ChatGPT

User Acceptance Testing (UAT) Report for Adrian Wedd's AI-Enhanced CV System and Watch-Me-Work Dashboard

Overview

Test dates: 1 Aug 2025 (AEST)
Tester: Automated agent acting on behalf of Human
Environments tested: Desktop (Chromium browser); responsive view approximated for tablet and mobile by window resizing.
Sources cited: Public pages of the CV system and Watch-Me-Work dashboard – the About section clearly states that Adrian Wedd is an "AI Engineer & Software Architect" who develops autonomous systems in Tasmania [1]. Core competencies include AI/machine learning, software architecture, real-time systems and research [2]. Quick facts list location (Tasmania, Australia), availability, focus on AI & autonomous systems and an innovation-driven approach [3]. The Watch-Me-Work page labels itself as a "Live Development Activity Dashboard" with filters for commits, issues, PRs and time ranges [4].

High-level impressions

- The CV site delivers a polished, modern single-page CV with strong personal branding, gradient backgrounds and interactive tabs. Key information (name, job title, location, commit/activity statistics and contact links) is visible on load, meeting the 30-second scan requirement. Dark/light mode and live GitHub integration add polish.
- Content depth is significant. The AI-enhanced CV auto-generates a professional summary, core competencies, skills matrix, project descriptions and quantified achievements. These sections are richer than those shown in the static version of the page [5], and a downloadable PDF further elaborates on roles and technologies.
- The Watch-Me-Work dashboard provides real-time GitHub activity. It shows a live activity stream with commit/PR events and displays repository cards summarising each repo's description, language and issue/commit counts. Filters allow viewing commits, issues or PRs across time ranges [6]. However, the "live" metrics (velocity score, focus time) are not explained and could confuse non-technical stakeholders.
- The staging environment (`cv-staging`) returns a 404; only production is available.

Persona-specific findings

1 Technical recruiter perspective

First impression & professional impact – Within 30 seconds, the page communicates the candidate's name, title (AI Engineer & Software Architect) and location. High-level statistics (commits in last 30 days, activity score, number of languages) give a sense of active engagement. The gradient hero header and polished typography evoke professionalism.

Key information scanning – The top banner emphasises GitHub/LinkedIn/email links, but there is no dedicated phone/contact section. Recruiters may expect a concise, written summary; the AI-generated "About Me" paragraphs are fairly detailed but only partly visible on the initial screen.

Content relevance – Core competencies match AI/ML roles (e.g., "Advanced ML systems" and "autonomous agents" [2]). However, claims such as "15 + autonomous systems delivered" or "95 % automation rate" in project descriptions appear in the PDF without external verification. Recruiters will need to cross-check these figures.

Cultural fit cues – The quick facts highlight an "innovation-driven" approach and interest in sustainable technology [3], suggesting values-aligned with forward-looking organisations. More explicit discussion of team dynamics or leadership philosophy could aid cultural assessment.

Decision: The CV is compelling enough to warrant further review. Some metrics feel inflated, but the overall presentation and clear contact links encourage deeper assessment.

2 Hiring manager (AI/ML engineering) perspective

Technical depth & project complexity – The CV enumerates languages (Python, JavaScript/TypeScript, Rust, Go) and ML frameworks (TensorFlow, PyTorch) with proficiency levels. Projects such as TicketSmith (ecosystem-aware AI automation platform), Agentic Research Engine (multi-agent research system) and VERITAS (legal AI platform) demonstrate advanced AI/ML applications. Technologies listed include LangChain, graph neural networks, Docker and Kubernetes – contemporary tools in the AI field. The PDF outlines responsibilities like integrating a housing management system using REST/SFTP, leading cybersecurity initiatives and implementing generative AI for data analysis. This breadth signals versatility across systems integration, security and AI.

Evidence & credibility – While GitHub integration shows active repositories and commits, the dashboard surfaces mostly high-level statistics. Code quality and contribution depth require manual inspection of individual repositories. Claims such as "Automation Rate 95 %" and "Research Speed 10×" lack citations and might appear marketing-heavy. Managers should verify specific contributions in the GitHub repos.

Leadership potential – The CV mentions leading digital transformation initiatives and pioneering generative-AI adoption in the public sector. Achievements such as cybersecurity leadership and automation/process improvements are aligned with senior responsibilities. There is limited explicit mention of mentoring or team management; adding examples of leading teams or mentoring juniors could strengthen this area.

Decision: The candidate shows strong technical breadth and innovative projects. Interview questions should probe the scale of their AI platforms, team roles and evidence supporting performance claims.

3 Fellow developer perspective

Technical credibility & code quality – The Watch-Me-Work page lists numerous repositories, indicating active development across a diverse stack. Repositories span AI agents, CI/CD tooling and voice-based LLM agents. Contributors and issue counts are visible at a glance. Without diving into the code, the publicly reported statistics and commit messages suggest frequent commits but limited context about code quality.

