

4

4.1

We can find a state machine homomorphism (SMH) for M and M' which is monomorphic but not epimorphic or isomorphic. To prove this conjecture we will first show that there can not exist a epimorphic SMH. For a SMH f to be epimorphic it has to be surjective. This means that given $f = (\alpha, \beta)$:

$$\alpha : Q \rightarrow Q' \wedge \beta : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma' \quad (4.1)$$

the range of α and β have to be equal to Q' and Σ' respectively.

Lets assume that α maps to Q' and β to Σ' . This means that we have to map the states of Q to the states of Q' . The state r_1 is isolated whilst the states r_2 and r_3 form a cyclic state machine with stern with stern length and cycle length of 1. Therefore, we would need to find a similar structure in Q to map the states to Q' . If we look for these structures in M we can find an isolated state q_4 when we disregard c . However, we can only find a cyclic state machine with stern if we either disregard a, c or b, c since the combination of b and c allows us to always move between the states of $q_i \mid i \in 3$. Thus, we can not find a mapping of β to Σ' , since, we need to omit either at least 2 out of 3 elements of Σ to be able to represent the structure of M , therefore, either α or β can not be surjective.

To prove that M and M' are monomorphic we only have to provide a state machine homomorphism that is injective. For this we map a onto a' and q_4 onto r_1 . α is injective because there only exists a single element in the relation, therefore, there can only exists a one-to-one mapping. For β this is the same case as only the tuple (q_4, r_1) exists in β . Now we need to show that

$$\forall q \in Q \wedge \forall a \in \Sigma : \alpha(q\delta_a) \subseteq (\alpha(q))\delta'_{\beta(a)} \quad (4.2)$$

Since, there only exist one element with one state we can apply the transition function for the element a on the state q_4 and then apply α . δ_a on q_4 results in q_4 , and if we then apply α this results in r_1 . If we first apply α on q_4 this results in r_1 . And if we then apply $\delta'_{\beta(a)}$ on r_1 it stays in r_1 which proves that it is a state machine morphism.

This concludes that M and M' are monomorphic. \square

4.2

For Exercise 2 we need to prove that $TS(M') = \overline{TS(M)}$ holds. The closure of a transformation semigroup $A = (Q, S)$ is defined as

$$\overline{A} = (Q, \langle S \cup \overline{Q} \rangle) \quad (4.3)$$

. For $TS(M') = \overline{TS(M)}$ to hold true, $TS(M')$ has to include all possible transformations from S and \overline{Q} . Since, there exists a δ_a for all $a \in \Sigma$ as well as the identity function for each state of q with $\delta'_a(q) = a$ for all $a \in Q$ there can not exist any other transformations in $TS(M')$ that are not included in the closure of $TS(M) = \overline{TS(M)}$. Therefore, $TS(M') = \overline{TS(M)}$ holds true. \square

4.3

We show that $\overline{TS(M)} = TS(M') = (Q, S')$. By definition

$$\overline{TS(M)} = (Q, \langle S \cup \overline{Q} \rangle)$$

S' must contain for all $q \in Q$ the corresponding \overline{q} . Notice $S' = \Sigma^+ / \sim_{M'}$. We assume that for each $q \in Q \subseteq \Sigma$ exists a congruence classes $[q] \in S'$.

Proof: Due to the definition of δ'_a for all $q_1, q_2 \in Q \subseteq \Sigma$ with $q_1 \neq q_2$ follows $\delta'_{q_1}(q) \neq \delta'_{q_2}(q)$ for all $q \in Q$. Therefore, for all $q \in Q$ exists $[q]$ and $[q] \in \Sigma^+ / \sim_{M'}$.

Since $\langle F(M') \rangle \simeq S(M')$ for all $q \in Q$ also $\delta'_q \in F(M')$. Finally $\delta'_q = \overline{q}$ because δ'_q maps all states to q and is therefore a constant mapping which proves that $\overline{Q} \subseteq S'$ and further $\overline{TS(M)} = (Q, \langle S \cup \overline{Q} \rangle) = TS(M') = (Q, \langle S' \cup \overline{Q} \rangle)$. \square

4.4

Given \mathbb{Z}_2 we obtain the transformation semigroup $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ with right multiplication as an action (Lemma 3.28). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ we compute $a + b$ by adding its corresponding equivalence classes $[a] + [b]$. To obtain the equivalence classes we compute the modulo:

$$a \mod 2 + b \mod 2$$

. By definition $a \mod 2 + b \mod 2 = a + b \mod 2$ which is equal to $\delta(a, b)$. \square

4.5

To prove that every group acts on itself we need show compatibility and faithfulness for a function $f : G \times G \rightarrow G; (g, g') \mapsto g'g$ with G being a group. Compatibility follows due to groups being associative. Let $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Let $gg_1 = gg_2(0)$ for all $g \in G$ assume $g_1 \neq g_2$. Set g to the neutral element ϵ which is allowed since (0) holds true for all $g \in G$. Hence, $\epsilon g_1 = \epsilon g_2 \iff g_1 = g_2$ which contradicts $g_1 \neq g_2$. This concludes the proof.