

# **FynCom's Economic Incentive Model: A Transformative Approach to Combating Unsolicited Digital Communications**

## **1. Executive Summary**

Unsolicited digital communications—spanning email, voice calls, SMS, and social media direct messages—represent a significant and growing challenge for individuals and organizations globally. This report analyzes the potential of FynCom's patented time-based nano-transaction system to serve as a foundational solution to this pervasive issue. The core of FynCom's innovation lies in shifting the economic burden of unsolicited contact from the recipient to the originator, thereby addressing the fundamental financial incentives that drive spam and scam activities.

Current anti-spam technologies, including sophisticated AI-driven content filters, primarily focus on detection and blocking, leading to an ongoing "arms race" with malicious actors. FynCom's approach, as embodied in its patent US11310368B2, introduces a pre-emptive economic filter: unknown senders must make a small, refundable financial deposit to initiate communication. This deposit is forfeited to the recipient or a central service if the communication is deemed unwanted (e.g., a very short call duration). This model inherently disincentivizes mass, indiscriminate messaging and rewards recipients for their attention.

FynCom is currently applying this technology through its KarmaCall application for voice calls and its FynMail (FynCom Filter) service for email, with a strategic focus on these areas before broader expansion. These products leverage the feeless and near-instant nature of the Nano (XNO) cryptocurrency for managing the nano-transactions.

While the potential to fundamentally realign communication incentives is substantial, FynCom faces challenges, primarily centered on achieving widespread user adoption for both senders and receivers, navigating the complexities of cryptocurrency integration for mainstream users, and ensuring the scalability and security of its centralized components. However, by targeting the economic root of spam rather than just its symptoms, FynCom's technology offers a compelling, and potentially transformative, paradigm for creating a more valued and trusted digital communication ecosystem. Strategic partnerships, continued user education, and a clear demonstration of ROI for legitimate businesses will be crucial for realizing this potential.

## **2. The Unsolicited Communications Challenge: A Persistent Digital Plague**

The proliferation of unsolicited digital communications, commonly known as spam, across various platforms—email, voice calls, SMS, and social media direct messages—has evolved into a persistent and costly global issue. This digital deluge not only overwhelms users and diminishes the utility of communication tools but also serves as a primary vector for fraud and malicious activities.

## The Scale and Scope of Spam: Email, Voice, SMS, and Social Media

The magnitude of the spam problem is staggering when examined across the primary channels of digital interaction.

- **Email Spam:** A substantial portion of global email traffic consists of unsolicited messages. Historically, estimates suggested that over 70% of all email traffic was spam, imposing significant costs on organizations, largely through lost employee productivity—approximately 1,200 minutes per employee annually. More recent data from 2023 indicated that around 45.6% of worldwide emails were identified as spam, with projections for December 2024 exceeding 46.8%. Some analyses suggest legitimate email constitutes as little as 10-15% of the total global email volume. With daily email volumes estimated to reach 361.6 billion in 2024, the sheer quantity of unwanted messages presents a formidable challenge for existing filtering mechanisms and underscores the potential impact of a more effective solution.
- **Voice Call Spam (Robocalls/Scams):** The voice channel is similarly inundated. Globally, an estimated 4.9 trillion phone calls are made each year. In the United States alone, mobile phone users engaged in 2.4 trillion minutes of calls in 2021. The problem is exacerbated by the increasing sophistication of AI-powered voice phishing (vishing), which makes fraudulent calls more convincing and difficult for recipients to detect, thereby amplifying their potential harm.
- **SMS Spam (Smishing):** Short Message Service (SMS) remains a widely used communication channel, with over 23 billion text messages dispatched daily across the globe. The exceptionally high open rates for SMS, reported to be as high as 98%, make it a particularly attractive vector for scammers. Proximus Global, a telecommunications company, registered 331 million smishing (phishing attacks via SMS) incidents globally in 2024 alone. In numerous developing markets, SMS has reportedly overtaken voice calls as the most common method for delivering scams. The financial repercussions are severe; scammers were estimated to have stolen \$1.03 trillion from consumers worldwide in 2024, with SMS being a prominent delivery mechanism.
- **Social Media DM Spam:** The vast user base of social media platforms—projected to reach 4.41 billion users by 2024, with platforms like Facebook hosting 3.1 billion monthly active users (MAU), and WhatsApp and Instagram each having 2 billion MAU—makes their direct messaging (DM) functionalities prime targets for spam. Artificial intelligence is increasingly employed to craft personalized phishing messages disseminated through social media chats. High-volume platforms such as Facebook Messenger process an enormous number of interactions, with 20 billion messages exchanged monthly between users and businesses. When combined with WhatsApp, the daily message volume reached 60 billion, and WhatsApp users individually send over 100 billion messages daily.

**The pervasiveness of spam across these diverse channels indicates a systemic vulnerability in digital communications.** Malicious actors are not confined to a single vector; they exploit any available means to reach potential victims. The increasing use of AI by these actors to create more sophisticated, believable, and automated attacks further complicates defensive measures. Traditional solutions that focus on filtering content within a single channel may struggle against this evolving, multi-pronged threat. A system that fundamentally alters the dynamics of unsolicited contact across channels, such as by addressing the underlying economic incentives, could prove more resilient to these AI-driven attack methodologies.

**\*(Table 1: The Unsolicited Communication Epidemic - Key Statistics)**

| Communication Channel | Estimated Global Volume                            | Percentage of Spam/Unsolicited | Key Impact/Cost                                                      |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Email                 | 361.6 billion/day (2024 est.)                      | 45.6% - 46.8%+                 | Productivity loss (1200 min/employee/year ), fraud                   |
| Voice (Calls)         | 4.9 trillion/year                                  | High (not specified %)         | Financial fraud (AI-vishing ), annoyance                             |
| SMS                   | 23+ billion/day                                    | High (not specified %)         | Financial fraud (\$1.03T stolen via scams, SMS is a leading method ) |
| Social Media DMs      | Billions of users, 100B+ daily messages (WhatsApp) | Growing (not specified %)      | Phishing, account takeover, fraud (AI-enhanced attacks )             |

## Economic Motivations Driving Spam and Scams

The persistence and sheer volume of spam are primarily fueled by a stark economic reality: for spammers, the cost of sending vast quantities of messages is exceptionally low, while the potential returns, even with minimal engagement rates, can be substantial. The variable operating costs associated with using online communication channels are often negligible, approaching zero for large-scale campaigns. This low-cost structure means that even a minuscule percentage of recipients responding to a spam message—by purchasing a product, divulging sensitive information, or falling victim to a scam—can render the entire operation profitable.

Spammers employ a variety of business models to capitalize on this economic imbalance. These include selling lists of validated email addresses to other malicious actors, directly promoting their own products or services, or, more commonly, advertising third-party products through revenue-sharing arrangements. The barriers to entry into the spamming market are remarkably low, ensuring a continuous influx of new actors attempting to exploit these channels, despite legislative efforts to curb such activities. The historical precedent for this profitability is striking: one of the earliest documented mass email campaigns in 1971 reportedly generated \$13 million, equivalent to nearly \$60 million in contemporary currency, highlighting the long-standing financial allure of unsolicited bulk messaging.

