Estimation of catches at size for IOTC species

Equations used to convert from non-standard measurement to fork length (Table 1) and from fork length to round weight (Table 2)

Table 1: Regression equations used to convert from non-standard measurements into standard lengths (tunas: tip of the snout to fork length; swordfish: lower-jaw to fork length) per species											
Species: Yellowfin tune	Species: Yellowfin tuna Standard length: Tip of snout to fork of tail										
Type Measurement Equation				arameters	Sample size	Size	Va	riance	Covariance ab	Mean Residual	Gradient
Weight gilled and	Weight gilled and gutted 1 - *W/\^\			14.28699 0.3008591	2,361	Min:14 Max:71	a=0.007 b=2.862	752476509 244E-07	-4.626246E-05	4.095958	a=3.033852 b=495.638 5
3*1^5				.0759 .1513	7,036	Min: 29 Max: 16	4				
Species: Bigeye tuna Standard length: Tip of snout to fork of to										to fork of tail	
Type Measurement		Equation	F	Parameters	Sample size	Size	Var	iance	Covariance ab	Mean Residual	Gradient
Weight gilled and gu	tted ^A	a*W^ b		42.2186 0.3012349	316	Min:12 Max:10	a=0.032 b=1.299		-0.0002034041	2 4 2 1 2 7 1	=3.03806 =473.1455
	0			1.45108 5.28756	2,858	Min:13 Max:48					
Species: Albacore Standard length: Tip of snout to fork of ta								t to fork of tail			
Type Measurement	Equation 1			arameters	Sample size	Size	Var	iance	Covariance ab	Mean Residual	Gradient
Weight round ^D	(W/a)	$\wedge(1,0)$	a = 0.0 $a = 3.0$	000013718 0793	n/a	n/a					
Species: Swordfish								Sta	ndard length: Tip	of lower-jaw	to fork of tail
Type Measurement	t	Equation	n	Paramet	ers	Sample size	Size	Variance	Covariance ab	Mean Residual	Gradient
Cleithrum-Fork le	ength ^E	(L+b)/	a	a = 0.8087 b = 8.6712		n/a	n/a				
Cleithrum-keel le	ength ^F	(a*L)+l	h I	a = 1.55108 b = 13.5025		179	Min:88 Max:252				
Eye orbit-fork le	ength ^G	(a*L)+l	h I	a= 1.066 b= 10.449		123	Min:48 Max:255				
Pectoral-anal length ^J (a*L)+b		h I	a = 2.5407 b = 25.698		1,806	06 Min:18 Max:105					
Pectoral-fork length ^K (a*L)+b		b l	a = 1.2398 b = 11.204		55	Min:60 Max:157					
Weight round ^L $(W/a)^{\wedge(1/b)}$, 0)	a = 0.000003 b = 3.188	3815	3,608	Min:89 Max:266					
Weight gilled and gu	ıtted ^H ($(W/a)^{\wedge(1)}$	1	a = 0.000004 b = 3.188		3,608	Min:89 Max:266				
Weight he	eaded ^I ($(W/a)^{\wedge}$, 0)	a = 0.000004 b = 3.137	1592	n/a	n/a				

- A: Data from Penang Sampling Programme (1992-93)
- B: Data from the Indian Ocean (Marsac, F. et al in IOTC-2006-WPTT-09)
- C: Data from the Atlantic Ocean, Champagnat et Pianet (1974) (ibid. B)
- D: Inverted length-weight relationship; ICCAT South Atlantic
- E: Reference not available (to be provided)
- F: Two step conversion as CKL = (0.690253*EFL) -3.541823 in formula LJFL = 8.00884 + (1.07064*EFL); NOAA Data (Pacific Ocean)
- G, J, K: Data from Reunion Island, Indian Ocean Poisson 2001 (in IOTC-2005-WPTT-05)
- H: Inverted length-weight equation (ICCAT Mejuto et al 1998 South-East Atlantic Ocean)
- I: Inverted length-weight equation; Reference not available (to be provided)
- L: Converted to GGT (GGT=RND/1.14 (Mejuto et al. 1998)) and inverted length-weight equation (ICCAT Mejuto et al 1998 South-East Atlantic Ocean)

