

# Compliance List EFB

*Note: This compliance list is based on Regulation (EU) No. 965/2012 ("Air Operations")*

Operator's name and address:		Operator's AOC number:	
		Aircraft Type and Model for which approval is requested:	
		Aircraft Registration(s) <i>(only applicable unless the entire fleet is affected)</i> :	
Revision number(s): <i>(applicable only for those parts affected by the relevant SPA area)</i>  OM-A: OM-B: MEL: OM-C: OM-D: Other:		Revision date(s): <i>(applicable only for those parts affected by the relevant SPA area)</i>  OM-A: OM-B: MEL: OM-C: OM-D: Other:	
List created by <i>(competent person assigned by the operator)</i> :	Date:	List checked by <i>(person checking the list on behalf of the compliance management system of the operator)</i> :	Date:
Accountable Manager (name/signature):		Nominated Person for managing and supervising flight operations (name/signature):	
<i>(For Authority use only)</i> Austro Control POI:		<i>(For Authority use only)</i> Remarks:	<i>(For Authority use only)</i>

## Content of the compliance list:

A compliance list is a tool designed for the preparation and approval of each area requiring specific approval (SPA). This form has to be filled in for each aircraft type concerned.

Each line contains predefined references to one or more paragraph(s) of the applicable regulation (or AMC material). The user shall insert the reference to the relevant part of the Operations Manual.

This list can be edited by the operator in writing or electronically (preferred). When finished, the operator shall send the completed version to Austro Control by email for further processing.

Note: As the revision number(s) and date(s) of the affected part(s) of the OM is/are to be stated on the front page of this compliance list, no additional OM-compliance list has to be completed.

## Respective legal reference column:

This column lists the relevant legal paragraph.

## Requirement column:

This column provides the user with the implementing rule for each required section. Whenever the remark "refer to rule" is mentioned the user has to consult the Air Operations regulation. (This procedure is necessary when the respective rule is too extensive for publication in this compliance list.)

## Manual reference column:

All references in regard to the respective Air Operations paragraph(s) shall be listed in this column.

If an Air Operations paragraph is not relevant, the remark N/A shall be inserted in the relevant reference field. Therefore, all lines must have a remark either stating the OM reference or N/A, as applicable.

## Remarks column:

This column is for Authority use only. The operator may put remarks directly into the **Manual reference** column.

## Doc Stat column (Austro Control use only):

- ✓ Operator's OM is in compliance with the relevant paragraph(s)
- N** Operator's OM is **not** in compliance with the relevant paragraph(s)
- N/A** Not applicable for the relevant Operator / Operation

Note: This compliance list is a tool and does not replace a thorough study of official regulations.

Please help us to continuously improve the quality of this list. If you detect any error or deficiency mail to [ops@austrocontrol.at](mailto:ops@austrocontrol.at).

