Heuristics and Search Algorithms for Sokoban

Adrian-Nicolae Ariton POLITEHNICA Bucharest. adrian.ariton@stud.acs.pub.ro adrian.ariton0@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper explores various heuristics for **Sokoban** and builds on top of them in order to reach a valid ϵ -admisible heuristic. This further compares K-BeamSearch and LRTA*. The code for this report is located at github.com/adrianariton/sokoban

1 Sokoban

Sokoban is a game where a player has to push boxes to their targets. Aditionally, the player can also use pull moves, but the less pull moves the player uses, the better score he/she will receive. In order to design agents that solve the game with 0 pull moves we propose a heuristic based on Dijkstra's algorithm with some modifications.

2 Heuristics

A simple approach, which is not included in this report, is to compute the manhattan distance between each box and each target, and apply the Hungarian algorithm to do a least score match. This approach has been discussed in many papers, so another approach has been chosen for this report. The scope of this report is finding a complex heuristic that correctly approximates the number of moves it would take a player to move a box. *Note:* in this paper **score** and **cost** refer to the same thing i.e. the cost; **score** is used only in code.

3 A pseudo-Dijkstra heuristic

In the case of a single box and a single target, the goto algorithm is a modified Dijkstra that calculates the

Copyright © by the paper's authors. Copying permitted for private and academic purposes.

In: A. Ariton; https://github.com/adrianariton/sokoban




Figure 1: The 'temporary' cost to move the box towards the target is calculated as the sum between the distance from the box to the next square (the one marked with '1') and the distance from the player to the square it needs to be to be able to push the box forward. i.e. 4+1=5

distance from the box to the next box position closest to the target and the player position to the square that can push the box towards that position, i.e. a 'temporary' cost.

This constitutes the **box_to_targets** function that takes as input the map, a box and a player position and a list of targets (possibly only one) and yields a minimal correct cost to get the box to one the targets and the position the player needs to be in in order to do the firt push of the box.

3.1 The box_to_targets function

The algorithm for this iteratively calculates the 'temporary cost' of pushing a box closer to the target, by using a heap that stores the current cost, the box position and the player position for the current branch of the state-tree. It then calculates the next position of the box by making sure the one symmetric to it w.r.t the box is also unobstructed by walls and bounds.

For example in Figure 1, the algorithm doesn't take into consideration moving the box right, because afterwards, there is no way of escaping the right edge of the map.

Sampling initial directions. 3.1.1

Because this approach proved to only work for the single-box tests for LRTA*, a more informed heuristic was built on top of this. We need to figure out the position the player needs to be in order to push the box in the most optimal manner.

This is done by iterating through the 4 possible initial box moves and then applying the box_to_targets algorithm to figure out the optimal cost. After that the cost from the player to the corresponding square is calculated and returned by the function.

```
Algorithm 1 box_to_targets_bfs
Require: sokoban_map, box_pos, player_pos, targets,
    blocked
Require: box_block
                                (default
                                                   False),
    update_reachable
                           (default
                                      True),
                                                 verbose
    (default False)
 1: if box_block then
      reachable,
                        player_dists
                                                   reach-
      able_positions(sokoban_map,
                                              player_pos,
      blocked \cup \{box\_pos\})
 3: else
      reachable,
                        player_dists
                                                   reach-
      able_positions(sokoban_map,
                                              player_pos,
      blocked)
 5: end if
   if box_pos \in targets then
      return (0, box_pos)
 8: end if
 9: \min_{\text{box\_dists}} \leftarrow \text{empty list}
10: for
             each
                       direction
                                       (dx,dy)
    \{(-1,0),(1,0),(0,1),(0,-1)\} do
      cost \leftarrow find_min_push_cost(sokoban_map,
11:
      box_pos, player_pos, blocked, targets, (dx, dy),
      box_block)
      Append ((dx,dy),cost) to min_box_dists
12:
13: end for
14: min\_cost \leftarrow 10050.0
15: \min_{pos} \leftarrow box_{pos}
16: for each (dir, cost) in min_box_dists do
      ppos \leftarrow box\_pos - dir
17:
18:
      if cost < min_cost then
19:
         \min_{cost} \leftarrow cost
20:
         \min_{pos} \leftarrow ppos
      end if
21:
22: end for
23: if min_ppos ∉ reachable then
      return (10050.0, min_ppos)
24:
25: end if
26: return (min_cost, min_ppos) =0
```

