

# Exercise 8

## Task 1

The Bazarra-Shetty function is defined by

$$f(x, y) := (x - 2)^4 + (x - 2y)^2.$$

- a) Compute all first and second partial derivatives of the Bazarra-Shetty function. What do you notice when you compare the two second derivatives  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y)$  und  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x, y)$ ? Does this always have to be the case? (Look up Schwarz's theorem.)
- b) Compute the gradient and the Hessian matrix of the function at the point  $(0, 0)$ .
- c) Find the stationary points, i.e. the potential extrema of the function.
- d) Check whether the stationary points found are (local, global) minima, (local, global) maxima or saddle points. Is the following theorem applicable?

**Theorem:** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a twice continuously differentiable function (i.e., all second partial derivatives exist and are continuous). Furthermore, assume that  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a stationary point of  $f$ , i.e., we have  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = 0$ . With  $\delta := \det(H_f(x_0, y_0)) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x_0, y_0) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x_0, y_0) - \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x_0, y_0)\right)^2$ , the following statements hold:

- $(x_0, y_0)$  is not an extremum if  $\delta < 0$ ,
- $(x_0, y_0)$  is a (local) minimum if  $\delta > 0$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x_0, y_0) > 0$ ,
- $(x_0, y_0)$  is a (local) maximum if  $\delta > 0$  und  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x_0, y_0) < 0$ .

## Task 2

Starting from the point  $x^0 = (0, 0)$ , calculate the next iteration point when minimizing the Bazarra-Shetty function using the following methods:

- a) Gradient descent with successive halving of the step size;
- b) Gradient descent with successive halving and parabola fitting;
- c) Newton's method.

## Task 1

$$f(x, y) := (x-2)^4 + (x-2y)^2$$

$$a) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) = 4(x-2)^3 + 2(x-2y)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) = -4(x-2y)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x, y) = 12(x-2)^2 + 2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) = -4$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x, y) = 8$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x, y) = -4$$

Are the same. According to Schwarz's theorem it does not matter whether we first differentiate wrt. x and then wrt. y or vice versa.

$$b) \nabla f(0, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, 0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_f(0, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(0, 0) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(0, 0) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(0, 0) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(0, 0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 50 & -4 \\ -4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

c) To find stationary points we set the first derivatives to zero

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) = 4(x-2)^3 + 2(x-2y) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) = -4(x-2y) = 0 \quad | \text{ simplify}$$

$$-4x + 8y = 0 \quad | +4x$$

$$8y = 4x \quad | :4$$

$$x = 2y$$

$$\rightarrow x = 2y$$

$$4(x-2)^3 + 2(x-x) = 0 \quad | \text{ simplify}$$

$$4(x-2)^3 = 0$$

$$x = 2$$

with  $x = 2$

$$-4(2-2y) = 0$$

$$-8 + 8y = 0$$

$$8y = 8$$

$$y = 1$$

These is exactly one stationary point at  $(2, 1)$

we get  $\delta = \det(H_f(2, 1)) = 0$ . Therefore, we cannot apply the theorem. But, since the function f can only assume non-negative values, we still see the stationary point  $(2, 1)$  with  $f(2, 1) = 0$  is both local and global minimum.

d) With

$$H_f(2, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(2, 1) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(2, 1) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(2, 1) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(2, 1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 \\ -4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Task 2**  $f(x, y) := (x-2)^4 + (x-2y)^2$

Start from point  $x^0 = (0, 0)$

a) Gradient descent with successive halving of the step size

At point  $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$  we have  $f(0,0) = 16 \quad -(0-2)^4 + (0-2 \cdot 0)^2 = (-2)^4 = 16$

$$\nabla f(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\beta$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \end{pmatrix} - \beta \cdot \nabla f(x_0, y_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \beta \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$f(x_1, y_1)$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - 1 \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	811024
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 16 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	381672
$\frac{1}{4}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	11360
$\frac{1}{8}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	32
$\frac{1}{16}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$4 < 16$ done!

The next iteration point therefore is  $x^1 = (x_1, y_1) = (2, 0)$  (when only using successive halving)

b) Using the result from a), we first fit a parabola  $P(t) = at^2 + bt + c$  through the following three sample points.  
 (Recall from a) that after the successive halving phase we have  $\beta = 1/16$ )

$P(0)$	$\begin{array}{ c l } \hline + & P(t) \stackrel{!}{=} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ \hline 0 & P(0) \stackrel{!}{=} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 16 \\ \hline \end{array}$
$P(\beta)$	$\begin{array}{ c l } \hline \frac{1}{16} & P\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \stackrel{!}{=} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$
$P(2\beta)$	$\begin{array}{ c l } \hline \frac{1}{8} & P\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) \stackrel{!}{=} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 32 \\ \hline \end{array}$

$$\Rightarrow \beta^* = \frac{-b}{2a} = \frac{\beta}{2} \cdot \frac{3 \cdot P(0) - 4 \cdot P(\beta) + P(2\beta)}{P(0) - 2 \cdot P(\beta) + P(2\beta)}$$

$$= \frac{1/16}{2} \cdot \frac{3 \cdot 16 - 4 \cdot 4 + 32}{16 - 2 \cdot 4 + 32} = \frac{1/16}{2} \cdot \frac{64}{40} = \underline{\underline{0.05}} = \beta^*$$