**Continue with checklist on next page.**

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE</b>					
1	<p>This Acceptable Means of Compliance (AMC) is one, but not the only, means to obtain airworthiness approval and to satisfactorily assess the operational aspects for the use of Electronic Flight Bags (EFBs). It is considered an acceptable means of complying with the requirements contained in CAT.GEN.MPA.180 concerning carriage of electronic documents and manuals, Commission Regulation (EC) No 2042/2003 and Commission Regulation (EU) No 748/2012. Traditionally, some of the documentation and information available to flight crew for use on the flight crew compartment has been in paper format. Much of this information is now available in electronic format. In addition, many non-required information services, data, and company procedures may also be made available to flight or cabin crew electronically. Operators have long recognised the benefit of hosting these materials on the flight crew's EFBs. This AMC does not contain additional or double set requirements to those already contained in the operational requirements for the basic information, documentation and data sources that would need to be carried on board. The operator remains responsible for ensuring the accuracy of the information used and that it is derived from verifiable sources. The use of EFBs was initially intended to cover an alternative method of storing, retrieving, and using the manuals and information required to be on board by the applicable operational requirements. Subsequent technical development has led to potentially hosting on EFBs even applications using computational software (e.g. for performances), databases (e.g. digital navigation data) or real-time data coming from the avionics (e.g. Airport Moving Map Display). The evaluation of an EFB may have both an airworthiness and an operational aspect depending on the category/type of EFB/application used and, therefore, where necessary, to make a complete evaluation of an EFB system, there is a need for close coordination between the two processes. In harmonisation with FAA, this AMC does not include a Type C software application classification as a potential EFB application. The Agency's policy is that any non-Type A (please refer to paragraph 5.2.1) or non-Type B (please refer to paragraph. 5.2.2) software application, unless it is miscellaneous (non-EFB) application, should undergo a full airworthiness approval and so become a certified avionics function. A non-exhaustive list of examples of Type A and B applications is provided in Appendices A and B.</p>				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 2                      APPLICABILITY</b>					
2, a	This AMC 20-25 is to be used by: Commercial Air Transport operators by aeroplane or by helicopter;				
2, b	This AMC 20-25 is to be used by: applicants or holders of an aircraft Type Certificate (TC) or Supplemental TC;				
2, c	This AMC 20-25 is to be used by: applicants or holders of ETSO authorisations covering software applications hosted in EFBs.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 3 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS</b>					
3, 3.1	Related Requirements. From Annexes III and IV to Commission Regulation (EU) No 965/2012 ('Part ORO' and 'Part-CAT') <sup>1</sup> , the following articles are to be used as references: CAT.GEN.MPA.140, CAT.GEN.MPA.180, ORO.GEN.130, ORO.GEN.140, ORO.GEN.200, ORO.MLR.100,				
3,3.2	Related Certification Specifications. CS 25.561, 25.777, 25.789, 25.1301, 25.1302, 25.1309, 25.1316, 25.1321, 25.1322, 25.1357, 25.1431, 25.1529, 25.1581v CS 23.561, 23.1301, 23.1309, 23.1321, 23.1322, 23.1357, 23.1431, 23.1581 CS 29.1301, 29.1309, 29.1321, 29.1322, 29.1431, 29.1581 CS 27.1301, 27.1309, 27.1321, 27.1322, 27.1581 EASA CS-MMEL (Draft) Master Minimum Equipment List Appendix G to CS-23, Appendix H to CS-25, and Appendices A to CS-27 and CS-29: Instructions for Continued Airworthiness ETSO-C165a: Electronic map systems for graphical depiction of aircraft position EASA Special Condition on Information Security (Network Security)				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 3 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS</b>					
3, 3.3. 3.1	RELATED GUIDANCE MATERIAL - Europe. EASA AMC 25.1581 Appendix 1 – Computerised Aeroplane Flight Manual EASA AMC 25.1309 System Design and Analysis EASA AMC 25-11 Electronic Flight Deck Displays EUROCAE ED-130() Guidance for the Use of Portable Electronic Devices (PEDs) on Board Aircraft EUROCAE ED-12() Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification EUROCAE ED-14() Environmental Conditions and Test Procedures for Airborne Equipment EUROCAE ED-76() Standards for Processing Aeronautical Data EUROCAE ED-80() Design Assurance Guidance for Airborne Electronic hardware UL 1642 Underwriters Laboratory Inc. (UL) Standard for Safety for Lithium Batteries				
3, 3.3. 3.2	RELATED GUIDANCE MATERIAL - USA. FAA AC 20-159 Obtaining Design and Production Approval of Airport Moving Map Display Applications Intended for Electronic Flight Bag Systems FAA AC 120-74A Parts 91, 121, 125, and 135 Flight crew Procedures during Taxi Operations FAA AC 120-76() Guidelines for the Certification, Airworthiness, and Operational Approval of Electronic Flight Bag Computing Devices FAA AC 120-78 Acceptance and use of Electronic Signatures FAA AC 20-173 Installation of Electronic Flight Bag Components FAA TSO-C165A Electronic Map Display Equipment for Graphical Depiction of Aircraft Position RTCA DO-160() Environmental Conditions and Test Procedures for Airborne Equipment RTCA DO-178() Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification RTCA DO-200() Standards for Processing Aeronautical Data RTCA DO-254() Design Assurance Guidance for Airborne Electronic Hardware RTCA DO-257() Minimum Operation Performance Standards for the Depiction of Navigational Information on Electronic Maps RTCA DO-294() Guidance on Allowing Transmitting Portable Electronic Devices (T-PEDs) on Aircraft RTCA DO-311() Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Rechargeable Lithium Battery Systems				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 4 GLOSSARY OF TERMS IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS AMC</b>					
