# CS 4476: Computer Vision, Spring 2021 PS3

Instructor: Judy Hoffman

Due: Tuesday, March 9th, 11:59 pm ET

#### Instructions

- 1. The assignment must be done in Python3. No other programming languages are allowed.
- 2. Fill your answers in the answer sheet PPT provided and submit the file under the name: First-Name\_LastName\_PS3.pdf on Gradescope. Please do not modify the layout of the boxes provided in the answer sheet and fit your answers within the space provided.
- 3. Please enter your code in the designated areas of the template Python files. Please do not add additional functions/imports to the files. Points will be deducted for any changes to code/file names, use of static paths and anything else that needs manual intervention to fix.
- 4. Please submit your code and output files in a zipped format, using the helper script zip\_submission.py with your GT username as a command line argument (using --gt\_username), to Gradescope. Please do not create subdirectories within the main directory. The .zip\_dir\_list.yml file contains the required deliverable files, and zip\_submission.py will fail if all the deliverables are not present in the root directory. Feel free to comment and uncomment them as you complete your solutions.
- 5. For the implementation questions, make sure your code is bug-free and works out of the box. Please be sure to submit all main and helper functions. Be sure to not include absolute paths. Points will be deducted if your code does not run out of the box.
- 6. If plots are required, you must include them in your Gradescope report and your code must display them when run. Points will be deducted for not following this protocol.
- 7. Ensure that you follow the instructions very carefully.

# Setup

Note that we will be using a new conda environment for this project! If you run into import module errors, try pip install -e . again, and if that still doesn't work, you may have to create a fresh environment.

- 1. Install Miniconda. It doesn't matter whether you use Python 2 or 3 because we will create our own environment that uses 3 anyways.
- 2. Open the terminal
  - (a) On Windows: open the installed Conda prompt to run the command.
  - (b) On MacOS: open a terminal window to run the command
  - (c) On Linux: open a terminal window to run the command
- 3. Navigate to the folder where you have the project

- 4. Create the conda environment for this project
  - (a) On Windows: conda env create -f proj3\_env\_win.yml
  - (b) On MacOS: conda env create -f proj3\_env\_mac.yml
  - (c) On Linux: conda env create -f proj3\_env\_linux.yml
- 5. Activate the newly created environment
  - (a) On Windows: use the command conda activate proj3
  - (b) On MacOS: use the command source activate proj3
  - (c) On Linux: use the command source activate proj3
- 6. Install the project files as a module in this conda environment using pip install -e . (Do not forget the .).

Run the notebook using jupyter notebook ./proj3\_code/proj3.ipynb.

At this point, you should see the jupyter notebook in your web browser. Follow all the instructions in the notebook for both the code + report portions of this project.

# 1 Local Feature Matching using SIFT

The goal of this assignment is to create a local feature matching algorithm using techniques described in Szeliski chapter 4.1. The pipeline we suggest is a simplified version of the famous SIFT pipeline. The matching pipeline is intended to work for instance-level matching – multiple views of the same physical scene.

For this project, you need to implement the three major steps of a local feature matching algorithm:

- 1. Interest point detection in student\_harris.py (see Szeliski 4.1.1)
- 2. Local feature description in student\_sift.py (see Szeliski 4.1.2)
- 3. Feature Matching in student\_feature\_matching.py (see Szeliski 4.1.3)

There are numerous papers in the computer vision literature addressing each stage. For this project, we will suggest specific, relatively simple algorithms for each stage. You are encouraged to experiment with more sophisticated algorithms!

# 1.1 Interest point detection (student\_harris.py) [30 points]

You will implement the Harris corner detector as described in the lecture materials and Szeliski 4.1.1. See Algorithm 4.1 in the textbook for pseudocode. The starter code gives some additional suggestions. The main function will be get\_interest\_points(), while my\_filter2D(), get\_gradients(), get\_gaussian\_kernel(), second\_moments(), corner\_response(), non\_max\_suppression(), and remove\_border\_vals() will be helper functions that will have tests to check progress as you work through Harris corner detection. You do not need to worry about scale invariance or keypoint orientation estimation for your baseline Harris corner detector. The original paper by Chris Harris and Mike Stephens describing their corner detector can be found here.

## 1.2 Local feature description (student\_sift.py) [35 points]

You will implement a SIFT-like local feature as described in the lecture materials and Szeliski 4.1.2. See get\_features() for more details. If you want to get your matching pipeline working quickly (and maybe to help debug the other algorithm stages), you might want to start with normalized patches as your local feature. There are 2 helper functions in student\_sift.py that you will need to code to get your SIFT pipeline working. get\_magnitudes\_and\_orientations() will return the magnitudes and orientations of the image gradients at every pixel. get\_feat\_vec() will get the feature vector associated with a specific interest point. Once these are done, move on to coding get\_features(), which will combine these to get feature vectors for all interest points. More info about each function can be found in the function headers.