Algorithm 2 find_min_push_cost Require: sokoban_map, box_pos, player_pos, blocked, targets, direction (dx, dy), box_block 1: $bpos \leftarrow box_pos + (dx, dy)$ 2: $ppos \leftarrow box_pos -(dx, dy)$ 3: **if** not inbound(bpos) or not inbound(ppos) **then return** 1000.0 5: end if 6: if is_obstacle(bpos) or is_obstacle(ppos) then 7: **return** 1000.0 8: end if 9: best \leftarrow {bpos: 0} 10: heap $\leftarrow [(0, bpos, player_pos)]$ 11: while heap is not empty do 12: $(\cos t, (bx, by), (pl_x, pl_y)) \leftarrow \text{pop minimum}$ heap element 13: if $(bx, by) \in targets then$ return cost 14: end if 15: if box_block then 16: 17: reachable. player_dists reachable_positions(sokoban_map, $(pl_x,$ $pl_y),$ blocked $\cup \{(bx, by)\}$) 18: else reachable, player_dists 19: reachable_positions(sokoban_map, $(pl_x,$ $pl_y),$ blocked) 20: end if for each (dx, dy) \in 21: $\{(-1,0),(1,0),(0,1),(0,-1)\}$ do 22: $nx, ny \leftarrow bx + dx, by + dy$ $px, py \leftarrow bx - dx, by - dy$ 23: if not inbound(px, py) or is_obstacle(px, py) 24: then continue 25: 26: end if if not inbound(nx, ny) or is_obstacle(nx, ny) 27: then continue 28: end if 29: if $(px, py) \in blocked$ or $(nx, ny) \in blocked$ 30: then continue 31: end if 32: if $(px, py) \notin reachable then$ 33: continue 34: end if 35: if $(nx, ny) \in targets$ then 36: $sc \leftarrow 0$ 37: else 38: $sc \leftarrow 1$ 39: 40: end if $new_cost \leftarrow cost + player_dists.get((px, py),$ 41: 1) + scif new_cost < best.get((nx, ny), ∞) then 42: $best[(nx, ny)] \leftarrow new_cost$ 43: push (new_cost, (nx, ny), (px, py)) to heap 44: end if 45: end for 47: end while

48: **return** 1000.0

=0

The **box_to_targets_bfs** function returns the minimum cost of pushing a box to any of a given list of targets, by ignoring the **blocked** set of squares and, depending on the *box_block* parameter, the box position as well.

The variant with box_block set to True is used in the context of this paper because of its correctness, however using the False variant can also yield good results, as it makes the heuristic more likely to be admissible for multiple boxes.

3.2 Reductions for multiple boxes

For each box, the above box to targets function is called and reduced using either **sum** or **min**. Min makes the heuristic admissible however it introduces possible jumps in costs that discourage pushing a box to a target when it is neighboring the target.

This is best seen in the **Medium-1** test.

Figure 2: The figure on the left is the initial setup, and the one on the right right is after pushing the box. When the box is pushed, the 'min' reduction can either:

- 1. ignore B(1) as it matched with the target, case in which the cost goes from 1 to 8 + 1 = 9, so the agent may chose not to push the box which is bad, or
- 2. not ignore B(1) cas in which the agent would choose not to leave to go to the other box after it pushes B(1) because the cost would increase. In both cases without an incentive to match the boxes and a more complex reduction such as sum, the player would oscilate aimlessly between two states.

In order to fix this, the **sum** reduction is used along with an incentive cost that makes the player push boxes to target. (for SOKOBAN_PLAYER_SEEDED_TARGET 5 is added to the cost for each unmatched box or -100 for each matched box for SOKOBAN_PLAYER_TARGET - which makes the function not work with LRTA*).

The first approach makes the heuristic ϵ -admissable with a pretty large epsilon of 5*number of boxes, but because the reduction used is 'sum', and given that the boxes cannot all be neighbours (i.e. all in a square shape with 4 angles), the minimum cost for an unsolved puzzle with a random player position will always exceed 5*number of unmatched boxes, we are guaranteed that we get to the solution after a finite amount of iterations of LRTA*.

The incentive was added to speed up the process of learning and require no restarts of the algorithm.




Figure 3: The boxes for the **medium_2** map have complicated paths to their respective targets; their respective first reached target found by the *box to targets* function can change resulting in oscillating states for the player.

The boxes 1 and 2 would fight over the target_2, because box_1 is closer to the target_2 than it is to target_1. The matches should be either (1-2, 2-1) or (1-1, 2-2). Thus permutation matching is needed.

3.2.1 Player to target cost reduction

As discussed previously, the player needs to be able to reach its target box in order to be able to push it. This cost translates in the BFS distance between the player and the 'behind-position' of the box it decides to push. This is reduced using **min** for multiple boxes to not overestimate the cost of the player.