4, 4.1	Aircraft Administrative Communications (AAC). AAC data link receive/transmit information that includes, but is not limited to, the support of applications identified in Appendices A and B of this AMC. Aircraft Administrative Communications (AAC) are defined by ICAO as communications used by aeronautical operating agencies related to the business aspects of operating their flights and transport services. The airlines use the term Airline Operational Communication (AOC) for this type of communication.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 4 GLOSSARY OF TERMS IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS AMC</b>					
4, 4.2	Airport Moving Map Display (AMMD). A software application displaying airport maps and using a navigation source to depict the aircraft current position on this map while on ground.				
4, 4.3	Consumer device. Electronic equipment primarily intended for non-aeronautical use.				
4, 4.4	Controlled Portable Electronic Device (C-PED). A controlled PED is a PED subject to administrative control by the operator using it. This will include, inter alia, tracking the allocation of the devices to specific aircraft or persons and ensuring that no unauthorised changes are made to the hardware, software, or databases.				
4, 4.5	Data connectivity for EFB systems. Data connectivity for EFB system supports either uni- or bi-directional data communication between the EFB and other aircraft systems (e.g. avionics). Direct interconnectivity between EFBs or direct connectivity between EFBs and ground systems as with T-PED (e. .g. GSM, Bluetooth) are not covered by this definition.				
4, 4.6	Electronic Flight Bag (EFB): An information system for flight deck crew members which allows storing, updating, delivering, displaying, and/or computing digital data to support flight operations or duties.				
4; 4.7	EFB administrator: An EFB administrator is a person appointed by the operator, held responsible for the administration of the EFB system within the company. The EFB administrator is the primary link between the operator and the EFB system and software suppliers.				
4, 4.8	EFB host platform. When considering an EFB system, the EFB host platform is the equipment (i.e. hardware) in which the computing capabilities and basic software (e.g. operating system, input/output software) reside.				
4, 4.9	EFB risk assessment and mitigation. A process that considers an EFB system, its software applications, and its integration inside a specific aircraft, to identify the potential malfunctions and failure scenarios, analyse their operational repercussions, and, if necessary, propose mitigation means.				
4, 4.10	EFB software application. Software installed on an EFB system that allows specific operational functionality.				
4, 4.11	EFB system. An EFB system comprises the hardware (including any battery, connectivity provision, I/O devices) and software				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	(including databases) needed to support the intended EFB function(s).				
4, 4.12	EFB system supplier. The company responsible for developing, or for having developed, the EFB system or part of it. The EFB system supplier is not necessarily a host platform or aircraft manufacturer.				
4, 4.13	Minor failure conditions. Failure conditions which would not significantly reduce aeroplane safety, and which involve crew actions that are well within their capabilities. Minor failure conditions may include, for example, a slight reduction in safety margins or functional capabilities, a slight increase in crew workload, such as routine flight plan changes, or some physical discomfort to passengers or cabin crew. Further guidance can be found in AMC 25.1309.				
4, 4.14	Mounting device. A mounting device is an aircraft certified part which secures portable or installed EFB, or EFB system components.				
4, 4.15	No safety effect. Failure conditions that would have no effect on safety: for example, failure conditions that would not affect the operational capability of the aeroplane or increase crew workload. Further guidance can be found in AMC 25.1309.				
4, 4.16	Portable Electronic Device (PED). PEDs are typically consumer electronic devices, which have functional capability for communications, entertainment, data processing, and/or utility. There are two basic categories of PEDs – those with and those without intentional transmitting capability; please refer to ED-130/RTCA DO-294().				
4, 4.17	Software application developer. The company responsible for developing, or for having developed a particular software application.				
4, 4.18	Transmitting PED (T-PED). PEDs that have intended radio frequency (RF) transmission capabilities.				
4, 4.19	Viewable stowage. A device that is secured on the flight crew (e.g. kneeboard) or in/to an existing aircraft part (e.g. suction cups) with the intended function to hold charts or to hold acceptable light mass portable devices (for example an EFB of no more than 1 Kg) viewable to the pilot at her/his required duty station. The device is not necessarily part of the certified aircraft configuration.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 5 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF EFB SYSTEMS</b>					
5, 5.1	EFB systems hardware. This AMC 20-25 defines two possibilities for the hardware of EFB systems: portable and installed.				
