

# Mathematics Review I

## (Basic Terminology)

- Unlike other CS courses, this course is a MATH course...
- We will look at a lot of definitions, theorems and proofs
- This lecture: reviews basic math notation and terminology
  - Set, Sequence, Function, Graph, String...
- Also, common proof techniques
  - By construction, induction, contradiction

# Set

- A **set** is a group of items
- One way to describe a set: list every item in the group inside  $\{ \}$ 
  - E.g.,  $\{ 12, 24, 5 \}$  is a set with three items
- When the items in the set has trend: use ...
  - E.g.,  $\{ 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \}$  means the set of natural numbers
- Or, state the rule
  - E.g.,  $\{ n \mid n = m^2 \text{ for some positive integer } m \}$  means the set  $\{ 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, \dots \}$
- A set with no items is an **empty set** denoted by  $\{ \}$  or  $\emptyset$

# Set

- The order of describing a set does not matter
  - $\{ 12, 24, 5 \} = \{ 5, 24, 12 \}$
- Repetition of items does not matter too
  - $\{ 5, 5, 5, 1 \} = \{ 1, 5 \}$
- Membership symbol  $\in$ 
  - $5 \in \{ 12, 24, 5 \} \quad 7 \notin \{ 12, 24, 5 \}$

- How many items are in each of the following set?
  - $\{ 3, 4, 5, \dots, 10 \}$
  - $\{ 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 2, 1 \}$
  - $\{ 2, \{2\}, \{\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}\} \}$
  - $\emptyset$
  - $\{\emptyset\}$

# Set

Given two sets  $A$  and  $B$

- we say  $A \subseteq B$  (read as  $A$  is a **subset** of  $B$ ) if every item in  $A$  also appears in  $B$ 
  - E.g.,  $A$  = the set of primes,  $B$  = the set of integers
- we say  $A \subsetneq B$  (read as  $A$  is a **proper subset** of  $B$ ) if  $A \subseteq B$  but  $A \neq B$

Warning: Don't be confused with  $\in$  and  $\subseteq$

- Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Is  $\emptyset \in A$ ? Is  $\emptyset \subseteq A$ ?

# Union, Intersection, Complement

Given two sets A and B

- $A \cup B$  (read as the **union** of A and B) is the set obtained by combining all elements of A and B in a single set
  - E.g.,  $A = \{1, 2, 4\}$   $B = \{2, 5\}$   
 $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$
- $A \cap B$  (read as the **intersection** of A and B) is the set of common items of A and B
  - In the above example,  $A \cap B = \{2\}$
- $\bar{A}$  (read as the **complement** of A) is the set of items under consideration not in A

# Set

- The **power set** of  $A$  is the set of all subsets of  $A$ , denoted by  $2^A$ 
  - E.g.,  $A = \{0, 1\}$ 
$$2^A = \{ \{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0,1\} \}$$
  - How many items in the above power set of  $A$ ?
- If  $A$  has  $n$  items, how many items does its power set contain? Why?



# Sequence

- A **sequence** of items is a list of these items in some order
- One way to describe a sequence: list the items inside ( )
  - ( 5, 12, 24 )
- Order of items inside ( ) matters
  - ( 5, 12, 24 )  $\neq$  ( 12, 5, 24 )
- Repetition also matters
  - ( 5, 12, 24 )  $\neq$  ( 5, 12, 12, 24 )
- Finite sequences are also called **tuples**
  - ( 5, 12, 24 ) is a 3-tuple
  - ( 5, 12, 12, 24 ) is a 4-tuple

# Sequence

Given two sets  $A$  and  $B$

- The **Cartesian product** of  $A$  and  $B$ , denoted by  $A \times B$ , is the set of all possible 2-tuples with the first item from  $A$  and the second item from  $B$ 
  - E.g.,  $A = \{1, 2\}$  and  $B = \{x, y, z\}$   
 $A \times B = \{ (1,x), (1,y), (1,z), (2,x), (2,y), (2,z) \}$
- The Cartesian product of  $k$  sets,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$ , denoted by  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_k$ , is the set of all possible  $k$ -tuples with the  $i^{\text{th}}$  item from  $A_i$

# Functions

- A **function** takes an input and produces an output
- If  $f$  is a function, which gives an output  $b$  when input is  $a$ , we write
$$f(a) = b$$
- For a particular function  $f$ , the set of all possible input is called  $f$ 's **domain**
- The outputs of a function come from a set called  $f$ 's **range**

# Functions

- To describe the property of a function that it has domain  $D$  and range  $R$ , we write

$$f : D \rightarrow R$$

- E.g., The function `add` (to add two numbers) will have an input of two integers, and output of an integer
  - We write: `add:  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$`

# Strings

- An **alphabet** = a set of characters
  - E.g., The English Alphabet =  $\{A, B, C, \dots, Z\}$
- A **string** = a sequence of characters
- A string *over* an alphabet  $\Sigma$ 
  - A sequence of characters, with each character coming from  $\Sigma$
- The **length** of a string  $w$ , denoted by  $|w|$ , is the number of characters in  $w$
- The **empty string** (written as  $\varepsilon$ ) is a string of length 0

