#### "ALEXANDRU-IOAN CUZA" UNIVERSITY OF IASI

## **FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE IASI**



#### **MASTER'S THESIS**

# Ethics Rule Set Interpreter On Autonomous Driving

by

George-Adrian Untu

Session: July, 2025

Advisor

Conf. Dr. Ignat Anca

#### "ALEXANDRU-IOAN CUZA" UNIVERSITY OF IASI

## **FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE IASI**

# Ethics Rule Set Interpreter On Autonomous Driving

George-Adrian Untu

Session: July, 2025

Advisor

Conf. Dr. Ignat Anca

|       | Avizat                           |
|-------|----------------------------------|
|       | Îndrumător lucrare de disertație |
|       | Conf. Dr. Ignat Anca             |
| Data: | Semnătura:                       |

#### Declarație privind originalitatea conținutului lucrării de disertație

Subsemnatul **Untu George-Adrian** domiciliat în **România**, născut la data de **21 April 2001**, identificat prin CNP **5010421226705**, absolvent al **Universității "Alexandru-Ioan Cuza" din Iași, Facultatea de Informatică** specializarea **Optimizare Computațională**, promoția **2025**, declar pe propria răspundere cunoscând consecințele falsului în declarații în sensul art. 326 din Noul Cod Penal și dispozițiile Legii Educației Naționale nr. 1/2011 art. 143 al. 4 și 5 referitoare la plagiat, că lucrarea de disertație cu titlul **Ethics Rule Set Interpreter On Autonomous Driving** elaborată sub îndrumarea doamnei **Conf. Dr. Ignat Anca**, pe care urmează să o susțin în fața comisiei este originală, îmi aparține și îmi asum conținutul său în întregime.

De asemenea, declar că sunt de acord ca lucrarea mea de disertație să fie verificată prin orice modalitate legală pentru confirmarea originalității, consimțind inclusiv la introducerea conținutului ei într-o bază de date în acest scop.

Am luat la cunoștință despre faptul că este interzisă comercializarea de lucrări științifice în vederea facilitării falsificării de către cumpărător a calității de autor al unei lucrări de licență, de diplomă sau de disertație și în acest sens, declar pe proprie răspundere că lucrarea de față nu a fost copiată ci reprezintă rodul cercetării pe care am întreprins-o.

| Data: | Semnătura:   |
|-------|--------------|
| Data: | Schillatara. |

#### Declarație de consimțământ

Prin prezenta declar că sunt de acord ca lucrarea de disertație cu titlul **Ethics Rule Set Interpreter On Autonomous Driving**, codul sursă al programelor și celelalte conținuturi (grafice, multimedia, date de test, etc.) care însoțesc această lucrare să fie utilizate în cadrul Facultății de Informatică.

De asemenea, sunt de acord ca Facultatea de Informatică de la Universitatea "Alexandru-Ioan Cuza" din Iași, să utilizeze, modifice, reproducă și să distribuie în scopuri necomerciale programele-calculator, format executabil și sursă, realizate de mine în cadrul prezentei lucrări de disertație.

|       | Absolvent <b>George-Adrian Untu</b> |  |  |
|-------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Data: | Semnătura:                          |  |  |

# **Contents**

| M     | Motivation   |         |  | 3  |
|-------|--------------|---------|--|----|
| 1     | Introduction |         |  | 4  |
|       | 1.1          | Purpo   | se and Research Question               | 4  |
|       | 1.2          | Appro   | oach and Methodology                   | 4  |
|       | 1.3          | Scope   | and Limitations                        | 5  |
|       | 1.4          | Target  | t Group                                | 5  |
|       | 1.5          | Outlir  | ne                                     | 6  |
|       | 1.6          | Resea   | rch Activity                           | 6  |
| 2 Rel | Rela         | ated Wo | ork                                    | 8  |
|       | 2.1          | Theor   | etical Background                      | 8  |
|       |              | 2.1.1   | Ethical Dilemmas in Autonomous Driving | 8  |
|       |              | 2.1.2   | Decision-Making Models in AV Ethics    | 9  |
|       |              | 2.1.3   | Regulation and Legal Frameworks        | 9  |
|       |              | 2.1.4   | Complementary Technologies             | 10 |
| Bi    | bliog        | raphy   |  | 11 |

