

## **Aeolus Project**

Upstream and the future

## The end of Aeolus?

I believe Aeolus will die or be subsumed into another upstream project unless we are able to generate substantial upstream activity

#### What can we do about it?

The first step is admitting you have a problem.

The second step is understanding why.

#### What can we do about it?

#### Questions for discussion:

- Is the project mission compelling?
- Is the software easy to download and set up?
- Is the software easy to use?
- Is it easy to contribute to the project?
- Is there a natural constituency?
- Have we done enough promotion?

## Is the project mission compelling?

The website says Aeolus mission is:

"To free users from cloud lock-in by making the choice of cloud provider - private, public, or hybrid - a simple launch-time option."

I think this isn't bad, but we can do better -- especially for small-scale users.

## Is the software easy to download and set up for production?

- For RHEL 6: Yes, if you buy the product
- For Fedora 17: No
- For Debian: No
- For OSX: No
- For Fedora 16: Yes!

So our "open-source" project runs only on RHEL (if you pay for it) and a linux distro that is days from EOL. Oops...

# Is the software easy to download and set up for developers?

## YES!

(Thanks Crag and Richard!)

Except for Image Factory, of course... which is a problem... and we need way better docs about how, and we need to publicize the hell out of it on the website... (we'll get to that later).

## Is the software easy to use?

Well... it depends.

- The learning curve is like Mt. Everest
- We make users who just want to launch something do a whole bunch of extra stuff (make a deployable?) first
- It's not really clear who the UI is aimed at: end users? cloud admins? template and image builders?

BUT: I've seen far worse.

## Is it easy to contribute?

Well... much easier than it used to be.

- Everything's on github
- Plenty of helpful presence on mailing list, IRC

#### **BUT:**

- Conductor has > 50 model classes
- Conductor has at least 2 controllers that do exactly the same thing
- If you wanted to add one small feature to help with something... how would you do that?

## Is there a natural constituency?

#### YES!

(but we're trying to serve all of them with one big app)

#### Our personas:

- Infrastructure consumer
- Infrastructure admin
- System designer
- Security admin
- Purse string holder

All these folks will benefit from Aeolus, and the first three are natural code contributors.

## Have we done enough promotion?

#### Well, yes and no...

- Fudcon and other talks have been helpful
- Website looks good, is inviting, etc.

#### BUT

- Last news item on website is about Image Warehouse, from August
- Everything on the "About" page is wrong
- We rarely send release announcements, and not to the right lists

### So, what can we do about it?

#### The score so far:

- Is the project mission compelling?
  - **x** could be better
- Is the software easy to download and set up?
  - \* for real-world use, no
  - ✓ for developer use, yes
- Is the software easy to use? \* no
- Is it easy to contribute? X no
- Is there a natural constituency? yes
- Have we done enough promotion? X no

### So, what can we do about it?

#### My take:

- Look at the personas and re-think the mission. Then think about what UI they each want and MORE IMPORTANTLY what API they each want.
- Make the software work well on Debian/Ubuntu and on OSX

(continued)

### So, what can we do about it?

My take, continued:

- Break the project into more bite-sized, individually valuable chunks to encourage outside contributions (and keep our sanity)
- Make the website the responsibility of the entire team

What do you think?

## Who Is Aeolus For?

To understand Aeolus' mission, we need to know who our users are and what they do

#### **Aeolus Personas**

http://redmine.aeolusproject.org/redmine/projects/aeolus/wiki/Personas

- Marty (infrastructure manager)
  - -- 10% of usage
- Sarah (security administrator)
  - -- 10% of usage
- Sam (system administrator)
  - -- 40% of usage. GUI: medium; CLI: high
- Dennis (application designer)
  - -- 40% of usage. GUI: medium; CLI: medium
- Connor (infrastructure consumer)
  - -- 50% of target users do some of this, not currently well served by Conductor app. GUI: high; CLI: medium

#### What does Sam do?

- Manage access to cloud resources
- Add and remove cloud resources from the system
- Aggregate cloud resources for users according to quality, cost, other factors
- Create and view reports on quality and usage to manage cloud resource aggregation
- Manage network and storage configuration for provider clouds, aggregated clouds
- Manage access to app catalogs for aggregated clouds

## What tools does Sam prefer?

- Solid CLI
- Scriptable API for actions and reporting
- Hooks for custom interactions
- Alerts and alert management
- GUI for at-a-glance reporting, CLI for day-today actions

#### What does Dennis do?

- Design system images
- Design multi-system configurations, with parameter dependencies
- Check deployability of multi-system configurations ("are my images available on a particular cloud")
- Test multi-system configurations
- Publish tested applications
- Grant users permission to use applications
  (?)

