Chapter 13 Temporal Logic

- 1. For each of the following questions, give a short answer and justification.
 - (a) TRUE or FALSE: If GFp holds for a state machine A, then so does FGp.

Solution: FALSE. Consider a trace where p holds for every second reaction. This satisfies $\mathbf{GF}p$ but not $\mathbf{FG}p$.

(b) TRUE or FALSE: G(Gp) holds for a trace if and only if Gp holds.

Solution: TRUE. "if" part: If Gp holds, then p holds for every element of the trace, so G(Gp) holds; "only if" part: If G(Gp) holds, then for every suffix of the trace, Gp holds, which means that p holds for every element of every suffix of the trace. Hence, it holds for every element of the trace.

2. Consider the following state machine:

input: x: pure output: y: $\{0,1\}$ x/y := 0

(Recall that the dashed line represents a default transition.) For each of the following LTL formulas, determine whether it is true or false, and if it is false, give a counterexample:

x / y := 1

(a)
$$x \Longrightarrow \mathbf{Fb}$$

Solution: true

(b)
$$\mathbf{G}(x \Longrightarrow \mathbf{F}(y=1))$$

Solution: *false.* Counterexample: If the input sequence begins with $(x, absent, \cdots)$, then the machine will be in state c. From that point on, even if x is *present*, it is not true that eventually y = 1 will appear on the output.

(c)
$$(Gx) \implies F(y=1)$$

Solution: *true*. In this case, the input x is always present, so y = 1 will be produced on every second reaction.

(d)
$$(Gx) \Longrightarrow GF(y=1)$$

Solution: *true*. In this case, the input x is always present, so y = 1 will be produced on every second reaction, which is infinitely often.

(e)
$$\mathbf{G}((\mathsf{b} \wedge \neg x) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{FGc})$$

Solution: true

(f)
$$\mathbf{G}((\mathbf{b} \wedge \neg x) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{Gc})$$

Solution: *false*. Unlike the previous example, for this to be true, it requires that the state machine be in c in the *same* reaction in which it is in b, which cannot happen.

$$(g) (GF \neg x) \Longrightarrow FGc$$

Solution: *false.* A counterexample is the reaction to the input sequence $(x, x, \neg x, x, x, x, \neg x, \cdots)$, where the pattern repeats. In this case, x is absent infinitely often, so the left side is true. However, the right side not true because state c is never reached.

4. This problem is concerned with specifying in linear temporal logic tasks to be performed by a robot. Suppose the robot must visit a set of n locations l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n . Let p_i be an atomic formula that is *true* if and only if the robot visits location l_i .

Give LTL formulas specifying the following tasks:

(a) The robot must eventually visit at least one of the n locations.

Solution:

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{F} p_{i}$$

(b) The robot must eventually visit all n locations, but in any order.

Solution:

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{F} p_i$$

(c) The robot must eventually visit all n locations, in the order l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n .

Solution:

$$\mathbf{F}(p_1 \wedge \mathbf{F}(p_2 \wedge \mathbf{F}(p_3 \wedge \dots \mathbf{F}p_n)))$$