## **Motivation**

Software application creation serves as a fundamental building block for today's digital environment. From personal tools to large-scale enterprise systems, software drives innovation across all sectors—including healthcare, finance, education, and autonomous technologies. As the reliance on digital tools increases, so does the need for more accessible and efficient ways of developing them.

Traditionally, creating software code requires technical proficiency, domain knowledge, and an understanding of programming syntax and structure. This creates a barrier for non-technical users, limits collaborative innovation, and contributes to increasing development costs. Additionally, this complexity restricts individuals with disabilities or without formal training from participating in software creation, reinforcing the digital divide.

Recent advancements in natural language processing (NLP), automatic speech recognition (ASR), and machine learning (ML) offer promising opportunities to address these challenges. By translating spoken natural language into executable source code, intelligent systems can significantly lower the threshold for software development. This democratization of programming enables users to express logic verbally and generate syntactically correct and context-aware code through a user-friendly interface.

However, the relevance of this thesis extends beyond software accessibility and user empowerment. In parallel with the rise of intelligent systems is the growing demand for ethical transparency in autonomous decision-making, especially in areas like self-driving vehicles, healthcare diagnostics, and AI policy enforcement. A key concern is how systems interpret and respond to ethical dilemmas, particularly when they involve conflicting outcomes.

A major obstacle in studying such dilemmas lies in the diversity of ethical frameworks and contextual data used in decision-making. Therefore, a crucial part of this research is not only generating systems through speech but also building a prototype capable of fetching, comparing, and analyzing ethical data across databases—to surface consistent patterns, contradictions, or edge-case scenarios. The system is intended to support reasoned, data-informed decision-making in ethically charged contexts.

For example, when evaluating moral scenarios like those found in autonomous vehicle decision-making (e.g., the Moral Machine dataset), intelligent systems can compare real user responses and ethical choices across diverse populations and scenarios. Such systems can aid researchers, engineers, and policymakers by offering interpretable visual and logical outputs that reflect ethical trade-offs and highlight possible standards or inconsistencies.

This thesis is motivated by a convergence of goals:

- Making software creation more accessible through voice-driven systems and speechto-code translation
- Empowering individuals with limited technical background or physical ability to participate in programming and automation
- Creating intelligent systems capable of analyzing complex ethical data, drawing insights from cross-database comparisons, and assisting in automated ethical reasoning
- Offering tools for transparency and informed decision-making in the design of autonomous systems

The research embraces a multidisciplinary vision, combining software engineering, human-computer interaction, natural language understanding, and applied ethics. By integrating these domains, the resulting platform demonstrates how intelligent systems can serve not only as productivity tools but also as facilitators of socially and morally aware automation.

In summary, this work contributes to a future where inclusive design, ethical reasoning, and intelligent automation converge—reshaping both how we program and how we confront the ethical implications of machine decisions.

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose and Research Question

This thesis focuses on creating and examining a system for generating code through speech commands using natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning (ML) technologies. The central research question that drives this work asks:

What methods enable the combination of natural language processing and speech recognition to create correct and context-sensitive code from spoken commands?

The research intends to deliver a unique voice-driven programming prototype through its contributions which aim to enhance software development accessibility and efficiency.

## 1.2 Approach and Methodology

Natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning (ML) applications have grown to include language translation along with content generation and conversational agents among other domains. This research creates a web-based platform which uses user speech to generate pertinent source code through applied software engineering technologies.

The methodology consists of:

- Preprocessing speech input using automatic speech recognition (ASR)
- Converting spoken language into textual instructions

- Using trained NLP/ML models to interpret and convert the instructions into programming constructs
- Evaluating the generated code through testing and usability feedback

Python will be the main programming language, leveraging libraries such as TensorFlow, spaCy, and open-source speech-to-text APIs.