Community contributions – There is no explicit reference to open-source contributions outside of personal projects. Developers often value involvement in recognised projects or community Q&A platforms. Highlighting contributions to external open-source projects, publications or blog posts would improve perceived credibility.

Technical accuracy – The skills matrix appears accurate for a senior AI/ML engineer (Python/TypeScript, machine learning, NLP, Docker/Kubernetes). However, some sections mix unrelated skills (e.g., listing marketing certifications alongside ML frameworks), which could dilute the technical focus.

Decision: The candidate appears technically adept, but peers would like to inspect code repositories and confirm that claimed achievements match commit history. Clearer links to key repositories and sample code would help.

4 Mobile user perspective

Loading & responsiveness – On a desktop browser resized to approximate mobile width, the site retains usability; the navigation tabs wrap into a scrollable row, and the hero section scales properly. The heavy gradient background and large statistic cards still dominate the first screen, forcing users to scroll to read the summary. The dark/light mode toggle works but the icon (sun/moon) is small for touch.

Touch interactions – Buttons such as “GitHub,” “LinkedIn,” “Email” and “Watch Me Work” are adequately sized. However, the floating dark-mode toggle and export menu icons may be difficult to tap on small screens. Interactive charts or accordions are replaced by static lists, so there is little risk of mis-taps, but long scroll length could deter commuters.

Performance – The site loaded quickly over broadband, but dynamic content may refresh slowly on mobile data. The Watch-Me-Work dashboard repeatedly fetches GitHub data; on a slow connection it might appear blank or stuck (“Loading live activity…” [7]). Offering a fallback static summary would improve mobile reliability.

Decision: The mobile experience is acceptable but could benefit from collapsible sections, bigger touch targets and offline-friendly fallback content.

Detailed UAT Checklist

A First impressions (30-second rule)

Item	Observation	Rating
Professional impact	Bold hero section with gradient background and avatar conveys a modern brand. Activity stats and “AI Credibility” add curiosity but may confuse non-technical viewers.	☆☆☆☆
Visual hierarchy	Name, title and location are prominent; commit/activity stats and contact buttons are clearly grouped. Large statistic cards push the summary below the fold.	☆☆☆☆
Loading performance	Initial load took ~1 second on desktop; dynamic sections (experience/projects) appear gradually as data loads. Live GitHub dashboard refreshes quickly but may lag on mobile.	☆☆☆☆

Item	Observation	Rating
Mobile responsiveness	Responsive design works; however, the first screen remains busy and some icons are small for tapping.	☆☆☆

B Content quality & accuracy

Item	Observations & evidence	Rating
Professional summary	AI-generated summary emphasises innovation, autonomous systems and AI expertise [8]. The downloadable PDF provides a different summary focusing on government housing systems, indicating customisation but potentially confusing recruiters.	☆☆☆☆
Technical skills	Skills matrix lists languages, ML frameworks, frontend, backend, DevOps and cloud platforms. Proficiency levels (e.g., Python – Expert, TypeScript – Advanced) seem realistic for a senior engineer.	☆☆☆☆
Project descriptions	Projects like TicketSmith and Agentic Research Engine are described with goals, technologies and impact metrics. Claims (e.g., 95 % automation rate) lack references, so recruiters may question their validity.	☆☆☆
Achievement metrics	Quantitative achievements (reduced decision-making latency by 60 %, delivered 15 + autonomous systems) are impressive but unverified. Listing awards or linking to publications could strengthen credibility.	☆☆☆
Career progression	Roles progress from communications and IT support to systems analysis and AI engineering, showing growth and increasing responsibility. The timeline is coherent and demonstrates domain diversity.	☆☆☆☆

C User experience (UX)

Item	Observations	Rating
Navigation	Tab-style section navigation is intuitive; clicking each tab scrolls to the respective section. The “Watch Me Work” button clearly leads to the activity dashboard.	☆☆☆☆
Readability	Font sizes are legible and contrast well against the gradient background. However, long paragraphs and statistic cards occupy large vertical space; summarised bullets could improve scan-ability.	☆☆☆☆
Interactive elements	Dark/light mode toggle works; export menu offers PDF/DOCX/ATS/ LaTeX options; repository cards on the dashboard have grid/list toggles. Everything functioned correctly during testing.	☆☆☆☆
Accessibility	No observed keyboard traps; however, there are many icons without visible alt-text, and colour-only cues (green/yellow status dots) may be problematic for colour-blind users. ARIA labels and skip links could improve accessibility.	☆☆☆

Item	Observations	Rating
Print/PDF	Export options generate a professional multi-page PDF. The PDF loads in a new tab and is formatted cleanly with headers and bullet points; however, the PDF emphasises different career aspects than the web CV, which could confuse readers.	☆☆☆☆

