COMSM0007: Cryptography B **2014-2015**

Lecture 3: February 3

Lecturer: Bogdan Warinschi *Scribes: Dominic Moylett*

Note: *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

3.1 Security of the Lamport One-Time Signature Scheme

For simplicity, the Lamport one-time signature scheme for a one-way function (OWF) f will be denoted π_f.

Theorem 3.1 *If f is a OWF then π_f is a secure one-time signature scheme.*

We will show this by reduction: given an adversary $\mathcal{A}$ against π_f, there exists an adversary $\mathcal{B}$ against f. We will prove this in three parts.

3.1.1 Security Under Two Assumptions

First, we will assume the following:

1. The adversary $\mathcal{A}$ is passive, so it cannot make any signature queries.
2. The first bit of the forged message returned by A is 0.

Lemma 3.2 *Given an adversary $\mathcal{A}$ against π_f and under the above assumptions, there exists an adversary $\mathcal{B}$ against f.*

Proof: Our adversary against π_f looks like the following black box:



Figure 3.1: The first adversary against π_f

Because the first bit of the forged message $m_1^* = 0$, the start of the forged signature σ_1^* is a preimage of Y_{10}. From this, we can construct an adversary $\mathcal{B}$ for f.

3.1.1.1 A Poor First Attempt

input $Y = f(x)$
$\mathcal{A} \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} YYY...Y \\ YYY...Y \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow (0m_2^*...m_l^*, \sigma_1^*\sigma_2^*...\sigma_l^*)$
output σ_1^*

This is not guaranteed to work, as the verification key passed to $\mathcal{A}$ is meant to appear random. While it might be possible for all components of the verification key to match, it is very unlikely.

3.1.1.2 An Improved Adversary

input $Y = f(x)$
$(SK, VK) \leftarrow Kg(n) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} X_{10}...X_{l0} \\ X_{11}...X_{l1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y_{10}Y_{20}...Y_{l0} \\ Y_{11}Y_{21}...Y_{l1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
$\mathcal{A} \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} YY_{20}...Y_{l0} \\ Y_{11}Y_{21}...Y_{l1} \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow (0m_2^*...m_l^*, \sigma_1^*\sigma_2^*...\sigma_l^*)$
output σ_1^*

Because $m_1^* = 0$ by assumption, σ_1^* is guaranteed to be a preimage of Y as long as $\mathcal{A}$ can forge a signature.

$$\text{Prob}[\mathcal{B} \text{ breaks } f] = \text{Prob}[\mathcal{A} \text{ breaks } \pi_f]$$

■

3.1.2 Removing Assumption 2

Lemma 3.3 *Given a passive adversary $\mathcal{A}$ against π_f, there exists an adversary $\mathcal{B}$ against f.*

Proof: We now know that for $b = m_1^*$, σ_1^* is a preimage of $Y_1 b$ computed by $\mathcal{A}$. But we don't know what value b is, so we pick one at random. Note that $\bar{b} = (1 - b) \bmod 2$ is the binary inverse of b.

input $Y = f(x)$
$(SK, VK) \leftarrow Kg(n) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} X_{10}...X_{l0} \\ X_{11}...X_{l1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y_{10}Y_{20}...Y_{l0} \\ Y_{11}Y_{21}...Y_{l1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
$b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$
$Y'_{1b} \leftarrow Y$
$Y'_{1\bar{b}} \leftarrow Y_{1\bar{b}}$
$VK' \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y'_{10}Y_{20}...Y_{l0} \\ Y'_{11}Y_{21}...Y_{l1} \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathcal{A} \leftarrow VK'$
$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow (m_1^*...m_l^*, \sigma_1^*...\sigma_l^*)$
if $m_1^* \neq b$ **then abort**
output σ_1^*

If $\mathcal{A}$ has succeeded and $m_1^* = b$ then σ_1^* is a preimage of Y and $\mathcal{B}$ is therefore successful.

$$\text{Prob}[\mathcal{B} \text{ breaks } f] = \frac{1}{2}\text{Prob}[\mathcal{A} \text{ breaks } \pi_f]$$

If Prob[$\mathcal{A}$ breaks π_f] is non-negligible then Prob[$\mathcal{B}$ breaks f] is also non-negligible. ■

3.1.3 Removing Assumption 1

To complete our proof of Theorem 3.1, we need to allow $\mathcal{A}$ to make one signature query. Note that if $\mathcal{A}$ can make more than one query, $\mathcal{A}$ can query the messages 0^l and 1^l to recover the complete key, regardless of f.

Proof: Our final adversary $\mathcal{A}$ against π_f is this black box:



Figure 3.2: The final adversary against π_f

Recall from the definition of EUF-CMA[1] that the message forged by the adversary cannot match the message queried. This means that there is an index i^* such that $m_{i^*} \neq m_{i^*}^*$, so σ_{i^*} is a preimage computed by $\mathcal{A}$. The only problem is that we do not know which index i^* is, so we just pick one at random.

Our final adversary $\mathcal{B}$ against f is as follows:

input $Y = f(x)$
$(SK, VK) \leftarrow Kg(n) = \left(\binom{X_{10}...X_{l0}}{X_{11}...X_{l1}}, \binom{Y_{10}...Y_{l0}}{Y_{11}...Y_{l1}}\right)$
$i^* \xleftarrow{\$} \{1, ..., l\}$
$b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$
for $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$
    $Y'_{i0} \leftarrow Y_{i0}$
    $Y'_{i1} \leftarrow Y_{i1}$
$Y'_{i^*b} \leftarrow Y$
$VK' \leftarrow \binom{Y'_{10}...Y'_{l0}}{Y'_{11}...Y'_{l1}}$
$\mathcal{A} \leftarrow VK'$
$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow (m_1...m_l)$

[1] See Lecture 2 notes.

if $m_{i^*} = b$ **then abort**
$\mathcal{A} \leftarrow (X_{1m_1}...X_{lm_l})$
$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow (m_1^*...m_l^*, \sigma_1^*...\sigma_l^*)$
if $m_{i^*}^* \neq b$ **then abort**
output $\sigma_{i^*}^*$

$\mathcal{B}$ still has access to the signing key and can thus answer a signature query. This is true unless $m_{i^*}^* = b$; as $Y_{i^*b} = Y$, a signature in this case would require $\mathcal{B}$ computing a preimage of Y.

As long as these three conditions hold:

1. $\mathcal{A}$ breaks π_f,
2. $m_{i^*} \neq m_{i^*}^*$,
3. and $m_{i^*}^* = b$,[2]

then σ_{i^*} is a preimage of Y. So in order for $\mathcal{B}$ to succeed, $\mathcal{A}$ needs to succeed and we need to select a suitable part of the verification key to set to Y. There are $2l$ locations and at least one satisfies the conditions above.

$$\text{Prob}[\mathcal{B} \text{ breaks } f] \geq \frac{1}{2l}\text{Prob}[\mathcal{A} \text{ breaks } \pi_f]$$

If $\mathcal{A}$ succeeds with non-negligible probability then so does $\mathcal{B}$ and thus our proof is complete. ■

[2]Note that if conditions 2 and 3 hold, then $m_{i^*} \neq b$ and thus both abort cases are avoided.