protected branch (i.e. main).
- GitHub CI workflow will automate regression tests and checks that Attitude Check builds are passing before a code is merged into a protected branch.

4.6 Software Validation Plan

For the scope of this project, software validation will consist of benchmarking against existing algorithms. The % difference of the Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) of Attitude Check and [AHRS](#) should be $\leq \epsilon$. The data will come from the BROAD dataset ([Laidig et al., 2021](#)). The derivation of RMSE can be found in the Section [5.1.2](#).

5 System Test

5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

5.1.1 Inputs and Outputs

This section covers requirements R1 and R5 of the SRS. This includes the input and output requirements for Attitude Check. For input constraints see Section [4.2.8](#) of the [SRS](#).

T1: Input/Output Test

Control: Automated

Initial State: Uninitialized

Step	Input	Output
1	Initialize with: imuGain = 0.42, margGain = 0.69	Assert “get gain” method equals imuGain = 0.42, margGain = 0.69
2	Call “update” with: $\Delta t = 1$, ${}^S\mathbf{a}_t = [0, 0, 9.81]$, ${}^S\boldsymbol{\omega}_t = [0, 0, 0]$ ${}^S\mathbf{m}_t = [16676.8, -3050.9, 49916.9]$	Assert “update” output is a normalized quaternion $\in \mathbb{R}^4$.
3	Initialize with: imuGain = 0.9, margGain = 0.1 $q_0 = [5, 5, 5, 5]$	Assert “get params” method equals imuGain = 0.9, margGain = 0.1
4	Call “update” with: $\Delta t = 1$, ${}^S\mathbf{a}_t = [0, 0, 9.81]$, ${}^S\boldsymbol{\omega}_t = [0, 0, 0]$ ${}^S\mathbf{m}_t = [16676.8, -3050.9, 49916.9]$	Assert “update” output is a normalized quaternion $\in \mathbb{R}^4$.

Test Case Derivation: N/A

How test will be performed: At each step, apply the inputs and assert the output.

5.1.2 Calculations

This section covers requirements R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5 of the SRS. This includes the input and output requirements for Attitude Check. For input constraints see Section 4.2.8 of the [SRS](#).

The accuracy metric is described in [Laidig et al. \(2021\)](#), it defines the difference between two quaternions (1), the total error (2), and the RMSE (3):

$$\mathbf{q}_{e,t} = {}^S_E \mathbf{q}_{gt,t}^* {}^S_E \mathbf{q}_{est,t} = [q_w, q_x, q_y, q_z]^T \quad (1)$$

$$e_q = 2 \arccos(|q_w|) \quad (2)$$

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t=0}^n (e)^2}{n}} \quad (3)$$

see [Laidig et al. \(2021\)](#) for the symbol definitions and a full explanation. Often computing separate errors for the heading and inclination components provides better insight into the performance. When magnetometer data is not available, the heading error will be much larger than the other sources of error.

First the difference between the estimate and the ground truth must be expressed in the Earth frame (4). The equation for the heading only error is defined in (5) and the inclination only error is defined by (6):

$${}^E\mathbf{q}_{e,t} = {}^S_E\mathbf{q}_{est,t} {}^S_E\mathbf{q}_{gt,t}^* = [q_w, q_x, q_y, q_z]^T \quad (4)$$

$$e_h = 2 \arctan(|\frac{q_z}{q_w}|) \quad (5)$$

$$e_i = 2 \arccos(\sqrt{q_w^2 + q_z^2}) \quad (6)$$

Note: Ground truth quaternions and their corresponding sensor measurements are provided in the Appendix 7.2 in Tables 5 and 4, however the tester should use the full dataset found in ([Laidig, 2023](#)).

T2: Orientation with Magnetometer (MARG)

Control: Manual

Initial State: Uninitialized

Step	Input	Output
1	Initialize with no parameters (uses defaults)	-
2	Call “update” with $\Delta t = 0.0035$ and loop through measurements in Table 5	Assert quaternion magnitude is 1.
3	Calculate RMSE of e_i for AHRS and Attitude Check using table 4	Assert that the % difference between methods $\leq \epsilon$.

Test Case Derivation: Benchmark dataset with labelled ground truth orientation for each set of sensor measurements from ([Laidig, 2023](#)).