# Strings

Let  $w = w_1w_2...w_n$  be a string of length  $n$

- A **substring** of  $w$  is a **consecutive** subsequence of  $w$  (that is,  $w_iw_{i+1}...w_j$  for some  $i \leq j$ )
- The **reverse** of  $w$ , denoted by  $w^R$ , is the string  $w_n...w_2w_1$
- A set of strings is called a **language**

# **PROOF TECHNIQUES**

# We look at

- Proof by contradiction
- Proof by construction
- Proof by induction



# By Contradiction

- One common way to prove a theorem is to assume that the theorem is false, and then show that this assumption leads to an obviously false consequence (also called a **contradiction**)
- This type of reasoning is used frequently in everyday life, as shown in the following example

# By Contradiction

- Jack sees Jill, who just comes in from outdoor
- Jill looks completely dry
- Jack knows that it is not raining
- Jack's proof:
  - If it *were* raining (the assumption that the statement is false), Jill will be wet.
  - The consequence is: "Jill is wet" AND "Jill is dry", which is obviously false
  - Therefore, it must not be raining

# By Contradiction [Example 1]

- Let us define a number is **rational** if it can be expressed as  $p/q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are integers; if it cannot, then the number is called **irrational**
- E.g.,
  - 0.5 is rational because  $0.5 = 1/2$
  - 2.375 is rational because  $2.375 = 2375 / 1000$

# By Contradiction

- Theorem:  $\sqrt{2}$  (the square-root of 2) is irrational.
- How to prove?
- First thing is ...  
Assume that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational

# By Contradiction

- Proof: Assume that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational. Then, it can be written as  $p/q$  for some positive integers  $p$  and  $q$ .
- In fact, we can further restrict that  $p$  and  $q$  does not have common factor.
  - If  $D$  is a common factor of  $p$  and  $q$ , we use  $p' = p/D$  and  $q' = q/D$  so that  $p'/q' = p/q = \sqrt{2}$  and there is no common factor between  $p'$  and  $q'$
- Then, we have  $p^2/q^2 = 2$ , or  $2q^2 = p^2$ .

# By Contradiction

- Since  $2q^2$  is an even number,  $p^2$  is also an even number
  - This implies that  $p$  is an even number (why?)
- So,  $p = 2r$  for some integer  $r$
- $2q^2 = p^2 = (2r)^2 = 4r^2$ 
  - This implies  $2r^2 = q^2$
- So,  $q$  is an even number
- Something wrong happens... (what is it?)

# By Contradiction

- We now have: "p and q does not have common factor" AND "p and q have common factor"
  - This is a contradiction
- Thus, the assumption is wrong, so that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational

# By Contradiction [Example 2]

- Theorem (Pigeonhole principle): A total of  $n+1$  balls are put into  $n$  boxes. At least one box containing 2 or more balls.
  - Proof: Assume "at least one box containing 2 or more balls" is false
    - That is, each has at most 1 or fewer ball
- Consequence: total number of balls  $\leq n$
- Thus, there is a contradiction (what is that?)



# Proof By Construction

- Many theorem states that a particular type of object exists
- One way to prove is to find a way to construct one such object
- This technique is called **proof by construction**

- Theorem: There exists a rational number  $p$  which can be expressed as  $q^r$ , with  $q$  and  $r$  both irrational.
- How to prove?
  - Find  $p, q, r$  satisfying the above condition
- What is the irrational number we just learnt? Can we make use of it?

# By Construction

- What is the following value?  
 $(\sqrt{2} \sqrt{2}) \sqrt{2}$
- If  $\sqrt{2} \sqrt{2}$  is rational, then  $q = r = \sqrt{2}$  gives the desired answer
- Otherwise,  $q = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2}$  and  $r = \sqrt{2}$  gives the desired answer

# By Induction

- Normally used to show that all elements in an infinite set have a specified property
- The proof consists of proving two things: The **basis**, and the **inductive step**

- Mathematical induction proves that we can climb as high as we like on a ladder, by proving that we can climb onto the bottom rung (the **basis**) and that from each rung we can climb up to the next one (the **inductive step**).

We consider only enumerable or countable sets  
with a least element [well ordered sets]

1. The **base case**: prove that the statement holds for the first natural number  $n$ . Usually,  $n = 0$  or  $n = 1$ ;
  - rarely, but sometimes conveniently, the base value of  $n$  may be taken as a larger number, or even as a negative number (the statement only holds at and above that threshold).
2. The **step case** or **inductive step**: assume the statement holds for some natural number  $n$ , and prove that then the statement holds for  $n + 1$ .