D Watch Me Work dashboard

Item	Observations	Rating
Data accuracy	Commits, PRs and repository cards reflect current GitHub activity; the dashboard indicated 55 commits for the week and a 5-day streak during testing, suggesting real data feeds.	☆☆☆☆
Visualization clarity	The live activity stream uses icons for commits, PRs and comments. Repository cards list language and update date. However, metrics like “Velocity Score” and “Focus Time” are not explained, and there are no trend graphs.	☆☆☆
Performance insights	Simple counts (commits, issues) provide a high-level view, but there is little context on contribution quality or complexity. Including charts showing commit frequency over time or time spent per project would add value.	☆☆☆
Update frequency	The dashboard showed commits as recent as 3 hours ago and lists last update time, demonstrating regular refresh. On first load it shows “Loading live activity…” [7], but once loaded it stays updated.	☆☆☆☆
Professional value	The dashboard enhances transparency, showing that the candidate actively codes. Non-technical recruiters may not understand the implications of the metrics, so brief explanations could improve utility.	☆☆☆☆

E Technical credibility

Item	Observations	Rating
Skill validation	Projects and roles demonstrate usage of ML frameworks, cloud infrastructure, CI/CD, REST/SFTP integration and cybersecurity. GitHub activity corroborates active development. Specific outcomes (e.g., time savings) require evidence.	☆☆☆☆
Code examples	The CV links to GitHub but does not highlight individual code samples. Including curated links to representative commits or code snippets would substantiate claims.	☆☆☆
Project complexity	Projects span LLM-powered automation, multi-agent systems and legal AI, indicating high complexity. Integrating different stacks (LangChain, FastAPI, Docker, Kubernetes) showcases full-stack expertise.	☆☆☆☆
Technology currency	The tech stack (Python, TypeScript, React, Docker, Kubernetes, TensorFlow, LangChain) aligns with current AI and software-engineering trends. Use of generative AI and multi-agent architectures highlights cutting-edge knowledge.	☆☆☆☆☆

F Cross-device & browser testing

Device/browser	Observations	Rating
Desktop (Chromium)	Site functions properly; loading is fast; interactive elements work.	☆☆☆☆
Tablet (approx. 800 px width)	Resized window shows responsive layout. Navigation tabs remain accessible. Some elements (dark-mode toggle) remain small.	☆☆☆
Mobile (approx. 480 px width)	Content remains legible; long scroll required; small icons can be difficult to tap; live dashboard may load slowly.	☆☆☆
Browser compatibility	Only Chromium could be tested. No Safari/Firefox/Edge issues reported, but minor CSS quirks could appear.	☆☆☆

Critical issues

High priority

- **Broken links & staging site:** The `cv-staging` environment returns a 404, preventing comparison with staged features. Verify deployment or remove the link. Some external links (e.g., LinkedIn) depend on user login; consider fallback text if blocked.
- **Metric credibility:** Achievement metrics (e.g., “15 + autonomous systems delivered”, “95 % automation rate”) are impressive but not substantiated. Overstated claims could damage credibility. Add references or case studies to support metrics.
- **Accessibility:** The site relies on colour cues and icons without alt-text. Users with visual impairments may struggle to interpret status indicators. Add descriptive labels and ensure keyboard navigation is fully functional.

Medium priority

- **Consistency between web and PDF:** The PDF version emphasises a different professional narrative (systems analyst and technology professional) compared to the AI-focused web CV, which may confuse recruiters. Harmonise messaging across formats or provide multiple tailored CVs with clear labels.
- **Explaining live metrics:** On the Watch-Me-Work dashboard, metrics like velocity score and focus time lack definitions. Include tooltips explaining how they are calculated and why they matter to prospective employers.
- **Mobile UX:** Increase tap target sizes for the dark-mode toggle and export buttons. Provide an option to collapse large sections to shorten scrolling on mobile.
- **Highlight mentorship:** The CV mentions leadership but lacks examples of mentoring or team management. Adding concrete stories or testimonials could improve perception of leadership ability.

Low priority

- **Minor visual polish:** Adjust spacing so that the summary appears above the fold on desktop; reduce the hero section height. Use consistent iconography and align bullet lists to improve readability.

- **Content expansion:** Add sections on publications, speaking engagements, or community involvement to enhance thought-leadership credibility. Include a brief statement about interests or hobbies for cultural fit.
- **Browser testing:** Verify appearance on Firefox and Safari; test with screen readers to ensure accessible navigation.

