5

5.1

We have $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta)$ and $\pi = \{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ a admissible partion of Q. If M is complete then for all $i \in I$ and for all $a \in \Sigma$ there exists **exactly one** partion such that for $j \in I$ $H_i\delta_a \subseteq H_j$. By definition of π (Lemma 3.48) there exists **at least one** $j \in I$ with $H_i\delta_a \subseteq H_j$. Notice $H_i\delta_a \neq \emptyset$ because M is complete.

We show that there only exists **exactly one** $j \in I$. Suppose there exists $j, k \in I$ with $H_i\delta_a \subseteq H_{j,k}$ for all $a \in \Sigma$ and $j \neq k$. We choose an arbitray $q \in H_i$ then the following must hold:

$$q\delta_a = q_j \in H_j$$
$$q\delta_a = q_k \in H_k$$

Notice $q_j \neq q_k$ because $H_j \cap H_k = \emptyset$. This is a contraction because $q\delta_a$ is not right unique anymore.

5.2

We proove for a transformation semigroup transformation (Q, S) which is irreducable that for all $q \in Q$ either |qS| = 1 or qS = Q. First we determine qS for both trivial partitions. Assume |qS| = 1 for any $q \in Q$. This means we find one arbitray but fixed $q' \in Q$ such that qS = q'. Moreover, $q = H_i$ and $q' = H_j$ with $i, j \in I$, it is the trivial partition of singleton classes. Now assume qS = Q for any $q \in Q$. This means for each $s \in S$ with qs = q' we map to a different q' such that all q' = Q. Thus, qS is the trivial partition of Q itself.

Suppose $|qS| > 1 \land qS \neq Q$. Suppose we miss one $q' \in Q$ then qs would not build a trivial partion.

$$\pi = [q]| \ \forall q \in Q:$$

- cases for only one admissible partition and for |Q| admissible partitions
- one equivalence class $\pi = H_1 \implies |qS| = 1$:
 - all states are related, $(q, q') \in R | \forall q, q' \in Q$

- $-q \in H_1$ for all $q \in Q$ and therefore $Q = H_1 = [q]_R$
- $H_1 s = H_1$
- -qs=q' for all $q,q'\in H_1$
- suppose $q' \notin H_i$, thus $q \notin Q$ and therefore not included in the transformation semigroup
- |Q| equivalence classes $\implies qS = Q$:
 - |Q| equivalence classes implies each state has own equivalence classes, $R = \{(q_i, q_i) | i \in [|Q|\}$
 - -qs = q for all $q \in Q$ and $s \in S$ with $q \in H_i, i \in [|Q|]$
 - suppose qs = q' with $q \neq q'$ then $(q, q') \in R$ which contradicts first statement
- 5.3
- 5.4