

| DIR ID<br>Factor | Risk<br>Factors  | Low Risk Cue  | Medium Risk Cue   | High Risk Cue   | Low | Medium | High | N/A | Need<br>Info | Notes |
|------------------|--|---|---|---|-----|--------|------|-----|--------------|-------|
| SAM14            | <b>Acceptance<br/>Test Cases</b>                         | Test cases based on defined requirements or prior system functions                      | Testing with supplier-defined cases   | No test cases defined   |     |        |      |     |              |       |
| SAM33            | <b>Acceptance<br/>Test Plan</b>                          | Acceptance tests scripts are documented and available early; supplier has approved      | Acceptance tests developed based on solution provided; limited supplier involvement                   | Acceptance tests are not documented; key users will approve solution                          |     |        |      |     |              |       |
| SAM55            | <b>Access to<br/>Source</b>                              | Source code to system is in escrow  | Source code will be made available if supplier stops supporting system                                | No provisions for obtaining source code   |     |        |      |     |              |       |
| SAM44            | <b>Accountability in Fault Allocation and Correction</b> | System faults can be easily isolated and corrected                                      | System faults are somewhat difficult to isolate due to interoperability with other systems            | System faults cannot be isolated due to interoperability with other systems                   |     |        |      |     |              |       |
| SAM71            | <b>Adequacy of Escalation</b>                            | Escalation paths are well defined and used as appropriate to handle issues and problems | Escalation paths are defined, but people tend to circumvent them at times                             | No escalation paths are defined; issues get resolved by executives or by the contract offices |     |        |      |     |              |       |
| SAM40            | <b>Adequate Documentation for Modifications</b>          | The supplier provides sufficient documentation to support those making modifications    | The documentation to support making modifications is sketchy and/or incomplete                        | There is no documentation to support making modifications                                     |     |        |      |     |              |       |
| G44<br>S47       | <b>Alternatives Analysis</b>                             | Analysis of alternatives complete, all considered, assumptions verifiable               | Analysis of alternatives complete, some assumptions questionable or alternatives not fully considered | Analysis not completed, not all alternatives considered, or assumptions faulty                |     |        |      |     |              |       |

Probability rating is based on a scale of 1 – 10 (where 10 means the event will occur)

[illegible]

**Form: Risk Detail Example**

|  |                            |                                      |                                       |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Risk Identification Number &amp; NAME</b> |                            | Insert graph of history of risk      |                                       |
| <b>Risk Current Rating</b>                   |                            |                                      |                                       |
| Impact on Project                            |                            |                                      |                                       |
| Probability of Occurrence                    |                            |                                      |                                       |
| <b>Risk Exposure Score</b>                   |                            |                                      |                                       |
| <b>Description of Risk</b>                   |                            |                                      |                                       |
|  |                            |                                      |                                       |
| <b>Impact on Project</b>                     |                            |                                      |                                       |
|  |                            |                                      |                                       |
| <b>Probability of Occurrence</b>             |                            |                                      |                                       |
|  |                            |                                      |                                       |
| <b>Current Relevant Comments</b>             |                            |                                      |                                       |
|  |                            |                                      |                                       |
| <b>How will the risk materialize?</b>        | <b>Who should monitor?</b> | <b>What can be done to minimize?</b> | <b>What has been done to contain?</b> |
|  |                            |                                      |                                       |
|  |                            |                                      |                                       |
|  |                            |                                      |                                       |