## Ramanujan $\tau$ -Functions

Xiong Yu

May 26, 2025

**Definition 1.** Let  $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\chi(ab) = \chi(a)\chi(b)$ , for any  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . If  $n \geq 1$ , we define Hecke operators  $T_n$  on  $f : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  to be

$$T_n f := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ad=n} \chi(a) a^k \sum_{b \pmod{d}} f(\frac{a\tau + b}{d}).$$

**Theorem 2.** For any  $m, n \ge 1$ , we have

$$T_m T_n f = \sum_{d \mid (m,n)} \chi(d) d^{k-1} T_{\frac{mn}{d^2}} f$$

*Proof.* From Definition 1, we have

 $mnT_mT_nf(\tau)$ 

$$= \sum_{\substack{a_1d_1 = m \\ a_2d_2 = n}} \chi(a_1a_2)(a_1a_2)^k \sum_{\substack{b_1 \pmod{d_1} \\ b_2 \pmod{d_2}}} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \tau\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{d \mid (m,n) \\ a_1d_1 = m \\ a_2d_2 = n \\ (a_1,d_2) = d}} \chi(a_1a_2)(a_1a_2)^k \sum_{\substack{b_1 \pmod{d_1} \\ b_2 \pmod{d_2}}} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \tau\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{d \mid (m,n)}} \chi(d) d^k \sum_{\substack{a'_1d_1 = m/d \\ a_2d'_2 = n/d \\ (a'_1,d'_2) = 1}} \chi(a'_1a_2) (a'_1a_2)^k \sum_{\substack{b_1 \pmod{d_1} \\ b_2 \pmod{dd'_2}}} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} da'_1a_2 & d(a'_1b_2 + b_1d'_2) \\ 0 & dd_1d'_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) \tau\right).$$

(1)

We can see that for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ , we have  $f(a\gamma\tau) = f(\gamma\tau)$ , so

$$f\left(\begin{pmatrix}da_1'a_2 & d(a_1'b_2+b_1d_2')\\0 & dd_1d_2'\end{pmatrix}\tau\right)=f\left(\begin{pmatrix}a_1'a_2 & a_1'b_2+b_1d_2'\\0 & d_1d_2'\end{pmatrix}\tau\right).$$

I claim that for fixed  $a'_1, a_2, d_1, d'_2$  and  $(a'_1, d'_2) = 1$ , if  $b_1$  runs over  $\pmod{d_1}$  and  $b_2$  runs over  $\pmod{d'_2}$ , then we have  $a'_1b_2 + b_1d'_2$  runs over  $\pmod{d_1d'_2}$  one times

 $(b_1,b_2)$  has  $d_1d_2'$  ways to take, so we just need to show that taking different  $(b_1^*,b_2^*)$  we have  $a_1'b_2+b_1d_2'\not\equiv a_1'b_2^*+b_1^*d_2'\pmod{d_1d_2'}$ . In fact, if they are equal,

we have  $a_1'(b_2 - b_2^*) \equiv d_2'(b_1^* - b_1) \pmod{d_1 d_2'}$ , so we have  $d_2' \mid a_1'(b_2 - b_2^*)$ . But  $(a_1', d_2') = 1$ , so  $b_2 \equiv b_2^* \pmod{d_2'}$ . So we prove the claim. So we have

$$\sum_{\substack{b_1 \pmod{d_1}\\b_2 \pmod{dd'_2}}} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a'_1a_2 & a'_1b_2 + b_1d'_2\\0 & d_1d'_2\end{pmatrix}\tau\right) = d\sum_{\substack{b \pmod{d_1d'_2}}} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a'_1a_2 & b\\0 & d_1d'_2\end{pmatrix}\tau\right)$$

We have

 $mnT_mT_n$ 

$$= \sum_{\substack{d \mid (m,n)}} \chi(d) d^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{a'_1 d_1 = m/d \\ a_2 d'_2 = n/d \\ (a'_1, d'_2) = 1}} \chi(a'_1 a_2) (a'_1 a_2)^k \sum_{\substack{b \pmod{d_1 d'_2}}} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a'_1 a_2 & b \\ 0 & d_1 d'_2 \end{pmatrix} \tau\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{d \mid (m,n)}} \chi(d) d^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{a d = \frac{mn}{d^2}}} \chi(a) a^k \sum_{\substack{b \pmod{d}}} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \tau\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{d \mid (m,n)}} \chi(d) d^{k+1} T_{\frac{mn}{d^2}} f.$$

Recall that we have

Definition 3.

$$\Delta_n := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \middle| ad = n, 0 \le b < d \right\}.$$

Lemma 4. There is a one to one correspondence

$$\Delta_n \times SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \leftrightarrow SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \times \Delta_n$$
.

That is for any  $\rho \in \Delta_n$ ,  $\tau \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ , there exist unique  $\tau' \in \Gamma$ ,  $\rho' \in \Delta_n$ , such that  $\rho\tau = \tau'\rho'$ .

If we take  $\chi(a) = 1$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$T_n f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ad=n} \chi(a) a^k \sum_{b \pmod{d}} f(\frac{a\tau + b}{d})$$
$$= n^{k-1} \sum_{\rho \in \Delta_n} d^{-k} f(\rho\tau) \qquad \text{for ad=n}$$
$$= n^{k/2 - 1} \sum_{\rho \in \Delta_n} f|_k \rho(\tau).$$

So we have

**Proposition 5.**  $T_n: M_k(\Gamma) \to M_k(\Gamma)$ , and if

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a(m)e(mz),$$

then we have  $T_n f(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_n(m) e(mz)$ , where

$$a_n(m) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} d^{k-1}a(mnd^{-2}).$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 4, we have

$$T_n f|_k \gamma = n^{k/2-1} \sum_{\rho \in \Delta_n} f|_k \rho \gamma(\tau)$$
$$= n^{k/2-1} \sum_{\rho' \in \Delta_n} f|_k \gamma' \rho'(\tau)$$
$$= T_n f.$$

For the Fourier expansion, we have

$$T_n f(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ad=n} a^k \sum_{0 \le b < d} f(\frac{az+b}{d})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ad=n} a^k \sum_{0 \le b < d} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a(m) e(\frac{amz+bm}{d})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ad=n} a^k \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a(m) \sum_{0 \le b < d} e(\frac{amz+bm}{d})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ad=n} a^k d \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a(m) e(amz)$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ am=N}} a^{k-1} a(md) e(Nz).$$

Corollary 6. We have  $\Delta(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n$ , and

$$\tau(n)\tau(m) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} d^{11}\tau(\frac{mn}{d^2}).$$