# By Induction [Example 1]

- Let  $F(k)$  be a sequence defined as follows:
- $F(1) = 1$
- $F(2) = 1$
- for all  $k \geq 3$ ,  $F(k) = F(k-1) + F(k-2)$
- Theorem: For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  
$$F(1) + F(2) + \dots + F(n) = F(n+2) - 1$$

# By Induction

- Let  $P(k)$  means "the theorem is true when  $n = k$ "
- Basis: To show  $P(1)$  is true.
  - $F(1) = 1$ ,  $F(3) = F(1) + F(2) = 2$
  - Thus,  $F(1) = F(3) - 1$
  - Thus,  $P(1)$  is true
- Inductive Step: To show for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ 
  - $P(k)$  is true means:  $F(1) + F(2) + \dots + F(k) = F(k+2) - 1$
  - Then, we have
$$\begin{aligned} & F(1) + F(2) + \dots + F(k+1) \\ &= (F(k+2) - 1) + F(k+1) \\ &= F(k+3) - 1 \end{aligned}$$
  - Thus,  $P(k+1)$  is true if  $P(k)$  is true



# Variants

- There can be many other types of basis and inductive step, as long as by proving both of them, they can cover all the cases
- For example, to show  $P$  is true for all  $k > 1$ , we can show
  - Basis:  $P(1)$  is true,  $P(2)$  is true
  - Inductive step:  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+2)$

# Variants

- **Complete (strong) induction:** (in contrast to which the basic form of induction is sometimes known as **weak induction**)  
makes the inductive step easier to prove by using a stronger hypothesis: one proves the statement  $P(m + 1)$  under the assumption that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n, n \leq m$ .

# Example: forming dollar amounts by coins

- Assume an infinite supply of 4 and 5 dollar coins.
- Prove that any whole amount of dollars greater than 12 can be formed by a combination of such coins.
- In more precise terms, we wish to show that for any amount  $n \geq 12$  there exist natural numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $n = 4a + 5b$ , where 0 is included as a natural number.
- The statement to be shown true is thus:

$$S(n) : n \geq 12 \Rightarrow \exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}. n = 4a + 5b$$

**Base case:** Show that  $S(k)$  holds for  $k = 12, 13, 14, 15$ .

$$4 \cdot 3 + 5 \cdot 0 = 12$$

$$4 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 1 = 13$$

$$4 \cdot 1 + 5 \cdot 2 = 14$$

$$4 \cdot 0 + 5 \cdot 3 = 15$$

The base case holds.

Induction step:

For  $j = 12, 13, \dots, 15, \dots, k$  we assume that the theorem is true.

For  $j = k + 1$ , we show that the theorem is true.

Since for  $j = k, k - 1, k - 2, k - 3$  the theorem is true (why?).

So,  $k - 3 = 4a + 5b$ , for some nonnegative integers  $a$  and  $b$ .

Since  $k + 1 = (k - 3) + 4$ ,

we have,  $k + 1 = 4a + 5b + 4 = 4(a + 1) + 5b$ .      Q.E.D.

- The following is not a valid proof by induction!

# By Induction?

- CLAIM: In any set of  $h$  horses, all horses are of the same color.
- PROOF: By induction. Let  $P(k)$  means "the claim is true when  $h = k$ "
- Basis:  $P(1)$  is true, because in any set of 1 horse, all horses clearly are the same color.

# By Induction?

- Inductive step:
  - Assume  $P(k)$  is true.
  - Then we take any set of  $k+1$  horses.
  - Remove one of them. Then, the remaining horses are of the same color (because  $P(k)$  is true).
  - Put back the removed horse into the set, and remove another horse
  - In this new set, all horses are of same color (because  $P(k)$  is true).
  - Therefore, all horses are of the same color!
- What's wrong?

# More on Pigeonhole Principle

- Theorem: For any graph with more than two vertices, there exists two vertices whose degree are the same.
- How to prove?



# For connected graphs

First, suppose that  $G$  is a connected finite simple graph with  $n$  vertices. Then every vertex in  $G$  has degree between 1 and  $n - 1$  (the degree of a given vertex cannot be zero since  $G$  is connected, and is at most  $n - 1$  since  $G$  is simple). Since there are  $n$  vertices in  $G$  with degree between 1 and  $n - 1$ , the pigeon hole principle lets us conclude that there is some integer  $k$  between 1 and  $n - 1$  such that two or more vertices have degree  $k$ .

# For arbitrary graphs

Now, suppose  $G$  is an arbitrary finite simple graph (not necessarily connected). If  $G$  has any connected component consisting of two or more vertices, the above argument shows that that component contains two vertices with the same degree, and therefore  $G$  does as well. On the other hand, if  $G$  has no connected components with more than one vertex, then every vertex in  $G$  has degree zero, and so there are multiple vertices in  $G$  with the same degree.  $\square$

- As we go, we see various proofs.
- Proofs has to be formal.
- You can not scribble something and expect the examiner to interpret the answer !!

- As we go, v
- Proofs has
- You can not  
examiner to



and expect the  
!!



**Your TA should not become like this !**