Compiler

Static Analysis: Monotone Dataflow Framework

© Copyright 2005, Matthew B. Dwyer and Robby. The syllabus and lectures for this course are copyrighted materials and may not be used in other course settings outside University of Nebraska-Lincoln and Kansas State University in their current form or modified form without express written permission of one of the copyright holders. During this course, students are prohibited from selling notes to or being paid for taking notes by any person or commercial firm without the express written permission of one of the copyright holders.



Dataflow Framework

- We have seen
 - □ Reaching Definition (RD)
 - □ Available Expressions (AE)
 - □ Very Busy Expressions (VBE)
 - □ Live Variables (LV)
- Based on their similarities, we can generalize to data flow frameworks



Observation

	RD	AE	VBE	LV
Direction	forward	forward	backward	backward
Solution	least	greatest	greatest	least
b _{init} (f) / b _{last} (b) Value	{ (x,•) x ∈ (Param _* ∪ Field _*)} ∪ { (x,?) x ∈ Local _* }	Ø	Ø	Ø
Combining Operator	U			U
Description	may	must	must	may
Paths	some	all	all	some



RD Flow Equations

$$\mathsf{RD}_{entry}(I) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{ \ (x, \bullet) \mid x \in (\mathsf{Param}_* \cup \mathsf{Field}_*) \} \cup \{ \ (x, ?) \mid x \in \mathsf{Local}_* \ \}, \ \mathsf{if} \ I = b_{init} \\ \\ \cup \{ \ \mathsf{RD}_{exit}(I') \mid I' \in \mathit{preds} \ (I) \ \}, \ \mathsf{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

$$RD_{exit}(I) = (RD_{entry}(I) \setminus kill_{RD}(I)) \cup gen_{RD}(I)$$



AE Flow Equations

$$AE_{entry}(I) = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } I = b_{init} \\ \\ \cap \{AE_{exit}(I') \mid I' \in preds(I)\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$AE_{exit}(I) = (AE_{entry}(I) \setminus kill_{AE}(I)) \cup gen_{AE}(I)$$



VBE Flow Equations

$$VBE_{exit}(I) = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } I = b_{last} \\ \\ \cap \{ VBE_{entry}(I') \mid I' \in succs(I) \}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$VBE_{entry}(I) = (VBE_{exit}(I) \setminus kill_{VBE}(I)) \cup gen_{VBE}(I)$$



LV Flow Equations

$$\mathsf{LV}_{\textit{exit}}(I) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \emptyset, \text{ if } I = b_{\textit{last}} \\ \\ \bigcup \left\{ \, \mathsf{LV}_{\textit{entry}}(I') \mid I' \in \textit{succs}\left(I\right) \right\}, \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

$$LV_{entry}(I) = (LV_{exit}(I) \setminus kill_{LV}(I)) \cup gen_{LV}(I)$$



Equation Systems

All of the equation systems have similar form

Analysis_{in}(I) =
$$\begin{cases} t, & \text{if } I = b_t \\ \\ \Box & \text{Analysis}_{out}(I') \mid I' \in next(I) \end{cases}$$
, otherwise

Analysis_{out}(I) = f_I (Analysis_{in}(I))

...where \Box , *next*, b_{ι} , ι , and f_{ι} are parameters of the framework



Generalization of Classic Problems

- For our problems the parameters ranged over a small set of values
 - \square is \bigcap or \bigcup
 - □ *next* is *preds* or *succs*
 - $\Box b_i$ is b_{init} or b_{last}
 - \square ι gives the analysis information for b_{ι} , i.e., Analysis_{in} $(b_{\iota}) = \iota$
 - \Box f_l is the transfer associated with block l, e.g., Analysis f_l f_l is the transfer associated with block l, e.g., f_l f_l f



Forward vs. Backward Analysis

Param	Forward Analysis	Backward Analysis	
next	preds	succs	
b_{ι}	b _{init}	b _{last}	
l	analysis information for b _{init}	analysis information for b_{last}	
Analysis _{in}	statement entry information	statement exit information	
Analysis _{out}	statement exit information	statement entry information	



Greatest vs. Least Solution Problems

- Greatest Solution
 - □□ is ∩
 - □ aka *must* analysis, *all paths/universal* analysis
- Least Solution
 - □□is∪
 - □ aka *may* analysis, *some paths/existensial* analysis



Definition of Dataflow Framework

- Dataflow facts are termed properties
- The set of dataflow facts are termed a property space and denoted as L
- Typically L will be a complete lattice
- Property sets flowing along different paths are combined according to a *combining* operator \Box : $P(L) \rightarrow L$



Ascending Chain Condition

- An ascending chain in a lattice is a sequence of ordered values from the lattice, $(\ell_1, ..., \ell_n)$ s.t. $\forall 0 < i < n$. $\ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell_{i+1}$
- We require that all such chains for property spaces eventually stabilize, i.e., $\exists n. \forall i \geq n. \ \ell_n = \ell_i$
- Do the classic examples satisfy the condition?



Transfer Functions

- The set of transfer functions, $f_l: L \to L$ for $l \in Lab_*$, is contained in the function space F
- In addition to the transfer functions F has the following properties
 - ☐ *F* contains the identity function
 - ☐ *F* is closed under function composition
- We usually require monotonicity which is
 - sensible as it says that an increase in data flow information at an input cannot produce a decrease in information at the associated output
 - necessary for the development terminating flow analysis algorithms



A Monotone Dataflow Framework

- consists of
 - a complete lattice L satisfying the ascending chain condition
 - □ a set F of monotone functions from L to L that contains the identity and is closed under composition
 - Note: this is the most common type of framework, but not the only one that is used in practice.



A Framework Instance

- Parameterizes the abstract framework with information about the program to be analyzed.
- An instance consists of
 - □ complete lattice L and function space F
 - □ a finite flow relation *next* (e.g., *succs* or *preds*)
 - \square an extremal label b_i (i.e., b_{init} or b_{last})
 - \square an extremal value $\iota \in L$
 - □ a mapping, f, from the labels Lab* to functions in F



Classic Problem Instances

	Reaching Definitions	Available Expressions	Very Busy Expressions	Live Variables	
L	$P(Var_* \times D)$	P (AExp _*)	P (AExp∗)	P (Var∗)	
		\supseteq	\supseteq	\square	
	U	\cap	\cap		
l	∪ { (x,?) x ∈ Local _* }	Ø	Ø	Ø	
b_{ι}	b _{init}	b _{init}	b _{last}	b _{last}	
next	preds	preds	succs	succs	
F	$\{ f: L \to L \mid \exists \ \ell_k, \ \ell_g: f(\ell) = (\ell \setminus \ell_k) \cup \ell_g \}$				
f_I	$f_l(\ell) = (\ell \setminus kill(l)) \cup gen(l)$ where $l \in Lab_*$				



Reaching Definition as a Monotone Framework Instance

- Does the lattice L satisfy the ascending chain condition?
 - □ Using Var* x D means we have a finite set of pairs of variables and labels (or ? and •)
 - ☐ Thus, the power-set lattice is finite
 - □ All chains are of finite length and they stabilize at ⊤
- Does the set of functions F have the necessary properties?



Is F a Monotone Function Space?

- Monotonicity
 - \square let $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell$
 - \square it is clear that $(\ell \setminus \ell_k) \sqsubseteq (\ell' \setminus \ell_k)$ since ℓ_k is constant for a statement
 - \square similarly $((\ell \setminus \ell_k) \cup \ell_g) \sqsubseteq ((\ell' \setminus \ell_k) \cup \ell_g)$ since ℓ_g is constant for a statement
 - \square thus, $f(\ell) \sqsubseteq f(\ell')$ and f is monotone.
- Identity
 - \square let $\ell_k = \emptyset$ and $\ell_g = \emptyset$
 - \Box then $f(\ell) = ((\ell \ \ \) \ \emptyset) \cup \emptyset) = \ell$
 - □ so the identity function is in F



Is F Closed Under Composition?

- let $f(\ell) = (\ell \setminus \ell_k) \cup \ell_g$ and $f'(\ell) = (\ell \setminus \ell_k') \cup \ell_g'$
- then $(f \circ f')(\ell) = ((\ell \setminus \ell_k') \cup \ell_g' \setminus \ell_k) \cup \ell_g$
- which is $(((\ell \setminus \ell_k') \cup \ell_g') \setminus \ell_k) \cup \ell_g$
- which is $(\ell \setminus (\ell_k' \cup \ell_k)) \cup ((\ell_g' \setminus \ell_k) \cup \ell_g)$
- let ℓ_k "=(ℓ_k " ∪ ℓ_k) and ℓ_g "=(ℓ_g " \ ℓ_k) ∪ ℓ_g
- then $(f \circ f')(\ell) = (\ell \setminus \ell_k'') \cup \ell_g''$ which is in F

Compiler



Monotone Dataflow Framework

Overview Package Class Tree Deprecated Index Help

PREV CLASS NEXT CLASS

SUMMARY: NESTED | FIELD | CONSTR | METHOD

FRAMES NO FRAMES
DETAIL: FIELD | CONSTR | METHOD

sjc.analysis

Class MonotonicDataFlowFramework<E>

java.lang.Object

∟sjc.analysis.MonotonicDataFlowFramework<E>

Type Parameters:

E - The element type of the MDF lattice.

Direct Known Subclasses:

Reaching Definition Analysis

public abstract class MonotonicDataFlowFramework<E>
extends java.lang.Object

This classs represents the Monotonic Data-Flow Framework (MDF) for StaticJava.

Author:

Robby

Constructor Summary

<u>MonotonicDataFlowFramework</u> (<u>CFG</u> cfg, boolean isForward, boolean isLUB)
Instantiate MDF.