This economic landscape creates a fundamental asymmetry. Spammers can afford to operate on extremely low success rates, inundating recipients with a high volume of unwanted communications. Conversely, the cumulative cost for recipients—in terms of lost time, diminished productivity, exposure to fraudulent schemes, and emotional distress—can be significant. **Traditional anti-spam filters attempt to raise the technical difficulty for spammers but do not fundamentally alter this underlying economic disparity.** A system that introduces a direct, albeit small, cost to the sender for initiating unsolicited contact aims to recalibrate this asymmetry. By shifting a portion of the economic burden back to the originator, such a system could disrupt the return-on-investment calculations that make spamming an attractive enterprise.

## Limitations of Current Anti-Spam Technologies (including AI-based

## approaches)

Despite decades of development and significant technological advancements, existing anti-spam solutions have not succeeded in eradicating the problem. This is due to a combination of factors, including the adaptive nature of spammers and the inherent limitations of current filtering paradigms.

Traditional spam filtering techniques encompass a range of methods. These include rule-based systems that scan for specific keywords or patterns, Bayesian filters that use statistical analysis to assess the probability of an email being spam, blacklisting of known spammer IP addresses or domains, and sender verification protocols like SPF (Sender Policy Framework) and Sender ID. While these methods can reduce the volume of spam reaching users, they are not foolproof. They often require constant updates to keep pace with evolving spammer tactics, can be circumvented by spammers who manipulate message content or sending infrastructure, and may inadvertently misclassify legitimate communications as spam (false positives) or allow actual spam through (false negatives). Furthermore, maintaining and updating these filter mechanisms incurs ongoing costs for organizations and service providers.

The advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) has brought more sophisticated capabilities to spam detection. AI-powered filters can analyze vast datasets to identify subtle patterns indicative of spam, adapt to new threats more quickly, and offer more nuanced detection than traditional rule-based systems. However, AI is a double-edged sword; spammers are also leveraging AI to generate more convincing, personalized, and grammatically correct fraudulent messages that can bypass even advanced filters. AI-based filtering systems are not infallible; they can still make errors, and their effectiveness is dependent on the quality and volume of training data, as well as significant computational resources. As noted by security analysts, even with advanced filtering and ML, these systems are not impervious to the ingenuity of financially motivated spammers.

**This dynamic creates a perpetual "arms race": spammers continuously devise new techniques to evade detection, prompting filter developers to refine their algorithms and threat intelligence, in a cycle that shows no sign of abating. This suggests that solutions focused predominantly on content analysis, sender reputation, or behavioral patterns are engaged in a reactive battle.**

A critical observation is that current anti-spam technologies, including those enhanced by AI, primarily aim to *detect* and *block* unwanted communications. They do not fundamentally alter the *economic incentive* for spammers to initiate these messages in the first place. Since the marginal cost of sending spam remains exceedingly low, and the potential rewards are high, spammers are continually motivated to find ways around existing technological barriers. This inherent limitation underscores the potential value of an approach that directly targets the economic drivers of spam, rather than solely addressing its technical manifestations. It is this gap that FynCom's patented system, with its emphasis on financial disincentives for unsolicited communication, seeks to address, offering a complementary and potentially more foundational layer of defense.

## 3. FynCom's Patented Innovation: Realigning Incentives in Digital Communication

At the heart of FynCom's strategy to combat unsolicited digital communications is its U.S. Patent No. 11,310,368 B2, which details a "Time-Based Nano-Transaction System." This

system introduces a novel economic layer to existing communication architectures, aiming to realign the incentives that currently favor spammers and scammers. Instead of relying solely on content analysis or sender reputation, FynCom's technology imposes a potential cost on unknown originators, thereby creating a financial disincentive for mass, unsolicited outreach.

## Deep Dive into Patent US11310368B2: The Time-Based Nano-Transaction System

The patent directly addresses the inadequacy of contemporary anti-spam measures, such as do-not-call registries and caller identification technologies, which are often rendered ineffective by practices like caller ID spoofing and the sheer volume of unwanted calls and messages. **The core innovation is the establishment of a payment mechanism integrated into the communication process.**

Key technological components and methods described in the patent include :

1. **Time-Based Nano-Transaction Requirement:** An originator node (e.g., a caller or sender) not present on the recipient node's pre-approved contact list (whitelist) is required to submit a small, refundable nano-transaction payment to a central server *before* the communication is fully connected or delivered to the recipient.
2. **Central Server as a Blind Filter and Escrow Agent:** A central server functions as an intermediary. It intercepts communications from unknown originators and permits them to proceed only upon receipt of the nano-transaction. This server also acts as a time-based escrow for the submitted payment.
3. **Contact List as Primary Whitelist:** The recipient's existing contact list serves as an automatic whitelist. Communications from entities on this list bypass the nano-transaction requirement and are processed normally.
4. **Connection Timer and Interaction Threshold:** If a communication from an unknown, deposit-paying originator is answered or opened by the recipient, a timer is initiated. The duration of this interaction (e.g., call length) is measured against a predefined threshold (e.g., 25 seconds for a call).
5. **Processor Ledger for Transaction Tracking:** The central server maintains a ledger to accurately record all incoming and outgoing nano-transaction payments, facilitating the settlement of these funds based on the outcome and duration of the communication.

## Core Technological Mechanisms: Refundable Deposits, Whitelisting, and Time-Based Call/Interaction Legitimacy

The practical application of FynCom's patented system relies on a sequence of filtering and evaluation steps :

- **Initial Filtering:** Incoming communications from originators not found in the recipient's contact list are, by default, rejected or routed to a secondary holding area (e.g., voicemail, a filtered email folder) by the central server.
- **Bypassing the Filter via Nano-Transaction:** Unknown originators can choose to bypass this initial block by submitting the required refundable nano-transaction along with the recipient's identifier to the central server.
- **Time-Based Legitimacy Assessment:** If a communication from an unknown originator (who has paid the deposit) is engaged by the recipient (e.g., a call is answered, an email is opened and potentially replied to), the system evaluates its legitimacy based on the

interaction duration. If the interaction time exceeds the predefined threshold, the communication is considered legitimate, and the originator's deposit is typically refunded. If the interaction is shorter than the threshold, or if the recipient explicitly marks it as unwanted, it is deemed ~~unsolicited~~. "Undesired".

- **Opt-In Mechanisms for Desired Commercial Communications:** The patent also allows for scenarios where recipients can opt-in to receive communications, such as telemarketing calls, from specific entities. In such cases, the nano-transaction requirement might be waived for those specific originators, or alternative incentive structures could be implemented, potentially rewarding the recipient for their engagement.

