Core Idea: The model tries to find the best start time (s) for each task (i) by setting exactly one X[i, s] variable to 1 for each task i. Constraints are the rules that define what makes a schedule valid or feasible

1. Task Assignment Constraint

- Intuition: Every single task you provide must be scheduled exactly once. You can't skip a task, nor can you schedule the same task multiple times.
- Mathematical Model: Σ (from s=0 to S_total-1) X[i,s] = 1 for each task i.
 - This sums up the X[i,s] variable (which is 0 or 1) over all possible start slots s for a single task i.
 - By setting the sum equal to 1, it forces the model to choose exactly one slot s where X[i,s] will be 1 (meaning task i starts there), and all other X[i,s] for that task i must be 0.
- · Code Implementation:
 - $\circ \ \, \textbf{PuLP} \text{: model += lpSum}(\textbf{X[(i, s)] for s in range(TOTAL_SLOTS))} \, == \, 1, \, \, \textbf{f"Assign_\{task_key}\}" \\$
 - o Gurobi: m.addConstrs((X.sum(i, '*') == 1 for i in range(n_tasks)), name="Assign")
- Example: If you have Task 'A', the model *must* find some slot s (say, slot 50) such that X['A', 50] = 1, and for all other slots s' (0-49, 51-391), X['A', s'] = 0.

2. Deadlines and Horizon Constraint

- Intuition: Tasks must finish before their deadline. Also, tasks must fit entirely within the 7-day schedule window (they can't start so late that they spill over past the last slot).
- Mathematical Model: X[i,s] = 0 if starting task i at slot s would violate either the deadline or the horizon boundary.
 - Deadline Check: If task i starts at s and has duration dur_i, its last occupied slot is s + dur_i 1. If this last slot index is greater than the deadline slot dl i, then starting at s is forbidden (X[i,s] = 0).
 - Horizon Check: If task i starts at s, it needs dur_i slots. The index of the slot after it finishes is s + dur_i. If this index is greater than the total number of slots S_total (meaning it goes beyond the last valid slot index S_total 1), then starting at s is forbidden (X[i,s] = 0).
- · Code Implementation:
 - PuLP: Loops through s and adds model += (X[(i, s)] == 0) if the if s + dur 1 > dl: or if s + dur > TOTAL_SLOTS: condition is met
 - o Gurobi: Loops through s and adds m.addConstr(X[i, s] == 0) if the same conditions are met.
- Example
 - Task 'B' lasts 4 slots (dur_B = 4) and deadline dl_B = 100 . Trying to start at s = 98 : Task occupies slots 98, 99, 100, 101. Last slot is 101. Since 101 > 100, this start is invalid. Constraint forces X['B', 98] = 0 . Starting at s = 97 (occupies 97, 98, 99, 100) is okay regarding the deadline.
 - TOTAL_SLOTS = 392 . Task 'C' lasts 2 slots (dur_C = 2). Trying to start at s = 391 : Task would need slots 391 and 392. Slot 392 doesn't exist. s + dur_C = 391 + 2 = 393 . Since 393 > 392 , this start is invalid. Constraint forces X['C', 391] = 0 .

