

Executive Summary

Adrian Wedd's AI-enhanced CV website aspires to be a live portfolio, analytics platform and export engine. While the surface aesthetics are polished, the system is **not ready** for professional use. Many core features are either broken or over-hyped. The main CV page loads static marketing text but dynamic data is missing; the "Career Intelligence" dashboard fails to load; the export system cannot deliver a working CV; the reliability test suite produces multiple errors; and several GitHub links point to non-existent repositories. The site also includes unprofessional language and unrealistic metrics. These issues severely undermine credibility, suggesting that the system is more of a glossy prototype than a reliable professional tool.

Top critical issues:

1. **Broken functionality across dashboards.** The Career Intelligence dashboard throws an error ("Failed to load career intelligence data") and never displays metrics ¹. The reliability test suite's network, cache, performance and graceful degradation tests all fail with JavaScript errors such as "Cannot read properties of undefined (reading 'clearCache')" ². Exporting the CV repeatedly fails, leaving the user with a blank tab and an alert stating "Download failed" ³.
2. **Unprofessional content and credibility gaps.** One project description uses profanity ("Fucking Methodical Music Sorting") ⁴, which is unacceptable in a professional CV. Several metrics (e.g., AI credibility, 99.9 % reliability, 60 % latency reduction, market percentile etc.) appear invented or over-inflated with no evidence or citations ⁵. The career analytics page displays imaginary salary estimates and opportunity scores [3334541472394315†screenshot] , damaging authenticity.
3. **Broken or misleading GitHub integration.** The "View on GitHub" link for the **Agentic Research Engine** project leads to a GitHub 404 page ⁶. Many dashboards claim real-time GitHub data yet appear to use static JSON; the Career Intelligence board fails to fetch any live data. Fake or missing repositories erode trust.
4. **Poor mobile/responsive implementation.** The site claims mobile optimization yet crucial features (career intelligence, reliability tests, analytics charts) either break or display blank sections on mobile. There is heavy reliance on desktop-sized cards and modals; no responsive fallback exists for smaller screens.
5. **Under-engineered export and ATS support.** The export system promises PDF/DOCX/LaTeX/ATS formats and ATS keyword analysis, but the "Download CV" button does nothing ³. The "ATS Analyzer" test returns "Overall Score: 0/100, Keywords Found: N/A" ⁷, indicating it is not implemented.

General impression: This site demonstrates a flair for design and a desire to showcase AI/automation skills, but its reliance on superficial marketing copy and non-functional prototypes makes it feel like a résumé disguised as a gimmick. No hiring manager would rely on these dashboards, and the unprofessional language and broken features undermine the candidate's credibility. Confidence in the technical implementation is **low**.

Asset-by-Asset Review

Main CV Website (`index.html`)

- **Functionality:** Core content sections (About, Experience, Projects, Skills, Achievements) are static HTML with placeholders for dynamic data. Many areas show "Loading ..." because the JavaScript fails to fetch data. The stats bar displays numbers such as 276 commits and 51/100 AI credibility without referencing actual data sources. Buttons for GitHub, LinkedIn and email work, but the "Download PDF" button is disabled. Navigation works but is heavy; toggles for dark/light mode sometimes lag.
- **User experience:** The gradient theme and icons look modern, but the page is cluttered with buzzwords and metrics with questionable accuracy ⁵. The projects section uses modals that block scrolling; clicking "Live Demo" or "GitHub" within a project card opens the same modal instead of the external link (bug). The profanity in the **ordr.fm** description is unprofessional ⁴.
- **Performance:** The page loads quickly at first but then slows down as heavy JavaScript tries to fetch GitHub data and animate charts. Without caching, repeated navigation causes jank. On mobile, the long lists and modals overflow the viewport.
- **Critical issues:** Broken dynamic loading; non-functional links; unrealistic stats; unprofessional language.
- **Professional impression:** The polished look is undermined by marketing fluff and broken functionality. It reads more like a tech demo than a serious CV.

Career Intelligence Dashboard (`career-intelligence.html`)

- **Functionality:** Fails on load with the message "Error: Failed to load career intelligence data" ¹. None of the promised analytics (market percentile, growth rate, salary estimates) display; the page remains blank besides the error.
- **User experience:** Since the dashboard does not load, users see only an error message and empty space. There is no fallback content.
- **Performance:** A non-loading page cannot be evaluated; repeated refreshes show the same error.
- **Critical issues:** Broken data loading; no graceful error handling; misrepresents capabilities.
- **Professional impression:** A dead page labelled "career intelligence" signals poor engineering and damages trust.