## The Economic Model: Shifting Costs to Unsolicited Senders and Rewarding User Attention

The financial architecture of FynCom's system is designed to reverse the economic imbalance inherent in current digital communication landscapes :

- **Refundable Deposit as a "Good Faith" Signal:** The nano-transaction serves as a security deposit from the originator. Its refund is contingent on the communication being perceived as legitimate by the system (primarily through interaction duration or explicit recipient approval).
- **Compensation for Recipients of Unwanted Communications:** If a communication from an unknown originator is answered/opened but remains below the interaction threshold (or is otherwise deemed unwanted), the recipient receives at least a portion of the forfeited nano-transaction. This directly compensates the recipient for the interruption and time spent on an unsolicited interaction. This is the foundational principle behind FynCom's product offerings like KarmaCall, where users "get paid for spam" , and FynMail.
- **Financial Disincentive for Abusive Senders:** Originators of communications that are consistently short-duration or marked as unwanted will forfeit their nano-transaction deposits. This creates a direct financial penalty for spamming or robocalling activities, aiming to make such practices economically unviable, directly countering the low-cost advantage spammers currently enjoy.
- **Potential Revenue for System Operation:** A portion of the forfeited nano-transaction payments can be allocated to the central server or system operator to cover maintenance, operational costs, and further development.
- **Incentives for Opted-In Engagements:** For communications where the recipient has explicitly opted-in, the originator might offer direct incentives (e.g., micropayments, coupons, loyalty points) to the recipient for their time and engagement, potentially based on interaction duration or specific actions taken. This aligns with FynCom's broader mission to serve as a "Rewards layer of the internet".

This model effectively attempts to establish a micro-market for user attention when contacted by unknown parties. By mandating a deposit, the system assigns a tangible, albeit small, monetary value to the act of interrupting a recipient. The sender essentially pays for an "option" to gain the recipient's attention. If this attention is deemed wasted by the recipient (indicated by a brief or negative interaction), the sender incurs a penalty. This dynamic has the potential to fundamentally alter how businesses and individuals approach cold outreach. Instead of relying on mass, low-cost broadcasting, originators would be incentivized to be more targeted and confident in the value proposition of their communication to justify risking the deposit.

Simultaneously, it empowers recipients by offering a mechanism to monetize their time and attention, a core tenet FynCom emphasizes in its product messaging.

\*(Table 2: Comparative Analysis of Spam Filtering Technologies)

| Technology Type              | Core Mechanism                                         | Primary Mode of Action          | Pros                                                      | Cons                                                                                       | Effectiveness Against Evolving Spam | Scalability        | Cost Implications (User/Provider)           |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Rule-Based Filters           | Keyword, pattern matching                              | Detection, Blocking             | Simple to implement for basic spam.                       | Easily bypassed by spammers, high false positives/negatives, requires constant updates.    | Low                                 | Moderate           | Low for basic, high for maintenance.        |
| Bayesian Filters             | Statistical analysis of word probabilities             | Detection, Blocking             | Adapts to some extent based on user feedback.             | Can be fooled by word manipulation, requires training, can have false positives/negatives. | Moderate                            | Moderate           | Moderate (training, processing).            |
| Blacklisting (IP/Domain)     | Blocking known spam sources                            | Prevention, Blocking            | Effective against known bad actors.                       | Spammers change IPs/domains quickly; legitimate sources can be wrongly blacklisted.        | Moderate                            | High               | Low for users, moderate for list providers. |
| AI Content/Behavior Analysis | ML/NLP to analyze content, sender behavior, reputation | Detection, Prediction, Blocking | Higher accuracy than traditional, adapts to new patterns. | AI also used by spammers, resource-intensive (data, compute), still has false positives/n  | Moderate to High                    | High (Cloud-based) | High (AI development, infrastructure).      |

| Technology Type                  | Core Mechanism                                          | Primary Mode of Action                   | Pros                                                                                                               | Cons                                                                                                                          | Effectiveness Against Evolving Spam   | Scalability               | Cost Implications (User/Provider)                                        |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                  |                                                         |                                          |                                                                                                                    | egatives, "arms race".                                                                                                        |                                       |                           |                                                                          |
| <b>FynCom Economic Incentive</b> | <b>Refundable nano-transaction from unknown senders</b> | <b>Economic Disincentive, Prevention</b> | <b>Addresses root economic cause of spam, rewards users, sender accountability, potentially platform-agnostic.</b> | <b>Relies on user adoption, crypto learning curve, central server scalability, spammers might pay for high-value targets.</b> | <b>Potentially High (changes ROI)</b> | <b>Dependent on arch.</b> | <b>Subscription for users (FynMail), transaction costs for spammers.</b> |

## 4. FynCom's Solutions in Focus: KarmaCall and FynMail (FynCom Filter)

FynCom, founded in 2019 by Dr. Adrian E. Garcia, aims to revolutionize digital communication by embedding financial incentives to combat spam and foster genuine engagement. Garcia, who describes himself as a "capitalist cypherpunk," envisioned a system to reinvent the data economy, stemming from a white paper that explored merging communications and currency to build trust. The company's mission is to become the "Rewards layer of the internet," stopping spam and scams while rebuilding trust through interactive rewards. FynCom has secured approximately \$255,000 in funding from investors including GoAhead Ventures, EvoNexus, and Berkeley SkyDeck, and holds several patents related to its core time-based nano-transaction technology. Currently, FynCom is channeling its patented technology into two primary products: KarmaCall for voice communications and FynMail (also marketed as FynCom Filter - Email Edition) for email.

### KarmaCall: Addressing Unwanted Voice Calls

KarmaCall is FynCom's flagship mobile application designed to tackle the pervasive issue of spam and scam calls by implementing the company's patented economic incentive model.

- Features, User Benefits, and Operational Model:** The core functionality of KarmaCall is to block unwanted calls and financially reward users for doing so. Unknown callers are required to leave a small, refundable deposit (typically \$0.05 USD) to have their call connect to a KarmaCall user. A "Trust Time" is established (usually 25 seconds); if the call duration is less than this, the user keeps the deposit. If the call lasts longer, indicating a



potentially legitimate interaction, the caller's deposit is refunded. Calls from numbers in the user's saved contacts list bypass this deposit system entirely and connect for free. Users benefit by earning cryptocurrency (Nano) or gift cards (starting at \$1.00) for these filtered interactions, effectively monetizing their time and attention. They can customize their "personal paywall" by adjusting deposit amounts or trust times. The system supports global currencies for viewing earnings and incorporates security features like email verification and HTTPS protection. Premium subscription tiers offer users boosted earnings from blocked calls. The operational model aims to make spam calling unprofitable while allowing legitimate unknown callers a pathway to connect if they are willing to stake a small, refundable sum. KarmaCall leverages the Nano (XNO) cryptocurrency for its feeless and near-instant transaction capabilities, which are essential for the micro-deposit system.

