One can check that if Player 1 plays $s_1 = (0.9, 0.1)$, then the strategy that maximizes Player 2's expected utility is $s'_2 = (0, 1)$.

In other words, $s_2'=\left(0,1\right)$ is Player 2's best response to $s_1=\left(0.9,0.1\right)$.

So is
$$oldsymbol{s} = \left(s_1, s_2'
ight)$$
 a Nash equilibrium?

No! If Player 2 plays $s_2' = (0,1)$, Player 1 wants to deviate to $s_1' = (1,0)$:

= -0.8

$$\mathbb{E}ig[s_1 \mid s_2'ig] = \mathbb{E}ig[\mathsf{Heads} \mid s_2'ig] \cdot 0.9 + \mathbb{E}ig[\mathsf{Tails} \mid s_2'ig] \cdot 0.1$$

$$\mathbb{E}ig[s_1 \mid s_2'ig] = \mathbb{E}ig[\mathsf{Heads} \mid s_2'ig] \cdot 0.9 + \mathbb{E}ig[\mathsf{Tails} \mid s_2'ig] \cdot 0.1$$

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[s_1 \mid s_2'\Big] = \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathsf{Heads} \mid s_2'\Big] \cdot 0.9 + \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathsf{Tails} \mid s_2'\Big] \cdot 0.1$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[s_1 \mid s_2'\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathsf{Heads} \mid s_2'\right] \cdot 0.9 + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathsf{Tails} \mid s_2'\right] \cdot 0.1$$

$$= (-1) \cdot 0.9 + 1 \cdot 0.1$$

$$= (-1) \cdot 0.9 + 1 \cdot 0.1$$