Why don't we have a quantum computer (yet)?

Alex E. Moylett

Quantum Engineering Technology Labs and Quantum Engineering Centre for Doctoral Training
University of Bristol

alex.moylett@bristol.ac.uk

16th November 2017



What is a quantum computer?

A computer which uses the laws of quantum mechanics to solve some problems asymptotically faster than classical computers.

Pros:

¹Montanaro, npj Quantum Information 2, 15023 (2016)

²Moylett et al., Phys. Rev. A 95, 032323 (2017)

Pros:

• They push the limits of our best security protocols, via polynomial time algorithms for hard problems including factoring and discrete log¹

¹Montanaro, npj Quantum Information 2, 15023 (2016)

²Moylett et al., Phys. Rev. A 95, 032323 (2017)

Pros:

- They push the limits of our best security protocols, via polynomial time algorithms for hard problems including factoring and discrete log¹
- They allow us to simulate scientific experiments exponentially faster

¹Montanaro, npj Quantum Information 2, 15023 (2016)

²Movlett et al., Phys. Rev. A 95, 032323 (2017)

Pros:

- They push the limits of our best security protocols, via polynomial time algorithms for hard problems including factoring and discrete log1
- They allow us to simulate scientific experiments exponentially faster
- They can help us solve large search problems including NP-hard problems² – quadratically faster

¹Montanaro, npj Quantum Information 2, 15023 (2016)

²Movlett et al., Phys. Rev. A 95, 032323 (2017)

Pros:

- They push the limits of our best security protocols, via polynomial time algorithms for hard problems including factoring and discrete log1
- They allow us to simulate scientific experiments exponentially faster
- They can help us solve large search problems including NP-hard problems² – quadratically faster
- They provide exponential speedups for some machine learning problems (SVM, PCA, recommendation systems)



¹Montanaro, npj Quantum Information 2, 15023 (2016)

²Movlett et al., Phys. Rev. A 95, 032323 (2017)

Pros:

- They push the limits of our best security protocols, via polynomial time algorithms for hard problems including factoring and discrete log¹
- They allow us to simulate scientific experiments exponentially faster
- They can help us solve large search problems including NP-hard problems² – quadratically faster
- They provide exponential speedups for some machine learning problems (SVM, PCA, recommendation systems)

Cons:

They don't exist(-ish)

¹Montanaro, npj Quantum Information 2, 15023 (2016)

²Moylett et al., Phys. Rev. A 95, 032323 (2017)

Do quantum computers exist?

We do have quantum computers, including some which you can program on right now: https://quantumexperience.ng.bluemix.net/qx

The problem is that they are not currently large enough to outperform classical computers at the problems I mentioned earlier.

The largest number factorised by Shor's algorithm so far is 21^3 . Other quantum computing methods have achieved 291311^4 , but this is still a way off breaking RSA.



³Martín-López et al., Nature Photonics, 6, 773

⁴Li et al., arXiv:1706.08061

D-Wave 2000Q: The world's largest quantum computer



⁵USC Viterbi School of Engineering (Flickr)

https://www.flickr.com/photos/uscviterbi/, via Digital Trends

https://www.digitaltrends.com/computing/

D-Wave 2000Q: The world's largest quantum computer



How to access a D-Wave machine yourself!

• Buy one, for \$15 million⁷

⁷https://www.wired.co.uk/article/d-wave-2000q-quantum-computer

⁸https://github.com/alex1770/QUBO-Chimera

⁹http://www.archduke.org/stuff/

d-wave-comment-on-comparison-with-classical-computers/

How to access a D-Wave machine yourself!

- Buy one, for \$15 million⁷
- Rent time on one, cheaper but still pricey

⁷https://www.wired.co.uk/article/d-wave-2000g-guantum-computer

⁸https://github.com/alex1770/QUBO-Chimera

⁹http://www.archduke.org/stuff/

d-wave-comment-on-comparison-with-classical-computers/

How to access a D-Wave machine yourself!

- Buy one, for \$15 million⁷
- Rent time on one, cheaper but still pricey
- Use Selby's simulator, freely available on GitHub⁸, demonstrated to run faster than earlier D-Wave machines and conjectured to be faster than the $2000Q^9$

7 / 22

⁷https://www.wired.co.uk/article/d-wave-2000q-quantum-computer

⁸https://github.com/alex1770/QUBO-Chimera

⁹http://www.archduke.org/stuff/

d-wave-comment-on-comparison-with-classical-computers/

Warning: Here be dragons mathematics...



¹⁰Futurama, via Tenor https://tenor.com/view/futurama-math-mathematics-we-need-math-we-need-to-use-math-gif-3486402 a

Quantum bits

Data is represented in a quantum computer as quantum bits (qubits):

$$|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$$

$$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$$

Quantum gates

Logical gates in a quantum computer are unitary matrices acting on qubits:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U|\psi\rangle = \alpha(a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) + \beta(c|0\rangle + d|1\rangle)$$

Measurement and output

When we look at a quantum state $|\psi\rangle$, we find

- $|0\rangle$ with probability $|\alpha|^2$
- ullet $|1\rangle$ with probability $|eta|^2$

The state then collapses into the measured result.

A simple quantum simulation algorithm

Each qubit can be represented as two complex numbers.

A unitary gate operating on a qubit is a 2×2 matrix-vector product.

Measurement is just a random number generation.

Doing each of these steps shouldn't take more than O(n).

So where does the complexity come from?

Interference

So far we have assumed the qubits are independent of each other.

$$\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Is a valid quantum state.

Measuring each qubit individually gives $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$ with equal probability.

But measuring both qubits together shows that they are perfectly correlated.


Interference makes simulations harder

We now need to consider the probabilities of qubits collectively.

For n qubits, this means keeping track of 2^n complex numbers!



Quantum interference on a D-Wave machine





¹¹King et al., arXiv:1508.05087


Interference on IBM's chips

IBM have also developed quantum computation chips, which are based on a model which cannot be simulated by Selby's algorithm.

So how many qubits have they got?



16



¹²https://github.com/QISKit/ibmqx-backend-information/blob/master/backends/ibmqx5/README.md

Where do we go from here?

Getting interaction between every qubit is near impossible.

But significant research is currently going into creating quantum architectures which are hard to simulate and scalable.

The largest device so far is 50 qubits, developed by IBM but not yet public ¹³.

There is also significant work on error correction schemes, so that quantum operations can take longer.

¹³https://www-03.ibm.com/press/us/en/pressrelease/53374.wss 📳 👢 🕫 🕫

Quantum computational advantage

What is the smallest quantum experiment that is easier to build and run than it is to simulate?

Possible options include¹⁴

- Linear optics
- Random circuits
- Low depth circuits
- Nuclear Magnetic Resonance¹⁵



¹⁴Harrow & Montanaro, Nature 549, 203209 (2017)

¹⁵ Jones, PhysChemComm 11 (2001)

Conclusion

Quantum computers have a lot of potential to outperform our best classical computers.

But there are lots of hurdles currently in the way.

The need for interaction between qubits is one such hurdle.

Other issues include noise and errors, which build up in quantum states over time.

Quantum Engineering Centre for Doctoral Training



- 1 year MRes including experimental, theoretical and taught work, plus 3 year PhD on a research project of your choice
- Fully funded
- Opportunities to travel and collaborate with other researchers in academia and industry

Open day 5th December: https://www.eventbrite.co.uk/e/quantum-engineering-bristol-tickets-39609797972

The end



It was surprisingly easy to get \$100 million from NASA.

16

Any questions?

Post-credits

The slide is as useful as a current-day quantum computer.