MDF — Constructor

```
public abstract class MonotonicDataFlowFramework<E> {
 protected @NonNull CFG cfq;
 protected @NonNullElements Map<Statement, Set<E>> outMap =
                                new HashMap<Statement, Set<E>>();
 protected @NonNullElements Set<E> init;
 protected boolean isForward;
 protected boolean isLUB;
 public MonotonicDataFlowFramework(@NonNull CFG cfg,
                                    boolean isForward,
                                    boolean isLUB) {
    assert cfq != null;
    this.cfq = cfq;
    this.isForward = isForward;
    this.isLUB = isLUB;
```



MDF — Abstract Methods

```
public abstract void computeFixPoint();

public abstract @NonNull String toString(@NonNull E e);

public abstract @NonNull String getAnalysisName();

protected abstract Set<E> gen(Set<E> set, Statement s);

protected abstract Set<E> kill(Set<E> set, Statement s);
```



MDF — Equation

Analysis_{out}(I) = f_I (Analysis_{in}(I), $f_I(\ell)$ = $(\ell \setminus kill(I)) \cup gen(I)$



```
\mathsf{Analysis}_{in}(I) = \begin{cases} t, \text{ if } I = b_t \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} \text{ {Analysis}_{out}(I') \mid I' \in next(I) \text{ }}, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}
```



Chaotic Iteration

The weakest specification of an iterative algorithm for calculating fix point solutions for flow analysis problems

```
RD_{1} := \emptyset
...

RD_{14} := \emptyset
while \exists j. RD_{j} \neq F_{j} (RD_{1}, ..., RD_{14}) do
RD_{j} := F_{j} (RD_{1}, ..., RD_{14})
```

- The algorithm continues to loop until all components have reached a local fixed point.
- So, if the algorithm terminates a fixed point of F is reached.



MDF — DFS-based Iteration

- Based on termination of chaotic iteration, it is guaranteed that any iterative implementation will terminate
- We are going to use a depth-first search (DFS) on CFG per iteration
- Thus, we do DFSs until we reach fix point



MDF — DFS-based Iteration

```
protected boolean iterate(Set<Statement> seen, Statement s) {
  if (seen.contains(s)) {
    return false;
  boolean hasChanged = compute(s);
  seen.add(s);
  Set<Statement> succs = isForward ? cfq.succs.get(s)
                                    : cfq.preds.qet(s);
  if (succs != null) {
    for (Statement succS : succs) {
      hasChanged = iterate(seen, succS) | hasChanged;
  return hasChanged;
```



MDF — DFS-based Iteration



RD — Constructor

```
public class ReachingDefinitionAnalysis
    extends MonotonicDataFlowFramework<Pair<String, ASTNode>> {
    protected Map<ASTNode, Object> symbolMap;

    public ReachingDefinitionAnalysis(
        @NonNull SymbolTable symbolTable,
        @NonNull CFG cfg) {
        super(cfg, true, true);
        assert symbolTable != null;
        symbolMap = symbolTable.symbolMap;
    }
}
```



```
@Override protected Set<Pair<String, ASTNode>> gen(
                             Set<Pair<String, ASTNode>> set, Statement s) {
  Set<Pair<String, ASTNode>> result = new HashSet<Pair<String, ASTNode>>();
  String lhsLocalName = getLHSVarName(s);
  if (lhsLocalName != null) {
    result.add(new Pair<String, ASTNode>(lhsLocalName, s));
  return result;
@Override protected Set<Pair<String, ASTNode>> kill(
                             Set<Pair<String, ASTNode>> set, Statement s) {
  Set<Pair<String, ASTNode>> result = new HashSet<Pair<String, ASTNode>>();
  String lhsLocalName = getLHSVarName(s);
  if (lhsLocalName != null) {
    for (Pair<String, ASTNode> p : set) {
      if (p.first.equals(lhsLocalName)) {
        result.add(p);
    } } return result; }
```



```
@Override public void computeFixPoint() {
 Set<Pair<String, ASTNode>> init = new HashSet<Pair<String, ASTNode>>();
 for (ASTNode n : symbolMap.keySet()) {
    if (n instanceof SimpleName) {
      Object o = symbolMap.get(n);
      if (o instanceof FieldDeclaration) {
        init.add(new Pair<String, ASTNode>(((SimpleName) n).getIdentifier(),
                                           (FieldDeclaration) o)); } } }
 for (Object o : cfg.md.parameters()) {
    SingleVariableDeclaration svd = (SingleVariableDeclaration) o;
    init.add(new Pair<String, ASTNode>(svd.getName().getIdentifier(), svd)); }
 for (Object o : cfq.md.getBody().statements()) {
    if (o instanceof VariableDeclarationStatement) {
      VariableDeclarationStatement vdf = (VariableDeclarationStatement) o;
      init.add(new Pair<String, ASTNode>(((VariableDeclarationFragment))
                                         vdf.fragments().get(0))
                                          .getName().getIdentifier(), vdf));
    } else { break; } }
 computeFixPoint(init);
```