CS1632, Lecture 12: Writing Testable Code

Wonsun Ahn

Testable Code

- Code for which it is easy to perform tests
  - Whether automated and manual
  - Whether at unit level or system level

- … and track down errors when tests fail

Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code - make it modular
- Give yourself something to test
- Make it repeatable
- DRY (Don’t repeat yourself)
- Use the dominant paradigm of the language
- Move TUFs out of TUCs

Segment Code

- Methods should be SMALL and SPECIFIC
- Do one thing and do it well

```
// Bad
public int getNumMonkeysAndSetDatabase(Database d)
   {  if (d != null) {
      _database = d;
   } else {
      _database = DEFAULT_DATABASE;
   }
   setDefaultDatabase(_database);
   int numMonkeys = _monkeyList.size();
   return numMonkeys;
}
```

Refactor

```
// Better
public void setDatabase(Database d)
    {  if (d != null) {
        _database = d;
    } else {
        _database = DEFAULT_DATABASE;
    }
    setDefaultDatabase(_database);
}

public int getNumMonkeys() {
    int numMonkeys = _monkeyList.size();
    return numMonkeys;
}
```

Give Yourself Something to Test

- Return values are worth their weight in gold!
  - Easy to assert against
- What if method does not have a return value?
  - Try adding a success/fail return value
  - Try throwing exceptions to indicate problems
  - Try modifying value of an accessible attribute
  - Always, something is better than nothing!

```
// Bad. No state to check for defects.
public void addMonkey(Monkey m) {
    if (m != null) {
        _monkeyList.add(m);     // _monkeyList is private
    }
}
```

Refactor

```
// Better. Can assert on return value.
public boolean addMonkey(Monkey m) {
    if (m != null) {
        _monkeyList.add(m);
        return true;
    }
    return false;
}

// Also better. Can catch exception and assert there.
public void addMonkey(Monkey m) throws NullMonkeyException {
    if (m != null) {
        _monkeyList.add(m);
    } else {
        throw NullMonkeyException();
    }
}
```

Make It Repeatable

- Dependence on random or external data == Bad
  - Random data makes it impossible to repeat result (as you can imagine)
  - External data also makes repeating results very hard

- What is external data?
  - Value of global variables
  - Value extracted from a global data structure
  - Value returned from a database query
  - Value read from a file
  - Basically any value that you did not pass in as arguments or locally produce

Not Repeatable Code

```
public Result playOverUnder() {
   // random throw of the dice
   int dieRoll = (new Die()).roll();
   if (dieRoll > globalThreshold) {
      return RESULT_OVER;
   }
   else if (dieRoll < globalThreshold) {
      return RESULT_UNDER;
   }
   else {
    return RESULT_AT;
   }
}
```

- Two reasons why the result is not repeatable:
  1. `dieRoll` will obtain a random value on every call
  2. `globalThreshold` may be different across calls
- What if tester pre-sets `globalThreshold` before performing unit test?
  - `globalThreshold` may be modified internally (e.g. by `Die.roll()`)

Refactor

```
public Result playOverUnder(Die d) {
   // random throw of the dice
   int dieRoll = d.roll();  // Can stub roll()
   if (dieRoll > globalThreshold) {
      return RESULT_OVER;
   }
   else if (dieRoll < globalThreshold) {
      return RESULT_UNDER;
   }
   else {
    return RESULT_AT;
   }
}
```

- Now, a test double can be passed in for `Die` and `roll()` can be stubbed
- This type of refactoring is called *dependency injection*
  - Passing dependencies in as parameters to the tested method

Even Better

```
public Result playOverUnder(int dieRoll) {
   if (dieRoll > globalThreshold) {
      return RESULT_OVER;
   }
   else if (dieRoll < globalThreshold) {
      return RESULT_UNDER;
   }
   else {
    return RESULT_AT;
   }
}
```

- Now, no need to even create a test double or stub!
- What about `globalThreshold`?
  - Inject that dependency too!

The Best

```
public Result playOverUnder(int dieRoll, int threshold) {
   if (dieRoll > threshold) {
      return RESULT_OVER;
   }
   else if (dieRoll < threshold) {
      return RESULT_UNDER;
   }
   else {
    return RESULT_AT;
   }
}
```

- Now, this method has become a pure function
  - Pure function: function where result is computed purely from parameters
  - A pure function is by definition always repeatable
- Try to make your functions pure functions whenever possible
  - Segregate hard-to-test code with side-effects into a small corner

DRY - Don't Repeat Yourself

- Don't copy and paste code
- Don't have multiple methods with similar functionality
- Make use of class inheritance and interfaces
- Make use of "generic" classes and methods
  - Classes and methods that have parameterized types
  - E.g. Java List<Type> is parameterized by Type so that user can make a list of integers (List<Integer>) or list of strings (List<String>) using the same class
  - Language implementations: Java generics, C++ templates, …

Why DRY?

- Twice as much room for error
- Bloated codebase
- A bug fix or enhancement must be replicated on all copies of the code – another source of error

Bad: Replicated code but with different types

```
private ArrayList<Animal> _animalList;
public int addMonkey(Monkey m) {
    if (m != null) {
        _animalList.add(m);
    }
    return _animalList.count();
}
public int addGiraffe(Giraffe g) {
    if (g != null) {
        _animalList.add(g);
    }
    return _animalList.count();
}
public int addRabbit(Rabbit r) {
    if (r != null) {
        _animalList.add(r);
    }
    return _animalList.count();
}
```

Refactor

```
// Animal is superclass for Giraffe,
// Monkey, and Rabbit

public int addAnimal(Animal a)
    {  if (a != null) {
        _animalList.add(a);
    }
    return _animalList.count();
}
```

Bad: What if there is no superclass?

```
// No superclass for List<Monkey>,List<Giraffe>,List<Rabbit>

public void addOne(List<Monkey> l, Monkey m) {
   if (m != null) {
      l.add(m);
   }
}
public void addOne(List<Giraffe> l, Giraffe g) {
   if (g != null) {
      l.add(g);
   }
}
public void addOne(List<Rabbit> l, Rabbit b) {
   if (b != null) {
      l.add(b);
   }
}
```

Refactor

```
// Use a generic method.
// addOne() accepts an argument of type List<T>.
// T can be any type.

public <T> void addOne(List<T> l, T e) {
   if (e != null) {
       l.add(e);
   }
}
```

Bad: Two copies of very similar code (but slightly different)

```
public int addUpArray(int[] x)
   {  int toReturn = 0;
   for (int j=0; j<x.length; j++)
      {  toReturn += x[j];
   }
   return toReturn;
}
// elsewhere in codebase..
public int arrayTotal(int[] a)
   {  int toReturn = 0;
   int c = 0;
   while (++c < a.length)
      {  toReturn = toReturn +
      a[c];
   }
   return toReturn;
}
```

Replicated Code Could Be Internal To Methods!

```
// In one method…
String name = db.where("user_id = " +
    id_num).get_names()[0];

// Elsewhere, in another method…
String name =
    db.find(id).get_names().first();
```

You Can DRY This Up, Too

```
String getName(Database db,  int id) {
   // Add in guard code, try..catch, etc.
   // Can all be here in one place
   return db.find(id).get_names().first();
}

// In one method…
String name = getName(db, id);

// Elsewhere, in another method…
String name = getName(db, id);
```

Use the dominant paradigm of the language

- When in Rome, do as the Romans do
- Automated testing frameworks are geared towards the dominant programming paradigm for that language
- Java is an Object Oriented Programming (OOP) language
  - Program in an OOP way!
  - It will allow you to use test doubles, stubs, mocks, …