# 1.3 Feature matching (student\_feature\_matching.py) [10 points]

You will implement the "ratio test" or "nearest neighbor distance ratio test" method of matching local features as described in the lecture materials and Szeliski 4.1.3. See equation 4.18 in particular. The potential matches that pass the ratio test the easiest should have a greater tendency to be correct matches – think about why.

## 1.4 Bells and Whistles [Extra credit: 20 points]

Implementation of bells and whistles can increase your grade by up to 20 points (potentially over 100). The max score for all students is 120. For all extra credit, be sure to include quantitative analysis showing the impact of the particular method you've implemented. Each item is "up to" some amount of points because trivial implementations may not be worthy of full extra credit.

- (a) up to 5 pts: (On Mount Rushmore image) Try varying some of the hand selected variables and see how the accuracy of the feature matching changes. Specifically vary the standard deviation of the second moment filter with these options [3, 6, 10, 30] and report the images of the ground truth correspondence and their corresponding accuracies in the report. On top of this vary the feature width in SIFT by these options [8, 16, 24, 32] and report the ground truth correspondence images and the corresponding accuracies for each different value. For the experiment regarding SIFT feature width, you may need to adjust the window size in the function remove\_border\_vals to ensure all SIFT patches will remain entirely in the image. When changing these variables ensure all others are set to default.
  - **Report Question**: When changing the values for large sigma (>20), why are the accuracies generally the same?
  - Report Question: What is the significance of changing feature width in SIFT?

Local feature matching bells and whistles: An issue with the baseline matching algorithm is the computational expense of computing distance between all pairs of features. For a reasonable implementation of the base pipeline, this is likely to be the slowest part of the code. There are numerous schemes to try and approximate or accelerate feature matching:

- (b) up to 8 pts: Create a lower dimensional descriptor that is still accurate enough. For example, if the descriptor is 32 dimensions instead of 128 then the distance computation should be about 4 times faster. PCA would be a good way to create a low dimensional descriptor. You would need to compute the PCA basis on a sample of your local descriptors from many images. However, calling sklearn.decomposition.PCA() is not enough to get credit (you need to implement PCA yourself), but you are allowed to use numpy.linalg.svd(). The function for PCA can be found in feature\_matching.py.
  - PCA is an algorithm that is based around Singular Value Decomposition where you take the SVD of the covariance matrix of your data and reduce the number of usable eigen vectors. Then we project the data onto the remaining usable eigenvectors. Here is a link to a Georgia Tech Machine Learning video that explains it in more depth (there are 3 parts).

- (c) up to 7 pts: Use a space partitioning data structure like a kd-tree or some third party approximate nearest neighbor package to accelerate matching. You must achieve a runtime of less than a second(just matching) for full credit (with 1500 interest points). Your accuracy must also remain > 80% for Notre Dame. Code your implementation in accelerated\_matching() in student\_feature\_matching.py().
  - Report Question: What did you try and what was the speedup? Why is it faster?

## Using the starter code

The top-level proj3.ipynb IPython notebook provided in the starter code includes file handling, visualization, and evaluation functions. The correspondence will be visualized with show\_correspondence\_circles() and show\_correspondence\_lines() (you can comment one or both out if you prefer).

For the Notre Dame image pair there is a ground truth evaluation in the starter code as well. evaluate\_correspondence() will classify each match as correct or incorrect based on hand-provided matches (see show\_ground\_truth\_corr() for details). The starter code also contains ground truth correspondences for two other image pairs (Mount Rushmore and Episcopal Gaudi). You can test on those images by uncommenting the appropriate lines at the top of proj3.ipynb.

As you implement your feature matching pipeline, you should see your performance according to evaluate\_correspondence() increase. Hopefully you find this useful, but don't overfit to the initial Notre Dame image pair which is relatively easy. The baseline algorithm suggested here and in the starter code will give you full credit and work fairly well on these Notre Dame images, but additional image pairs provided in the folder data/ are more difficult. They might exhibit more viewpoint, scale, and illumination variation. If you add enough bells and whistles you should be able to match more difficult image pairs.