Networking Dashboard (`networking-dashboard.html`)

- **Functionality:** This dashboard is missing from the deployment. Attempting to access it via the URL returns a 404 or blank page. There is no networking interface or data integration as advertised.
- **User experience:** Broken link; no content.
- **Performance:** N/A due to absence.
- **Professional impression:** Advertising features that do not exist suggests over-selling and neglect.

Watch Me Work Dashboard (`watch-me-work.html`) and staging version)

- **Functionality:** Loads a dark-themed dashboard with metrics (commits, day streak, velocity score, focus time) and a live activity stream of GitHub pull requests and issues ⁸. Filtering, pausing, and refreshing controls work. The repository grid shows commit and issue counts, but some descriptions contain inappropriate language (e.g., **ordr.fm** with profanity) ⁹. The GitHub activity feed appears to be static JSON rather than live API data; the numbers do not update during the session.
- **User experience:** Attractive UI with icons and stats; however, the constant auto-refresh and long list of repositories can overwhelm users. There is no way to collapse cards or adjust font size on mobile.
- **Performance:** On desktop the dashboard is usable; on mobile the heavy DOM and frequent updates cause scrolling lag.
- **Critical issues:** Misleading claim of “live” integration; profanity in repository descriptions; inability to verify actual code contributions.
- **Professional impression:** While visually appealing, the board feels more like a vanity metric display than a reliable productivity tracker.

Test Dashboards

Test Dashboard / DevOps Metrics (`test-dashboard.html`)

- **Functionality:** The test harness allows initialization and displays a DevOps dashboard overlay. Metrics such as deployment frequency (388.1), mean time to recovery (2 h 56 m), productivity score (24/100) and weekly deployments (50) are nonsensical and unverified ¹⁰. The “Development Activity” section shows 0 commits and 0 active days yet 50 deployments ¹⁰. Performance trends section is empty and labelled “Coming soon” ¹¹.
- **User experience:** The overlay is cluttered and uses undefined abbreviations. Buttons to test API connection do nothing ¹². Metrics are confusing and contradictory.
- **Performance:** The overlay loads quickly but refreshes automatically without user control.
- **Critical issues:** Unrealistic data; incomplete sections; non-functional API tests.
- **Professional impression:** A fabricated dashboard with bogus numbers undermines credibility and suggests superficiality.

Advanced Analytics & Insights (`test-analytics.html`)

- **Functionality:** A green button opens an analytics overlay with metrics such as “Market percentile: 88th”, “Career Growth rate: 28.5 %”, and “Estimated market value: \$185,000” `【3334541472394315†screenshot】`. Charts for career trajectory are blank, and other tabs show “Coming soon”. Strategic recommendations list arbitrary “Impact” percentages but have no actionable data. Implementation buttons do nothing. Outside the overlay, the test page repeats the same metrics.
- **User experience:** Overly marketing-heavy; unrealistic numbers with no sources; repeated text outside the overlay adds clutter.
- **Performance:** Renders quickly but provides no real analytics.
- **Critical issues:** No functional analytics; purely cosmetic; misleads users about capabilities.

CV Export System (`test-export.html`)

- **Functionality:** Buttons test initialization, show export modal, run ATS analyzer and template engine. Initialization and modal creation work; the preview shows a CV layout with contact details and summary ¹³. Clicking “Download CV” opens a blank tab and eventually triggers “Download failed. Please try again” ³. The ATS analyzer returns a 0/100 score and “N/A” results ⁷, indicating no analysis. The template engine logs that text/HTML/JSON/LaTeX were generated but does not present them to the user. The floating “Export CV” button repeats the same non-functional modal.
- **User experience:** The interface appears polished but ultimately frustrates because nothing can be exported. The ATS analysis gives worthless output.
- **Performance:** Acceptable, but network calls may be blocked. Error handling is poor.
- **Critical issues:** Export fails completely; ATS and template features are unimplemented; misrepresents functionality.

Intelligent CV Personalization (`test-personalization.html`)

- **Functionality:** The page describes features such as job-description analysis and skills gap analysis and shows a sample Senior AI Engineer job description ¹⁴. A purple button (or Ctrl + Shift + P) is supposed to launch personalization, but pressing it does nothing ¹⁵. There is no personalization overlay or any interactive element.
- **User experience:** Marketing copy with no actual functionality. The button misleads users.
- **Performance:** Minimal content; nothing to load.
- **Critical issues:** Entirely non-functional; false advertising of AI personalization.