5, 5.1.1	EFB systems hardware. Portable EFB. Definition: A portable EFB is a portable EFB host platform, used on the flight deck, which is not part of the certified aircraft configuration. Complementary characteristics: A portable EFB can be operated inside and outside the aircraft. A portable EFB hosts type A and/or type B EFB software applications. In addition, it may host miscellaneous (non-EFB) software applications (see 6.2.2.3). A portable EFB is a portable electronic device (PED) as defined in GM1 CAT.GEN.MPA.1402. The mass, dimensions, shape, and position of the portable EFB should not compromise flight safety. A portable EFB may be provided with aircraft power through a certified power source (see 6.1.1.1.3). If mounted, the portable EFB is easily removable from its mounting device or attached to it, without the use of tools by the flight crew. If mounted, the attachment or removal does not constitute a maintenance action. A portable EFB may be part of a system containing EFB installed resources which are part of the certified aircraft configuration. The installed EFB components are part of the certified aircraft configuration with the intended function to mount (see 6.1.1.1.1) the EFB to the aircraft and/or connect to other systems (see 6.1.1.1.4). When a portable EFB is a T-PED, the conditions for use of its transmitting capability are established in the approved Aircraft Flight Manual (AFM). In absence of information in the AFM, the EFB transmitting capability may be allowed during non-critical phases of the flight (see 6.2.1.1.2). Portable EFBs may be used in all phases of the flight if secured to a certified mount or securely attached to a viewable stowage device in a manner which allows its normal use (see 6.1.1.1.1, 6.1.1.1.2, and 6.2.1.6). Portable EFBs not meeting the above characteristic, should be stowed during critical phases of the flight. Portable EFBs are controlled PEDs (see paragraph 4.4). Any EFB component that is either not accessible in the flight crew compartment by the flight crew members or not removable by the flight crew, should be installed as 'certificated equipment' covered by a Type Certificate (TC), changed TC or Supplemental (S)TC.				
5, 5.1.2	EFB systems hardware. Installed EFB. Definition: An EFB host platform installed in the aircraft and considered as an aircraft part, covered, thus, by the aircraft airworthiness approval. Complementary characteristics: An installed EFB is managed				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	under the aircraft type design configuration. In addition to hosting Type A and B applications, an installed EFB may host certified applications, provided the EFB meets the certification requirements for hosting such applications, including assurance that the non-certified software applications do not adversely affect the certified application(s). For example, a robust partitioning mechanism is one possible means to ensure the independence between certified applications and the other types of applications.				
5, 5.2	Software applications for EFB systems. The functionality associated with the EFB system depends, in part, upon the applications loaded on the host platform. The classification of the applications, based on respective safety effects, is intended to provide clear divisions among such applications and, therefore, the assessment process applied to each. Appendices A and B provide support regarding the classification of traditional EFB software applications. They may be used for justifying a classification provided that the application does not feature design or functional novelties introducing new ways of interaction or unusual procedures. If an application is not listed in the appendices or presents a high degree of novelty, the classification should be established using the definitions provided hereafter and the guidance in Appendix C. For the purpose of the following definitions, 'malfunction or misuse' means any failure, malfunction of the application, or design-related human errors that can be reasonably expected in service.				
5, 5.2.1	Software applications for EFB systems. Type A. Definition: Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse have no safety effect. Complementary characteristics: Type A applications: (a) may be hosted on either portable or installed EFBs; (b) do not require any approval (see paragraph 6.2.2.1); and (c) should follow guidance provided in Appendix D, paragraph D.2. Examples of Type A applications can be found in Appendix A.				
5, 5.2.2	Software applications for EFB systems Type B. Definition: Type B applications are applications: a) whose malfunction or misuse are limited to a minor failure condition; and (b) which do neither substitute nor duplicate any system or functionality required by airworthiness regulations, airspace requirements, or operational rules. Complementary characteristics: Type B applications: (a) may be hosted on either portable or installed EFBs; (b) require an operational assessment as described in paragraph 6.2.2.2; and (c) do not require an airworthiness approval. Examples of Type B applications can be found in Appendix B.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
5, 5.2.2.1	Software applications for EFB systems. Type B. Airport Moving Map Display (AMMD) application with own-ship position AMMD with own-ship position is a Type B application that is subject to the specific conditions described in Appendix H of this AMC.				
5,5.2.3	Software applications for EFB systems. Miscellaneous (non-EFB) software applications: Miscellaneous software applications are non-EFB applications, supporting function(s) not directly related to operations conducted by the flight crew on the aircraft.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 6                      HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE PROCESSES</b>					
6, 6.1	Airworthiness approval. The airworthiness approval is necessary for installed EFB systems (see paragraph5.1.2), as well as EFB installed resources and mounting device. A portable EFB device does not require an airworthiness approval but its presence and use in the cockpit needs to be evaluated (see paragraph6.2.1).				