How test will be performed: At each step, apply the inputs and assert the output.

T3: Orientation without Magnetometer (IMU)

Control: Manual

Initial State: Uninitialized

Step	Input	Output
1	Initialize with no parameters (uses defaults)	-
2	Call “update” with $\Delta t = 0.0035$ and loop through {accel, gyro} measurements in Table 5	Assert quaternion magnitude is 1.
3	Calculate RMSE of e_i for AHRS and Attitude Check using table 4	Assert that the % difference between methods $\leq \epsilon$.

Test Case Derivation: Benchmark dataset with labelled ground truth orientation for each set of sensor measurements from (Laidig, 2023).

How test will be performed: At each step, apply the inputs and assert the output.

Note: Only Pitch and Roll components are evaluated.

5.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

5.2.1 Accuracy

T4: test-a1

Type: Manual

This test refers to Section 5.1.2. The accuracy test will be conducted when changes to the logic or calculation components of the program are made. See https://github.com/adrian-soch/attitude_check/blob/main/scripts/compare_results.py.

5.3 Performance

T5: test-perf1

Type: Manual

Initial State: N/A

Input/Condition: N/A

Output/Result: Execution time on an embedded platform is fast enough for common sensor rates, 200 Hz or better.

How test will be performed: Code is timed on an embedded device.

5.3.1 Understandability

T6: **test-u1**

Type: Manual

Initial State: N/A

Input/Condition: N/A

Output/Result: Successful integration of Attitude Check into a project. This represents how typical users interact with the software.

How test will be performed: A manual inspection of the code, specifically the header file. Is each input documented/commented? This test passes if this is true.

5.3.2 Maintainability

T7: **test-m1**

Type: Manual

Initial State: N/A

Input/Condition: N/A

Output/Result: Successful addition of a likely change within the threshold defined in NFR3 of the SRS.

How test will be performed: If a likely change needs to be added, measure the development time and compare it to the total development time. If it is less than the threshold specified in the SRS, this test passes.

This test will not be explicitly conducted.

5.3.3 Portability

T8: **test-p1**

Type: Manual

Initial State: N/A

Input/Condition: One set of initialization inputs, 1 set of measurements

Output/Result: Result of compilation and execution.

How test will be performed: Build the project on Ubuntu and MacOS. Build and execute Attitude Check on a microcontroller with an IMU/MARg sensor.

5.4 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

Table 2: Relation of Test Cases and Requirements.

	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	NFR1	NFR2	NFR3	NFR4	NFR5
T1	X				X					
T2	X	X	X		X	X				
T3	X	X		X	X	X				
T4						X				
T5							X			
T6								X		
T7									X	
T8										X

6 Unit Test

This section provides details on the white box unit testing for Attitude Check.

6.1 Unit Testing Scope

The scope of the unit tests will not include testing the matrix math functionality of the Eigen library.

6.2 Tests for Functional Requirements

The tests for each module will be detailed here, each test will be part of an automated unit test suite.

6.2.1 Quaternion Module

This module contributes to R1, R2, R3, and R4. Tests can be found in [quaternion_test.cpp](#) The test names are related to the function that it tests. The tests are self documenting, since the assertions and expected values are explicitly coded. Some tests are repeated for single and double precision floating point values.

UT1: **Invalid initialization**

UT2: **Conjugate**

UT3: **Product**

UT4: **Norm**

UT5: **Scalar product**

UT6: **Subtract**

UT7: **Add**

UT8: **Subtract Equals**

UT9: **Equals**

6.2.2 Utilities Module

This module contributes to R2. Tests can be found in [utilities_test.cpp](#) The test names are related to the function that it tests. The tests are self documenting, since the assertions and expected values are explicitly coded.

UT10: **Euler to quat (double)**

UT11: **Euler to quat (float)**

UT12: **Rotation Matrix to quat (double)**

UT13: **Rotation Matrix to quat (single)**

6.2.3 Initializers Module

This module contributes to R2. Tests can be found in [initializers_test.cpp](#). The test names are related to the function that it tests. The tests are self documenting, since the assertions and expected values are explicitly coded.

