### NOTES ON THE CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS

#### A.D. WENDLAND

Notes on chapter 8 of Partial Differential Equations by L. C. Evans |P| L. Evans |P| Evans |P|

#### Contents

| 1.         | Introduction                               | 1  |
|------------|--|----|
| 1.1.       | . Basic Ideas                              | 1  |
| 1.2.       | . First Variation, Euler-Lagrange Equation | 2  |
| 1.3.       | . Second Variation                         | 6  |
| 1.4.       | . Systems                                  | 7  |
| References |  | 13 |

#### 1. Introduction

1.1. Basic Ideas. We suppose that we wish to solve some particular partial differential equation, which we write in the abstract form

$$A[u] = 0.$$
 (1.1) {eq:1-1}

In this above equation,  $A[\cdot]$  denotes a given, possibly nonlinear partial differential operator and u is the unknown. Recall that there is of course no general theory for solving all such PDE.

The calculus of variations identifies an important class of such nonlinear problems that may be solved using relatively simple techniques from nonlinear functional analysis. We call this class of problems the variational problems, that is, PDE of the form (II.I), where the nonlinear differential operator  $A[\cdot]$  is the "derivative" of some appropriate energy functional  $I[\cdot]$ . Symbolically, we write

$$A[\cdot] = I'[\cdot]. \tag{1.2}$$

Then problem (I.I) becomes

$$I'[u] = 0.$$
 (1.3) {eq:1-3}

I'[u] = 0. (1.3) The idea of the formulation in (1.3) is that we can now recognize solutions of the (possibly nonlinear) PDE ( $\overline{\text{III}}$ ) as being critical points of  $I[\cdot]$ . In certain circumstances, these critical points may be relatively easy to find: if, for instance, the functional  $I[\cdot]$  has a minimum at u, then presumably (1.3) holds and thus u is a solution of the original PDE (1.1). The idea is that on the one hand, it is usually extremely difficult to solve (17.1) directly. On the other, it may be much easier to discover minimizers (or other critical points) of the functional  $I[\cdot]$ .

Additionally, many of the laws of physics and other scientific disciplines arise directly as variational principles.

Date: March 13, 2023.

1.2. First Variation, Euler-Lagrange Equation. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded, open set with  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

**Definition 1.1** (Lagrangian). The Lagrangian is a  $C^2(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$  function,

$$L: \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We will write

$$L = L(x, z, p) = L(x_1, \dots, x_n, z, p_1, \dots, p_n)$$

for  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Here "z" is the variable for which we substitute w(x) below, and "p" is the variable for which we substitute Dw(x). We also set

$$\begin{cases}
D_x L := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} L, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} L\right) = (L_{x_1}, \dots, L_{x_n}), \\
D_z L := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} L = L_z, \\
D_p L := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} L, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} L\right) = (L_{p_1}, \dots, L_{p_n}).
\end{cases}$$

We now assume that the energy functional in (1.2) has the explicit form

$$I[w] := \int_{\Omega} L(x, w(x), Dw(x)) dx, \tag{1.4}$$

for all smooth functions  $w: \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying some given boundary condition, say,

$$w|_{\partial\Omega} = g.$$
 (1.5)  $|\{\text{eq:1-5}\}|$ 

We now additionally assume that some particular smooth function  $u: \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ , satisfying the boundary condition  $u: \underline{U} = g$ , is a minimizer of  $I[\cdot]$  among all functions u satisfying the boundary condition (I.5). We will show that this function u is then automatically a solution of a certain nonlinear PDE, called the  $Euler-Lagrange\ Equation$ .

To prove this, choose any smooth function  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and consider the real-valued function

$$i(\tau) := I[u + \tau \phi], \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.6}$$

We call the term  $\tau \phi$  the variation of the function u.