6, 6.1.1.1	Hardware airworthiness approval - Installed resources. Installed resources are the input/output components external to the EFB host platform itself, such as an installed remote display, a control device (e.g. a keyboard, pointing device, switches, etc.) or a docking station. The installed resources should be dedicated to EFB functions only, or in the case of use of resources shared with avionics, this possibility shall be part of the approved type design. It should be demonstrated, using the appropriate level of assessment, that the integration in the aircraft of the EFB and the EFB software applications does not jeopardise the compliance of the aircraft installed systems and equipment (including the shared resources) to airworthiness requirements such as CS 25.1302 or 25.1309. Installed resources require an airworthiness approval.				
6, 6.1.1.1.1 (a)	Hardware airworthiness approval - Installed resources - Mounting device: The mounting device (or other securing mechanism) attaches or allows mounting of the EFB system. The EFB system may include more than one mounting device if it consists of separate items (e.g. one docking station for the EFB host platform and one cradle for the remote display). The mounting device should not be positioned in such a way that it obstructs visual or physical access to aircraft controls and/or displays, flight crew ingress or egress, or external vision. The design of the mounting device should allow the user easy access to any item of the EFB system, even if stowed, and notably to the EFB controls and a clear view of the EFB display while in use. The following design practices should be considered: (a) The mounting device and associated mechanisms should not impede the flight crew in the performance of any task (normal, abnormal, or emergency) associated with operating any aircraft system.				
6, 6.1.1.1.1 (b)	Hardware airworthiness approval - Installed resources - Mounting device. The mounting device (or other securing mechanism) attaches or allows mounting of the EFB system. The EFB system may include more than one mounting device if it consists of separate items (e.g. one docking station for the EFB host platform and one cradle for the remote display). The mounting device				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	should not be positioned in such a way that it obstructs visual or physical access to aircraft controls and/or displays, flight crew ingress or egress, or external vision. The design of the mounting device should allow the user easy access to any item of the EFB system, even if stowed, and notably to the EFB controls and a clear view of the EFB display while in use. The following design practices should be considered: When the mounting device is used to secure an EFB display (e.g. portable EFB, installed EFB side display), the mount should be able to be locked in position easily. If necessary, selection of positions should be adjustable enough to accommodate a range of flight crew member preferences. In addition, the range of available movement should accommodate the expected range of users' physical abilities (i.e. anthropometrics constraints). Locking mechanisms should be of the low-wear types that will minimise slippage after extended periods of normal use.				
6, 6.1.1.1.1 (c)	Hardware airworthiness approval - Installed resources - Mounting device. The mounting device (or other securing mechanism) attaches or allows mounting of the EFB system. The EFB system may include more than one mounting device if it consists of separate items (e.g. one docking station for the EFB host platform and one cradle for the remote display). The mounting device should not be positioned in such a way that it obstructs visual or physical access to aircraft controls and/or displays, flight crew ingress or egress, or external vision. The design of the mounting device should allow the user easy access to any item of the EFB system, even if stowed, and notably to the EFB controls and a clear view of the EFB display while in use. The following design practices should be considered: Crashworthiness considerations should be taken into account in the design of this device. This includes the appropriate restraint of any device when in use.				
6, 6.1.1.1.1 (d)	Hardware airworthiness approval - Installed resources - Mounting device. The mounting device (or other securing mechanism) attaches or allows mounting of the EFB system. The EFB system may include more than one mounting device if it consists of separate items (e.g. one docking station for the EFB host platform and one cradle for the remote display). The mounting device should not be positioned in such a way that it obstructs visual or physical access to aircraft controls and/or displays, flight crew ingress or egress, or external vision. The design of the mounting device should allow the user easy access to any item of the EFB system, even if stowed, and notably to the EFB controls and a clear view of the EFB display while in use. The following design practices				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	should be considered: When the mounting device is used to secure an EFB display (e.g. portable EFB, installed EFB side display), a provision should be provided to secure or lock the mounting device in a position out of the way of flight crew operations when not in use. When stowed, the device and its securing mechanism should not intrude into the flight crew compartment space to the extent that they cause either visual or physical obstruction of flight controls/displays and/or egress routes.				
