
DVCS && GIT

« I'm an egotistical ***, and I name all my projects after myself.»
Linus Torvalds

What is a DVCS?

Central VCS Computer A Central VCS Server Checkout Version Database version 3 version 2 **Computer B** Checkout version 1 file




	GIT	Mercurial (Hg)
Which is the best?	No real answer	
OS	Mac, Windows, Unix-like	
License	GPL	
performance	Equivalent (Hg is faster with http)	
Users	Linux, Microsoft, Google, LinkedIn, Facebook, Gnome, Eclipse KDE, Android, Debian, Ruby on Rails, Qt, Boost, Juce	Python, Go, Symbian, Netbeans
Repository size	100%	150%
features	Functions are almost the same (Git, do everything offline, git can fetch servers without modifying your repo, Hg can not)	
scripting	Bash, and lots of frontend including Python	Easyer with Python
Versions id	Version Ids are SHA1	Numbers & SHA
Clients	Both have great clients (some support Hg and Git)	
Compatibility	Can both work from SVN ad there is a bridge between Hg and Git 3	

Git clients/browser


- Windows
 - Git bash or Windows command line
 - TortoiseGit, GitExtensions, Git GUI
- Mac
 - Command line
 - Source Tree
- Web
 - Gitweb
 - Cgit (<u>link</u>)

Git VCS operations




\$ git status (to show the working tree status)
\$ git diff (to compare commits, or commits and working tree...)

You sayed « D »vcs?



You sayed « D »vcs ? (remotes)



DEMO (part 1)


- Init a repo
- Clone the repo :
 - the cloned repo is origin, and you are on the master branch
 - git folder
 - Show status with command line
 - Open it with git extensions
- Make a second clone

DEMO (part 2)

- Do a commit: (add, commit)
 See status, diff and in gitextension
- Do a push (on win)
- Do a pull (on mac)


A branch is a local concept

- With SVN you checkout 1 branch from the server,
 it is a virtual folder
- With Git you « really » branch a graph of snapshots




The data are stored like a graph of commit objects, which are pointing on snapshots


Branching in Git (the master branch)




A branch is a simple object pointing on a commit



- \$ git branch <name_of_the_branch> ## create the branch
- \$ git checkout <name_of_the_branch> ## switch
- \$ git checkout -b <name_of_the_branch> ## create and switch
- \$ git branch ## list all branches and a star shows where you are



When you clone a repository your HEAD is on the master branch.



Do a « \$ git checkout » is just moving the HEAD pointer \$ git checkout –b
 branchname> ## will branch and switch


Branching in Git (Where are you?)

```
MINGW32:/c/testgit
          DRIEN-PC /c/testgit (master)
$ git status
 Ön branch master
nothing to commit (working directory clean)
Adrien@ADRIEN-PC /c/testgit (master)
$ git checkout -b my_new_branch
Switched to a new branch 'my_new_branch'
Adrien@ADRIEN-PC /c/testgit (my_new_branch)
$ git status
# On branch my_new_branch
nothing to commit (working directory clean)
Adrien@ADRIEN-PC /c/testgit (my_new_branch)
$ git checkout master
Switched to branch 'master'
Adrien@ADRIEN-PC /c/testgit (master)
$ git branch
  my_new_branch
 Adrien@ADRIEN-PC /c/testgit (master)
```

Branching in Git (A branch life)

\$ touch test.cpp ## change something


\$ git commit —a —m«a change» ## commit all changes




\$ git checkout -b iss53
\$ git commit -a « the C3 commit »
\$ git checkout master
\$ git checkout -b hotfix
\$ git commit -a « the C4 commit »


C0 C1 C2 C4


We want to merge master and hotfix, this is a simple fast forward merge





another commit into iss53





Git automatically created a new commit which results from this merge

Branching and merging in Git (conflicts)

```
$ git merge iss53
Auto-merging index.html
CONFLICT (content): Merge conflict in index.html
Automatic merge failed; fix conflicts and then commit the result.
$ git status
index.html: needs merge
# On branch master
# Changed but not updated:
# (use "git add <file>..." to update what will be committed)
# (use "git checkout -- <file>..." to discard changes in working directory)
#
# unmerged: index.html
#
```