- **Analysis of User Feedback and Adoption:** User feedback for KarmaCall has been mixed, reflecting both enthusiasm for its innovative concept and challenges in execution and communication. Positive reviews highlight the novelty of getting paid to block calls and the app's non-intrusive nature, with some users reporting it "worked great". To date, FynCom reports that KarmaCall has facilitated over 100,000 instant payments for blocked calls, indicating a degree of user activity. The app is available on both Android and iOS platforms and serves users in multiple countries, including the US, UK, Brazil, Nigeria, Vietnam, and Indonesia. However, challenges have also been noted. Some users have reported past issues with inconsistent app support and fluctuating blocking effectiveness, although FynCom has stated that these concerns, particularly from mid-2022, have since been addressed with renewed development focus. Other criticisms include perceptions that premium subscriptions did not significantly increase earnings or that the payout amounts per call were minimal. A recurring theme in community discussions, such as on Reddit, has been the need for clearer onboarding and a more straightforward explanation of the app's value proposition and mechanics to overcome initial user skepticism. FynCom offered early iOS adopters a reduced subscription price to encourage initial uptake and feedback.

## **FynMail (FynCom Filter - Email Edition): Tackling Email Spam**

FynMail, also referred to as FynCom Filter - Email Edition, extends FynCom's core economic incentive model to the realm of email communication, with a particular focus on platforms like Gmail.

- **Features, User Benefits, and Integration with Platforms like Gmail:** FynMail aims to filter spam emails and compensate users for those that are blocked. The system operates on a similar principle to KarmaCall: unknown senders (those not in the user's contacts and not replying to a user's email) must effectively have a FynCom account with a nominal balance (e.g., \$0.01). If such a sender emails the user, the email is routed to the main inbox but tagged. The workflow is as follows: If an incoming email is a reply or from a whitelisted contact, it proceeds to the inbox as normal. If it's from an unknown sender with a FynCom balance, it's tagged as either "FynReward" (recipient gets a reward for responding, sender loses \$0.01 to the user if no response) or "FynEmail" (no response reward, sender still loses \$0.01 to the user if no response within 30 days). If the unknown sender does not have a sufficient FynCom balance, the email is moved to a "FynCom Filter" folder, and the user is compensated. The primary user benefits include a significantly cleaner inbox, time savings from not having to manually sort spam, and direct

financial compensation for blocked spam. The system is designed to integrate with existing email services, with Gmail being a specifically mentioned target.

- **Current Status and Market Reception:** FynMail is presented as a live product, available through subscription plans: \$2 per month, \$15 per year, or a \$49 lifetime option. A free trial or tier is also suggested for users who block fewer than 100 emails per month. Specific, independent user reviews or detailed market reception data for FynMail are not extensively available in the provided materials. FynCom itself claims the filter can reduce unwanted emails by 100% for its users. The company's strategy includes using metrics on blocked emails to approach businesses, encouraging them to offer "FynRewards" to improve engagement with FynMail users. The lack of broad, third-party feedback makes a comprehensive assessment of its current market penetration challenging at this stage.

\*(Table 3: FynCom Product Overview (KarmaCall & FynMail/FynCom Filter))

| Feature                | KarmaCall                                                                                           | FynMail (FynCom Filter)                                                                                             |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Target Channel         | Voice Calls                                                                                         | Email (initially Gmail)                                                                                             |
| Core Problem Addressed | Robocalls, scam calls, unwanted telemarketing                                                       | Email spam, unsolicited commercial emails                                                                           |
| Key Features           | Refundable deposit from unknown callers, user rewards (Nano/gift cards), whitelisting, "Trust Time" | Deposit/stake from unknown senders, user rewards, whitelisting, "FynReward"/"FynEmail" tags, "FynCom Filter" folder |
| User Benefits          | Reduced spam calls, financial compensation, time savings, user empowerment                          | Cleaner inbox, financial compensation, time savings, focus on important emails                                      |
| Monetization (FynCom)  | Premium subscriptions, potential share of forfeited deposits                                        | Subscription fees (monthly, yearly, lifetime)                                                                       |
| Monetization (User)    | Portion of forfeited deposits, premium-boosted rewards                                              | Portion of forfeited deposits/staked amounts from senders                                                           |
| Current Status         | Live on Android & iOS                                                                               | Live, subscription-based service                                                                                    |

## The Role of Nano (XNO) Cryptocurrency as an Enabler

The choice of Nano (XNO) cryptocurrency is a critical technological underpinning for FynCom's products. The system's reliance on frequent, small-value (nano) transactions necessitates a payment rail that is both extremely fast and devoid of transaction fees.

- **Feeless and Fast Transactions:** Nano is specifically designed to facilitate near-instantaneous transactions with no associated fees. This is paramount for FynCom's model, as traditional payment systems or even many other cryptocurrencies would impose transaction fees that could exceed the value of the nano-deposits themselves, rendering the economic model unviable.
- **Scalability and Energy Efficiency:** Nano employs a unique block-lattice architecture, a form of Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG), and a consensus mechanism called Open Representative Voting (ORV). These features contribute to its scalability, enabling it to handle a high volume of transactions, and make it significantly more energy-efficient compared to Proof-of-Work (PoW) based cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin.

- **FynCom's Rationale for Choosing Nano:** FynCom's founder, Adrian Garcia, explicitly selected Nano because it met a stringent set of criteria: the platform needed to be feeless, sustainable, decentralized, scalable, lightweight for implementation, offer real-time settlement capabilities, and not charge fees for opening new user wallets. Nano was identified as the cryptocurrency that best fulfilled these requirements.

While Nano's technical characteristics are highly advantageous for FynCom's specific use case, this reliance on a cryptocurrency also introduces certain considerations for mainstream adoption. Cryptocurrencies, in general, can present a learning curve for non-technical users and may be associated with concerns about price volatility, ease of use (on-ramping and off-ramping to fiat currency), and an evolving regulatory landscape. Although Nano itself aims for simplicity and efficiency, and FynCom offers gift cards as a payout alternative, the native currency of the reward system is XNO. The success of FynCom's model is therefore partly linked to the broader acceptance and usability of Nano or the seamlessness of its abstraction from users who prefer not to directly interact with cryptocurrency. The lower liquidity of Nano compared to larger cryptocurrencies and some community concerns about the potential for centralization in its ORV system are also factors to note. FynCom's ability to simplify the crypto experience or offer robust, user-friendly alternatives for managing rewards will be key in mitigating these potential adoption hurdles.

## 5. Market Potential and Strategic Positioning: Can FynCom Be "The One Solution"?

FynCom's patented technology, which introduces an economic friction for unsolicited communications, has the potential to address a vast market plagued by spam and scams. Its unique approach offers distinct advantages but also faces significant hurdles in terms of adoption and market dynamics. Assessing whether it can be "the one solution" requires an examination of its addressable market, competitive differentiation, strategic growth path, and inherent challenges.

### Assessing the Addressable Market for FynCom's Technology

The potential market for FynCom's solutions is immense, encompassing virtually all forms of digital peer-to-peer and business-to-consumer communication.