Since u is a minimizer of  $I[\cdot]$  and  $u + \tau \phi = u = g$  on  $\partial \Omega$  (because  $\phi$  has compact support on  $\Omega$ ), we observe that  $i(\cdot)$  has a minimum at  $\tau = 0$ . Therefore

$$i'(0) = 0.$$
 (1.7) | {eq:1-7}

Computing this first derivative explicitly by writing out

$$i(\tau) = \int_{\Omega} L(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) dx, \qquad (1.8) \quad \text{{eq:1-8}}$$

we have by the chain rule and differentiation under the integral sign

$$i'(\tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[ \int_{\Omega} L(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \, dx \right]$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left[ L(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \right] \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} L_z(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi + \sum_{i=1}^n L_{p_i}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi_{x_i} \, dx.$$

**Definition 1.2** (First Variation). The derivative  $i'(\tau)$  of  $i(\tau)$  as defined in ([1.8], that is,

$$i'(\tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} I[u + \tau \phi],$$

is called the first variation of the functional  $I[\cdot]$ .

We note here that the first variation of  $I[\cdot]$  is recognizable as the Gateaux derivative of  $I[\cdot]$ .

Letting  $\tau = 0$ , we see from  $(\stackrel{\text{eq:}1-7}{\text{II.7}})$  and the assumption that u is a minimizer of  $I[\cdot]$  that

$$0 = i'(0) = \int_{\Omega} L_z(x, u, Du)\phi + \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{p_i}(x, u, Du)\phi_{x_i} dx.$$

Since  $\phi$  has compact support in  $\Omega$ , integration by parts on the second term gives

$$0 = i'(0) = \int_{\Omega} L_z(x, u, Du) \phi - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (L_{p_i}(x, u, Du))_{x_i} \phi \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{p_i}(x, u, Du) \phi \nu^i \, dS$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \left[ L_z(x, u, Du) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (L_{p_i}(x, u, Du))_{x_i} \right] \phi \, dx,$$

where  $\nu = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^n)$  as usual denotes the outward pointing unit normal vector field along  $\partial\Omega$ . Since this equality holds for all text functions  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , we conclude that u solves the (possibly) nonlinear PDE

$$L_z(x, u, Du) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (L_{p_i}(x, u, Du))_{x_i} = 0 \text{ on } \Omega.$$
 (1.9) [{eq:1-9}]

**Definition 1.3** (Euler-Lagrange Equation). For the energy functional  $I[\cdot]$  as defined in (1.4), the equation

$$L_z(x, u, Du) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (L_{p_i}(x, u, Du))_{x_i} = 0$$
 on  $\Omega$ 

is called the Euler–Lagrange equation associated with  $I[\cdot]$ .

We observe that the Euler–Lagrange equation  $(\stackrel{\text{leq:1-9}}{\text{I.9}})$  is a quasilinear, second–order PDE in divergence form.

In summary, any smooth minimizer u of  $I[\cdot]$  is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation. Conversely, we can try to find a solution of the Euler-Lagrange PDE (I.9) by finding minimizers of the energy functional  $I[\cdot]$  as defined in (I.4).

Example 1.1 (Dirichlet's Principle). Put

$$L(x, z, p) := \frac{1}{2}|p|^2.$$

Then  $L_{p_i}(x, z, p) = p_i$ , i = 1, ..., n and  $L_z(x, z, p) = 0$ . Thus the Euler-Lagrange equation associated with the functional

$$I[w] := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |Dw|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} L(x, w, Dw) dx$$

is

$$\Delta u = 0$$
 on  $\Omega$ .

This is Dirichlet's principle.

**Example 1.2.** Sometimes we wish to convert a given PDE into a variational problem, that is, to recover a Lagrangian from a given PDE. Motivated by the previous example, consider the Laplacian

$$\Delta u = 0$$
 on  $\Omega$ .

Thus we want to define a function L such that

$$0 = \Delta w = L_z(x, w, Dw) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (L_{p_i}(x, w, Dw))_{x_i}.$$

We "guess" that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (L_{p_i}(x, w, Dw))_{x_i} = \Delta w.$$

Taking  $(L_{p_i}(x, w, Dw))_{x_i} := \partial_{x_i}^2 w$  and integrating with respect to  $x_i$ , we have

$$L_{p_i}(x, w, Dw) = \partial_{x_i} w + C(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{p_i}(x, w, Dw) = \operatorname{div} w.$$

Now taking  $L_{p_i}(x, w, Dw) := \partial_{x_i} w$  and integrating with respect to  $\partial_{x_i} w$ , it follows

$$L(x, w, Dw) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x_i} w)^2 + C(\partial_{x_1} w, \dots, \partial_{x_{i-1}} w, \partial_{x_{i+1}} w, \dots, \partial_{x_n} w).$$

Hence,

$$L(x, w, Dw) = \frac{1}{2}|Dw|^2,$$

which is the Lagrangian from the previous example.

Example 1.3 (Generalized Dirichlet's Principle). Take

$$L(x, z, p) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij}(x) p_i p_j - z f(x),$$

where  $a^{ij} = a^{ji}, i, j = 1, ..., n$ . Then

$$L_{p_i}(x, z, p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a^{ij} p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a^{ij} p_j,$$

 $j = 1, \ldots, n$ , so that

$$(L_z(x,z,p))_{x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n a^{ij} p_j \right] = \sum_{j=1}^n (a^{ij} p_j)_{x_i},$$

and

$$L_z(x, z, p) = -f(x).$$

Thus the Euler-Lagrange equation associated with the functional

$$I[w] := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij} w_{x_i} w_{x_j} - wf \ dx$$

is the divergence structure linear equation

$$-\sum_{i,j}^{n} (a^{ij}u_{x_j})_{x_i} = f \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

We will see later that the uniform ellipticity condition on the  $a^{ij}$ , i, j = 1, ..., n is a natural further assumption required to prove the existence of a minimizer. Consequently from the nonlinear viewpoint of the calculus of variations, the divergence structure form of a linear second—order elliptic PDE is completely natural.

**Example 1.4** (Nonlinear Poisson Equation). Assume that we are given a smooth function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , and define its antiderivative  $F(z) := \int_0^z f(\xi) \ d\xi$ . Take

$$L(x, z, p) := \frac{1}{2}|p|^2 - F(z).$$

Then  $L_{p_i}(x,z,p)=p_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , so that  $(L_{p_i}(x,z,p))_{x_i}=\partial_{x_i}p_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , and  $L_z(x,z,p)=-f(z)$ . Thus the Euler-Lagrange equation associated with the functional

$$I[w] := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |Dw|^2 - F(w) \ dx$$

is the nonlinear Poisson equation

$$-\Delta u = f(u)$$
 on  $\Omega$ .

Example 1.5 (Minimal Surfaces). Put

$$L(x, z, p) := (1 + |p|^2)^{1/2},$$

so that

$$I[w] := \int_{\Omega} (1 + |Dw|^2)^{1/2} dx$$

is the area of the graph of the function  $w:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ . We compute

$$L_{p_i}(x, z, p) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + |p|^2)^{1/2} \cdot 2p_i = \frac{p_i}{(1 + |p|^2)^{1/2}},$$

 $i=1,\ldots,n,$  and  $L_z(x,z,p)=0,$  so that the associated Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{u_{x_i}}{(1+|Du|^2)^{1/2}} \right)_{x_i} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$
 (1.10) [\(\text{eq:1-10}\)]

This PDE is called the minimal surface equation. The expression

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{(1+|Du|^2)^{1/2}}\right)$$

on the LHS of  $(1.10)^{\frac{\text{leq:}1-10}{1\text{s}}}$  is n times the mean curvature of u. Thus a minimal surface has zero mean curvature.

1.3. **Second Variation.** We continue the calculations from §1.2 by computing the second variation of the functional  $I[\cdot]$  at the function u. This we find by observing that since u gives a minimum for  $I[\cdot]$ , we must have

$$i''(0) \ge 0,$$

 $i''(0) \ge 0$ , where i is defined as above by ([1.6]). Recall from that the first variation of  $I[\cdot]$  is given by

$$i'(\tau) = \int_{\Omega} L_z(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau \phi) \phi + \sum_{i=1}^n L_{p_i}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi_{x_i} dx.$$

Calculating the second derivative explicitly, once again by applying the chain rule and differentiation under the integral sign, we find

$$i''(\tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[ \int_{\Omega} L_z(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau \phi) \phi + \sum_{i=1}^n L_{p_i}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi_{x_i} dx \right]$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left[ L_z(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi \right] + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left[ L_{p_i}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi_{x_i} \right] dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} L_{zz}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n L_{zp_i}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi_{x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n L_{p_iz}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi_{x_i} \phi + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{p_ip_j}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi_{x_i} \phi_{x_j} dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} L_{zz}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n L_{p_iz}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi_{x_i} \phi + \sum_{i=1}^n L_{p_ip_j}(x, u + \tau \phi, Du + \tau D\phi) \phi_{x_i} \phi_{x_j} dx.$$

**Definition 1.4** (Second Variation). The second derivative  $i''(\tau)$  of  $i(\tau)$  as defined in (1.8), that is,

$$i''(\tau) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} I[u + \tau \phi],$$

is called the **second variation** of the functional  $I[\cdot]$ .

Again now letting  $\tau = 0$ , we obtain the inequality

$$0 \le i''(0) = \int_{\Omega} L_{zz}(x, u, Du)\phi^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^n L_{p_i z}(x, u, Du)\phi_{x_i}\phi + \sum_{i,j=1}^n L_{p_i p_j}(x, u, Du)\phi_{x_i}\phi_{x_j} dx.$$

$$(1.11) \quad \boxed{\{eq: 1-11\}}$$

This holds for all test functions  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . We can extract useful information from the inequality  $(\stackrel{\text{leq:1-11}}{\text{II. II.}})$  as follows. First, note that after a standard approximation argument that the estimate (IIII) is valid for any Lipschitz continuous function  $\phi$  vanishing on  $\partial\Omega$ . This is because for an open, bounded set  $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$ with  $\partial\Omega\in\mathcal{C}^1$ ,  $\operatorname{Lip}(\Omega,\mathbb{R})=W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ . We then fix  $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$  and define

$$v(x) := \epsilon \rho \left(\frac{\xi \cdot x}{\epsilon}\right) \zeta(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{1.12}$$

where  $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $\rho : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the "periodic triangular function" defined by

$$\rho(x) := \begin{cases} x, & 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1 - x, & \frac{1}{2} \le x \le 1, \end{cases} \quad \rho(x+1) = \rho(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that

$$|\rho'| = 1 \quad \mathcal{L}^1 - \text{a.e.}$$
 (1.13) \[ \{\eq : 1-13\}

Observe further that by the chain rule,

$$v_{x_i}(x) = \rho' \left(\frac{\xi \cdot x}{\epsilon}\right) \xi_i \zeta(x) + \epsilon \rho \left(\frac{\xi \cdot x}{\epsilon}\right) \zeta_{x_i}(x)$$
$$= \rho' \left(\frac{\xi \cdot x}{\epsilon}\right) \xi_i \zeta(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad \epsilon \to 0,$$

since  $|\rho(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\max_{x \in \Omega} |\zeta_{x_i}(x)| < +\infty$  because  $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Similarly, note also that

 $v(x) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad \epsilon \to 0.$  Thus, substituting v (cf. (1.12)) into the estimate (1.11) yields

$$0 \le \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} L_{p_i p_j}(x, u, Du)(\rho')^2 \xi_i \xi_j \zeta^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \ dx.$$

Recalling (1.13) and taking the limit as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , we obtain the inequality

$$0 \le \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} L_{p_i p_j}(x, u, Du) \xi_i \xi_j \zeta^2 dx.$$

Since this estimate holds for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , it follows

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} L_{p_{i}p_{j}}(x, u, Du)\xi_{i}\xi_{j} \ge 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$
(1.14) [eq:1-14]

We will see later that this necessary condition suggests the assumption that the Lagrangian L is convex in its third argument, which is required for the existence theory.

## 1.4. Systems.

1.4.1. Euler-Langrange Equations. The previous considerations generalize easily to the case of systems. Recall that  $\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$  denotes the space of real  $m\times n$  matrices. Assume that the smooth Lagrangian

$$L: \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$$

is given.

We will write

$$L = L(x, z, P) = L(x_1, \dots, x_n, z^1, \dots, z^m, p_1^1, \dots, p_n^m)$$

for  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , where

$$P := \begin{pmatrix} p_1^1 & \cdots & p_n^1 \\ & \ddots & \\ p_1^m & \cdots & p_n^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are employing superscripts to denote rows, as this notational convention simplifies the following formulas.

We associate with L the functional

$$I[\boldsymbol{w}] := \int_{\Omega} L(x, \boldsymbol{w}(x), D\boldsymbol{w}(x)) \ dx, \tag{1.15}$$

defined for smooth functions  $\boldsymbol{w}: \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\boldsymbol{w} = (w^1, \dots, w^m)$ , satisfying some given boundary condition

$$|\boldsymbol{w}|_{\partial\Omega}=\boldsymbol{g},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{g}:\partial\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^m$ . Note here that

$$D\mathbf{w}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} w_{x_1}^1(x) & \dots & w_{x_n}^1(x) \\ & \ddots & \\ w_{x_1}^m(x) & \dots & w_{x_n}^m(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

is the gradient matrix of  $\boldsymbol{w}$  at x.

We proceed as we did in the previous sections and show that any smooth minimizer  $\boldsymbol{u}=(u^1,\ldots,u^m)$  of  $I[\cdot]$ , given now by  $(\overline{1.15})$ , taking among all functions satisfying the boundary condition  $\boldsymbol{w}|_{\partial\Omega}=\boldsymbol{g}$ , must solve a certain *system* of nonlinear PDEs. We therefore fix a function  $\boldsymbol{\phi}=(\phi^1,\ldots,\phi^m)\in\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$  and write

$$i(\tau) := I[\boldsymbol{u} + \tau \boldsymbol{\phi}].$$

As before,

$$i'(0) = 0.$$

Calculating the first variation explicitly, we find

$$i'(\tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[ \int_{\Omega} L(x, \boldsymbol{w} + \tau \boldsymbol{\phi}, D\boldsymbol{w} + \tau D\boldsymbol{\phi}) \ dx \right] = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left[ L(x, \boldsymbol{w} + \tau \boldsymbol{\phi}, D\boldsymbol{w} + \tau D\boldsymbol{\phi}) \right] \ dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{m} L_{z^{k}}(x, \boldsymbol{w} + \tau \boldsymbol{\phi}, D\boldsymbol{w} + \tau D\boldsymbol{\phi}) \phi^{k} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} L_{p_{i}^{k}}(x, \boldsymbol{w} + \tau \boldsymbol{\phi}, D\boldsymbol{w} + \tau D\boldsymbol{\phi}) \phi^{k}_{x_{i}} \ dx.$$

Setting  $\tau = 0$ , we derive the equality

$$0 = i'(0) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{m} L_{z^k}(x, \boldsymbol{u}, D\boldsymbol{u}) \phi^k + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} L_{p_i^k}(x, \boldsymbol{u}, D\boldsymbol{u}) \phi_{x_i}^k dx.$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$0 = i'(0) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{m} L_{z^k}(x, \boldsymbol{u}, D\boldsymbol{u}) \phi^k - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (L_{p_i^k}(x, \boldsymbol{u}, D\boldsymbol{u}))_{x_i} \phi^k dx$$

holding for all test functions  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ . Thus we conclude that  $\boldsymbol{u}$  solves the coupled, quasilinear system of PDE

$$L_{z^k}(x, \boldsymbol{u}, D\boldsymbol{u}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( L_{p_i^k}(x, \boldsymbol{u}, D\boldsymbol{u}) \right)_{x_i} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$
 (1.16) [\{\text{eq:1-16}\}

**Definition 1.5** (Euler-Lagrange Equations). For the energy functional  $I[\cdot]$  as defined in (I.15), the equations

$$L_{z^k}(x, \boldsymbol{u}, D\boldsymbol{u}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( L_{p_i^k}(x, \boldsymbol{u}, D\boldsymbol{u}) \right)_{x_i} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

are called the Euler-Lagrange equations associated with  $I[\cdot]$ .

......

1.4.2. *Null Lagrangians*. It turns out to be interesting to study certain systems of nonlinear PDEs for which *every* smooth function is a solution.

**Definition 1.6** (Null Lagrangian). The function  $L : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$  is called a **null Lagrangian** if the system of Euler-Lagrange equations

$$L_{z^k}(x, \boldsymbol{u}, D\boldsymbol{u}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( L_{p_i^k}(x, \boldsymbol{u}, D\boldsymbol{u}) \right)_{x_i} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$
 (1.17) [\(\text{eq:1-17}\)]

is solved by all smooth functions  $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ .

The importance of null Lagrangians is that the corresponding energy functional

$$I[\boldsymbol{w}] = \int_{\Omega} L(x, \boldsymbol{w}, D\boldsymbol{w}) \ dx$$

depends only on the boundary conditions.

**Theorem 1.1** (Null Lagrangians and Boundary Conditions). Let  $L: \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a null Lagrangian. Assume that  $\boldsymbol{u}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^m)$  are two functions such that

$$\mathbf{u} \equiv \widetilde{\mathbf{u}} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$
 (1.18) \[ \{\text{eq:1-18}\}

Then

$$I[\boldsymbol{u}] = I[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}]. \tag{1.19}$$

*Proof.* Define

$$i(\tau) := I[\tau \boldsymbol{u} + (1-\tau)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}], \quad \tau \in [0,1].$$

Note that  $\tau \mathbf{u} + (1 - \tau)\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , and thus satisfies the system of Euler-Lagrange equations

$$L_{z^k}(x,\tau\boldsymbol{u}+(1-\tau\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}),\tau D\boldsymbol{u}+(1-\tau)D\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}})-\sum_{i=1}^n\left(L_{p_i^k}(x,\tau\boldsymbol{u}+(1-\tau\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}),\tau D\boldsymbol{u}+(1-\tau)D\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}})\right)_{x_i}=0$$

on  $\Omega$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, m$ . Therefore,

$$i'(\tau) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{m} L_{z^{k}}(x, \tau \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}), \tau D\boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau)D\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}})(u^{k} - \widetilde{u}^{k}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} L_{p_{i}^{k}}(x, \tau \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}), \tau D\boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau)D\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}})(u_{x_{i}}^{k} - \widetilde{u}_{x_{i}}^{k}) dx.$$

Integrating by parts on the second term, we obtain

$$i'(\tau) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{m} L_{z^{k}}(x, \tau \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}), \tau D \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau) D \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) (u^{k} - \widetilde{u}^{k}) -$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left( L_{p_{i}^{k}}(x, \tau \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}), \tau D \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau) D \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \right)_{x_{i}} (u^{k} - \widetilde{u}^{k}) dx +$$

$$\int_{\partial \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} L_{p_{i}^{k}}(x, \tau \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}), \tau D \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau) D \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) (u^{k} - \widetilde{u}^{k}) \nu^{i} dS$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} \left[ L_{z^{k}}(x, \tau \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}), \tau D \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau) D \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) - \right]$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \left( L_{p_{i}^{k}}(x, \tau \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}), \tau D \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau) D \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \right) \left[ (u^{k} - \widetilde{u}^{k}) dx + \right]$$

$$\int_{\partial \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} L_{p_{i}^{k}}(x, \tau \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}), \tau D \boldsymbol{u} + (1 - \tau) D \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) (u^{k} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{k}) \nu^{i} dS$$

$$= 0,$$

where the last equality holds by the assumption (1.18) and the fact that  $\tau \boldsymbol{u} + (1-)\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$  solves the system of Euler–Lagrange equations. Thus identity (1.19) follows by observing

$$I[\boldsymbol{u}] = i(1) = i(0) = I[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}].$$

The proof is complete.

In the scalar case m = 1, the only null Lagrangians are the cases where L is linear in the variable p. For the case of systems, that is, when m > 1, however, there are certain nontrivial examples.

We explain a bit of notation for the following result. If A is an  $n \times n$  matrix, we denote by

the cofactor matrix, whose  $(k,i)^{\text{th}}$  entry is  $(\cot A)_i^k = (-1)^{i+k} d(A)_i^k$ , where  $d(A)_i^k$  is the determinant of the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix obtained by deleting the  $k^{\text{th}}$  row and  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of A.

11.1 Lemma 1.1 (Divergence–Free Rows). Let  $\mathbf{u}\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth function. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\operatorname{cof} D\mathbf{u})_{i,x_i}^n = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (1.20) [\{\text{eq:1-20}\}]

Proof.

(i). From linear algebra we recall the identity

$$(\det P)I = P^T(\operatorname{cof} P), \quad P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \tag{1.21}$$

that is,

$$(\det P)\delta_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^k (\cot P)_j^k, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (1.22) [{eq:1-22}]

Thus in particular

$$\partial_{p_m^k} \det P = (\cot P)_m^k, \quad k, m = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (1.23) [eq:1-23]

(ii). Now set  $P = D\mathbf{u}$  in (1.22), differentiate with respect to  $x_j$ , and sum  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ , to find

$$\sum_{j,k,m=1}^{n} \delta_{ij} (\operatorname{cof} D\mathbf{u})_{m}^{k} u_{x_{m}x_{j}}^{k} = \sum_{k,j=1}^{n} u_{x_{i}x_{j}}^{k} (\operatorname{cof} D\mathbf{u})_{j}^{k} + u_{x_{i}}^{k} (\operatorname{cof} D\mathbf{u})_{j,x_{j}}^{k},$$

for i = 1, ..., n. This identity simplifies to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{x_i}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\operatorname{cof} D\mathbf{u})_{j,x_j}^k \right) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (1.24) \[ \{\expreq \text{!q:1-24}}

(iii). Now if det  $D\boldsymbol{u}(x_0) \neq 0$ , we deduce from (1.24) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\operatorname{cof} D\mathbf{u})_{j,x_{j}}^{k} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

at  $x_0$ . If instead det  $D\mathbf{u}(x_0) = 0$ , we choose a number  $\epsilon > 0$  so small that  $\det(D\mathbf{u}(x_0) + \epsilon I) \neq 0$ , apply steps (i) and (ii) to  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} := \mathbf{u} + \epsilon x$ , and send  $\epsilon \to 0$ . The proof is complete.

t1.2 Theorem 1.2 (Determinants as Null Lagrangians). The determinant function

$$L(P) = \det P, \quad P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

is a null Lagrangian.

*Proof.* We must show that for any smooth function  $\boldsymbol{u}:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( L_{p_i^k}(D\mathbf{u}) \right)_{x_i} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

By  $(\stackrel{\text{leq:}1-23}{\text{I.23}})$ , we have  $L_{p_i^k} = (\text{cof } P)_i^k$ ,  $i, k = 1, \dots, n$ . But then it follows by Lemma  $(\stackrel{\text{ll.1}}{\text{I.1}})$  that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( L_{p_i^k}(D\mathbf{u}) \right)_{x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{cof } D\mathbf{u})_{i,x_i}^k = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

as required. The proof is complete.

.....

- $1.4.3.\ \ Application.\ \ A\ nice\ application\ is\ an\ analytic\ proof\ of\ Brouwer's\ Fixed\ Point\ Theorem.$
- t1.3 Theorem 1.3 (Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem). Assume that

$$\boldsymbol{u}: \overline{B(0,1)} \to \overline{B(0,1)}$$

is continuous, where B(0,1) denotes the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\mathbf{u}$  has a fixed point, that is, there exists a point  $x \in \overline{B(0,1)}$  such that

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = x.$$

Proof.

(i). Write  $B := \overline{B(0,1)}$ . We first of all show that there does not exist a smooth function

$$\mathbf{w}: B \to \partial B$$
 (1.25)  $eq: 1-25$ 

such that

$$w(x) = x$$
 (1.26)  $eq: 1-26$ 

for all  $x \in \partial B$ .

(ii). Suppose by contradiction that such a function  $\underline{w}$  exists. Denote by  $\underline{\widetilde{w}}$  the identity function on B, so that  $\underline{\widetilde{w}}(x) = x$  for all  $x \in B$ . By (|1.26) + w = w on  $\partial B$ . Since the determinant is a null Lagrangian (cf. (|1.2)), we have by Theorem (|1.1)

$$\int_{B_{-n}} \det D\boldsymbol{w} \, dx = \int_{B} \det D\widetilde{\boldsymbol{w}} \, dx = \mathcal{L}^{n}(B) \neq 0. \tag{1.27}$$

On the other hand, (1.25) implies that  $|\boldsymbol{w}(x)| = 1$  for all  $x \in B$ , so that  $|\boldsymbol{w}|^2 \equiv 1$ . Differentiating, we find

$$(D\boldsymbol{w})^T \boldsymbol{w} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{1.28}$$

Since  $|\boldsymbol{w}| = 1$ ,  $(\stackrel{\text{leq:} 1-28}{\text{II.28}})$  implies that 0 is an eigenvalue of  $(D\boldsymbol{w})^T$  for each  $x \in B$ . Therefore  $\det P_1 = 0$  in  $B_2 =$ 

(iii) Next we show that there does not exist any continuous function satisfying (ii.25) and (ii.26). Suppose again that such a function  $\boldsymbol{w}$  does exist. We may then continuously extend  $\boldsymbol{w}$  by setting  $\boldsymbol{w}(x) := x$  if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B$ . Observe that  $\boldsymbol{w}(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  so small that  $\boldsymbol{w}_1 := \eta_{\epsilon} * \boldsymbol{w}$  satisfies  $\boldsymbol{w}_1(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Note also that since  $\eta_{\epsilon}$  is radial, we have  $\boldsymbol{w}_1(x) = x$  if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B(0,2)}$ , for  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Then

$$oldsymbol{w}_2 := rac{2oldsymbol{w}_1}{|oldsymbol{w}_1|}$$

would be a smooth mapping satisfying (1.25) and (1.26) with the ball  $\overline{B(0,2)}$  replacing  $\overline{B(0,1)}$ , a contradiction to steps (i) and (ii).

(iv). Finally, suppose that  $\mathbf{u}: B \to B$  is continuous but has no fixed point. Define the mapping  $\mathbf{w}: B \to \partial B$  by setting  $\mathbf{w}(x)$  to be the point on  $\partial B$  hit by the ray emanating from  $\mathbf{u}(x)$  and passing through x. Note that this mapping is well-defined since  $\mathbf{u}(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in B$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{w}$  is continuous and satisfies (1.25) and (1.26).

But this is a contradiction to step (iii). The proof is complete.

# 2. Existence of Minimizers

## REFERENCES

| 1. | awrence C. Evans, Partial differential equations, second ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. | 19, |
|----|---|-----|
|    | merican Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. MR 2597943                                      |     |