UT14: **Accel to quat**

UT15: **Mag to quat**

6.2.4 Attitude Check Module

This module contributes to R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5. Tests can be found in [attitude_check_test.cpp](#). The test names are related to the function that it tests. The tests are self documenting, since the assertions and expected values are explicitly coded.

UT16: **Invalid Initialization**

UT17: **Invalid call to update**

UT18: **Call with zero mag vector**

UT19: **Call with zero gyro vector**

UT20: **Call with zero acc vector**

UT21: **Set quaternion**

UT22: **Set gain**

UT23: **Get gain**

UT24: **Get Initial orientation**

UT25: **Check MARG calculation**

UT26: **Check IMU calculation**

6.3 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

There are no unit tests for nonfunctional requirement.

6.4 Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules

Table 3: Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules

Module	Tests
Quaternion	UT1 - UT9
Matrix Math	None
Utilities	UT10 - UT13
Initializers	UT14 - UT15
Attitude Check	UT16 - UT 26

References

- Cmake. <https://cmake.org/>, 2023.
- Cppcheck. <https://cppcheck.sourceforge.io/>, 2023.
- Daniel Laidig. Berlin Robust Orientation Estimation Assessment Dataset (BROAD). <https://github.com/dlaidig/broad/tree/main>, 2023.
- Daniel Laidig, Marco Caruso, Andrea Cereatti, and Thomas Seel. Broad—a benchmark for robust inertial orientation estimation. *Data*, 6(7), 2021. ISSN 2306-5729. doi: 10.3390/data6070072. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2306-5729/6/7/72>.
- Sebastian O H Madgwick. An efficient orientation filter for inertial and inertial/magnetic sensor arrays.
- Adrian Sochaniwsky. System requirements specification for attitude check. https://github.com/adrian-soch/attitude_check/blob/main/docs/SRS/SRS.pdf, 2024.
- K.E. Wiegers. *Peer Reviews in Software: A Practical Guide*. Addison-Wesley information technology series. Addison-Wesley, 2002. ISBN 9780201734850. URL <https://books.google.com/books?id=d7BQAAAAMAAJ>.

7 Appendix

7.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

Param	Value
ϵ	3 %

7.2 IMU Test Data

Table 4: Sample of ground truth quaternion.

t	q_w	q_x	q_y	q_z
0	0.999926	0.001208	-0.002004	-0.011972
1	0.999923	0.001884	-0.002375	-0.012036
2	0.999922	0.002302	-0.002478	-0.012057
3	0.999925	0.002024	-0.001862	-0.011960
4	0.999927	0.001747	-0.001245	-0.011863
5	0.999927	0.001672	-0.001145	-0.011925
6	0.999926	0.001621	-0.001108	-0.012006
7	0.999926	0.001454	-0.001265	-0.012036
8	0.999926	0.001176	-0.001609	-0.012017
9	0.999926	0.001012	-0.001949	-0.012006

Table 5: Sample of sequential sensor measurements

t	acc_x	acc_y	acc_z	gyro_x	gyro_y	gyro_z	mag_x	mag_y	mag_z
0	0.090941	-0.031273	9.759028	0.005327	-0.000000	-0.004260	0.554144	14.948225	-41.506577
1	0.130181	-0.001843	9.793363	0.005327	0.001065	-0.008522	0.035031	15.327949	-41.656347
2	0.072302	-0.006748	9.864976	0.003196	0.001065	-0.007458	-0.484083	15.707673	-41.806118
3	0.062492	0.002081	9.855166	0.000000	0.002131	-0.005327	0.183349	15.707673	-40.982379
4	0.090941	0.069770	9.754123	0.005327	0.003196	-0.001065	0.850781	15.707673	-40.158640
5	0.077207	0.021701	9.735484	0.003196	0.002131	-0.005327	0.850781	15.707673	-40.158640
6	0.072302	-0.112696	9.812002	0.003196	0.001065	-0.004260	0.850781	15.707673	-40.158640
7	0.115466	0.069770	9.893425	0.004260	0.001065	-0.002131	0.183349	15.555783	-40.308411
8	0.052682	0.021701	9.807097	0.001065	0.004260	-0.003196	-0.484083	15.403894	-40.458182
9	0.029138	0.006986	9.816907	0.004260	0.001065	-0.005327	-0.261606	15.631728	-41.057264