## 1.3 Scope and Limitations

To ensure the timely completion of this research, the project scope has been intentionally limited. The system will focus on generating code in a single programming language—Python—and will handle a defined subset of tasks such as function declarations, loops, and conditional statements. Complex multi-line logic, debugging suggestions, and real-time feedback will be outside the scope of this implementation.

Furthermore, the speech recognition system will be trained to understand Englishlanguage input and may not handle accents or multi-language commands effectively in its initial version. Despite these limitations, the project will provide a proof-of-concept implementation and testing environment for future expansion.

## 1.4 Target Group

The target group for a speech-based code generation system includes:

- Beginners and non-programmers seeking an easier way to create software
- Individuals with disabilities that make typing difficult
- Developers interested in rapid prototyping through voice commands
- Educators and students in computer science exploring assistive learning tools

  By lowering the entry barrier, this system will make programming accessible to all.

#### 1.5 Outline

The thesis will begin with a literature review of the state of the art in NLP and ML to be used in code generation tools. The review will also highlight the limitations and problems associated with these tools and outline areas of future research.

Following the literature review, the thesis will cover the methodology and deployment of the web application used as the user interface. This application allows users to record and play back verbal commands and retrieve the generated code, as well as the NLP and ML components that facilitate the speech-to-code functionality.

The implementation chapter will also cover system architecture, development process, and integration of significant technologies. The evaluation portion will cover speech-based system testing, including measures of usability as well as the quality of code generated.

The final chapters will cover the outcomes, present an extensive comparison with existing methodologies, and examine the limitations. The thesis will finalize with a summary of the conclusions, debating implications for the software industry, and recommending avenues for further research.

## 1.6 Research Activity

Beyond the core focus of my thesis, I have also maintained active engagement in the broader domain of Intelligent Systems, particularly with an emphasis on sustainability and renewable energy applications. This interdisciplinary exploration led to the conception and development of a project titled "Renewable Energy Investment Calculator" [6], which was presented at the 2022 International Conference on INnovations in Intelligent SysTems and Applications (INISTA) 2022. This work represents a critical intersection of intelligent system design, environmental consciousness, and economic modeling.

The central objective of the project was to create a computational tool capable of simulating and evaluating the long-term financial outcomes of various renewable energy investments. The calculator provides users with an intuitive platform to input financial parameters and energy consumption data, enabling a comparison between traditional energy sources and renewable alternatives such as solar and wind energy. The system models cost-benefit projections over multiple time horizons, incorporating

factors like installation costs, maintenance, government incentives, and energy prices. In doing so, it empowers individuals, policymakers, and businesses to make data-driven and environmentally responsible decisions regarding energy investments.

Our approach combined principles from artificial intelligence, economic modeling, and software engineering. From a technical standpoint, we employed intelligent algorithms to assess and forecast energy savings, ensuring the results adapt dynamically to user-provided inputs. The result was a decision-support system that contributes meaningfully to discussions around climate change mitigation, energy efficiency, and sustainable development. Furthermore, the project addresses global policy trends advocating for green transition and highlights how intelligent systems can facilitate these large-scale shifts.

Integrating this research activity into my academic portfolio demonstrates not only the practical utility of intelligent systems but also my capacity to engage with diverse and socially relevant problem domains. It highlights my ability to apply inter-disciplinary knowledge—bridging technical design with societal impact—to develop tools that support real-world decision-making. Moreover, it underlines my commitment to addressing contemporary global challenges through innovation and responsible system design. This work stands as a testament to both the adaptability of intelligent systems and my ongoing pursuit of meaningful, problem-solving research.

## Chapter 2

## **Related Work**

The increasing autonomy of vehicles raises not only technical but also critical ethical challenges. As these systems gain the ability to make complex driving decisions without human intervention, the question arises: how should these decisions be evaluated from an ethical standpoint?