- **Communication Volumes:** The sheer volume of daily digital interactions provides a massive base of potential transactions that FynCom's system could mediate. This includes trillions of voice calls annually, hundreds of billions of emails exchanged daily, over 23 billion daily SMS messages, and billions of social media users engaging in direct messaging. Each of these interactions represents a potential point where FynCom's economic filter could be applied.
- **Financial Impact of Spam and Scams:** The economic damage caused by unsolicited communications is substantial. Spam leads to significant productivity losses for organizations as employees spend valuable time dealing with unwanted messages. More directly, scams facilitated through these channels result in enormous financial losses for individuals and businesses, estimated at \$1.03 trillion globally in 2024 through various scam delivery methods including SMS and calls. A solution that demonstrably curtails these losses offers a compelling value proposition.
- **Growth in Digital Payments:** The broader trend towards digital payments and real-time

financial transactions indicates increasing user comfort with digital value exchange. The global digital payments market revenue is projected to surpass \$3 trillion by 2028 , with the *volume* of non-cash transactions expected to approach 3 trillion annually by the same year. Real-time payments are a rapidly expanding segment, accounting for 266.2 billion transactions in 2023 (19.1% of all electronic payments) and forecast to constitute over a quarter of all electronic payments by 2028. This growing familiarity with digital financial interactions could ease the adoption of a system like FynCom's, which integrates micropayments into communication flows.

Beyond the direct reduction of spam, FynCom's model taps into an emerging "attention market." Legitimate businesses, struggling to cut through the noise, may be willing to pay a premium (in the form of FynCom's refundable deposits or direct rewards) for verified engagement from interested recipients. This is supported by FynCom's patent, which includes provisions for opted-in communications where originators provide incentives , and its mission to use "interactive rewards" to "motivate customers and partners". Furthermore, the productivity savings for businesses whose employees are shielded from the daily barrage of spam and phishing attempts represent a significant, perhaps currently underemphasized, B2B value proposition. If FynCom's system can demonstrably reduce these internal distractions and associated security risks, it offers a clear return on investment for enterprises, positioning it not just as a consumer tool but as an enterprise productivity and security solution.

## Competitive Landscape and Differentiators

FynCom operates in a crowded space of anti-spam and communication security solutions, but its core economic incentive model provides a key differentiator.

- **Traditional and AI-Powered Spam Filters:** As detailed previously (Section 2.3, Table 2), existing spam filters, whether rule-based, Bayesian, or AI-driven, primarily focus on *detecting* and *blocking* unwanted content based on its characteristics or sender reputation. FynCom differentiates itself by not primarily analyzing content, but by altering the economic calculus for the sender *before* the message is even deeply analyzed.
- **Payment Platforms with Fraud Detection (e.g., Stripe):** Companies like Stripe utilize sophisticated AI and machine learning for preventing fraud within financial transactions, leveraging vast datasets to train their models. While Stripe secures existing financial flows, FynCom aims to reduce communication "fraud" (spam/scams) by *introducing* a financial stake into the communication itself. Their domains are distinct but share a common goal of enhancing trust in digital interactions. Stripe's success demonstrates the power of leveraging large-scale data and AI for risk management, an area FynCom might explore as its user base grows.
- **AI-Based Communication Security Platforms (e.g., Abnormal Security, Proximus 365guard):** Solutions like Abnormal Security employ behavioral AI to protect against advanced email threats like business email compromise , while Proximus's 365guard uses AI for real-time SMS fraud and spam detection. These are powerful reactive detection tools. FynCom's system, in contrast, acts as a *pre-emptive economic firewall*. It seeks to deter unwanted communications by imposing an upfront potential cost on unknown senders, thereby reducing the volume of messages that even need to be analyzed by such sophisticated AI detection engines.

This distinction is crucial. Most competitors offer solutions that reactively analyze content, behavior, or metadata to identify and block threats after they have been initiated. FynCom's patented system and its product implementations establish a barrier based on an unknown

sender's willingness to risk a small financial sum, not solely on the characteristics of the communication itself. This makes FynCom's approach potentially complementary to existing AI-based security systems: FynCom could reduce the overall "attack surface" or the volume of incoming "noise," allowing AI tools to concentrate their analytical power more effectively on the remaining, potentially more sophisticated, communications that manage to pass the economic filter.

\*(Table 4: SWOT Analysis for FynCom's Patented Technology)

| Category             | Factors                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Strengths</b>     | <p><b>Novel Economic Model:</b> Directly targets the financial incentives of spammers, a unique approach.</p> <p><b>Addresses Root Cause:</b> Aims to prevent spam origination rather than just detect/block.</p> <p><b>User Rewards:</b> Potential to compensate users for their attention/time.</p> <p><b>Patent Protection:</b> Provides a competitive barrier for its specific time-based nano-transaction system.</p>                                                                                                                           |
| <b>Weaknesses</b>    | <p><b>User Adoption Dependency:</b> Network effects are crucial; requires critical mass of both senders and receivers.</p> <p><b>Cryptocurrency Learning Curve/Volatility:</b> Reliance on Nano (XNO) may deter mainstream users unfamiliar or wary of crypto.</p> <p><b>Scalability of Central Server:</b> The patented "central server" could be a bottleneck if not highly distributed/scalable.</p> <p><b>Adaptation by Sophisticated Spammers:</b> Determined spammers might pay for high-value targets or find ways to exploit the system.</p> |
| <b>Opportunities</b> | <p><b>Untapped B2B Productivity Market:</b> Position as a tool to reduce internal spam and improve employee focus.</p> <p><b>Expansion to Other DM Platforms:</b> Stated intent to cover Discord, Telegram, etc., which have significant spam issues.</p> <p><b>Partnerships:</b> Collaborations with telcos, email providers, messaging apps, CRM systems for broader integration.</p> <p><b>Growing Digital Payment/Real-Time Payment Adoption:</b> Increased user comfort with digital financial interactions.</p>                                |
| <b>Threats</b>       | <p><b>Regulatory Uncertainty:</b> Evolving regulations for cryptocurrency and financial transactions could pose challenges.</p> <p><b>Competition:</b> Established security players might adopt similar economic models if FynCom proves successful.</p> <p><b>Public Perception of Micropayments:</b> Historical challenges with</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

| Category | Factors                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | micropayment adoption due to complexity or perceived low value.<br> <b>Security of Micro-transactions:</b> Maintaining robust security for the payment system is critical to build and maintain trust. |

## Growth Strategy: Current Focus on KarmaCall and FynMail, and Future Expansion Potential

FynCom's current strategy involves concentrating its efforts on establishing KarmaCall (for voice) and FynMail (for email) as viable applications of its patented technology. This focused approach allows the company to refine its model, gather user feedback, and demonstrate efficacy in two distinct, high-volume communication channels before pursuing broader expansion.

The long-term vision for FynCom is to be the "Rewards layer of the internet," with its filtering technology applicable to any form of direct communication, including the direct messaging systems of platforms like Twitter, Discord, and Telegram. The core patent is described in general terms of "originator node," "recipient node," and "central server," making its underlying principles conceptually platform-agnostic and suitable for such expansion.