Branching and merging in Git (conflicts)


```
<<<<< HEAD:index.html
<div id="footer">contact : email.support@github.com</div>
======
<div id="footer"> please contact us at support@github.com </div>
>>>>> iss53:index.html
Fix it!
                                                      $ git commit
                                                      Merge branch 'iss53'
$ git status
# On branch master
                                                      Conflicts:
                                                         index.html
# Changes to be committed:
# (use "git reset HEAD <file>..." to unstage)
                                                       # It looks like you may be committing a MERGE.
#
# modified: index.html
                                                       # If this is not correct, please remove the file
#
                                                       #.git/MERGE HEAD
                                                       # and try again.
                                                       #
```

Branching and merging in Git (manage branches)

```
drien@ADRIEN-PC ~/Desktop/git/exemples/test (master)
 git branch --no-merged
  iss53
 drien@ADRIEN-PC ~/Desktop/git/exemples/test (master)
 git branch
  iss53
 drien@ADRIEN-PC ~/Desktop/git/exemples/test (master)
 git branch -v
  iss53 e52ca0c changes
 master 1404d12 changes
 drien@ADRIEN-PC ~/Desktop/git/exemples/test (master)
 git branch --no-merged
  iss53
 drien@ADRIEN-PC ~/Desktop/git/exemples/test (master)
$ git merge iss53
Merge made by the 'recursive' strategy.
O files changed, O insertions(+), O deletions(-)
 create mode 100644 t.txt
 drien@ADRIEN-PC ~/Desktop/git/exemples/test (master)
 git branch --merged
  iss53
 drien@ADRIEN-PC ~/Desktop/git/exemples/test (master)
$ git branch -d iss53
Deleted branch iss53 (was e52ca0c).
Adrien@ADRIEN-PC ~/Desktop/git/exemples/test (master)
 git branch -v
  master 3badaaa Merge branch 'iss53'
```


Branches in Git (rebase vs merge)

• A merge does this:




Branches in Git (rebase vs merge)

• A rebase does that:



Branches in Git (rebase vs merge)

- Rebase is interesting because
 - After rebasing, the merge is fast forward
 - You can rebase to integrate changes without creating a complex revision graph
 - After you can delete the old branch to have a cleaner log



- YOU SHOULD ALWAYS BRANCH to not disturbed the development branch
 - Sometimes you will merge, and sometimes rebase (when is a more complicated question)


Branches in Git (local / remote)

- With SVN a branch, the trunk or a Tag was a server-side concept
- With GIT everything is local
- BUT : you will have also some remote branches:
 - branches shared with your teamates working on the same feature branch
 - The main development branch of the company
 - A test branch
 - A stable branch

Branches in Git (local / remote)

- By default a local branch is not related to a remote one
- You must explain what branch you want to use \$ git push [remotename]/[branch]
 \$ git pull [remotename]/[branch]
- Origin, is the name of the remote you cloned from
- Master is the local branch related to main branch of the origin remote (automatically connected when cloning)

Branches in Git (local / remote)




Branches in Git (connecting local / remote)

- Connecting them make the push and pull command work without any other argument (like the master and the origin/master branches)
- To create a local branch syncronized with a distant one \$ git checkout--track [remotename]/[branch]
- To set an existing branch traking a distant one
 \$ git branch --set-upstream [branch] [remotename]/[branch]
- To push a not tracking local branch to remote branch
 \$ git push [remote_name] [local_branch_name]:[remote_branch_name]
- To delete the remote branch, delete the tracking branch and push that change
- Here you see how the work can be parallel, and Why it will be important to use rebase to have clean logs

Tags in Git

- Create a tag
 - \$ git tag v1.1 ## basic tag
 - \$ git tag -a v1.5 -m «my easy annotated tag»
 - \$ git tag -s v1.8 -m «my GPG signed tag» ## less used
 \$ git show v1.8 ##shows the signature
 \$ git tag -v v1.8 ## checks the signature
 - \$ git tag -a v1.2 9fceb02 ## Create a tag later at revision 9fceb02
- List tags
 - \$ git tag
- Sharing: like branches you can have local tags, or shared ones


