

- ① Insertion sort takes time of  $O(n^2)$  because it works by iterating over the array and growing the sorted array behind it. So if we are inserting 1 into  $[2, 3, 4, 5]$ , the whole array would have to move one position to the right to accommodate this change. Suppose an array contains elements  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  the most inversions possible would be  $\{n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1\}$ , for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  then there is an inversion  $(i, j)$ . The running time of such insertion sort is  $n(n-1)/2$ .

- ② We can use a modified Merge sort algorithm, where  $A$  is the array, and  $P \leq Q < R$  such that  $P, Q$ , and  $R$  are indices into the array.

Algorithm Merge -  $\text{Inv}(A, P, Q, R)$

$n_1 = Q - P + 1$

$n_2 = R - Q$

new  $L[n_1+1]$ ,  $R[n_2+1]$

for  $i = 1$  to  $n_1$

$L[i] = A[P+i-1]$

End for

for  $j = 1$  to  $n_2$

$R[j] = A[Q+j]$

End for

$i = [n_1 + 1] =$

$j = 1$

Inversion = 0

count = false

```

2) for k = p to r
    if count = false and R[j] < L[i]
        inversion = inversion + 1
        count = true
    end if
    if L[i] ≤ R[j]
        A[k] = L[i]
        i = i + 1
    else A[k] = R[j]
        j = j + 1
    end if
    k = k + 1
end if
return inversion

```

Count\_inversion(A, p, r)

Inversion = 0

if p < r

q = [(p+r)/2]

inversion = inversion + Count\_inversion(A, p, q)

inversion = inversion + Count\_inversion(A, q+1, r)

inversion = inversion + merge\_inversion(A, p, q, r)

• First we used ~~the~~ modified mergesort to

④ we first perform a radix sort on each of the elements, viewing them as pairs (i, j) such that i and j are integers in the range [0, n-1].

Each number from [0, n<sup>2</sup>-1] can be represented by a two digit number in the number system with base n.

(n-1) · n + (n-1) = n<sup>2</sup> - 1, then use radix sort in O(2 · n).

5) For insert and delete minimum to take only  $O(\log \log n)$  time it would require inserting each element of array  $A$  into a minimum priority queue and then repeatedly remove the minimum element until all the elements of the array  $A$  are placed in order. It would be impossible to do so because the fastest sorting known runs in  $O(n \log n)$  time.