3.2.2 Oscillations

Oscillations can occur when the **box to targets bfs** is calculated for each box w.r.t all targets.

3.3 Medium_2 test and Oscilations

In cases when the paths from boxes to their targets are not so simple, oscilations of the player's position may result.

This happens because the box to targets function takes into account all of the targets for a single box, so two boxes can reach the same target when the algorithm is applied, resulting in skewed cost functions and in fights between 2 boxes for the same target.

An example is the **Medium_2** test shown in Figure 3

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{By}$ behind position we mean the position the player needs to be in order to be able to push the box

Algorithm 3 Sokoban Player Target Cost Function

```
0: function SOKOBAN_PLAYER_TARGET(sokoban_map)
      player\_pos \leftarrow (player.x, player.y)
      boxes \leftarrow list of boxes
0:
      targets \leftarrow listoftargets
0:
      obstacles \leftarrow list of obstacles
0:
      boxposes \leftarrow list of box positions
0:
      free\_targets \leftarrow [t \mid t \in targets, t \notin boxposes]
0:
0:
      if is_deadlocked(sokoban_map) then
         return 100000.0
0:
      end if
0:
      box\_in\_targets \leftarrow 0
0:
      cost \leftarrow +\infty
0:
      sums \leftarrow []
0:
      for all box \in boxposes do
0:
0:
         if box \in targets then
           box\_in\_targets \leftarrow box\_in\_targets + 1
0:
           continue
0:
         end if
0:
0:
         other\_boxes \leftarrow boxes \setminus \{box\}
         (score, direction) \leftarrow box\_to\_targets\_bfs(box,
0:
   player_pos, free_targets, blocked = obstacles
   \cup other\_boxes, box\_block = True)
         dist \leftarrow bfs\_distance(player\_pos,
0:
                                                   [direction],
   forbidden = boxposes \cup obstacles)
         box\_cost \leftarrow score + dist
0:
         cost \leftarrow min(cost, box\_cost)
0:
         Append (score, dist) to sums
0:
      end for
0:
0:
      if box\_in\_targets = len(boxes) then
         return -(box\_in\_targets) \times 100
0:
0:
      end if
      fsc \leftarrow 0
0:
      max\_d \leftarrow 0
0:
0:
      for all (s,d) \in sums do
         max\_d \leftarrow \max(max\_d, d)
0:
         fsc \leftarrow fsc + s
0:
      end for
0:
      return cost - (box\_in\_targets) \times 100 + max\_d
0: end function=0
```

3.4 Permutations matching for sokoban_player_target

In order to bypass the oscillation problem, a reduction is made over the minimum of all box to targets permutations (similarly to a brute force Hungarian algorithm.), and the **sokoban_player_target** is applied for each permutation, and the minimum is chosen as the cost.

This makes the function take very long for a big number of boxes or large state space, (test Large_2), so for bigger tests, the Hungarian algorithm is to be used.

To make the heuristic positive the -100 incen-

tive for each correct box is replaced with a +5 incentive for each unmatched box, resulting in the $sokoban_player_seeded_target$ function.

4 Deadlock Detection

In order to detect invalid maps a deadlock detection is employed, and such a map is considered invalid if:

- 1. a box is cornered by walls or bounds and is not in its asigned target
- 2. a box is stuck on an edge and there is no asigned target on that edge.
- 3. there is no path from the player to the assigned 'behind position' of a given box

5 Redundancy Detection

In order to help LRTA* learn faster, a redundancy detection is added to each player move. A player move is considered redundant if:

1. the resulting player position is surrounded 3 ways by walls and the other way is not a box. 2. the player oscillates (only used for BeamSearch as LRTA* already employs learning into it's core)

6 Search Algorithms

The search algorithms used in this report are K-BeamSearch for K=10 with stochastic climbing, and LRTA*.

6.1 Stochastic K-BeamSearch

The BeamSearch keeps a queue of current states and a queue of candidate states, all with length K. Next states for each state in queue are sampled w.r.t their cost and the temperature, similarly to Simulated Annealing, and if the new minimum cost is an improvement, the new candidate queue gets updated with the candidate state, else, there is a small probability based on the temperature that we update the candidate queue regardless of weather the candidate is an improvement or not.

This probability approaches 0 as the number of iterations increases. Each queue element maintains a state a cost and the moves queue, in order to be able to trace back the moves from the initial state. Further more, on top of the <code>SOKOBAN_PLAYER_SEEDED_TARGET</code> a redundance cost is added, to discourage oscilations (UP-DOWN-UP-DOWN) and (LEFT-RIGHT-LEFT-RIGHT).

