

Telecommunications company

Ooredoo

Rank:

12th

Score:

6%

Out of 12 telecommunication companies.

Headquartered in Qatar, Ooredoo provides telecommunications services such as mobile, broadband, and fiber in 12 countries in the Middle East, North Africa, and south and southeast Asia. In the fourth quarter of 2019, it had 117 million customers.

Telefónica	1	<div></div>	49%
Vodafone	2	<div></div>	42%
AT&T	3	<div></div>	37%
Telenor	3	<div></div>	37%
Deutsche Telekom	5	<div></div>	34%
Orange	6	<div></div>	27%
MTN	7	<div></div>	23%
América Móvil	8	<div></div>	22%
Axiata	9	<div></div>	16%
Bharti Airtel	10	<div></div>	15%
Etisalat	11	<div></div>	10%
Ooredoo	12	<div></div>	6%

0%100%

- Apple had strong disclosure of privacy and security policies, but only limited disclosure of policies and practices affecting freedom of expression.
- Apple disclosed little about its rules and how they are enforced, and revealed no data about content removed—including apps removed from its App Store—as a result of government requests.
- It was the only company in the Index to clearly disclose it does not track users across third-party websites, and disclosed more about its encryption policies than all of its peers.

Key Takeaways:

blahblahblah

Key Recommendations:

- **Improve governance and oversight:** Apple should disclose a commitment to respect freedom of expression as a human right, and put processes in place to strengthen institutional oversight over freedom of expression issues at the company.
- **Be transparent about restrictions to freedom of expression:** Apple should make its terms of service easier to find and understand. It should publish data about actions it takes to enforce its own rules and actions it takes to remove content as a result of government and other third party demands.
- **Clarify handling of user information:** Apple should clarify what types of user information it collects, shares, and retains, and for what purposes.

Services evaluated:



Prepaid mobile



Postpaid mobile



Fixed-line broadband

The 2020 RDR Index covers policies that were active between February 8, 2019 and September 15, 2020. Policies that came into effect after September 15, 2020 were not evaluated for this Index.

Scores reflect the average score across the services we evaluated, with each service weighted equally.

[Download data and sources](#)

Changes since 2019:


Apple placed seventh among the 12 ranked internet and mobile ecosystem companies in the 2019 Index.^[1] As in previous Index rankings, Apple's low score relative to its U.S. peers was due to its lack of governance and oversight over human rights risks, and also lack of clear disclosure of policies affecting users' freedom of expression.^[2] On privacy and security issues, Apple remains near the top of all ranked companies in this Index. It was the only company to clearly disclose it does not track users across the internet, and disclosed more about its encryption policies than its peers. For its mobile operating system, Apple also disclosed more than Google's Android and Samsung's Android about options users have to control location tracking on iOS.

But Apple should be more transparent and accountable to users about policies and practices that affect freedom of expression: Of the user agreements evaluated in the RDR Index, Apple's were among the least accessible. It also lacked adequate disclosure about its rules and how they are enforced. While it disclosed data about government requests to restrict accounts, it disclosed no data about content removal requests, such as requests to remove apps from its App Store.


+ 5.63 points

Gained 5.63 points on comparable indicators since the 2019 RDR Index.

Governance



Freedom of Expression





4%

Privacy



8%


We rank companies on their approach to governance, and their policies and practices that affect freedom of expression and privacy.

Governance 4%

For the third year in a row, Apple had the lowest governance score of any U.S. company evaluated in the Index. It disclosed a clear commitment to respect privacy as a human right (G1) but made no such commitment to freedom of expression. Apple clearly stated that senior leadership exercises oversight over how its policies and practices affect privacy (G2) but failed to reveal if there is similar oversight over freedom of expression issues. Apple disclosed that it assesses privacy risks associated with new products and services, however, it did not disclose if it assessed risks regarding its use of automated decision-making or targeted advertising (G4). Like most of its peers, Apple disclosed little about its grievance and remedy mechanisms for users to submit complaints against the company for infringement of their freedom of expression or privacy (G6).