Table 1(cont): Regression e length), per species	equations use	d to convert from n	on-standa	rd measur	ements into	standard lengt	hs (eye orb	it to fork
Species: Black marlin						Standard lengt	h: Eye orbit	to fork of tail
Type Measurement	Equation	Parameters	Sample size	Size	Variance	Covariance ab	Mean Residual	Gradient
Cleithrum-Keel length	No equation available							
Lower-jaw - fork length ^M	(a*L)+b	a= 0.8972 b= -4.6673	13	Min:119 Max:314				
Weight gilled and gutted ^N	a*L^ b	a= 41.56681 b= 0.309442	24	Min:8.6 Max:279				
Species: Blue marlin		•				Standard lengt	h: Eye orbit	to fork of tail
Type Measurement	Equation	Parameters	Sample size	Size	Variance	Covariance ab	Mean Residual	Gradient
Lower-jaw - fork length ^O	(a*L)+b	a= 0.9039 b= -7.248	26	Min:143 Max:295				
Weight gilled and gutted ^P	a*L^ b	a= 46.0356637 b= 0.283377	154	Min:10 Max:381				
Species: Striped marlin	Standard length: Eye orbit to fork of tai							
Type Measurement	Equation	Parameters	Sample size	Size	Variance	Covariance ab	Mean Residual	Gradient
Lower-jaw - fork length ^Q	(a*L)+b	a= b=		Min: Max:				
Weight round ^R	a*L^ b	a= 51.3506 b= 0.300417	1427	Min:7 Max:100				
Weight gilled and gutted ^s	a*L^ b	a= 45.443009 b= 0.300417	1427	Min:7 Max:100				
Species: Indo-Pacific sailfish			Standard length: Eye orbit to fork of ta					
Type Measurement	Equation	Parameters	Sample size	Size	Variance	Covariance ab	Mean Residual	Gradient
Cleithrum-Keel length			N	lo equation	available			
Lower-jaw - fork length ^T	(L+b)/a	a= 0.8845 b= -3.7025	1166	Min:78 Max:232				
Weight gilled and gutted ^u	a*L^ b	a= 45.5076 b= 0.347166	35	Min:5 Max:38				

M: BRS (Ward, pers.com.) Eastern and western Australia (on IOTC-2005-WPTT-05)

N: PIFSC Administrative report: (Updated Weight-on-Length Relationships for Pelagic Fishes Caught in the Central North Pacific Ocean and Bottom fishes from the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands). With value of a (46.9705) divided by 1.13 to account for conversion of gilled-and-gutted weight into round weight

O: BRS (Ward, pers.com.) Eastern and western Australia (on IOTC-2005-WPTT-05)

P:PIFSC Administrative report: (Updated Weight-on-Length Relationships for Pelagic Fishes Caught in the Central North Pacific Ocean and Bottom fishes from the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands) Value of a (52.0203) divided by 1.13 to account for conversion of gilled-and-gutted weight into round weight

Q: BRS (Ward, pers.com.) Eastern and western Australia (on IOTC-2005-WPTT-05)

R:PIFSC Administrative report: (Updated Weight-on-Length Relationships for Pelagic Fishes Caught in the Central North Pacific Ocean and Bottom fishes from the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands)

S:PIFSC Administrative report: (Updated Weight-on-Length Relationships for Pelagic Fishes Caught in the Central North Pacific Ocean and Bottom fishes from the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands) Value of a (51.3506) divided by 1.13 to account for conversion of gilled-and-gutted weight into round weight

T: Wei-Chuan Chiang et al., 2004; inverted EFL-FL equation (M+F sexes pooled)

U: PIFSC Administrative report: (Updated Weight-on-Length Relationships for Pelagic Fishes Caught in the Central North Pacific Ocean and Bottom fishes from the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands) Value of a (51.4235) divided by 1.13 to account for conversion of gilled-and-gutted weight into round weight

Table 2: Equations used to convert from standard length into round weight, per species

