

Final Project Presentation - Video

Group Members _____

Topic _____

Score	Description
	2 pts - Descriptive Title / Group Information 2 / 2 pts - Title is well selected / thoughtful, all group information present 1 / 2 pts - Title is generic / unfocused, missing contact info / names
	10 pts -> Overview of the past - How was it done pre-IoT? 10 / 10 pts - The project cleanly articulates the past (pre-IoT) with a reasonable time frame 7 / 10 pts - The project does a decent job of describing past work but blurs between the past and current trends 4 / 10 pts - Not much detail / overview of how things were done previously
	20 pts - Current Trends / Inclusion of IoT - What is going on now? 20 / 20 pts - At least two technologies are explored quite well with regards to what is happening now 16 / 20 pts - Reasonable discussion at a reasonable level of detail but a bit sparse at times 10 / 20 pts - One current technology is done well, the other is unclear as to timing or detail 5 / 20 pts - Some discussions of technology but neither deep nor focused
	15 pts - Future Impact Area(s) - At least one area that could dramatically change things 15 / 15 pts - One area discussed that could have significant implications related to the area 10 / 15 pts - The advancement could be happening now or in the future, unclear but definitely impactful and explained 6 / 15 pts - More of a current technology rather than a significant impact 3 / 15 pts - Unclear as to future or current or what exactly the impact is of the technology
	12 pts - Polish / attention 12 / 12 pts - Video is well structured and well-rehearsed / presented 9 / 12 pts - Done reasonably well but hard to hear or follow at times 6 / 12 pts - Some strong points but rushed a bit and unfocused at times 3 / 12 pts - Very rushed, bad audio / transitions or technical problems
	6 pt - Submission guidelines 1 pt - Video is shared without issue 3 pts - Video is within the time limit 2 pts - All members have a speaking role
	Total Score (Out of 65)

Comments: