Status on the name convergence effort

You say potato, I say deployable. Appform, tomato! Potato, Cloud! Let's call the whole thing off!

The Pain

Cloud Engine uses several terms which are different from the terms used by Aeolus.

- Users of Cloud Engine, including Red Hat staff who support it, are using terms which don't apply upstream, putting a barrier between the Aeolus project and a good number of the users of our software.

- The Aeolus project is writing blog posts etc. which will make little sense to all the users who come to Aeolus through the productised Cloud Engine version.

- As part of the productization process, Red Hat translates all the strings in Conductor into nine different languages. If the use of terms could be reconciled, Aeolus Conductor would immediately support nine languages, rather than supporting one.

- The work required to maintain a separate set of terms for the Cloud Engine product is tedious and error-prone.

The approach

Rounds of discussion with Product Management -> agreement in principle in the goal of convergence.

Review of proposed changes on the list -> agreement in principle in the goal of convergence & proposals back to Product Management

Current status

Current	New
Image Template	Component Outline/Blueprint
Image	Component **and** Image
Assembly	Assembly
Deployable	AppForm Blueprint/Outline
Deployment	AppForm
Environment	Cloud **or** Cloud Environment
Pool	Resource Zone
Frontend Realm	Destination **or** Mystery Name X
Provider	Cloud Resource Provider
Hardware Profile	Hardware Profile
Provider Realm	Provider Realm
Instance	Instance
Catalog	Catalog
Config Server	Config Server

Pay attention! This affects you!

Once we have a final set of names, we need to use them everywhere:

- API
- CLI
- Controller names etc.
- Web UI
- Docs, Blogs, discussions

So, there is going to be non-optional work to do to fix the entire application to use the correct names.