Therefore, we consider

$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{20} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8/5 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.6 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  as our next iteration point. But first we check the resulting function value

For  $\beta = 1/16$  we get  $f(2,0) = 4$ , for  $\beta^* = 1/20$  we get  $f(1.6,0) = 2.5856\dots$  which is better. The next iteration point therefore is  $x^1 = (x_1, y_1) = (1.6, 0)$

$$c) \quad x^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - (H_f(0,0))^{-1} \cdot \nabla f(0,0) \quad (H_f(0,0))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 50 & -4 \\ -4 & 8 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/48 & 1/6 \\ 1/6 & 25/192 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1/48 & 1/6 \\ 1/6 & 25/192 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 2/3 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{This is the next iteration point}$$

## Task 3

Consider the function

$$f(x, y, z) := x^2 + y^2 + z^2.$$

- a) Calculate the first 3 iteration points when minimizing the function  $f$  using gradient descent and successive halving of the step size, starting from  $x^0 = (3, 1, -2)$ .
- b) Calculate the first 3 iteration points when minimizing the function  $f$  using Newton's method, again starting from  $x^0 = (3, 1, -2)$ .
- c) What do you observe in both cases? Would we observe the same thing for different starting points? Would we observe the same thing for other quadratic functions like e.g.  $f(x, y, z) := x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2$  and arbitrary starting points?

## Task 4 (\*optional)

- a) Study the three implementations/variants of the gradient method available from the homepage (constant step size, successive halving, successive halving and parabola fitting), and try them out on the Bazara-Shetty function and other examples. Implementations are available in R.

It might also be a good idea to implement these methods on your programmable calculator.

- b) Determine the global minimum of the following function with the programs from a):

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) := 2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 - x_4) + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + \frac{15 + x_5^2}{2} - x_5.$$

## Task 3

$$f(x, y, z) := x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

$$\text{a)} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2x \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 2 \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2y \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = 2 \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 2z \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} = 2 \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y} = 0$$

we start at  $x^0 = (3, 1, -2)$  these we have  $f(3, 1, -2) = 14$  and

$$\nabla f(3, 1, -2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cdot 3 \\ 2 \cdot 1 \\ 2 \cdot (-2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} \quad 3 + 1 + 4 = 14$$

$\beta$	$f(x_1, y_1)$
$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} - 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$	14
$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0

$$\text{b)} \quad x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_f(x_1, y_1, z_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(H_f(x_1, y_1, z_1))^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Regardless of the starting point we get the same result!  
All subsequent iteration points remain at  $(0, 0, 0)$

c) It's just a special case (for symmetry reasons) and we were lucky with choosing  $\beta = 1$ .

# Solutions to Exercise 8

## Solution to Task 1

a) We get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= (x - 2)^4 + (x - 2y)^2, \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) &= 4(x - 2)^3 + 2(x - 2y), \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) &= -4(x - 2y), \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x, y) &= 12(x - 2)^2 + 2, \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) &= -4, \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x, y) &= -4, \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x, y) &= 8. \end{aligned}$$

The partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y)$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x, y)$  are equal. According to Schwarz's theorem, it does not matter whether we first differentiate with respect to  $x$  and then with respect to  $y$ , or vice versa.

b) The gradient at the point  $(0, 0)$  is

$$\nabla f(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, 0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Hessian matrix at the point  $(0, 0)$  is

$$H_f(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(0, 0) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(0, 0) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(0, 0) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(0, 0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 50 & -4 \\ -4 & 8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

c) The stationary points can be found by setting the first derivatives to zero, since the gradient must be equal to the null vector.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) &= 4(x - 2)^3 + 2(x - 2y) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) &= -4(x - 2y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

From the second equation it follows that  $x = 2y$ . Substituting this into the first equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} 4(x - 2)^3 + 2(x - x) &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow 4(x - 2)^3 &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow x &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

With  $x = 2y$ , it follows that  $y = 1$ . Therefore there is exactly one stationary point:  $(2, 1)$ .

d) With

$$H_f(2, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(2, 1) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(2, 1) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(2, 1) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(2, 1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -4 \\ -4 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

we get  $\delta = \det(H_f(2, 1)) = 0$ . Therefore the theorem cannot be applied. However, since the function  $f$  can only assume non-negative values, we still see that the stationary point  $(2, 1)$  with  $f(2, 1) = 0$  is both a local and a global minimum.

*Remark:* The fact that  $H_f$  is singular at  $(2, 1)$  is one of the reasons the Bazzara-Shetty function is difficult to optimize, and therefore used as a testbed for different optimization methods. We will get back to this point in Task 9.3.