This phased rollout is logical. Proving the model and achieving a degree of network effect in the relatively more standardized environments of voice telephony and email will provide crucial validation and momentum. Success in these initial markets can then serve as a compelling case study when approaching the more fragmented and proprietary ecosystems of social media DMs and other chat platforms. The key will be to demonstrate significant user traction, tangible benefits in spam reduction, and a positive user experience in KarmaCall and FynMail to attract both a wider user base and potential platform partners for broader integration.

## Key Challenges: User Adoption, Scalability, Regulatory Considerations, and Public Perception

Despite its innovative approach, FynCom faces several significant hurdles:

- **User Adoption (The "Chicken-and-Egg" Problem):** The effectiveness of FynCom's system is inherently tied to network effects. It needs a sufficient number of recipients using the service to make it a deterrent for spammers, and simultaneously, enough legitimate senders must be willing to use the system (i.e., make deposits) to reach those recipients. Overcoming initial user inertia and clearly communicating the value proposition are critical. Feedback from early KarmaCall users indicates that skepticism and the need for clear explanation are real challenges.
- **Scalability:** While Nano itself is designed for high scalability, the "central server" component described in FynCom's patent could become a performance bottleneck if the system achieves global scale, unless it is architected in a highly distributed and resilient manner. The reliance on a decentralized payment layer like Nano is a positive step, but the overall system architecture must support massive concurrent transaction processing.
- **Regulatory Considerations:** Introducing financial transactions, even micro-scale ones, into communication systems, especially when involving cryptocurrencies, brings FynCom into a complex and evolving regulatory landscape. Compliance with financial regulations, data privacy laws (such as GDPR ), and anti-money laundering (AML) requirements

across different jurisdictions will be crucial and potentially resource-intensive.

- **Public Perception and Complexity of Micropayment/Crypto-Based Systems:** Historically, micropayment systems have faced challenges in gaining widespread adoption due to perceived complexity, transaction fees (a problem Nano directly addresses), and general user resistance to changing established behaviors. The addition of cryptocurrency, while enabling FynCom's model, can add another layer of complexity or apprehension for users not familiar with digital assets. FynCom's efforts to simplify this, such as offering gift card payouts for KarmaCall rewards, are important, but the underlying system still involves a new paradigm for many.
- **Security of Micropayments:** Any system handling financial transactions must implement robust security measures to protect user funds and data, and to prevent fraudulent activity within its own ecosystem. KarmaCall mentions features like HTTPS protection and email verification as part of its security measures.

A fundamental challenge for FynCom is overcoming the "trust hurdle." A technology designed to build trust in communications by introducing financial stakes must itself earn the trust of its potential users, particularly concerning the secure handling of funds (even nano-amounts) and the transparent operation of its cryptocurrency-based reward system. Exceptional transparency in its processes, demonstrable security, and clear, consistent communication of user benefits, supported by success stories and tangible results (like the "100,000+ payments made" by KarmaCall), will be vital in building this necessary trust.

## 6. Strategic Recommendations for FynCom's Growth and Impact

To realize its potential as a transformative solution to unsolicited communications and solidify its position as the "Rewards layer of the Internet," FynCom should consider a multi-faceted strategy focusing on accelerating user adoption, forging strategic partnerships, proactively navigating regulatory landscapes, and reinforcing its long-term vision.

### Strategies to Accelerate User Adoption for Senders and Receivers

Achieving a critical mass of users is paramount for the network effects of FynCom's system to take hold.

- **For Receivers (End-Users):**
  - **Simplify Value Proposition:** Clearly and concisely communicate the core benefits: "get paid for your attention/spam," a cleaner inbox/less call interruption, and enhanced privacy. User feedback suggests initial messaging for KarmaCall could be clearer.
  - **Streamline Onboarding and Crypto Interaction:** Minimize the friction associated with cryptocurrency. Offer seamless, in-app options for converting Nano rewards to local fiat currencies or a wider variety of popular gift cards. While Nano is integral, abstracting its complexities for mainstream users is key.
  - **Gamification and Referral Programs:** Introduce elements of gamification around earnings or spam blocked. Implement robust referral programs to incentivize organic growth.
  - **Highlight Privacy and Control:** Emphasize how FynCom empowers users to control who can contact them and to benefit from their own data/attention.

- **For Senders (Legitimate Businesses):**
  - **Focus on ROI and Enhanced Engagement:** Provide clear case studies and analytics demonstrating the higher response rates and engagement achieved through "FynReward" mechanisms for emails and calls. FynCom has reported significant improvements in response rates with its reward system.
  - **Position as Respectful Communication:** Frame FynCom as a tool for businesses to signal the value of their communication and respect for the recipient's time, differentiating them from spammers.
  - **Integration with Marketing/CRM Tools:** Develop deeper integrations with popular CRM and marketing automation platforms (beyond current Sendgrid and Zapier setups ) to make it easy for businesses to incorporate FynCom into their existing workflows.
- **Leverage Freemium and Trial Models:** Continue and expand freemium offerings, like FynMail's free tier for low-volume blockers and KarmaCall's free tier on Android , to allow users to experience the benefits before committing to a subscription.

## Partnership and Ecosystem Development Opportunities

Strategic partnerships can significantly accelerate FynCom's reach and integration into the digital communication fabric.

- **Telecommunication Providers and Email Service Providers (e.g., Gmail):** While ambitious, direct integration with telcos for call filtering or with major email providers like Google for native FynMail functionality would be transformative, offering immediate scale.
- **Messaging and Social Media Platforms (e.g., Discord, Telegram):** Actively pursue partnerships with platforms FynCom has already identified as targets. These platforms often struggle with DM spam, and FynCom's technology could be offered as a premium feature for users or community moderators to manage unsolicited messages and reward engagement.
- **Cryptocurrency Exchanges and Wallet Providers:** Collaborate with leading exchanges where Nano (XNO) is traded (e.g., Binance ) and user-friendly wallet providers to simplify the process for FynCom users to acquire, manage, and utilize their Nano rewards.
- **Influencers and Content Creators:** Partner with tech influencers and content creators who can educate their audiences about FynCom's unique approach and the benefits of an incentive-based communication model, particularly within the creator economy where direct engagement is valuable.

## Navigating Potential Regulatory and Market Hurdles

Proactive engagement with regulatory and market challenges is essential for long-term viability.

- **Regulatory Compliance and Transparency:** Maintain a proactive stance on regulatory compliance, engaging with legal experts specializing in financial technology and data privacy (e.g., GDPR ) in all operational markets. Ensure full transparency in how funds are handled and how the system operates.
- **Addressing Cryptocurrency Volatility:** Explore mechanisms to mitigate the impact of Nano's price volatility on user rewards. This could include options to peg reward values to local fiat currencies at the moment of the transaction or offering instant conversion services through partnerships.
- **User Education and Trust Building:** Invest significantly in educational content that



clearly explains the benefits of the economic incentive model, the security of the platform, and the simplicity of using Nano within the FynCom ecosystem. Address user concerns about micropayments and cryptocurrency head-on with clear, accessible information.

