

Lecture 3: February 3

*Lecturer: Bogdan Warinschi**Scribes: Dominic Moylett*

Note: *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

Last week, we introduced the concept of one way functions (OWFs) and showed how we can create a one-time signature scheme given any OWF. This lecture, we are going to prove the security of the scheme.

3.1 Security of the Lamport One-Time Signature Scheme

For simplicity, the Lamport one-time signature scheme for a OWF f will be referred to as π_f .

Theorem 3.1 *If f is a OWF then π_f is a secure one-time signature scheme.*

We will show this by a reduction: Given an adversary \mathcal{A} against π_f , we can construct another adversary \mathcal{B} against f . We will do this in three parts.

3.1.1 Security Under Two Assumptions

First, we will prove this to be true if the following assumptions hold:

1. The adversary \mathcal{A} is passive, so it cannot make any signature queries.
2. The first bit of the forged message returned by \mathcal{A} is 0.

Lemma 3.2 *Given an adversary \mathcal{A} against π_f and under the above assumptions, there exists an adversary \mathcal{B} against f .*

Proof: Our adversary against π_f looks like the following black box:




Figure 3.1: The first adversary against π_f

Because the first bit of the forged message $m_1^* = 0$, the start of the forged signature σ_1^* is a preimage of Y_{10} . From this, we can construct an adversary \mathcal{B} for f :

input: $Y = f(x)$
 $(SK, VK) \leftarrow Kg(n) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} X_{10} \dots X_{l0} \\ X_{11} \dots X_{l1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y_{10} Y_{20} \dots Y_{l0} \\ Y_{11} Y_{21} \dots Y_{l1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
 $VK' \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y Y_{20} \dots Y_{l0} \\ Y_{11} Y_{21} \dots Y_{l1} \end{pmatrix}$
 $\mathcal{A} \leftarrow VK'$
 $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow (0m_2^* \dots m_l^*, \sigma_1^* \sigma_2^* \dots \sigma_l^*)$
output σ_1^*

Because $m_1^* = 0$ by assumption, \mathcal{B} is guaranteed to find a preimage of Y as long as \mathcal{A} can forge a signature.

$$\text{Prob}[\mathcal{B} \text{ breaks } f] = \text{Prob}[\mathcal{A} \text{ breaks } \pi_f]$$

If $\text{Prob}[\mathcal{A} \text{ breaks } \pi_f]$ is non-negligible, then \mathcal{B} succeeds with non-negligible probability. ■

3.1.2 Removing Assumption 2

Lemma 3.3 *Given a passive adversary \mathcal{A} against π_f , there exists an adversary \mathcal{B} against f .*

Proof: Because we can no longer assume $m_1^* = 0$, instead of setting $Y_{10} \leftarrow Y$, we flip a bit to determine the value we set to Y .

input: $Y = f(x)$
 $(SK, VK) \leftarrow Kg(n) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} X_{10} \dots X_{l0} \\ X_{11} \dots X_{l1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y_{10} Y_{20} \dots Y_{l0} \\ Y_{11} Y_{21} \dots Y_{l1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
 $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$
 $Y'_{1b} \leftarrow Y$
 $Y'_{1\bar{b}} \leftarrow Y_{1\bar{b}}$
 $VK' \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y'_{10} Y_{20} \dots Y_{l0} \\ Y'_{11} Y_{21} \dots Y_{l1} \end{pmatrix}$
 $\mathcal{A} \leftarrow VK'$
 $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow (m_1^* \dots m_l^*, \sigma_1^* \dots \sigma_l^*)$
if $m_1^* \neq b$ **then abort**
output σ_1^*

If \mathcal{A} has succeeded and $m_1^* = b$ then σ_1 is a preimage of Y and \mathcal{B} is therefore successful.

$$\text{Prob}[\mathcal{B} \text{ breaks } f] = \frac{1}{2} \text{Prob}[\mathcal{A} \text{ breaks } \pi_f]$$

Again, \mathcal{B} breaks f with non-negligible probability as long as $\frac{1}{2} \text{Prob}[\mathcal{A} \text{ breaks } \pi_f]$ is non-negligible. ■

3.1.3 Removing Assumption 1

To complete our proof of Theorem 3.1, we need to allow our adversary \mathcal{A} to make one signature query. Note that if we allow \mathcal{A} to make more than one signature query, \mathcal{A} can just query the messages 0^l and 1^l to acquire the entire signing key.

Proof: Our final adversary \mathcal{A} against π_f is this black box:




Figure 3.2: The final adversary against π_f

Recall from the definition of EUF-CMA as presented in Lecture 2 that the message forged by the adversary cannot match the message the adversary queried. This means that there exists some index i^* such that $m_{i^*} \neq m_{i^*}^*$ and thus σ_{i^*} is a preimage computed by \mathcal{A} .

Therefore, our final adversary \mathcal{B} against f is as follows:

```

input:  $Y = f(x)$ 
 $(SK, VK) \leftarrow Kg(n) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} X_{10} \dots X_{l0} \\ X_{11} \dots X_{l1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y_{10} \dots Y_{l0} \\ Y_{11} \dots Y_{l1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$ 
 $i^* \xleftarrow{\$} \{1, \dots, l\}$ 
 $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$ 
for  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ 
  if  $i = i^*$  then
     $Y'_{i^*b} \leftarrow Y$ 
     $Y'_{i^*\bar{b}} \leftarrow Y'_{i^*b}$ 
  otherwise
     $Y'_{i0} \leftarrow Y_{i0}$ 
     $Y'_{i1} \leftarrow Y_{i1}$ 
 $VK' \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y'_{10} \dots Y'_{l0} \\ Y'_{11} \dots Y'_{l1} \end{pmatrix}$ 
 $\mathcal{A} \leftarrow VK'$ 
 $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow (m_1 \dots m_l)$ 
if  $m_{i^*} = b$  then abort
 $\mathcal{A} \leftarrow (X_{1m_1} \dots X_{lm_l})$ 
 $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow (m_1^* \dots m_l^*, \sigma_1^* \dots \sigma_l^*)$ 
if  $m_{i^*}^* \neq b$  then abort
output  $\sigma_{i^*}^*$ 

```

When \mathcal{A} makes its oracle query, \mathcal{B} still has access to the signing key and can therefore compute a signature. The only exception is if $m_{i^*}^* = b$; \mathcal{B} is unable to provide a response in this case as $Y_{i^*b} = Y$, so computing a signature would require finding a preimage of Y .

As long as these three conditions hold:

1. \mathcal{A} successfully breaks π_f
2. $m_{i^*}^* \neq m_{i^*}^*$
3. and $m_{i^*}^* = b$ ¹

Then σ_{i^*} is a preimage of Y . So in order for \mathcal{B} to succeed, \mathcal{A} needs to succeed and we need to select suitable values for the tuple $(i^*, b) \in \{1, \dots, l\} \times \{0, 1\}$. There are $2l$ possible values for the tuple and at least one satisfies the above condition.

$$\text{Prob}[\mathcal{B} \text{ breaks } f] \geq \frac{1}{2l} \text{Prob}[\mathcal{A} \text{ breaks } \pi_f]$$

If \mathcal{A} succeeds with non-negligible probability then so does \mathcal{B} and thus our proof is complete. ■

¹Note that if conditions 2 and 3 hold, then $m_{i^*}^* \neq b$ and thus both abort cases are covered.