6.1.1 Why Stochastic?

In order to be able to compete with LRTA* in speed, and keep K small, we need some hill climbing heuristics and some restart mechanisms.

The mechanisms are as such:

- 1. When all candidate states have reached an invalid state, we restart.
- 2. When a slalom tolerance is reached (i.e. the best state oscillates between two states for some amount of iterations), the temperature is increased.
 - 3. When an amount slaloms happen we restart.
- 4. When no improvement is reached and the temperature is smaller than a threshold we restart.

Algorithm 4 Simulated Beam Search Solver

```
0: Initialize queue with (score(start), start, [])
0: temperature \leftarrow initial temperature
0: iterations \leftarrow 0
0: while True do
0:
     Increment iterations
     if iterations > max\_iterations then
0:
       return best state from queue
0:
     end if
0:
     candidates \leftarrow [], is\_improvement \leftarrow False
0:
     for each (score, state, moves) in queue do
0:
       Sample next valid moves
0:
       for each move do
0:
0:
          Compute new state and score
          if new score improves or random chance
0:
  with temperature then
            is\_improvement \leftarrow True
0:
          end if
0:
          Add to candidates
0:
       end for
0:
0:
     end for
     if any candidate is solved then
0:
       return solved candidate
0:
     end if
0:
     if not is_improvement or candidates empty
0:
  then
       return best state from queue
0:
0:
     end if
     Choose new queue from best candidates
0:
     Adjust temperature based on slalom tolerance
0:
```

6.2 LRTA*

0: **end while**=0

The LRTA* employed uses maximum 4 restarts and is a text book LRTA* implemented as in [UPBIA] and [AIMA]. Although 4 restarts are used, none are needed for most tests, the single exception being the **Hard-1** which needs three restarts to learn the map more thoroughly, in order to keep the number of moves under 200. For a 500 move cap, **SuperHard** is solved with 2 restarts.




Figure 4: Logarithmic representation of the runtime of algorithms for LRTA* (dark circle) and K-Beamsearch(10) (light square). LRTA* outperforms KBS by a factor of nearly 10. KBS(20, pulls allowed=True) (green square dots) is also shown for h1 ans SH tests

7 Results

7.1 Heuristic Validation

The resulting SOKOBAN_PLAYER_SEEDED_TARGET solves almost all the test cases for both algorithms with 0 pulls. Because the heuristics were created for cases when 0 pulls are permitted, we present the following table showing the number of pulls for each test's found solution.

	E1	E2	H1	H2	L1	L2	M1	M2	SH
L*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KB	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	24

LRTA* managed to solve all the tests with 0 pulls under 200 moves except **SuperHard**, which gets solved in 263 moves while **KBS(10)**² managed to solve all the tests with 0 pulls except **SuperHard** and **Hard1**, for which its runtime exceeded 5 minutes and was thus interrupted.³.

7.2 Runtime

Using a python 3.11 environment, with a MACOS M1 and LRU Cache on heuristic functions we obtain the runtimes for the SOKOBAN_PLAYER_SEEDED_TARGET heuristic as shown in Figure 4.

 $^{^2\}mbox{K-Beam-Seach}$ for K=10

³Hard1 and SuperHard can be solved by KBS(20) with pulls allowed and a pull penalty of 5 per pull move (see Appendix B: Results Table)




Figure 5: Logarithmic representation of the number of moves of algorithms for LRTA* (dark circle) and K-Beam-search(10) (light square). LRTA* has a move cap of 200 set in code, and uses no restarts for all tests with the exception of Hard_1 which uses 3 restarts and SH which uses 2 restarts and a 500 move cap. Green is KBS(20) with pulls allowed.

7.3 Moves Number

The number of moves for the SOKOBAN_PLAYER_SEEDED_TARGET heuristic is as shown in Figure 5.

The number of restarts for the LRTA* algorithms is shown here:

	E1	E2	H1	H2	L1	L2	M1	M2	SH
L*	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2

7.3.1 Moves Number Analysis for KBS(10)

The maximum number of moves for KBS(10) is for the Large_2 test which is 724 moves, second being Hard_2 with 544. This discrepancy between KBS and the compactness of LRTA*4, happens because KBS, is more likely to allow oscil; lations for small K. This is partially solved by stochasticity.

7.4 Expanded States

Every time we calculate a state's neighbors, we say we *expand* it. That being said, the less states an algorithm expands, the better and more precise it is⁵.