Workflows: using branches




Workflows: using branches

- It is possible to use branches a lot
 - 1 branch per feature (even localy)
 - 1 branch to test a hotfix on you own computer without disturbing anyone, not even your own code
 - Adding tags easily
- A branch is easy to create, and merge


Workflows: using repositories (SVN-like)



Workflows: integrator




Workflows: lieutenants & dictator




Workflows: intermediate repotest build before to be public

Link



Workflows: intermediate repocode review before integration (gerrit)

• Link



Workflows: more repositories

- As you see it is possible to do a lot of things
 - Adding intermediate repos to test, build, review before to make the code public
 - Having several repo per developper, to test before to make the code public (ex : test on mac and Windows before do make the code public)
 - Share the code within a team before to make it public

More advanced stuff

- Pull = fetch + automatical merge
- Reset and ammend
- Submodules
- hooks

More advanced stuff (pull != fetch + merge)

- Fetch is a simple download of a remote state
 IT DOES NOT CHANGE YOUR CODE
- Pull is merging automatically (like update with SVN)

 Doing a fetch and a merge gives you the opportunity to inspect changes before to merge them

More advanced stuff (reset and ammend)

Sometimes you see that just after a commit you forgot to commit a
file. Then you should use git commit –ammend, this way you will
not have 2 commits, but only 1.

IT IS CLEANER

- Sometimes you need to revert changes, this is the use of reset.
 - Going back to the unmodified file is \$ git reset <filename> HEAD
 - You can also go back from several commits, or go back to a specific one
 - Finnaly it is usefull when you know your changes should be kept but in a branch instead of the master you were working on. Then branch the master, and do a \$ git reset - -hard on the master to remove the changes.
 - More info : reset demystified

More advanced stuff (Use submodules and dependencies)

- A submodule is a repository declared as a dependency of your repoitory.
- It is another repository that will be cloned inside your working copy
- It is not updated automatically

More advanced stuff (Hooks)


Exemple: Automatic Unit test before commit

```
>> in .git/hooks/pre-commit
#!/bin/sh
# Run the test suite.
# It will exit with 0 if it everything compiled and tested fine.
ant test
if [$? -eq 0]; then
 exit 0
else
 echo "Building your project or running the tests failed."
 echo "Aborting the commit. Run with --no-verify to ignore."
 exit 1
fi
```

Note: the hooks folder contains some samples of scripts, to use them remove the « .sample »

applypatch-msg.sample
commit-msg.sample
post-commit.sample
post-receive.sample
post-update.sample
pre-applypatch.sample
pre-commit.sample
prepare-commit-msg.sample
pre-rebase.sample
update.sample

Repo examples



Why DVCS is better? (part 1)

- The repository is Local
 - Except push and fetch, everything is local
 - You always can read the full history of the repository
 - You can commit/branch/merge locally
- (whispers) « he hides the fact that it must be so heavy on hard drive »
 - Yes, It is heavyer than a working copy
 - But everything is compressed when accessing to servers and obviously you do less requests to server (just to fetch it)

Why DVCS is better? (part 2)

- Merging is easyer than with SVN
 - Before SVN 1.5 no information about branches was stored
 - As SVN is centralized, any branch is public on the main server, you'll never do a branch ⇔a feature/fix
 - Branching is a central concept => It is easy, USE IT
 - No virtual directory
 - It is an hard object of the structure in Git, Hg and Bzr: As you've seen the repository is a graph (and is structure is directly related to branches)
- Consequence : Incredible workflows
 - You can exchange with whoever you need to without breaking the shared code
 - You can branch/merge all the time

What is complicated in Git?

 We must have a clear policy about branches (on the public repo(s))

- IT IS NOT SVN
- IT WILL NOT FIX YOUR CODE

10 reasons why SVN is better than Git

Sources

- Websites
 - http://whygitisbetterthanx.com
 - Why git and not SVN
 - Boost move to git
 - Why switching to git
 - A succesfull git branching model
- Video tutorials :
- Ebooks
 - Git Community book
 - Pro Git Scott Chacon
 - OReilly.Version.Control.With.Git.May.2009