## Long-term Vision: Solidifying FynCom as the "Rewards Layer of the Internet"

Achieving the ambitious vision of becoming a ubiquitous "Rewards layer" requires sustained effort and strategic execution.

- **Build a Strong, Trusted Brand:** Cultivate a brand identity synonymous with user empowerment, fair value exchange, and a tangible reduction in digital noise.
- **Demonstrate Scalable and Measurable Impact:** Consistently gather and publish data on the effectiveness of KarmaCall and FynMail—showcasing spam reduction rates, user earnings, and improved engagement for legitimate senders. This data will be crucial for attracting larger partners and enterprise clients.
- **Foster an Open Ecosystem (Potentially):** Consider developing APIs and SDKs that allow third-party developers and platforms to integrate FynCom's incentive mechanisms into their own communication tools, accelerating the vision of a rewards layer.
- **Champion the "Attention Economy" Narrative:** Lead the conversation about the value of user attention and the need for more equitable economic models in digital communication.

FynCom's ambition to function as a meta-platform, standardizing how value is exchanged for attention across diverse communication channels, is significant. The patent's design supports this platform-agnostic potential. Realizing this vision will necessitate not only technological excellence and broad user adoption but also sophisticated business development to persuade major communication platforms to embrace and integrate FynCom's system. This positions FynCom as aspiring to be more than an app developer, but a potential architect of new communication protocols.

## 7. Conclusion: The Future of Valued Communication

FynCom's patented time-based nano-transaction system presents a compelling and fundamentally different approach to the enduring problem of unsolicited digital communications. By introducing an economic incentive layer, the technology directly targets the financial motivations that fuel the spam and scam industries. This distinguishes FynCom from the majority of existing anti-spam solutions, which primarily rely on detecting and blocking unwanted content after it has already been sent. The potential to shift the economic burden from the recipient to the unsolicited originator, and to compensate users for their attention, is a powerful proposition.

The viability of creating such an incentive-based communication ecosystem hinges on several factors. Widespread user adoption is the most critical, as the network effects of FynCom's system are essential for its overall efficacy in deterring spammers and attracting legitimate senders willing to participate in the model. Navigating the learning curve associated with cryptocurrency, even one as efficient as Nano, for a mainstream audience remains a challenge, alongside broader market perceptions of micropayment systems. Ensuring the scalability and unwavering security of its platform components will also be paramount.

However, the underlying logic of FynCom's approach—valuing individual attention and creating

a financial disincentive for communication abuse—is sound and aligns with a growing societal awareness around data privacy and the monetization of personal information. If FynCom can successfully address the adoption hurdles through clear value communication, simplified user experience (especially around cryptocurrency interactions), and strategic partnerships, its technology could indeed represent a paradigm shift.

The success of this model ultimately depends on whether the perceived benefits for users (a significant reduction in spam, the novelty and utility of earning rewards) outweigh the perceived friction of adopting a new system. The unique properties of Nano—feeless, near-instant transactions—are critical enablers, ensuring that the micro-transactions at the heart of the system are economically feasible and provide immediate feedback to users.

FynCom's endeavor is not merely to build better spam filters but to restructure the economics of attention in digital interactions. Should it succeed in its current focus areas of voice (KarmaCall) and email (FynMail) and then expand its "Rewards layer" concept to other communication channels, it could play a significant role in fostering a digital environment where communication is more intentional, valued, and ultimately, more trustworthy for all participants. The journey is ambitious, but the potential reward—a significant reduction in the digital plague of unsolicited communications—is substantial.

## Works cited

1. The cost impact of spam filters: measuring the effect of information system technologies in organizations - EconStor, <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/35436/1/58291129X.pdf>
2. 23 Email Spam Statistics to Know in 2025 - Mailmodo, <https://www.mailmodo.com/guides/email-spam-statistics/>
3. [www.mailmodo.com, https://www.mailmodo.com/guides/email-spam-statistics/#:~:text=Worldwide%20email%20spam%20statistics&text=In%202023%2C%20around%2045.6%25%20of,than%2046.8%25%20of%20email%20traffic](https://www.mailmodo.com/guides/email-spam-statistics/#:~:text=Worldwide%20email%20spam%20statistics&text=In%202023%2C%20around%2045.6%25%20of,than%2046.8%25%20of%20email%20traffic)
4. Email trustworthiness: Here's how to avoid looking like spam | MarTech, <https://martech.org/email-trustworthiness-heres-how-to-avoid-looking-like-spam/>
5. Email Data Statistics and Trends - Indectron, <https://www.indectron.com/blog/email-stats>
6. 25 Key Email Statistics Defining the Modern Email Landscape - Venngage, <https://venngage.com/blog/email-stats/>
7. How Many Phone Calls Are Made a Day? (2023 Statistics) - SellCell.com Blog, <https://www.sellcell.com/blog/how-many-phone-calls-are-made-a-day-2023-statistics/>
8. Who's calling? The threat of AI-powered vishing attacks - Bleeping Computer, <https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/whos-calling-the-threat-of-ai-powered-vishing-attacks/>
9. AI-Driven Phishing And Deep Fakes: The Future Of Digital Fraud - Forbes, <https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbestechcouncil/2025/03/10/ai-driven-phishing-and-deep-fake-s-the-future-of-digital-fraud/>
10. The Ultimate List of SMS Marketing Statistics [2024] - Luisa Zhou, [https://www.luisazhou.com/blog/sms-marketing-statistics/?\\_\\_hstc=73919117.73bd3bee6fa385653ecd7c9674ba06f0.1727049600224.1727049600225.1727049600226.1&\\_\\_hssc=73919117.1.1727049600227&\\_\\_hsfp=451136374](https://www.luisazhou.com/blog/sms-marketing-statistics/?__hstc=73919117.73bd3bee6fa385653ecd7c9674ba06f0.1727049600224.1727049600225.1727049600226.1&__hssc=73919117.1.1727049600227&__hsfp=451136374)
11. SMS Marketing Statistics By Effectiveness, Sales, Benefits and Facts, <https://electroi.com/stats/sms-marketing-statistics/>
12. SMS Marketing Statistics 2024: Trends, Insights, and Data - Notifyre, <https://notifyre.com/us/blog/sms-marketing-statistics>
13. Proximus Global launches new AI-powered SMS spam and fraud protection solution: 365guard, <https://www.proximus.com/news/2025/202504-pxs-global-launches-ai-spam-and-fraud-protection.html>
14. Proximus Global launches AI-powered anti-fraud platform to combat SMS scams,