3. No Overlap Constraint

- Intuition: You can only do one scheduled task at a time in any given 15-minute slot. No double-booking!
- Mathematical Model: \sum (over all tasks i) \sum (from s = max(0, t dur_i + 1) to t) $X[i,s] \le 1$ for each time slot t.
 - For a specific time slot t, we need to check which tasks *could potentially be running*.
 - A task i (duration dur_i) is running during slot t if it started at some slot s such that s <= t (it started at or before t) AND t <= s + dur_i
 1 (it hasn't finished before t). This range of possible start times s is exactly [max(0, t dur_i + 1), t].
 - The constraint sums up the X[i,s] variables for all task/start-time combinations that would result in occupation of slot t. Since each X[i,s] is 0 or 1, this sum counts how many tasks are scheduled to be active in slot t.
 - \circ By forcing this sum to be \leftarrow 1, we ensure that at most one task can be active in slot $\,$ t $\,$.
- Code Implementation:
 - Builds a list occupying_tasks_vars containing X[(i, s)] for all i and relevant s that cover slot t.
 - PuLP: model += lpSum(occupying_tasks_vars) <= 1, f"NoOverlap_s{t}"
- Example: Consider slot t = 20 .
 - o Task 'D' (dur=3) covers slot 20 if it starts at s=18, 19, or 20.
 - o Task 'E' (dur=2) covers slot 20 if it starts at s=19 or 20.
 - $^{\circ} \ \ \, \text{The constraint for t=20 ensures: } \ \, \text{X['D', 18]} \ \, + \ \, \text{X['D', 19]} \ \, + \ \, \text{X['D', 20]} \ \, + \ \, \text{X['E', 19]} \ \, + \ \, \text{X['E', 20]} \ \, < = 1 \quad .$
 - This prevents solutions where, for example, X['D', 19] = 1 (Task D running in 19, 20, 21) AND X['E', 20] = 1 (Task E running in 20, 21) because both would need slot 20.

4. Preferences Constraint

- Intuition: If a user says they prefer to do a task in the "morning", the schedule should only place its start time in a morning slot.
- Mathematical Model: X[i,s] = 0 for any slot s that is not in the AllowedSlots_i set defined by the task's preference.
 - AllowedSlots_i is pre-calculated (e.g., all slots from 8am-12pm for "morning" across all 7 days).
 - This constraint simply forbids starting task i at any slot s outside its designated preference window.
- Code Implementation:
 - $\bullet \ \ \, \text{Looks up the set of allowed slots based on } \ \, \text{tasks[i]['preference']} \,. \\$
 - Loops through all s and if s is not in allowed_slots:
 - PuLP: model += X[(i, s)] == 0, f"PrefWin_{task_key}_s{s}"
 - Gurobi: m.addConstr(X[i, s] == 0, name=f"PrefWin_{task_key}_s{s}")
- Example: Task 'F' has preference "afternoon" (e.g., slots 12pm-4pm, like index 16-31 for Day 0). Slot s = 10 is a morning slot. This constraint forces X['F', 10] = 0. Task 'F' cannot start at slot 10.

5. Commitments Constraint

- Intuition: Tasks cannot overlap with fixed commitments (like meetings or appointments) that block certain slots.
- Mathematical Model: X[i,s] = 0 if the time interval occupied by task i starting at s (slots {s, s+1, ..., s + dur_i 1}) intersects with the set of committed slots (
 - It checks if any of the slots the task would use are already marked as committed.
 - If there's any overlap (intersection != empty set), starting task i at s is forbidden.
- · Code Implementation:
 - Calculates the task occupies set of slots for task i starting at s.
 - Checks if task_occupies.intersection(committed_slots) is non-empty.
 - If it is, it adds the constraint:
 - PuLP: model += X[(i, s)] == 0, f"CommitOverlap_{task_key}_s{s}"
 - **Gurobi**: m.addConstr(X[i, s] == 0, name=f"CommitOverlap_{task_key}_s{s}")
- Example: Slot s = 60 is a commitment (60 in C). Task 'G' has dur_G = 3.
 - Try starting at s = 58. Occupies {58, 59, 60}. Intersects with {60}. Invalid start. Force X['G', 58] = 0.
 - Try starting at s = 59. Occupies {59, 60, 61}. Intersects with {60}. Invalid start. Force X['G', 59] = 0.
 - Try starting at s = 60 . Occupies {60, 61, 62}. Intersects with {60}. Invalid start. Force X['G', 60] = 0 .
 - Try starting at s = 61. Occupies {61, 62, 63}. No intersection with {60}. This start is allowed (by this specific constraint).