6, 6.1.1.1.1 (e)	Hardware airworthiness approval - Installed resources - Mounting device. The mounting device (or other securing mechanism) attaches or allows mounting of the EFB system. The EFB system may include more than one mounting device if it consists of separate items (e.g. one docking station for the EFB host platform and one cradle for the remote display). The mounting device should not be positioned in such a way that it obstructs visual or physical access to aircraft controls and/or displays, flight crew ingress or egress, or external vision. The design of the mounting device should allow the user easy access to any item of the EFB system, even if stowed, and notably to the EFB controls and a clear view of the EFB display while in use. The following design practices should be considered: Mechanical interference issues of the mounting device, either on the side panel (side stick controller) or on the control yoke in terms of full and free movement under all operating conditions and non-interference with buckles, etc. For yoke mounted devices, (Supplemental) Type Certificate holder data should be obtained to show that the mass inertia effect on column force has no adverse effect on the aircraft handling qualities.				
6, 6.1.1.1.1 (f)	Hardware airworthiness approval - Installed resources - Mounting device. The mounting device (or other securing mechanism) attaches or allows mounting of the EFB system. The EFB system may include more than one mounting device if it consists of separate items (e.g. one docking station for the EFB host platform and one cradle for the remote display). The mounting device should not be positioned in such a way that it obstructs visual or physical access to aircraft controls and/or displays, flight crew ingress or egress, or external vision. The design of the mounting device should allow the user easy access to any item of the EFB system, even if stowed, and notably to the EFB controls and a clear view of the EFB display while in use. The following design practices should be considered: Adequate means should be provided (e.g. hardware or software) to shut down the portable EFB when its controls are not accessible by the pilot strapped in the normal seated position. This objective can be achieved through a				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	dedicated installed resource certified according to 6.1.1.1 (e.g. button accessible from pilot seated position).				
6, 6.1.1.1.2 (a)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. Characteristics and placement of the EFB display. Placement of the display: The EFB display and any other element of the EFB system should be placed in such a way that they do not unduly impair the pilot's external view during all phases of the flight. Equally, they should not impair the view and access to any cockpit control or instrument. The location of the display unit and the other EFB system elements should be assessed for impact on egress requirements. When the EFB is in use (intended to be viewed or controlled), its display should be within 90 degrees on either side of each pilot's line of sight. Glare and reflection on the EFB display should not interfere with the normal duties of the flight crew or unduly impair the legibility of the EFB data. The EFB data should be legible under the full range of lighting conditions expected on a flight crew compartment, including use in direct sunlight. In addition, consideration should be given to the potential for confusion that could result from presentation of relative directions when the EFB is positioned in an orientation inconsistent with that information. For example, it may be misleading if the aircraft heading is pointed to the top of the display and the display is not aligned with the aircraft longitudinal axis. This does not apply to charts that are presented in a static way (e.g. with no HMI mechanisation such like automatic repositioning), and that can be considered as similar to paper charts.				
6, 6.1.1.1.2 (b)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. Characteristics and placement of the EFB display. Display characteristics. Consideration should be given to the long-term display degradation as a result of abrasion and ageing. AMC 25-11 (paragraph 3.16a) can be used as an appropriate guidance material to assess luminance and legibility aspects. Users should be able to adjust the screen brightness of an EFB independently of the brightness of other displays on the flight crew compartment. In addition, when incorporating an automatic brightness adjustment, it should operate independently for each EFB in the flight crew compartment. Brightness adjustment using software means may be acceptable providing that this operation does not affect adversely the crew workload. Buttons and labels should have adequate illumination for night use. 'Buttons and labels' refers to hardware controls located on the display itself. The 90-degree viewing angle on either side of each pilot's line of sight, may be unacceptable for certain EFB applications if aspects of the display quality are				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	degraded at large viewing angles (e.g. the display colours wash out or the displayed colour contrast is not discernible at the installation viewing angle).				
6, 6.1.1.1.2( c)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. Characteristics and placement of the EFB display. Applicable specifications. Each EFB system should be evaluated with regard to the requirements in this section 6.1.1.1.2: see CS 23.1321, CS 25.1321, CS 27.1321, and CS 29.1321. If the display is an installed resource, it should be assessed against CS 25.1302 or in accordance with the applicable certification basis.				
6.1.1.1.3 (a)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. Power Source. This section applies to design considerations for installing dedicated power port and cabling provisions for EFBs. EFB power provisions should comply with the applicable airworthiness specifications. Connection of EFB power provisions to a non-essential, or to the least critical power bus, is recommended, so failure or malfunction of the EFB, or power supply, will not affect safe operation of aircraft critical or essential systems. Connection to more critical aircraft power buses is, however, permitted if appropriate, taking into account the intended function of the EFB. Further considerations can be found in Appendix J of this AMC. In all cases, an electrical load analysis should be conducted to replicate a typical EFB system to ensure that powering or charging the EFB will not adversely affect other aircraft systems and that power requirements remain within power-load budgets. The aircraft power source delivering power supply to the EFB system should be demonstrated to protect the aircraft electrical network from EFB system failures or malfunctions (e.g. short-circuit, over-voltages, over-load, electrical transients or harmonics, etc.). (a) A placard should be mounted beside the power outlet, containing the information needed by the flight or maintenance crews (e.g. 28 VDC, 115 VAC, 60 or 400 Hz, etc.).				