Specific questions addressed

1. **Does the professional summary effectively communicate value proposition?** Yes; the AI-generated summary emphasises innovation and autonomous systems [8]. A more concise version could improve initial scanning.
2. **Are technical skills accurately represented?** Yes; the skills matrix covers languages, ML frameworks, frontend/back-end tools and cloud platforms. Proficiency levels are reasonable for a senior engineer.
3. **Do project descriptions provide sufficient detail?** Partially. Descriptions identify technologies and purported impact, but supporting context and references (e.g., links to demos or publications) are missing.
4. **Are achievements quantified appropriately?** Metrics are provided, but some seem inflated or unverified. Adding references or case-study links would enhance credibility.
5. **Does career progression tell a coherent story?** Yes; progression from environmental campaigns to IT support to systems analyst to AI engineer shows growth and skill diversification.
6. **Does GitHub activity integration add credibility?** Yes; the Watch-Me-Work dashboard demonstrates active coding and repository diversity, though the significance of metrics could be better explained.
7. **Are coding languages and frameworks current?** Yes; languages and frameworks align with modern AI/ML and DevOps stacks.
8. **Do projects demonstrate appropriate complexity?** Yes; projects span LLM automation, multi-agent systems and compliance-focused AI solutions.
9. **Is there evidence of leadership or community involvement?** Leadership is implied through project responsibilities and achievements; community involvement is not highlighted.
10. **Any red flags or inconsistencies?** The disparity between the web CV and PDF, unsubstantiated metrics and the inaccessible staging site are concerns.

User experience questions

1. **How intuitive is navigation?** Navigation is intuitive with clear tabs and anchor links.
2. **Does the mobile experience maintain functionality?** Yes, but long scrolling and small icons impact usability.
3. **Are loading times acceptable?** Yes on desktop; may be slower on mobile due to live data fetching.
4. **Do interactive elements work reliably?** Yes; toggles and export functions worked during tests.
5. **Is the print/PDF version professionally formatted?** Yes; it uses clean headings and bullet points, though content focus differs from the web version.

Market positioning

- **Comparison with senior AI/ML candidates:** The candidate stands out by combining AI engineering with software architecture, cybersecurity and public-sector experience. The live GitHub dashboard is unique. However, the focus on varied roles (environmental campaigns, digital marketing) might dilute AI/ML positioning. Competitors often highlight contributions to well-known open-source projects and research publications.

- **Unique value propositions:** AI-enhanced CV, live activity dashboard and multi-agent system projects. Experience in the public sector and cybersecurity also differentiates the profile.
- **Gaps or weaknesses:** Lack of verified metrics, limited evidence of team leadership and no external references or endorsements. The narrative may appear self-promotional without supporting evidence.
- **Interview recommendation:** Recommend interview with reservations. Technical depth and innovative projects warrant a conversation, but interviewers should probe the authenticity of metrics and clarify leadership experience.
- **Questions to ask in interview:**
- Can you describe the architecture and production impact of TicketSmith or Agentic Research Engine?
- How did you measure and achieve the reported automation and productivity gains?
- Can you provide examples of mentoring or leading technical teams?
- How do you ensure ethical and compliant AI development (e.g., in legal AI projects)?
- Which project are you most proud of, and what challenges did you overcome?

Final summary

Overall rating: 4/5 ☆

Top 3 strengths

1. **Innovative presentation & live integration** – The AI-enhanced CV pairs a modern design with live GitHub data, demonstrating technical engagement and differentiating the candidate.
2. **Broad technical stack & project complexity** – Skills span programming languages, ML frameworks, DevOps and cloud platforms; projects tackle advanced AI problems (LLM automation, multi-agent systems) using contemporary tools.
3. **Clear progression & domain diversity** – The career path shows growth from environmental advocacy and IT support to systems analysis and AI engineering, reflecting adaptability and diverse experience.

Top 3 areas for improvement

1. **Substantiating achievements** – Provide references, case studies or metrics backed by data to support claims (e.g., automation rates, research speed). Consider linking to demos or client testimonials.
2. **Accessibility & mobile usability** – Improve alt-text, colour contrast and keyboard navigation; enlarge touch targets; offer collapsible sections or a condensed mobile view.
3. **Consistency & leadership evidence** – Align messaging between web and PDF versions; add concrete examples of team leadership, mentoring or community involvement to strengthen the narrative.

Recommendation

- ☑ **Recommend for interview – with reservations.** The candidate's technical breadth, project ambition and innovative presentation warrant an interview. However, interviewers should verify the authenticity of metrics, clarify leadership experience and explore the depth of contributions to complex projects.

Additional comments

Consider providing separate tailored versions of the CV (e.g., technical vs. public-sector focused) rather than mixing narratives. Clarify the meaning of AI-specific metrics (e.g., "AI Credibility," "Velocity Score") and consider renaming them for clarity. Highlight contributions to open-source or research communities and include a brief personal statement to humanise the profile.

---

1 2 3 5 8 Adrian Wedd - AI Engineer & Software Architect
https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/

4 6 7 Watch Me Work - Adrian Wedd
https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/watch-me-work.html