6. Leisure Calculation and Occupation Link (Y)

- Intuition: We want to calculate leisure time for the objective function. Leisure only happens in 15-minute slots that are *completely free* meaning they are *not* committed AND *not* occupied by any scheduled task. We use an intermediate variable Y[s] to track task occupation.
- Mathematical Model & Code: This is done in a few linked parts:
 - (Link Y to X): $Y[s] = \sum$ (over tasks i) \sum (over starts s' covering s) X[i,s']
 - Intuition: Y[s] should be 1 if any task occupies slot s, and 0 otherwise.
 - Model: This uses the exact same sum as the "No Overlap" constraint. Since that sum is forced to be 0 or 1, setting Y[s] equal to it correctly captures the occupation status.
 - Code (PuLP): model += Y[s] == lpSum(occupying_task_vars), f"Link_Y_Exact_{s}"
 - Code (Gurobi): m.addConstr(Y[s] == occupying_task_vars_sum, name=f"Link_Y_Exact_{s}")
 - o (Calculate L based on Y and Commitments):
 - L[s] = 0 if slot s is committed (s in C). (Easy: Code sets L_var[s] == 0)
 - L[s] <= 15 * (1 Y[s]) if slot s is *not* committed (s not in C).
 - Intuition: If not committed: If a task occupies the slot (Y[s]=1), then 1 Y[s] = 0, so L[s] <= 0. Since leisure can't be negative, L[s] becomes 0. If no task occupies the slot (Y[s]=0), then 1 Y[s] = 1, so L[s] <= 15. The objective function (maximizing leisure) will push L[s] to 15.
 - Code (PuLP): model += L_var[s] <= 15 * (1 Y[s]), f"LeisureBound_NotCommitted_{s}"
 - Code (Gurobi): m.addConstr(L_var[s] <= 15 * (1 Y[s]), name=f"LeisureBound_NotCommitted_{s}")
- Example: Consider slot s = 70.
 - \circ Case 1: 70 in C (committed). L[70] is forced to 0.
 - Case 2: 70 not in C . Task 'H' starts at s=70, dur_H=1 . So X['H', 70]=1 . Y[70] becomes 1. L[70] <= 15 * (1-1) = 0 . So L[70]=0 .
 - Case 3: 70 not in C . No task occupies slot 70. Y[70] becomes 0. L[70] <= 15 * (1-0) = 15 . Objective makes L[70]=15 .

7. Daily Limits Constraint (Optional)

- Intuition: Prevent scheduling too much work on any single day. Limit the total number of 15-minute slots used by tasks within each 24-hour period (from 8am to 10pm).
- Mathematical Model: Σ (for s in Day d) $Y[s] \le Limit_daily$ for each day d.
 - It sums the Y[s] variables (which are 1 if a task occupies the slot, 0 otherwise) for all slots s belonging to a specific day d.
 - This sum represents the total count of task-occupied slots on that day.
 - It forces this total count to be no more than the Limit_daily .
- Code Implementation:
 - $\circ \ \, \text{Calculates the sum of } \, \, Y[\,s\,] \, \, \text{for the day's slots} \, (\, \text{day_start_slot} \, \, \, \text{to} \, \, \text{day_end_slot} \, \, \text{-} \, \, 1 \,).$
 - $\bullet \ \ \, \textbf{PuLP} \text{: model += daily_task_slots_sum <= daily_limit_slots, f"DailyLimit_Day_\{d\}"} \\$
 - o Gurobi: m.addConstr(daily_task_slots_sum <= daily_limit_slots, name=f"DailyLimit_Day_{d}")</pre>
- Example: Limit_daily = 20 (5 hours). On Day 1 (slots 56-111):
 - o Schedule: Task 'I' (dur=10, start=60), Task 'J' (dur=12, start=80).
 - Occupied slots on Day 1: 10 slots from Task I + 12 slots from Task J = 22 slots.
 - Sum Y[s] for s = 56 to 111 is 22.
 - o 22 <= 20 is FALSE. This schedule violates the daily limit for Day 1 and is therefore invalid. The solver would need to find a different arrangement.

In essence, these constraints work together to carve out the space of *possible* schedules, and the objective function then guides the solver to find the *best* one within that space according to the weights given to leisure and stress.