

Lab 2

Objective: Write a C program to simulate the following CPU scheduling algorithms to find turnaround time and waiting time for the above problem.

a) FCFS b) SJF c) Round Robin d) Priority

EXERCISE:

QUESTION 1 OUTPUT:

FCFS Scheduling:

Process	Burst Time	Waiting Time	Turnaround Time
P0	24	0	24
P1	3	24	27
P2	3	27	30

Average Waiting Time: 17.00

Average Turnaround Time: 19.00

=== Code Execution Successful ===

QUESTION 2 OUTPUT:

SJF Scheduling:

Process	Burst Time	Waiting Time	Turnaround Time
P4	3	0	3
P1	6	3	9
P3	7	9	16
P2	8	16	24

Average Waiting Time: 7.00

Average Turnaround Time: 13.00

=== Code Execution Successful ===

QUESTION 3 OUTPUT:

Round Robin Scheduling:

Process	Burst Time	Waiting Time	Turnaround Time
P1	10	13	23
P2	5	10	15
P3	8	13	21

Average Waiting Time: 12.00

Average Turnaround Time: 19.67

=== Code Execution Successful ===

QUESTION 4 OUTPUT:

Priority Scheduling:

Process	Burst Time	Priority	Waiting Time	Turnaround Time
P2	1	0	1	
P5	5	1	6	
P1	10	6	16	
P3	2	16	18	
P4	1	18	19	

Average Waiting Time: 8.20

Average Turnaround Time: 12.00

=== Code Execution Successful ===

QUESTION 5:

Given Data:

PROCESS	BURST TIME	PRIORITY
P0	2	3
P1	6	1
P2	4	2

FCFS Scheduling:

PROCESS	BURST TIME	WAITING TIME	TURNAROUND TIME
P0	2	0	2
P1	6	2	8
P2	4	8	12

Average Waiting Time: 3.33

Average Turnaround Time: 7.33

SJF Scheduling:

PROCESS	BURST TIME	WAITING TIME	TURNAROUND TIME
P0	2	0	2
P2	4	2	6
P1	6	6	12

Average Waiting Time: 2.67

Average Turnaround Time: 6.67

Round Robin Scheduling:

PROCESS	BURST TIME	WAITING TIME	TURNAROUND TIME
P0	2	0	2
P1	4	5	9
P2	6	6	12

Average Waiting Time: 3.67

Average Turnaround Time: 7.67

Priority Scheduling:

PROCESS	PRIORITY	BURST TIME	WAITING TIME	TURNAROUND TIME
P1	1	4	0	4
P2	2	6	4	10
P0	3	2	10	12

Average Waiting Time: 4.67

Average Turnaround Time: 8.67

=== Code Execution Successful ===

OBSERVATION:

First-Come, First-Served (FCFS):

- Processes are executed in the order of arrival, irrespective of burst time or priority.
- Result:
 - High waiting time and turnaround time for longer processes (e.g., P1).
 - Simple but inefficient when processes vary in burst times.

Shortest Job First (SJF):

- Executes shortest burst times first, minimizing waiting and turnaround times.
- Result:
 - Achieved the lowest average waiting time and turnaround time.
 - P1 suffered delays but benefited from prioritizing shorter jobs like P0 and P2.

Round Robin (RR) (Quantum = 3):

- Processes are executed cyclically with equal CPU time slices.
- Result:
 - Balanced waiting times for all processes, ensuring fairness.
 - Higher average turnaround time compared to SJF due to context switching.

Priority Scheduling:

- Executes based on priority, with lower numerical values indicating higher priority.
- Result:
 - P1 (highest priority) completed first, minimizing its waiting time.
 - Starvation risk for lower-priority processes if longer.

ROUND ROBIN AND PRIORITY BASED

Objective: Write a C program to simulate the following CPU scheduling algorithms to find turnaround time and waiting time for the above problem.

a) Round Robin

b) Priority

EXERCISE:

a) OUTPUT:

Round Robin Scheduling:

Process	Burst Time	Waiting Time	Turnaround Time
P1	10	13	23
P2	5	10	15
P3	8	13	21

Average Waiting Time: 12.00

Average Turnaround Time: 19.67

=== Code Execution Successful ===

b) OUTPUT:

Priority Scheduling:

Process	Burst Time	Priority	Waiting Time	Turnaround Time
P2	1	1	0	1
P5	5	2	1	6
P1	10	3	6	16
P3	2	4	16	18
P4	1	5	18	19

Average Waiting Time: 8.20

Average Turnaround Time: 12.00

=== Code Execution Successful ===

QUESTION 5:

Round Robin Scheduling:

PROCESS	BURST TIME	WAITING TIME	TURNAROUND TIME
P0	2	0	2
P1	4	5	9
P2	6	6	12

Average Waiting Time: 3.67

Average Turnaround Time: 7.67

Priority Scheduling:

PROCESS	PRIORITY	BURST TIME	WAITING TIME	TURNAROUND TIME
P1	1	4	0	4
P2	2	6	4	10
P0	3	2	10	12

Average Waiting Time: 4.67

Average Turnaround Time: 8.67

=== Code Execution Successful ===

OBSERVATION:

Round Robin (RR) (Quantum = 3):

- Processes are executed cyclically with equal CPU time slices.
- Result:
 - Balanced waiting times for all processes, ensuring fairness.
 - Higher average turnaround time compared to SJF due to context switching.

Priority Scheduling:

- Executes based on priority, with lower numerical values indicating higher priority.
- Result:
 - P1 (highest priority) completed first, minimizing its waiting time.
 - Starvation risk for lower-priority processes if longer.