

## 6

### 6.1

### 6.2

An epimorphism  $(\alpha, \beta) : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  with complete machines implies  $M_2 \leq M_1$ :

We choose  $\alpha : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$  as our  $\eta : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ . We define  $\xi : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_1$  as a function which picks an arbitrary element of  $\xi_{a_2} = \{a_1 | \beta(a_1) = a_2, a_1 \in \Sigma_1\}$  for an arbitrary  $a_2 \in \Sigma_2$  (1). There exists at least one  $a_1$  for each  $a_2$  because  $\beta$  is surjective (2). Since  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is a state machine homomorphism and the machines are complete following holds for all  $a_1 \in \Sigma_1$  and all  $q_1 \in Q_1$ :

$$\alpha(q_1 \delta_{a_1}^1) = (\alpha(q_1)) \delta_{\beta(a_1)}^2$$

Now we show:  $\eta(q_1) \delta_{a_2}^2 \subseteq \eta(q_1 \delta_{\xi(a_2)}^1)$  for all  $q_1 \in Q_1$  and  $a_2 \in \Sigma_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(q_1) \delta_{a_2}^2 &= \alpha(q_1) \delta_{a_2}^2 \\ &= \alpha(q_1) \delta_{\beta(a_1)}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \alpha(q_1 \delta_{a_1}^1) \\ &= \alpha(q_1 \delta_{\xi(a_2)}^1) \\ &= \eta(q_1 \delta_{\xi(a_2)}^1) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

### 6.3

### 6.4

We show  $M \leq M'$  by using the following covering  $(\eta, \xi)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : Q' \hookrightarrow Q; q' \mapsto & \begin{cases} q_0, & \text{for } q' = r_0, \\ q_1, & \text{for } q' = r_2, \end{cases} \\ \xi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'; u \mapsto & \begin{cases} b', & \text{for } u = a, \\ a', & \text{for } u = b, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we prove  $\eta, \xi$  is well defined. First,  $\xi$  is a function because all  $\text{dom}(\xi) = \Sigma$  and it is right unique.  $\eta$  is surjective as its range hits all states of  $Q$ . Also it is right unique and therefore a partial function. Finally, we show  $\eta(q')\delta_w \subseteq \eta(q'\delta'_{\xi(w)})$  for all  $q' \in Q'$  and  $w \in \Sigma^*$ . We build an operation table to get  $\Sigma^+ / \sim_M$  to get all relevant  $w$ .

x	$q_0$	$q_1$	
a	$q_1$	$\perp$	
b	$q_0$	$q_1$	
aa	$\perp$	$\perp$	
ab	$q_1$	$\perp$	same as a
bb	$q_0$	$q_1$	same as b
ba	$q_1$	$\perp$	same as a
aaa	$\perp$	$\perp$	same as aa
aab	$\perp$	$\perp$	same as aa
aba	$\perp$	$\perp$	same as aa
abb	$q_1$	$\perp$	same as a
bba	$q_1$	$\perp$	same as a
baa	$q_1$	$\perp$	same as aa
bab	$q_1$	$\perp$	same as a

We get  $\Sigma^+ / \sim_M = \{[a], [b], [aa]\}$ . Since  $\eta(q') = \emptyset | q' \notin \mathcal{D}(\eta)$  we only observe for  $r_0, r_2$  as states from  $M'$ . We check for all relevant  $q' \in Q'$  and  $\text{win}\Sigma$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(r_0)\delta_a &= q_0\delta_a = q_1 \subseteq \eta(r_0\delta'_{\xi(a)}) = \eta(r_0\delta'_{b'}) = \eta(r_2) = q_1 \\
\eta(r_0)\delta_b &= q_0\delta_b = q_0 \subseteq \eta(r_0\delta'_{\xi(b)}) = \eta(r_0\delta'_{a'}) = \eta(r_0) = q_0 \\
\eta(r_0)\delta_{aa} &= q_0\delta_{aa} = \emptyset \subseteq \eta(r_0\delta'_{\xi(aa)}) = \eta(r_2\delta'_{b'b'}) = \eta(\emptyset) = \emptyset \\
\eta(r_2)\delta_a &= q_1\delta_a = \emptyset \subseteq \eta(r_2\delta'_{\xi(a)}) = \eta(r_2\delta'_{b'}) = \eta(r_3) = \emptyset \\
\eta(r_2)\delta_b &= q_1\delta_b = q_1 \subseteq \eta(r_2\delta'_{\xi(b)}) = \eta(r_2\delta'_{a'}) = \eta(r_2) = q_1 \\
\eta(r_2)\delta_{aa} &= q_1\delta_{aa} = \emptyset \subseteq \eta(r_2\delta'_{\xi(aa)}) = \eta(r_2\delta'_{b'b'}) = \eta(\emptyset) = \emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

For each combination  $\eta(q')\delta_w \subseteq \eta(q'\delta'_{\xi(w)})$  holds which concludes the proof.  $\square$