## Solution to Task 2

a) Using our results from Task 1b), at the point  $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, 0) &= 16, \\ \nabla f(0, 0) &= \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

While doing the successive halving, we encounter the following values:

$\beta$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \end{pmatrix} - \beta \cdot \nabla f(x_0, y_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \beta \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$f(x_1, y_1)$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - 1 \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	811024
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 16 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	38672
$\frac{1}{4}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	1360
$\frac{1}{8}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	32
$\frac{1}{16}$	$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$4 < 16 \quad \text{done!}$

The next iteration point therefore is  $x^1 = (x_1, y_1) = (2, 0)$  (when only using successive halving).

b) Using the results from a), we first fit a parabola  $P(t) = at^2 + bt + c$  through the following three sample points. (Recall from a) that after the successive halving phase we have  $\beta = 1/16$ .)

$t$	$P(t) \stackrel{!}{=} f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - t \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$
0	$P(0) \stackrel{!}{=} f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - 0 \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 16$
$\frac{1}{16}$	$P\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \stackrel{!}{=} f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 4$
$\frac{1}{8}$	$P\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) \stackrel{!}{=} f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 32$

The vertex of the parabola is therefore at  $\beta^* = -\frac{b}{2a} = 1/20 = 0.05$ .  
 Therefore, we consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{20} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8/5 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.6 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

as our next iteration point. Before actually choosing it, we need to check the resulting function value: For  $\beta = 1/16$  we got the function value  $f(2, 0) = 4$  (see a)), for  $\beta^* = 1/20$  we get the function value  $f(1.6, 0) = 2.5856 \dots$ , which is better. The next iteration point therefore is  $x^1 = (x_1, y_1) = (1.6, 0)$ .

- c) The next iteration point  $x^1 = (x_1, y_1)$  when minimizing the Bazarra-Shetty function with Newton's method starting from  $x^0 = (0, 0)$  is

$$x^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - (H_f(0, 0))^{-1} \cdot \nabla f(0, 0).$$

In order to calculate the new point, the matrix  $H_f(0, 0)$  we determined in Task 1b) needs to be inverted. This is most conveniently done with the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get

$$(H_f(0, 0))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 50 & -4 \\ -4 & 8 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{384} \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 4 \\ 4 & 50 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{48} & \frac{1}{96} \\ \frac{1}{96} & \frac{25}{192} \end{pmatrix},$$

and therefore the next iteration point is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - (H_f(0, 0))^{-1} \cdot \nabla f(0, 0) = - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{48} & \frac{1}{96} \\ \frac{1}{96} & \frac{25}{192} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -32 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Solution to Task 3

- a) We arrive at the minimum  $(0, 0, 0)$  after only one step, regardless of the starting point  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ :

The gradient at a point  $(x, y, z)$  is

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x \\ 2y \\ 2z \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\beta = 1$  does not result in any improvement in the value of the function:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \\ z_0 \end{pmatrix} - 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2x_0 \\ 2y_0 \\ 2z_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -x_0 \\ -y_0 \\ -z_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $f(-x_0, -y_0, -z_0) = f(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ .

$\beta = 0.5$  already gives the minimum  $(0, 0, 0)$  of the function:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \\ z_0 \end{pmatrix} - 0.5 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2x_0 \\ 2y_0 \\ 2z_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that all subsequent iteration points remain at  $(0, 0, 0)$ .

b) In this case also we are already finished after the first step, regardless of the starting point:

The Hessian matrix at a point  $(x, y, z)$  is

$$H_f(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$(H_f(x, y, z))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \\ z_0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2x_0 \\ 2y_0 \\ 2z_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

regardless of the starting point. All subsequent iteration points remain at  $(0, 0, 0)$ .

- c) • The phenomenon observed in a) is more or less specific to the example considered. In general, for quadratic functions the gradient does *not* point directly towards the optimum. (Here it happened for symmetry reasons, for a counterexample consider  $f(x) = x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2$  and the starting point  $x^0 = (1, 1, 1)$ .) Moreover, we were lucky with the step size – the arbitrary choice of  $\beta = 1$  for the first attempt turned out to be ideal here, but would lead to lots of jumping back and forth for the very similar function  $f(x, y, z) = 0.99 \cdot (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$ .

- The phenomenon observed in b) occurs for *any* quadratic function  $f$  with a unique minimum, like e.g.  $f(x, y, z) = x^2 - 2xy + 2y^2 + 3z^2 = (x-y)^2 + y^2 + 3z^2$ , and any starting point. The reason for this surprising behavior is that Newton's method approximates the derivative/gradient of  $f$  by a linear function, and therefore implicitly  $f$  itself by a quadratic function. If  $f$  is quadratic to begin with, the ‘approximation’ turns out to be exact!

## Solution to Task 4

- a) See R scripts.
- b) The global Minimum is at point  $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ .