# 2024 鐵人賽 – 我數學就爛要怎麼來學 DNN 模型安全 Day 29 – Clean Label Attack

# 大綱

- Clean Label 攻撃
  - 前情提要
  - 論文演算法
  - 程式實作

- 結論



## • 看似做完了論文的最佳化式子,其實並沒有

Let  $f(\mathbf{x})$  denote the function that propagates an input  $\mathbf{x}$  through the network to the penultimate layer (before the softmax layer). We call the activations of this layer the *feature space* representation of the input since it encodes high-level semantic features. Due to the high complexity and nonlinearity of f, it is possible to find an example  $\mathbf{x}$  that "collides" with the target in feature space, while simultaneously being close to the base instance  $\mathbf{b}$  in input space by computing

$$\mathbf{p} = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{t})\|_{2}^{2} + \beta \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2}$$
(1)

The right-most term of Eq. 1 causes the poison instance  $\mathbf{p}$  to appear like a base class instance to a human labeler ( $\beta$  parameterizes the degree to which this is so) and hence be labeled as such.

### ■ 論文的後半部有一個神奇的演算法虛擬碼

distance from the base instance in input space. The coefficient  $\beta$  is tuned to make the poison instance look realistic in input space, enough to fool an unsuspecting human observer into thinking the attack vector image has not been tampered with.

#### Algorithm 1 Poisoning Example Generation

**Input:** target instance t, base instance b, learning rate  $\lambda$ 

Initialize x:  $x_0 \leftarrow b$ 

Define:  $L_p(x) = ||f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{t})||^2$ 

for i = 1 to maxIters do

Forward step:  $\widehat{x}_i = x_{i-1} - \lambda \nabla_x L_p(x_{i-1})$ 

Backward step:  $x_i = (\widehat{x}_i + \lambda \beta b)/(1 + \beta \lambda)$ 

end for

# 論文演算法

$$\mathbf{p} = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{t})\|_{2}^{2} + \beta \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2}$$

## 分析一下式子,從原本兩個式子的最佳化變成一個

distance from the base instance in input space. The coefficient  $\beta$  is tuned to make the poison instance look realistic in input space, enough to fool an unsuspecting human observer into thinking the attack vector image has not been tampered with.

#### Algorithm 1 Poisoning Example Generation

**Input:** target instance t, base instance b, learning rate  $\lambda$ 

Initialize x:  $x_0 \leftarrow b$ 

Define:  $L_p(x) = ||f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{t})||^2$ 

for i = 1 to maxIters do

Forward step:  $\widehat{x}_i = x_{i-1} - \lambda \nabla_x L_p(x_{i-1})$ 

Backward step:  $x_i = (\widehat{x_i} + \lambda \beta b)/(1 + \beta \lambda)$ 

end for

# 論文演算法

- 這個神奇的算法我看了很久,發現β越大與原圖的差異占比 越多,所以整體 loss 會傾向跟原圖類似

Forward step: 
$$\widehat{x_i} = x_{i-1} - \lambda \nabla_x L_p(x_{i-1})$$
  
Backward step:  $x_i = (\widehat{x_i} + \lambda \beta b)/(1 + \beta \lambda)$ 

簡化變數 觀察一下

$$\beta = 1, \lambda = 1$$

$$X_i = (\frac{1}{2}\hat{X}_i + \frac{1}{2}b)$$

$$\beta = 3, \lambda = 1$$

$$X_{i} = (\frac{1}{4}\hat{X}_{i} + \frac{3}{4}b)$$

- 其實反而比較簡單,最佳化式子剩一個,其餘就是給值
- 給值要注意用 assign 而非用 = ,否則會悲劇

```
for i in range(1000) :
   with tf.GradientTape(persistent=True) as tape:
       \#loss1 = tf.keras.losses.MeanSquaredError(reduction='sum')(x, t_base_image)
       loss2 = tf.keras.losses.MeanSquaredError(reduction='sum')(new model(x),new model(t target image))
       \#loss = loss1 + c*loss2
       loss = loss2
   gradients = tape.gradient(loss, x)
   # 這邊依然要注意負值的問題
   x.assign(tf.clip by value(x,0,1))
   #print(gradients)
    tf.optimizers.Adam(learning_rate=learning_rate).apply_gradients(zip([gradients],[x]))
   x.assign((x+beta*learning_rate*t_base_image)/(1+beta*learning_rate))
```

# 結論

■最佳化數值的式子簡化後還是會有負值問題,看來真的要 實做的時候自行解決

但是在式子的簡化上原本有兩個要求最佳解的式子轉變成 只有一個,只能說數學真的很厲害