## What tools does Dennis prefer?

- CLI for importing template definitions and app definitions
- API for automatically doing async stuff (building or v2v-ing lots of apps e.g.)
- CLI import/export of popular app definition formats (AppForm, TOSCA, Cloud Formations)
- CLI to launch/test for specific clouds
- GUI for viewing app configs, build status at a glance, user permissions on apps

#### What does Connor do?

- Launch system configurations on a specific cloud
- Launch system configurations on an aggregated cloud
- Monitor system configurations over time
- Use system configurations that are highly available
- Use system configurations that automatically scale with load
- View reports on his resource usage and available future resource usage

## What tools does Connor prefer?

- GUI for system status reporting and lifecycle ops
- CLI for lifecycle ops
- Async alerts
- Quick monitoring via GUI
- Console access via GUI or CLI
- API for scripting launches

## What do Sam, Connor, and Dennis want, then?

- They all want various CLI tools they can use for apps, clouds, and aggregated clouds
- They all want APIs they can script for common operations -- adding providers and setting permissions for Sam, building apps for Dennis, launching clumps for Connor
- They want solid GUI reporting but they don't want it getting in their way
- They (probably) don't want one big tool they have to maintain to do all this

## **Break time!**

In small groups, come up with a better mission statement for Aeolus

## Proposed Aeolus mission stmts.

- Aeolus mission: easy management of your applications on all your clouds
- Aeolus mission: to provide superior tools and workflows for flexible construction, management, and monitoring of multi-instance systems across clouds (we picked this one)
- Aeolus is: an easy-to-use, multi-instance deployment management tool covering the whole cloud application lifecycle, from defining and building images to crosscloud launch, scaling, and monitoring
- Aeolus mission: to manage cloud application lifecycle across any provider

## Governance

## Why bother?

- Avoid endless debates
- Keep on top of the website and upstream docs
- Keep on top of conferences
- Help subprojects align with overall goals

## **Proposed committees**

- APIs and technical issues
  - Resolve technical questions
  - Settle disputes or API issues between sub-projects
- Website
  - Keep main site content up to date
  - Hassle developers for new-feature pages
  - Make sure feature pages are obvious to website viewers
- Publicity (conferences, slides, papers)
  - Track conferences we should care about and make sure everyone knows about them

```
(continued...)
```

## **Proposed committees**

#### Publicity (continued)

- Keep a repository of slides and papers we use for talks
- Identify topics for new papers and bug authors to write them

#### Releases

- Identify when a sprint roll-up is substantial enough for a new upstream release
- Document any installation changes or issues with the new release
- Announce the release on the website and appropriate mailing lists

## **Committee membership**

- 3-4 members?
- Switch off every release
- Elected committee chair
- Weekly meetings, or as required
- Meeting agendas to the list before meetings; minutes to the list afterwards.

## **Aeolus Priorities**

Prioritized features for the next two releases

## **Aeolus next steps**

- Upstream release next: priorities
  - OpenStack support -- Factory 2.0 -- TIM integration
    - -- Build/Push UI rework
  - o Rails 3.2
  - Integration testing
  - Website cleanup
  - Publicity cleanup
  - Some level of expanded API
  - Converge: Forms rework
  - Object names (AppForm Blueprints?)
  - - Install DC-core
    - Install Factory/Oz
    - Fedora 18? Centos 6? Debian gem-only?

## Aeolus next steps

- Upstream release next +1: priorities
  - o API
    - Lifecycle: Restful endpoints for current model objects
    - Lifecycle exposed for Winged Monkey
    - Hooks (blocking)
    - Hooks (non-blocking)
    - Tracker (to support hooks)
    - Lifecycle: Additional Heat-compatible layer?
  - Winged Monkey 0.1
  - Navigation rework

(continued)

## Aeolus next steps

- Upstream release next +1: priorities (cont.d)
  - CLI (to match what currently exists)
  - Cost-o-matic (cost-based provider selection UI)
  - Stateful instance support
  - Rackspace/Google Compute/Azure
  - Debian/Ubuntu guest support
  - Dashboard?
  - Notifications?
  - Heat?

#### Aeolus tech cabal

Mission: Make technical and architectural decisions across the Aeolus project. Settle disputes.

#### Proposed membership:

- Tomas Sedovic
- Martyn Taylor
- Jason Guiditta
- Scott Seago
- Eric Helms
- Michal Fojtik
- Greg Blomquist
- Jaromir Coufal (user experience advocate)

#### **Aeolus Website cabal**

Mission: Keep aeolusproject.org current and relevant. Identify and manage writing of new content (feature descriptions, documentation) Proposed membership:

- Jirka Stransky
- Matt W. (awesome)
- Justin Clift
- Tzu-mainn Chen
- Andy Fitzsimon
- Francesco Vollero

## **Aeolus Publicity cabal**

Mission: Manage and raise Aeolus Project public profile. Identify speaking opportunities, maintain repos of slides and whitepapers, manage social media.

Proposed membership:

- Andy Fitzsimon
- Angus (awesome)
- Justin Clift
- Francesco Vollero
- Jarda Coufal
- Tzu-mainn Chen

#### **Aeolus Release cabal**

Mission: Test, package, and ship upstream release components. Manage release process and downstream packaging. Produce release process documentation for team members. Produce release announcements.

Proposed membership:

- Martin Povolny
- Justin Clift
- Steve Linabery (awesome)
- Giulio Fidente