Procedural Style

```
public static int rollDie(Random r) {
  return r.nextInt(6) + 1;
}

public static void main(String[] args) {
  Random rng = new Random(args[0]);
  int dieRoll1 = rollDie(rng);
  int dieRoll2 = rollDie(rng);
  boolean keepPlaying = true;
  while (keepPlaying) {
    ...
```

In an OOP Language, Write OOP Code

```
public class Die {
    Random _rng;
    public Die() {
        _rng = new Random();
    }
    public Die(int seed) {
        _rng = new Random(seed);
    }
    public int roll() {
        return _rng.nextInt(6) + 1;
    }
}
```

Don’t make life hard for the tester

you can program java in a functional way

or a procedural way

or a logical way

or a constraint-based way

BUT IT MIGHT BE AS WEIRD, DIFFICULT-TO-USE AND DIFFICULT-TO-UNDERSTAND AS THE FONTS ON THIS SLIDE

No TUFs Inside TUCs

That is, no

Test-Unfriendly Features

inside

Test-Unfriendly Constructs

Examples of Test-Unfriendly Features

- Printing to console
- Reading/writing from a database
- Reading/writing to a filesystem
- Accessing a different program or system
- Accessing the network

☛ Code that you typically *want* to fake using stubs

Examples of Test-Unfriendly Constructs

- Private methods
- Final methods
- Final classes
- Class constructors / destructors
- Static methods

☛ Code that is *hard* to fake using stubs

No TUFs Inside TUCs

- In other words …
- Do not put code that you want to fake inside that is hard to fake

Dealing with Legacy Code

Image from https://goiabada.blog

Dealing With Legacy Code

- In most classes, you had it easy
  - You either wrote greenfield code (that is, code from scratch)
  - Or modified code that your professor wrote to make it easy on you (even though it may not always look like that).

- The real world is seldom so tidy
  - Code is often written hurriedly under pressure, with no consideration for testing, let alone any testing code
  - Often there is little to no documentation and you aren't even sure how the legacy code even works

- Where do you start?

Start by Writing Pinning Tests

- *Pinning Test*: A test done to pin down existing behavior
  - Note: existing behavior may be different from expected behavior
  - Want to pin down all behavior, bugs and all, before modifying
  - Even obscure corner case behaviors may sometimes be used
    ☛ Must make sure these don't get accidentally modified

- Pinning tests are typically done using unit testing
  - Where do I look for places where I can unit test?
  - Look for seams!

Look for Seams in your Legacy Code

- *Seams*: Places where *behavior* can be modified without modifying *code*
- Example with no seam:

```
void executeSql(String sql) {
    DatabaseConnection db = new DatabaseConnection();
    db.executeSql(sql);
}
```

☛ Hard to unit test since we need a working DB connection

- Example with seam:

```
void executeSql(String sql, DatabaseConnection db) {
    db.executeSql(sql);
}
```

☛ Easy to unit test by passing a test double DB connection

Look for Seams in your Legacy Code

- Does this really have no seam?

```
void executeSql(String sql) {
    DatabaseConnection db = new DatabaseConnection();
    db.executeSql(sql);
}
```

- Maybe it does, if you look closely enough!

Look for Seams in your Legacy Code

- Suppose you have this legacy class

```
class LegacyClass
  virtual void executeSql(String sql) {
    DatabaseConnection db = new DatabaseConnection();
    db.executeSql(sql);
  }
}
```

- Now create a new class JustForTestingClass

```
class JustForTestingClass : public LegacyClass
  virtual void executeSql(String sql) {
    DatabaseConnection db =
Mockito.mock(DatabaseConnection.class);
    // Stub db to specify whatever behavior you want
    db.executeSql(sql);
  }
}
```

- Use JustForTestingClass for testing purposes

Dealing With Legacy Code

- After pinning down behavior, you can slowly start refactoring the code
- Leave the codebase better than when you found it.
- Don’t sink into the Swamp of Sadness.