<https://www.capacitymedia.com/article/proximus-global-launches-ai-powered-anti-fraud-platform-to-combat-sms-scams> 15. Number of global social network users 2017-2025 (Statista) - ResearchGate, [https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Number-of-global-social-network-users-2017-2025-Statista\\_fig1\\_348489015](https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Number-of-global-social-network-users-2017-2025-Statista_fig1_348489015) 16. What are the top social media platforms in the world? - SOAX, <https://soax.com/research/top-social-media-platforms> 17. 31+ Top Facebook Messenger Statistics For 2025 - Startup Bonsai, <https://startupbonsai.com/facebook-messenger-statistics/> 18. Facebook Messenger is Now Friends with Artificial Intelligence - Glide Agency, <https://glideagency.com/facebook-messenger-is-now-friends-with-artificial-intelligence/> 19. What is Nano (XNO)? | Learn Crypto - Coinmerce, <https://coinmerce.io/en/learn/what-is-nano/> 20. Cyber Signals Issue 9 | AI-powered deception: Emerging fraud threats and countermeasures | Microsoft Security Blog, <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/security/blog/2025/04/16/cyber-signals-issue-9-ai-powered-deception-emerging-fraud-threats-and-countermeasures/> 21. How AI and machine learning are shaping the future of spam filtering - Spaceship.com, <https://www.spaceship.com/blog/ai-spam-filtering/> 22. Email Scams: Protection Tactics and Profitable Solutions | Anti AI ..., <https://fyncom.com/blog/avoid-email-scams/> 23. Survey on Spam Filtering Techniques - Scientific Research Publishing, <https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=6769> 24. Cloud Email Security - Block Malicious Email Attacks | Abnormal AI, <https://abnormal.ai/> 25. The Evolution of Spam: From Basic Filters to AI solution - EmailTree AI, <https://emailtree.ai/blog/evolution-of-spam/> 26. Instagram DMs: New Ways to Stay Close to Your Crew, <https://about.instagram.com/blog/announcements/new-instagram-dm-update-2025> 27. US11310368B2 - Time-based nano-transaction system for reducing ..., <https://patents.google.com/patent/US11310368B2/en> 28. KarmaCall: Turn Spam Into Cash - Apps on Google Play, <https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.fyncom.robocash> 29. KarmaCall | Block Spam, Get Cash. A Scam call Blocker App with ..., <https://www.karmacall.com/> 30. Email Cash Back | Anti AI, Pro Human, Anti Spam Cash Back, CRM ..., <https://fyncom.com/fyncom-filters-email-edition/> 31. About Us | Anti AI, Pro Human, Anti Spam Cash Back, CRM ..., <https://fyncom.com/about/> 32. FynCom 2025 Company Profile: Valuation, Funding & Investors | PitchBook, <https://pitchbook.com/profiles/company/434375-38> 33. Critical Mass Business Talk Show: Ric Franz Interviews Adrian Garcia, CEO of FynCom (Episode 1329), <https://podcast.criticalmassforbusiness.com/e/critical-mass-business-talk-show-ric-franzy-interviews-adrian-garcia-ceo-of-fyncom-episode-1329/> 34. Adrian E. | Working at berkeley skydeck - Weekday, <https://www.weekday.works/people/adrian%20e.-garcia-adrianegarcia> 35. Cash-Back For Spam? Stop Scams & Increase Trust with ... - FynCom, <https://fyncom.com/white-paper-original-scam-calls/> 36. 2025 Funding Rounds & List of Investors - FynCom - Tracxn, [https://tracxn.com/d/companies/fyncom/\\_Wxd\\_Lm1jfY4sLeEMI8\\_3VV7kgYGt4IzNdxOa0Siv7ig/funding-and-investors](https://tracxn.com/d/companies/fyncom/_Wxd_Lm1jfY4sLeEMI8_3VV7kgYGt4IzNdxOa0Siv7ig/funding-and-investors) 37. KarmaCall on the App Store, <https://apps.apple.com/us/app/karmacall/id1574524278> 38. How FynCom uses nano to revolutionise customer engagement - Nano Blog, <https://nano.org/en/blog/how-fyncom-uses-nano-to-revolutionise-customer-engagement--9b7dd6aa> 39. Advancing Nano's Mission: KarmaCall Developer's Bid to becoming a nano rep again. : r/nanocurrency - Reddit, [https://www.reddit.com/r/nanocurrency/comments/1ioy72q/advancing\\_nanos\\_mission\\_karmacall\\_developers\\_bid/](https://www.reddit.com/r/nanocurrency/comments/1ioy72q/advancing_nanos_mission_karmacall_developers_bid/) 40. Join the KarmaCall beta - TestFlight - Apple,

<https://testflight.apple.com/join/Sn7p2fkW> 41. KarmaCall iOS is ready! Get paid Nano for blocking spam calls on Apple - Reddit, [https://www.reddit.com/r/nanocurrency/comments/qt8zsy/karmacall\\_ios\\_is\\_ready\\_get\\_paid\\_nano\\_for\\_blocking/](https://www.reddit.com/r/nanocurrency/comments/qt8zsy/karmacall_ios_is_ready_get_paid_nano_for_blocking/) 42. FynCom | Anti AI, Pro Human, Anti Spam Cash Back, CRM Rewards, Interactive Marketing | FynCom, <https://fyncom.com/> 43. What is Nano (XNO)? Details about XNO Coin | Huongdandaotienao on Binance Square, <https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/18300246577673> 44. Micropayments 101: A guide to get businesses started - Stripe, <https://stripe.com/resources/more/micropayments-101-a-guide-to-get-businesses-started> 45. Issues | Micropayments: A Viable Business Model? - CS Stanford, [https://cs.stanford.edu/people/eroberts/cs181/projects/2010-11/MicropaymentsAndTheNet/issue\\_s.html](https://cs.stanford.edu/people/eroberts/cs181/projects/2010-11/MicropaymentsAndTheNet/issue_s.html) 46. Global Payments Market Report 2025: Digital Payments Growth ..., <https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20250305938222/en/Global-Payments-Market-Report-2025-Digital-Payments-Growth-Continues-to-Accelerate-with-Market-Set-to-Exceed-USD-3-Trillion-by-2028---ResearchAndMarkets.com> 47. Prime time for real-time global payments report | ACI Worldwide, <https://www.aciworldwide.com/real-time-payments-report> 48. AI at Stripe | Grow Revenue with Our AI Features, <https://stripe.com/payments/ai> 49. How Stripe 'teaches' tech to beat the payments fraud exam - American Banker, <https://www.americanbanker.com/payments/news/stripes-machine-learning-tech-battle-card-testing> 50. Stripe Radar | Payment and Credit Card Fraud Detection, <https://stripe.com/radar> 51. Stripe unveils AI foundation model for payments - FX News Group, <https://fxnewsgroup.com/forex-news/payments/stripe-unveils-ai-foundation-model-for-payments/> 52. A primer on machine learning for fraud detection - Stripe, <https://stripe.com/guides/primer-on-machine-learning-for-fraud-protection> 53. Using AI to create dynamic, risk-based Radar rules - Stripe, <https://stripe.com/blog/using-ai-dynamic-radar-rules> 54. Global Connectivity Report 2022 - ITU, <https://www.itu.int/itu-d/reports/statistics/global-connectivity-report-2022/>