

# Session Three

22 January 2019

## Contents

<b>1. Session Two</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Testing Wavelengths . . . . .	1
1.1.1. Procedure (Practical Method) . . . . .	1
1.1.2. Evaluation of Procedure . . . . .	1
1.1.3. Table of Results. . . . .	2
1.1.4. Evaluation of Readings . . . . .	4
1.1.5. Analysis of Readings . . . . .	4

## 1. Session Two

### 1.1. Testing Wavelengths

#### 1.1.1. Procedure (Practical Method)

1. Set up the equipment with colored LEDs and two filters.
2. Turn on the microcontroller, and set the filters to a 0 degrees offset.
3. Record the initial intensity sensor value.
4. Change the angle between the two filters in steps of 5 degrees, and record the intensity sensor value at each offset interval, being careful not to mis-align the sensor or LED.
5. Repeat steps 3 and 4 until a sufficient number of repeats has been obtained to reduce the impact of anomalous results.
6. Repeat steps with different colors of LEDs: Red, Green, and Blue

#### 1.1.2. Evaluation of Procedure

This procedure was relatively easy, as I could use the same set-up as experiment 1. The major issue encountered was the variation in initial intensity. With experiment 1, only one type LED was used, so each repeat started with roughly the same initial conditions. With this experiment, each LED had a different initial brightness, as can be observed in the results below. This, however, will not affect my results, as I can both account for this in the final analysis, and compare each set of data separately.

### 1.1.3. Table of Results.

Angle	Raw sensor output				
	Repeat one	Repeat two	Repeat three	Average	Std Dev
0	162	178	156	165.3	11.4
5	159	175	157	163.7	9.9
10	151	168	157	157.0	9.5
15	145	160	148	151.0	7.9
20	136	155	139	143.3	10.2
25	124	141	131	132.0	8.5
30	114	124	123	120.3	5.5
35	99	111	106	105.3	6.0
40	86	95	93	91.3	4.7
45	71	81	81	77.7	5.8
50	60	69	67	65.3	4.7
55	46	52	55	51.0	4.6
60	33	39	40	37.3	3.8
65	25	28	31	28.0	3.0
70	15	16	22	17.7	3.8
75	10	9	14	11.0	2.6
80	5	5	7	5.6	1.2
85	1	1	2	1.3	0.6
90	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 1.** Experiment 2: Green LED

Angle	Raw sensor output				
	Repeat one	Repeat two	Repeat three	Average	Std Dev
0	275	401	469	381.7	98.4
5	273	399	463	378.3	96.7
10	268	395	451	371.3	93.8
15	263	375	435	357.7	87.3
20	241	364	409	338.0	87.0
25	222	344	376	314.0	81.3
30	206	315	351	290.7	75.5
35	182	282	308	257.3	66.5
40	152	252	260	221.3	60.2
45	113	221	232	195.3	54.3
50	110	175	194	159.7	44.0
55	86	147	165	132.7	41.4
60	64	114	119	99.0	30.4
65	46	79	87	70.7	21.7
70	32	47	57	45.3	12.6
75	12	29	35	25.3	11.9
80	6	13	17	12.0	5.6
85	2	5	5	4.0	1.7
90	0	1	0	0.3	0.6

**Table 2.** Experiment 2: Blue LED

Angle	Raw sensor output				
	Repeat one	Repeat two	Repeat three	Average	Std Dev
0	211	189	198	199.3	11.1
5	205	184	186	191.7	11.6
10	198	180	181	186.3	10.1
15	188	175	177	180.0	7.0
20	178	168	166	170.7	6.4
25	167	155	157	159.7	6.4
30	155	137	141	144.3	9.5
35	138	121	123	127.3	9.3
40	123	110	110	114.3	7.5
45	114	106	104	108.0	5.3
50	96	89	92	92.3	3.5
55	79	71	69	73.0	5.3
60	65	59	59	61.0	3.5
65	49	46	47	47.3	1.5
70	38	38	39	38.3	0.6
75	28	29	29	28.7	0.6
80	20	25	22	22.3	2.5
85	16	19	18	17.7	1.5
90	13	14	12	13.0	1.0

**Table 3.** Experiment 2: Red LED

#### 1.1.4. Evaluation of Readings

These readings were somewhat harder to achieve than the first experiment. For the green and blue LEDs, the type of LED (10mm) was used, so the results were similar, however with both having noticeably lower initial intensities. This is due to the neutral density effect of the LED coloring, and will not affect my analysis, as we will not need to compare the initial intensity of the different colors directly.

The accuracy and standard deviation of these results was somewhat unexpected. The blue LED had error ranges between repeats comparable to the first experiment, but the red and green LEDs both had much smaller deviation between repeats. This is due to the overall intensities being lower, which means that there is a narrower range of results, due to the resolution of the sensor being 1.

#### 1.1.5. Analysis of Readings

We can make the same substitutions as Experiment one to verify that these results follow Malus's law, with wavelength having no effect:

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\propto \cos^2 \theta \\
I &= I_0 \cos^2 \theta \\
\Rightarrow y &= mx + c
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore y = I, m = I_0, x = \cos^2 \theta, c = 0$$

These were then plotted as a line graph for each color, and lines of best fit were generated:

Again, these results all show a straight line of best fit, with the gradient equal to the initial intensity. From that, we can make the initial conclusion that the different colored LEDs all follow Malus's law.