The number of expanded states for the SOKOBAN_PLAYER_SEEDED_TARGET heuristic is shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6: Expanded States for LRTA* (dark circle) and K-Beam-search(10) (light square). Hard-2 and Large-2 expand 13196 and 11487 respectively for KBS, but are only 663 and 558 respectively for LRTA*. By sheer luck, for Medium-2, LRTA* expands more states then the BeamSearch. KBS(20) with pulls allowed is also included for SH and H1 tests (green square).

7.5 Acknowledgements

This report was prepared as part of a project for the Artificial Intelligence class at POLITEHNICA University of Bucharest.

References

[AIMA] P. Norvig, S. Russel. LRTA*, K-BeamSearch Artificial Intelligence - A Modern Approach (3rd Edition).

[UPBIA] A. M. Florea LRTA*, K-BeamSearch Artificial Intelligence Course (2025) - PO-LITEHNICA Bucharest.

 $^{^4\}mathrm{LRTA}^*$ yields solutions under 200 moves for non super-hard all tests

 $^{^5 \}mathrm{e.g.}$ A* v
s Dijkstra

Appendix

A Sokoban Player Seeded target function

```
0: function SOKOBANPLAYERSEEDEDTARGET(map)
     player \leftarrow map.player position
0:
     targets, boxes, obstacles \leftarrow mapdata
     free targets \leftarrow targets \ not \ occupied \ by \ boxes
0:
     if map is deadlocked then
0:
       return large penalty
0:
     end if
0:
     function Solve(perm)
0:
       initialize cost, box_in_targets
0:
       \mathbf{for} \ \mathbf{all} \ \mathrm{boxes} \ \mathbf{do}
0:
          if box at correct target then
0:
             increment\ box\_in\_targets
0:
0:
          else
            compute move cost via BFS and store box-target and player-box costs
0:
          end if
0:
       end for
0:
       if all boxes placed then
0:
          return 0
0:
       else
0:
          return reduce(box- target costs) + reduce(player- box costs)
0:
       end if
     end function
0:
     Initialize best score as \infty
0:
     for all box-target permutations do
0:
       compute score with Solve
0:
       if score improves then
0:
          update best score
0:
       end if
0:
     end for
0:
     if \ \mathrm{map} \ \mathrm{redundant} \ then
0:
       add small penalty
0:
     end if
0:
     return best score
0: end function=0
```

B Results Table

	RunTime(s)	Moves	ExpandedStates	Pulls	Restarts	Pulls Allowed/Penalty
$E1_{KBS(10)}$	0.22	79	769	0	-	N/-
$E2_{KBS(10)}$	0.30	45	2369	0	-	N/-
$H1_{KBS(10)}$	≥ 360.0	-	-	-	-	N/-
$H2_{KBS(10)}$	10.38	544	13196	0	-	N/-
$L1_{KBS(10)}$	9.61	252	2499	0	-	N/-
$L2_{KBS(10)}$	327.99	724	11487	0	-	N/-
$M1_{KBS(10)}$	4.67	145	2588	0	-	N/-
$M2_{KBS(10)}$	9.78	106	2764	0	-	N/-
$E^*,M^*,H2,L^*_{KBS(20)}$	untested	-	-	-	-	Y/5
$\mathrm{H1}_{KBS(20)}$	99.13	996	59854	13	-	Y/5
$SH_{KBS(20)}$	1881.20	104	2041	24	-	Y/5
$E1_{LRTA*}$	0.17	21	92	0	0	N/-
$E2_{LRTA*}$	0.02	11	49	0	0	N/-
$H1_{LRTA*}$	11.73	160	1825	0	3	N/-
$H2_{LRTA*}$	1.01	134	558	0	0	N/-
$L1_{LRTA*}$	2.69	59	316	0	0	N/-
$L2_{LRTA*}$	41.56	171	663	0	0	N/-
$M1_{LRTA*}$	0.64	37	158	0	0	N/-
$M2_{LRTA*}$	3.01	146	518	0	0	N/-
$SH_{LRTA*(max-moves=500)}$	447.44	261	4006	0	2	N/-

Table 1: Results for the SokobanPlayerSeededTarget heuristic. The 3 restarts for $H1_{LRTA*}$ is to keep the move number below 200. H1 can be solved with a BeamSearch with K=20, if we allow pull moves and introduce a pull penalty of 5. Red highlights the largest value of each column for each algorithm from KBS(10, no pulls), KBS(20, pulls allowed) and LRTA*(max-moves=200, no pulls). SH is solved by LRTA*(max-moves=500, no pulls)

B.1 SuperHard Test

The super hard test is managed to be solved by the same KBS(20) with 5 penalty for pulls in 30 minutes with the result having 104 moves of which 24 are pulls. It is also solved by LRTA* with no pulls, 2 restarts and a move cap of 500 (instead of 200).