6.1.1.1.3 (b)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. Power Source. This section applies to design considerations for installing dedicated power port and cabling provisions for EFBs. EFB power provisions should comply with the applicable airworthiness specifications. Connection of EFB power provisions to a non-essential, or to the least critical power bus, is recommended, so failure or malfunction of the EFB, or power supply, will not affect safe operation of aircraft critical or essential systems. Connection to more critical aircraft power buses is, however, permitted if appropriate, taking into account the intended function of the EFB.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	Further considerations can be found in Appendix J of this AMC. In all cases, an electrical load analysis should be conducted to replicate a typical EFB system to ensure that powering or charging the EFB will not adversely affect other aircraft systems and that power requirements remain within power-load budgets. The aircraft power source delivering power supply to the EFB system should be demonstrated to protect the aircraft electrical network from EFB system failures or malfunctions (e.g. short-circuit, over-voltages, over-load, electrical transients or harmonics, etc.). (b) The EFB power source should be designed so that it may be deactivated at any time. If the flight crew cannot quickly remove the plug, which is used to connect the EFB to the aircraft electrical network, an alternate means should be provided to quickly stop powering and charging the EFB. Circuit breakers are not to be used as switches; their use for this purpose is prohibited.				
6.1.1.1.3 (c)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. Power Source. This section applies to design considerations for installing dedicated power port and cabling provisions for EFBs. EFB power provisions should comply with the applicable airworthiness specifications. Connection of EFB power provisions to a non-essential, or to the least critical power bus, is recommended, so failure or malfunction of the EFB, or power supply, will not affect safe operation of aircraft critical or essential systems. Connection to more critical aircraft power buses is, however, permitted if appropriate, taking into account the intended function of the EFB. Further considerations can be found in Appendix J of this AMC. In all cases, an electrical load analysis should be conducted to replicate a typical EFB system to ensure that powering or charging the EFB will not adversely affect other aircraft systems and that power requirements remain within power-load budgets. The aircraft power source delivering power supply to the EFB system should be demonstrated to protect the aircraft electrical network from EFB system failures or malfunctions (e.g. short-circuit, over-voltages, over-load, electrical transients or harmonics, etc.). (c) If a manual means (e.g. on/off switch) is used, this means should be clearly labelled and be readily accessible.				
6.1.1.1.3 (d)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. Power Source. This section applies to design considerations for installing dedicated power port and cabling provisions for EFBs. EFB power provisions should comply with the applicable airworthiness specifications. Connection of EFB power provisions to a non-essential, or to the least critical power bus, is recommended, so				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	failure or malfunction of the EFB, or power supply, will not affect safe operation of aircraft critical or essential systems. Connection to more critical aircraft power buses is, however, permitted if appropriate, taking into account the intended function of the EFB. Further considerations can be found in Appendix J of this AMC. In all cases, an electrical load analysis should be conducted to replicate a typical EFB system to ensure that powering or charging the EFB will not adversely affect other aircraft systems and that power requirements remain within power-load budgets. The aircraft power source delivering power supply to the EFB system should be demonstrated to protect the aircraft electrical network from EFB system failures or malfunctions (e.g. short-circuit, over-voltages, over-load, electrical transients or harmonics, etc.). (d) If an automatic means is used, the applicant should describe the intended function and the design of the automatic feature and should substantiate that the objective of deactivating the EFB power source, when required to maintain safety, is fulfilled. Further considerations can be found in 6.1.1.1.5 which deals with connecting cables.				
6, 6.1.1.1.4 (a)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. EFB data connectivity. Portable EFB having data connectivity to aircraft systems, either wired or wireless, may receive or transmit data to and from aircraft systems, provided the connection (hardware and software for data connection provisions) and adequate interface protection devices are incorporated into the aircraft type design. A portable EFB can receive any data from aircraft systems, but data transmission from EFB is limited to: (a) systems whose failures have no safety effect or minor safety effect at aircraft level (e.g. printer or ACARS);				