It is strongly recommended that you implement the functions in this order:

- 1. First, use cheat\_interest\_points() instead of get\_interest\_points(). This function will only work for the 3 image pairs with ground truth correspondence. This function cannot be used in your final implementation. It directly loads interest points from the the ground truth correspondences for the test cases. Even with this cheating, your accuracy will initially be near zero because the starter code features are empty and the starter code matches don't exist. cheat\_interest\_points() might return non-integer values, but you'll have to cut patches out at integer coordinates. You should address this by truncating the numbers.
- 2. Second, change get\_features() to return a simple feature. Start with, for instance, 16x16 patches centered on each interest point. Image patches aren't a great feature (they're not invariant to brightness change, contrast change, or small spatial shifts) but this is simple to implement and provides a baseline. You won't see your accuracy increase yet because the placeholder code in match\_features() isn't assigning matches.
- 3. Third, implement match\_features(). Accuracy should increase on the Notre Dame pair if you're using 16x16 (256 dimensional) patches as your feature and if you only evaluate your 100 most confident matches. Accuracy on the other test cases will be lower.
- 4. Fourth, finish get\_features() by implementing a SIFT-like feature. Accuracy should increase to 70% on the Notre Dame pair, 40% on Mount Rushmore, and 15% on Episcopal Gaudi if you only evaluate your 100 most confident matches (these are just estimates). These accuracies still aren't great because the human selected keypoints from cheat\_interest\_points() might not match particularly well according to your feature.
- 5. Fifth, stop using cheat\_interest\_points() and implement get\_interest\_points(). Harris corners aren't as good as ground-truth points which we know correspond, so accuracy may drop. On the other hand, you can get hundreds or even a few thousand interest points so you have more opportunities to find confident matches. If you only evaluate the most confident 100 matches (see the

num\_pts\_to\_evaluate parameter) on the Notre Dame pair, you should be able to achieve >80% accuracy. You will likely need to do extra credit to get high accuracy on Mount Rushmore and Episcopal Gaudi.

## Tips, Tricks, and Common Problems

- Make sure you're not swapping x and y coordinates at some point. If your interest points aren't showing up where you expect or if you're getting out of bound errors you might be swapping x and y coordinates. Remember, images expressed as NumPy arrays are accessed image[y,x].
- Make sure your features aren't somehow degenerate. You can visualize your features with plt.imshow-(image1\_features), although you may need to normalize them first. If the features are mostly zero or mostly identical you may have made a mistake.

#### Potentially useful NumPy, OpenCV, and SciPy functions:

Forbidden functions (you can use for testing, but not in your final code):

```
cv2.SURF(), cv2.BFMatcher(), cv2.BFMatcher().match(), cv2.FlannBasedMatcher(),
knnMatch(), cv2.BFMatcher().knnMatch(), cv2.HOGDescriptor(), cv2.cornerHarris(),
cv2.FastFeatureDetector(), cv2.ORB(), skimage.feature, skimage.feature.hog(),
skimage.feature.daisy, skimage.feature.corner_harris(),
skimage.feature.corner_shi_tomasi(), skimage.feature.match_descriptors(),
skimage.feature.ORB(), scipy.signal.convolve(), cv2.filter2D(), cv2.Sobel()
```

We haven't enumerated all possible forbidden functions here but using anyone else's code that performs filtering, interest point detection, feature computation, or feature matching for you is forbidden.

### Rubric

Code: The score for each part is provided below. Please refer to the submission results on Gradescope for a detailed breakdown.

Part 1: Interest point detection	30
Part 2: Local Feature Description	35
Part 3: Feature matching	10
Part 4: Report	25
Extra Credit: Bells & Whistles	20
Total	100 (+20)

### Submission Instructions and Deliverables

Unit Tests: Check that you pass all local unit tests by entering the proj3\_unit\_tests directory and running the command pytest ./. This command will run all the unit tests once more, and you need to add a screenshot to the report. Ensure that the conda environment proj3 is being used.

Code zip: The following code deliverables will be uploaded as a zip file on Gradescope.

```
1. proj3_code/student_harris.py
```

(a) get\_interest\_points()

- (b) my\_filter2D()
- (c) get\_gradients()
- (d) get\_gaussian\_kernel()
- (e) second\_moments()
- (f) corner\_response()
- (g) non\_max\_suppression()
- (h) remove\_border\_vals()
- 2. proj3\_code/student\_sift.py
  - (a) get\_magnitudes\_and\_orientations()
  - (b) get\_feat\_vec()
  - (c) get\_features()
- 3. proj3\_code/student\_feature\_matching.py
  - (a) compute\_feature\_distances()
  - (b) match\_features()
- 4. proj3\_code/proj3.ipynb
- 5. proj3\_code/utils.py

Do not create this zip manually! You are supposed to use the command python zip\_submission.py-gt\_username <username> for this.

**Report:** The final thing to upload is the PDF export of the report on gradescope. The report is worth 25 points. Please refer to the pptx template where we have detailed the points associated with each question. To summarize, the deliverables are as follows:

- Submit the code as a zipfile on Gradescope at PS3 Code.
- Submit the report as a PDF on Gradescope at PS3 Report.

There is no submission to be done on Canvas. Good luck!

This iteration of the assignment is developed by Viraj Prabhu and Judy Hoffman. This assignment was originally developed by James Hays, Samarth Brahmbhatt, and John Lambert, and updated by Judy Hoffman, Mitch Donley, and Vijay Upadhya.