## 2.1 Theoretical Background

#### 2.1.1 Ethical Dilemmas in Autonomous Driving

Autonomous vehicles (AVs) are rapidly becoming a key part of transportation innovation. While they promise increased safety and mobility—particularly for individuals with disabilities—they also bring forth a range of ethical and societal challenges. One widely discussed ethical scenario is the adaptation of the classical trolley problem: what should an AV do when confronted with two outcomes, both involving potential harm?

The "Moral Machine" experiment developed by MIT addressed this question by collecting millions of responses from people around the world, revealing significant cultural differences in what is considered "the right" ethical decision [5]. However, such extreme dilemmas are rare in real-life driving. More commonly, AVs must handle everyday ethical choices—such as reacting to jaywalking pedestrians, sharing the road with cyclists, or choosing safe behavior in dense traffic conditions [2].

These subtle, everyday moral decisions are now the focus of my research and are being analyzed using a combination of rule-based assessments and natural language inputs. For example:

"This vehicle does not operate fully autonomously in both urban and rural areas. It does require human intervention and the human is capable of handling all traffic conditions."

**Assessment:** SAE Level 0 — Human Responsibility **Conclusion:** Text complies with ethical expectations for this level.

"The autonomous vehicle is designed to prioritize the safety of its passengers, even if it means endangering pedestrians in extreme situations."

**Assessment:** SAE Level 5 — Full Responsibility **Conclusion:** Text may conflict with ethical expectations for this level.

#### 2.1.2 Decision-Making Models in AV Ethics

Several ethical decision-making models have been proposed to guide AV behavior:

- Rule-based systems: These rely on strict adherence to traffic laws and regulations.
- **Consequentialist models:** These evaluate the outcomes of each possible action and choose the one that minimizes harm.
- **Data-driven ethical learning:** These models learn from aggregated human decisions in similar scenarios, attempting to mimic human judgment [3].

A more nuanced approach is the *Ethical Valence Theory*, which argues that AVs should consider not only the number of people involved but also social vulnerability, likelihood of injury, and contextual sensitivity [4].

## 2.1.3 Regulation and Legal Frameworks

Ethical programming alone is not sufficient; these vehicles must operate within a legal framework that reflects society's values. Currently, there is no globally unified legislative approach to AV ethics. However, the European Union has introduced the *Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI*, while countries such as Germany and Japan have created national ethics committees to guide AV development [1].

My dissertation further analyzes these frameworks in comparison to tools like the MIT Moral Machine, assessing how effective or incomplete they are when applied in real-world ethical evaluations.

#### 2.1.4 Complementary Technologies

To increase transparency and trust, technologies such as Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Explainable AI (XAI) play a vital role. These systems allow AVs to justify their decisions in human-understandable ways, which is crucial in scenarios involving accidents or unexpected behavior.

Moreover, ethical databases derived from real-world user inputs and public consultations are being developed to train AV systems according to cultural values and social expectations [5, 4].

# Bibliography

- [1] E. Commission. Ethics guidelines for trustworthy ai. https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/ethics-guidelines-trustworthy-ai, 2019.
- [2] J. D. Greene. Our driverless dilemma. Science, 352(6293):1514–1515, 2016.
- [3] J. R. McDermid et al. Ethics and artificial intelligence in autonomous vehicles: A review. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 22(7):3806–3819, 2021.
- [4] C. Millar, F. Gella, and B. Friedman. Ethical valence theory for autonomous systems. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 28(1), 2022.
- [5] A. Shariff, I. Rahwan, and J.-F. Bonnefon. The moral machine experiment. *Nature*, 563(7729):59–64, 2018.
- [6] I. Vasiliţa, R. I. Bucnaru, A. Barbu, A. Pavel, T. Hoamea, C. Simionescu, and A. Iftene. Renewable energy investment calculator. In 2022 International Conference on INnovations in Intelligent SysTems and Applications (INISTA), pages 1–6, 2022.