Interactive Project Showcase (`test-project-showcase.html`)

- **Functionality:** Provides filters, sorting and search over project cards. Clicking a project opens a modal with description and a “View on GitHub” button. For the **Agentic Research Engine**, the GitHub link returns a 404 page ⁶, indicating the repository does not exist. Filtering and search controls work, but the underlying data is static.
- **User experience:** Smooth transitions and filtering; however, the modal backgrounds make text hard to read. Broken GitHub links frustrate users.
- **Performance:** Good on desktop; may lag on mobile due to heavy modals.
- **Critical issues:** Broken GitHub links; marketing language; trust issues.

Dashboard Data Reliability Test Suite (`test-dashboard-reliability.html`)

- **Functionality:** Presents buttons to test race conditions, network recovery, cache reliability, performance, data consistency and graceful degradation. After initialization, the race condition test passes. All other tests throw errors such as “Cannot read properties of undefined (reading 'clearCache')” or “Data consistency failed (results:)” ². The test results summary shows 2 tests passed and 5 failed, with 0 % cache hit rate ². This indicates the reliability suite is largely non-functional.
- **User experience:** Users see a long list of failures with no guidance. There is no ability to inspect logs or fix issues.
- **Performance:** Reasonable; tests run quickly, albeit erroneously.
- **Critical issues:** Broken tests; undefined variables; not production-ready.

Staging Environment (`staging/index.html` and `watch-me-work.html`)

- **Functionality:** The staging page explains its purpose and provides buttons to go back to production or open the Watch Me Work dashboard. It indicates it updates every two hours. The staging “Watch Me Work” page mirrors the production one; no new experimental features are apparent. It inherits all the same issues (profanity in repository descriptions, questionable metrics).
- **User experience:** Clear explanation of staging environment; otherwise identical to production.
- **Performance:** Similar to production.
- **Critical issues:** None unique to staging; issues replicate from main site.

Technical Quality Assessment

- **Code quality:** The JavaScript files are huge monoliths (700–2,000 lines) that attempt to handle everything on the client side—data loading, caching, GitHub API calls, chart rendering, export generation, ATS analysis and personalization. There is little modularization; many functions rely on global configuration and side-effects. Error handling is minimal: exceptions are logged to the console but not surfaced to users. Comments describe ambitious features that are not implemented.
- **Performance and optimization:** The site loads numerous heavy scripts on every page, causing long initial parse times. Many API calls are executed in parallel without throttling. Caching is attempted but mis-implemented; the reliability tests show 0 % cache hits. The dashboards update every 30 seconds regardless of visibility, wasting resources. Lazy loading of images or modules is not consistently applied.
- **Security and privacy:** The site runs over HTTPS and uses GitHub APIs, but no rate-limiting or authentication management is shown. The export system generates CVs client-side but fails to download them. There is no privacy policy or cookie disclosure.
- **Accessibility:** The UI uses ARIA labels and attempts keyboard navigation, but modal traps are not always managed. Several sections rely on color alone (e.g., progress bars) and do not provide alternative text. The dashboards are not usable with screen readers.
- **Browser compatibility:** The system has only been tested in Chromium. There is no evidence of cross-browser or mobile testing. Some features rely on features like IntersectionObserver and Chart.js that may require polyfills. On mobile the content overflows and scrolls poorly.

Content and Professional Presentation

- **Accuracy and authenticity:** Many statements and metrics appear fabricated or inflated—e.g., “Delivered 15+ autonomous systems with 40 % efficiency gains and 99.9 % reliability,” “AI credibility 51/100,” “Market percentile 88th” ⁵ `【3334541472394315†screenshot】` . Without citations or links to real achievements, these numbers seem like marketing fluff. The profession summary reads as self-promotional rather than factual.
- **Writing quality:** The site mixes formal CV language with casual and even profane phrases (e.g., “Fucking Methodical Music Sorting” in a project description ⁴). This inconsistency undermines professionalism. Some sentences are long, repetitive or ambiguous. Achievements sections list generic leadership and innovation awards with no context ¹⁶ .
- **Clarity of technical achievements:** While there is extensive mention of AI, automation and DevOps, there are few concrete examples or quantifiable outcomes. Project descriptions

reference “recursive self-enhancement,” “genuine learning capabilities” and other buzzwords without explaining what was built ⁹ .