Indicators

Indicators



Freedom of Expression 4%

Apple revealed little about policies and practices affecting freedom of expression, scoring below all other U.S. companies in this category. Apple’s user agreements for the services evaluated were the least accessible of all other internet and mobile ecosystem companies (F1)—including the Chinese and Russian companies—and did not specify if and how it notifies users of changes to these terms (F2). Apple also disclosed less than all other U.S. internet and mobile ecosystem companies about its rules and processes for enforcing them (F3, F4, F8). While it provided some information about what content and activities are prohibited across its services (F3), Apple disclosed no data about content it removed or accounts it deactivated as a result of violations of these rules (F4).

Apple was less transparent about external requests to restrict content or accounts than most of its U.S. peers, except for Facebook (F5-F7). It only disclosed data about the number of government requests to restrict or delete accounts that it received, but gave no data about content removed as a result of these requests, including data about apps removed from its App Store (F6). Like many companies, Apple failed to provide any information or data about content and account restriction requests it received through private processes (F7).

Indicators



F2. Notification of policy changes	19%
F3. Process for policy enforcement	3%
F4. Data about policy enforcement	11%
F5. Process for responding to third-party requests to restrict content or accounts	0%
F6. Data about government demands to restrict for content and accounts	0%
F7. Data about private requests for content or account restriction	0%
F8. User notification about content and account restriction	0%
F9. Network management (telecommunications companies)	0%
F10. Network shutdown (telecommunications companies)	6%
F11. Identity policy	0%
F12. Algorithmic content curation, recommendation, and/or ranking systems	NA
F13. Automated software agents (“bots”)	NA

Privacy 8%


Apple tied with Google for the second-highest score (after Microsoft) in the Privacy category, and had especially strong disclosure of its security policies. Like most of its peers, Apple fell short of clearly explaining how it handles user information, disclosing less than Twitter, Google, Verizon Media, and Facebook (P3-P9).^[3] It did not fully disclose each type of user information it collects (P3), shares (P4), for what purpose (P5), and for how long it retains it (P6). However, Apple was the only company in the Index to clearly disclose that it does not track users across third-party websites (P9).

Apart from Google and Microsoft, Apple was more transparent than other internet and mobile ecosystem companies about its process for handling government and other external requests for user information (P10-P12). It disclosed some information about its process for responding to government requests but no similar disclosure could be found regarding the private requests it received (P10). Apple tied with Twitter and Facebook for its disclosure of

data about third-party requests for user information it received and complied with (P11). Like other U.S. companies, Apple did not divulge the exact number of requests received for user data under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) or National Security Letters (NSLs), or the actions it took in response to these requests, since it is prohibited by law from doing so.^[4]

Apple disclosed more than any other internet and mobile ecosystem company about its security policies, but still fell short in key areas. It disclosed some information about its internal security oversight processes but provided no information about whether it commissions external security audits on its products and services (P13). However, it made notable improvements to its disclosure of how it encrypts user communications for iOS, iMessage, and iCloud (P16).

Indicators



P16. Encryption of user communication and private content (digital platforms)	NA
P17. Account security (digital platforms)	NA
P18. Inform and educate users about potential risks	0%

Footnotes

- [1] The research period for the 2019 Index ran from January 13, 2018 to February 8, 2019. Policies that came into effect after February 8, 2019 were not evaluated in this Index.
- [2] For Apple’s performance in the 2018 Index, see: rankingdigitalrights.org/index2018/companies/apple
- [3] Oath, which provided a range of communications services including Yahoo Mail and Tumblr, updated its name to Verizon Media on January 7, 2019. See: www.oath.com/2019/01/07/oath-is-now-verizon-media
- [4] “USA FREEDOM Act of 2015,” Pub. L. No. 114–23 (2015), www.congress.gov/bill/114th-congress/house-bill/2048

About

2020 Methodology

Publications

2020 Corporate Accountability Index

Privacy Policy

Funders and partners



RankingRights

RankingRights

RSS

info@rankingdigitalrights.org