Species	Gear Type/s	From type measurement — To type measurement	Equation	Parameters	Sample size	Length
Yellowfin	Purse seine Pole and Line Gillnet	Fork length(cm) – Round Weight(kg) ^A	RND=a*L^b	a=0.00002459 b= 2.96670	25,386	Min: 29 Max: 166
tuna	Longline Line Other Gears	Fork length(cm) – Gilled and gutted weight(kg) $^{\rm B}$ Gilled and gutted weight(kg) - Round Weight(kg) $^{\rm C}$	$GGT=a*L^b$ RND=GGT*1.13	a= 0.0000094007 b= 3.126843987	15,133	Min:72 Max:177
Bigeye	Purse seine Pole and Line Gillnet	Fork length(cm) – Round Weight(kg) A	RND=a*L^b	a=0.00002217 b= 3.01211	2,156	Min: 29.5 Max: 174
tuna	Longline Line Other Gears	Fork length(cm) – Gilled and gutted weight(kg) $^{\rm B}$ Gilled and gutted weight(kg) - Round Weight(kg) $^{\rm C}$	$GGT=a*L^b$ RND=GGT*1.13	a= 0.0000159207 b= 3.0415414023	12,047	Min:70 Max:187
Skipjack tuna	All gears	Fork length(cm) – Round Weight(kg) A	RND=a*L^b	a=0.00000497 b= 3.39292	1,762	Min: 30 Max: 73
Albacore	All gears	Fork length(cm) – Round Weight(kg) ^F	RND= a*L^b	a= 0.0000569070 b= 2.75140	2,499	Min:46 Max:112
Swordfish	All gears	Tip of lower-jaw to fork of tail(cm) — Round Weight(kg) G	RND= a*L^b	a= 0.0000042030 b= 3.21340	2,569	Min:80 Max:253
Black marlin	All gears	Eye orbit to fork of tail(cm) – Round Weight(kg) $^{\rm H}$	RND= a*L^b	a= 0.0000144217 b= 2.98851	24	Min:95 Max:279
Blue marlin	All gears	Eye orbit to fork of tail(cm) – Round Weight(kg) $^{\rm H}$	RND= a*L^b	a= 0.00000272228 b= 3.30967	154	Min:109 Max:269
Striped marlin	All gears	Eye orbit to fork of tail(cm) – Round Weight(kg) ^H	RND= a*L^b	a= 0.00000133263 b= 3.41344	17	Min:101 Max:178
Indo-Pac. sailfish	All gears	Eye orbit to fork of tail(cm) – Round Weight(kg) ^H	RND= a*L^b	a= 0.0000690103 b= 2.52429	35	Min:86 Max:187

A: Length-weight relationships for tropical tunas caught with purse seine in the Indian Ocean: Update and lessons learned (Chassot, E. et al in IOTC-2016-WPDSC12-INF05)

- B: Multilateral catch monitoring Benoa (2002-04)
- C: ICCAT Field Manual (Appendix 4: Population parameters for key ICCAT species. Product Conversion Factors)
- D: Cort (1986)
- E: Data from the Atlantic Ocean, Cayré et Laloë (Fonteneau, A. et J. Marcille (eds), 1988: Ressources, pêche et biologie des thonidés tropicaux de l'Atlantique Centre-Est. FAO Doc.Tech.Pêches, (292), page262)
- F: Data from the Indian Ocean, Taiwanese gillnet fishery (Chien-Chung Hsu)
- G: Data from the Atlantic Ocean, Spanish longline fishery (Mejuto et al., 1988, ICCAT)
- H: PIFSC Administrative report: (Updated Weight-on-Length Relationships for Pelagic Fishes Caught in the Central North Pacific Ocean and Bottom fishes from the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands)

Table 2: Equations used to convert from standard length into round weight, per species

Species	Gear Type/s	From type measurement — To type measurement	Equation	Parameters	Sample size	Length
DI I I		Fork length – Round Weight(kg) ^A	RND=a*L^b	a= 0.0000031841 b= 3.1313		Min: Max:
Blue shark		$Precaudal\ length-Fork\ Length^{C}$	$FL = \frac{PCL + a}{b}$	a= 0.3956 b= 0.9075		Min: Max:
		Total length – Fork length ^D	FL=a*TL+b	a= 0.822 b= 1.754	10	Min:217 Max:265
						Min:70 Max:187
Shortfin Mako Shark		Fork length – Round Weight ^A	_{RND=a*L} ^b	a= 0.0000052432 b= 3.1407		Min: Max:
		$Precaudal\ length-Fork\ Length^{C}$	FL=a*PCL+b	a= 1.100 b= 0.766	n/a	n/a
		Total length – Fork length	FL=a*TL+b	a= 0.9286 b= -1.7101		Min: Max:

Oceanic whitetip shark	Fork length – Round Weight ^B	RND= $a*L^b$	a= 0.000018428 b= 2.9245	Min: Max:
	Total length – Fork length	FL=a*TL+b	a = 0.7847 b = 1.211	Min: Max:
Porbeagle	Fork length – Round Weight ^A	RND=a*L^b	a= 0.000014823 b= 2.9641	
	Precaudal length – Fork Length ^C	FL=a*PCL+b		
Silky Shark	Fork length – Round Weight ^A	RND=a*L^b	a= 0.000015406 b= 2.9221	
Bigeye Thresher Shark	Fork length – Round Weight ^A	RND=a*L^b	a= 0.0000091069 b= 3.0802	
Thresher Shark	Fork length – Round Weight ^A	RND=a*L^b	a= 0.00018821 b= 2.5188	

- A: Data from Western North Atlantic: NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-110 (May 1996)
- B: Data from Indian Ocean: Length-weight relationships, conversion factors and analyses of sex-ratio, by length-range, Observers onboard Spanish Longliners in South Western Indian Ocean during 2005; Ariz J, A Delgado de Molina, M.L Ramos, J.C Santana
- C: Inverse equation from WCPFC Analysis of North Pacific Shark Data from Japanese Commercial Longline and Research/Training Vessels Record; Shelley Clarke, Kotaro Yokawa, Hiroaki Matsunaga and Hideki Nakano
- D: Indian Ocean : Maldivian Oceanic Shark Longline Fishery; R.C. Anderson, M.S. Adam & M. Saleem
- E: Data from the Atlantic Ocean, Cayré et Laloë (Fonteneau, A. et J. Marcille (eds), 1988: Ressources, pêche et biologie des thonidés tropicaux de l'Atlantique Centre-Est. FAO Doc.Tech.Pêches, (292), page262)
- F: Data from the Indian Ocean, Taiwanese gillnet fishery (Chien-Chung Hsu)
- G: Data from the Atlantic Ocean, Spanish longline fishery (Mejuto et al., 1988, ICCAT)
- H: PIFSC Administrative report: (Updated Weight-on-Length Relationships for Pelagic Fishes Caught in the Central North Pacific Ocean and Bottom fishes from the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands)

Table 1

Descriptive statistics and Length-weight relation parameters for bigeye tuna, yellowfin tuna, and albacore from the Atlantic, Indian, and eastern Pacific oceans

Species	Area	ea FL range $[m]$	Mean FL [cm]	Mean W	n	a	b	95% CL of b		t -test $(H_0: b = 3)$	
									r^2	t value	P value
BET	Atlantic	43.2-206.0	128.0	36546.2	2280	0.0158	2.997	2.968-3.026	0.9471	9.837	< 0.001
YFT	Atlantic	83.0-176.8	143.2	45309.0	299	0.0166	2.969	2.884-3.054	0.9412	12.411	< 0.001
ALB	Atlantic	99.1-125.0	107.2	23985.1*	94	0.0438*	2.825*	2.499-3.151	0.7628	10.338	< 0.001
BET	Indian Ocean	54.8-201.0	134.0	45195.7	1052	0.0247	2.926	2.898-2.954	0.9649	199.492	< 0.001
YFT	Indian Ocean	78.0-171.0	125.9	32267.9	1033	0.0163	2.985	2.953-3.017	0.9696	29.149	< 0.001
ALB	Indian Ocean	93.0-119.0	105.7	24045.5	88	0.434	2.343	2.066-2.620	0.7644	44.196	< 0.001
BET	Eastern Pacific	60.0-202.0	127.3	41723.5	1436	0.0132	3.043	1.841-3.728	0.9742	123.717	< 0.001
YFT	Eastern Pacific	93.0-170.0	129.5	33211.5	520	0.00418	3.244	3.176-3.312	0.9449	161.705	< 0.001
ALB	Eastern Pacific	70.0-118.0	100.7	18846.9	147	0.0542	2.760	2.552-2.968	0.8256	27.609	< 0.001

^{*} Weight is whole weight [g]; BET = bigeye tuna; YFT = yellowfin tuna; ALB = albacore; n: sample size; FL: fork length [cm]; W: gilled-gutted weight [g]; CL = confidence limit; a = the parameter in the W L relation; b = slope; r^2 = coefficient of determination.

Guoping ZHU 1, 2, 3, Liuxiong XU 1, 2*, Yingqi ZHOU 1, 2, and Xiaojie DAI 1, 2, 3