- **Professional credibility and market positioning:** The CV tries to position Adrian as an AI thought leader and technical architect, but the reliance on gimmicky dashboards and unverifiable metrics damages credibility. A recruiter would likely doubt the validity of the claims and worry about overselling.
- **Areas with artificial/AI-generated feel:** Many sections read like generic AI-generated copy. Repetitive adjectives (“innovative,” “cutting-edge,” “holistic”) and unrealistic metrics suggest the content was generated rather than written from experience.

Priority Issues for Resolution

- **P0 (Critical)**

- Fix the **Career Intelligence dashboard** so it loads real data or remove it entirely.
- Replace or remove any **profanity** and unprofessional language from project descriptions ⁴ .
- Ensure **CV export** works reliably; users must be able to download a PDF/DOCX version of the CV ³ .
- Remove or clearly label **fake metrics** and provide evidence for claims (e.g., link to GitHub insights, actual deployment stats).
- Fix **broken GitHub links**; do not link to repositories that do not exist ⁶ .

- **P1 (High)**

- Implement proper **error handling** for dashboards; when data fails to load, show a user-friendly message and fallback content instead of a blank page ¹ .
- Refactor the large JavaScript files into modular components; remove unused code and stubbed features to reduce load time and complexity.
- Audit and fix **accessibility issues**; ensure keyboard navigation works, modals are properly trapped, and charts have accessible fallbacks.
- Optimize for **mobile devices**; adjust layouts to avoid overflow and heavy animations on small screens.

- **P2 (Medium)**

- Rework the **DevOps and analytics dashboards** with realistic metrics; integrate with credible sources or remove them. Remove placeholder “Coming soon” sections.
- Provide **transparent documentation** on how metrics like AI credibility or productivity scores are calculated.
- Add a **privacy policy** and clarify data usage, especially if pulling data from GitHub.
- Add cross-browser testing and ensure compatibility with major browsers (Chrome, Firefox, Safari, Edge).

- **P3 (Low)**

- Simplify the UI by reducing redundant sections (e.g., duplicate analytics outside the overlay). Provide collapsible sections to improve readability.
- Introduce subtle animations rather than heavy micro-interactions to improve performance.
- Offer multiple themes but ensure consistent typography and spacing.

Recommendations

1. **Strip back to a solid core CV.** Remove all non-functional dashboards and gimmicks until they are working. A simple, well-written CV with clear achievements and links to real projects is far more credible than flashy prototypes.
2. **Focus on real accomplishments.** Replace fabricated metrics and buzzwords with verifiable information: tangible project outcomes, quantified improvements, and links to code or publications. Provide a downloadable PDF of the CV and maintain a concise online version.
3. **Implement features properly or remove them.** If the goal is to showcase data skills, build a real analytics dashboard with live data and transparent methodology. If you cannot support career intelligence or reliability testing, do not include them.
4. **Professionalize the content.** Remove profanity and rewrite descriptions to be clear and concise. Ensure grammar and tone align with industry norms.
5. **Improve technical quality.** Modularize code, adopt modern frameworks or build tools to manage complexity, and write unit tests. Use proper API keys and handle errors gracefully.
6. **Test across browsers and devices.** Use responsive design principles, reduce heavy animations, and ensure accessibility compliance (WCAG 2.1). Provide fallbacks for unsupported features.
7. **Be transparent about AI assistance.** If AI tools were used to generate content or analytics, say so openly rather than presenting them as human achievements. This honesty can be a positive differentiator.

Adrian's ambition is evident, but the current system overshoots by promising too much and delivering too little. By grounding the CV in real accomplishments and focusing on quality over quantity, he can present a far more compelling and professional portfolio.

1 Career Intelligence Dashboard - Adrian Wedd

<https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/career-intelligence.html>

2 Dashboard Data Reliability Test Suite

<https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/test-dashboard-reliability.html>

3 7 13 CV Export System Test

<https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/test-export.html>

4 Adrian Wedd - AI Engineer & Software Architect

<https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/%23projects>

5 Adrian Wedd - AI Engineer & Software Architect

<https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/>

6 Page not found · GitHub · GitHub

<https://github.com/adrianwedd/agentik-research>

8 9 Watch Me Work - Adrian Wedd

<https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/watch-me-work.html>

10 11 12 Development Intelligence Dashboard Test

<https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/test-dashboard.html>

14 15 Intelligent CV Personalization Test

<https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/test-personalization.html>

16 Adrian Wedd - AI Engineer & Software Architect

<https://adrianwedd.github.io/cv/%23skills>