$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad A^T A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{T}b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10/7 \\ 10/7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma = b - Ax = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1/7 \\ 10/7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2/7 \\ -3/7 \\ -1/7 \end{bmatrix}$$

## EX.4.1.1.c

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} A^{T}A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 9 \\ 9 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
A^{T}b : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{3} \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 16 \end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{array}{c|c}
 & 10 & 9 \\
9 & 10 & 10 \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
 & X_1 & 16 \\
\hline
 & X_2 & 16 \\
\hline
 & X_2 & 16 \\
\end{array}$$

$$\Gamma = b - Ax = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 16/19 \\ 25/19 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9/19 \\ 25/19 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 10 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 2 & x_1 & 9 & x_1 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 & 3 & x_2 & = 10 & x_2 & = -1/3 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & x_3 & 2 & 9 & x_3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = b - Ax = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1/3 \\ -1/3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{T}A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 14 \\ 14 & 62 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{T}b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 30 \end{bmatrix}$$

C) This creates rocking a containing waster column which is in (44) - in (xx); thus same amount of rows as xx/44 as this calculation is made for corresponding indeces. d) This line calculates the x vector to minimize the Z-way, using matrix of and vector b. This line is calculating y-values for the graph generated, based on x-range indirection in xxx using the coefficients from x-vector at certain x-value. f) Elt) is the concentration of the drug at hour + (ng/ml) Where H is the time in hours since administered g) we seem to have a good fit visually, and it seems to be a good model based on low RMSE = 0.0341. The drug is hitting the pattent's system (rapidly increasing) and then wears out of their system (slowy decreasing) i) The draigh stays within therapeutic levels between nours-0.5-19. CP. 4.2.7 The array + represents the months, that is index i is a certain x(mod 12) to represent the month relative to 12 months in a yearfor the 5 years. 10 This creates matrix A where the First column is is the second column is cos(211.+) for each value in t, same for third column but sin (21.+), same for fourth column but cos (411.+), where each row

is an entry of the columns for each time int.

- c) f(t) is megawatts per nour at relative year t where t is years reginning at 2005 (t=0). t=1 represents January 2006, April 2007 is represented by t=2,25
- that are far off our model however this could also see the nature of the data where outliers will make the model worse than it deaths protted is.

CP.4.2.8

CP. 4.2.9

RMSE For Co + C, + : 2,2333

RMSE for Co + C, t + C2C09 (2TH) + C3SIN(2TT+) = 0.8015

The RMSE for the second model is significantly lower (0.8615 < 2.2333) which indicates a better model. Likewise, looking at the graphs it's pretty clear to see the second model is a better representation of the data.

Ex. 4.3.2. b

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -4 \\ -2 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{(00} = \sqrt{16 + 4 + 16} = 6 \quad \text{(0)} = -3$$

$$W_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -7 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -7 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{11} = 4 \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} + (6)^{2} + (3)^{2} = 9$$

$$Q_{1} = 9 \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $Q = \begin{bmatrix} -2/3 & -2/3 \\ -1/3 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$   $R = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$