6, 6.1.1.1.4 (b)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. EFB data connectivity. Portable EFB having data connectivity to aircraft systems, either wired or wireless, may receive or transmit data to and from aircraft systems, provided the connection (hardware and software for data connection provisions) and adequate interface protection devices are incorporated into the aircraft type design. A portable EFB can receive any data from aircraft systems, but data transmission from EFB is limited to: (b) aircraft systems which have been certified with the purpose of providing connectivity to PEDs (e.g. SATCOM with a router) in accordance with the limitations established in the AFM;				
6, 6.1.1.1.4 (c)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. EFB data connectivity. Portable EFB having data connectivity to aircraft				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	systems, either wired or wireless, may receive or transmit data to and from aircraft systems, provided the connection (hardware and software for data connection provisions) and adequate interface protection devices are incorporated into the aircraft type design. A portable EFB can receive any data from aircraft systems, but data transmission from EFB is limited to: (c) systems which are completely isolated (in both directions) from the certified aircraft systems (e.g. a transmission media that receives and transmits data for Aircraft Administrative Communications (AAC) purposes on the ground only);				
6, 6.1.1.1.4 (d)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. EFB data connectivity. Portable EFB having data connectivity to aircraft systems, either wired or wireless, may receive or transmit data to and from aircraft systems, provided the connection (hardware and software for data connection provisions) and adequate interface protection devices are incorporated into the aircraft type design. A portable EFB can receive any data from aircraft systems, but data transmission from EFB is limited to: (d) EFB system installed resources according to section 6.1.1.1. EFB data connectivity should be validated and verified to ensure non-interference and isolation from certified aircraft systems during data transmission and reception. The safety assessment of the EFB data connectivity installation should include an analysis of vulnerabilities to new threats that may be introduced by the connection of the EFB to the aircraft systems (malware and unauthorised access) and their effect on safety. This assessment is independent and does not take any credit from the operational assessment of EFB System Security (see section 7.9), which is intended to protect EFB systems themselves. Certified aircraft systems should not be adversely affected by EFB system failures. Any consequent airworthiness limitations should be included in the AFM (please refer to 6.1.2.1).				
6, 6.1.1.1.5 (a)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. Connecting cables. If cabling is installed to mate aircraft systems with an EFB, if the cable is not run inside the mount, the cable should not hang loosely in a way that compromises task performance and safety. Flight crew should be able to easily secure the cables out of the way during operations (e.g., cable tether straps);				
6, 6.1.1.1.5 (b)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. Connecting cables. If cabling is installed to mate aircraft systems with an EFB, (b) cables that are external to the mounting device should be of sufficient length in order not to obstruct the use of any movable				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	device on the flight crew compartment;				
6, 6.1.1.1.5 (c)	Hardware airworthiness approval. Installed resources. Connecting cables. If cabling is installed to mate aircraft systems with an EFB, (c) for Part-25 airplanes, installed cables are considered electrical wiring interconnection systems and, therefore, need to comply with CS 25 subpart H.				
6, 6.1.1.2 (a)	An installed EFB is considered as part of the aircraft and, therefore, requires a full airworthiness approval. This host platform includes the Operating System (OS). The assessment of compliance with the airworthiness requirements would typically include two specific areas: (a) the safety assessment addressing failure conditions of the EFB system hardware, of any certified application (or applications ineligible as Type A and/or Type B) installed on the EFB and the partition provided for uncertified applications and miscellaneous non-EFB applications;				
6, 6.1.1.2 (b)	An installed EFB is considered as part of the aircraft and, therefore, requires a full airworthiness approval. This host platform includes the Operating System (OS). The assessment of compliance with the airworthiness requirements would typically include two specific areas: hardware and operating system software qualification conducted in accordance with the necessary Development Assurance Level (DAL) for the system and its interfaces.				
6, 6.1.2.1 (a)	Certification documentation - Aircraft flight manual. For installed EFB and certified installed resources, the AFM section or an Aircraft Flight Manual Supplement (AFMS) should contain: a statement of the limited scope of the airworthiness approval of EFBs provisions (e.g. these EFB provisions are only intended for Type A and Type B applications in accordance with this AMC 20-25. The airworthiness approval does not replace the operational assessment for the use of the EFB system).				
6, 6.1.2.1 (b)	Certification documentation - Aircraft flight manual. For installed EFB and certified installed resources, the AFM section or an Aircraft Flight Manual Supplement (AFMS) should contain: identification of the installed equipment which may include a very brief description of the installed system or resources;				
6, 6.1.2.1 (c ) (1)	Certification documentation - Aircraft flight manual. For installed EFB and certified installed resources, the AFM section or an Aircraft Flight Manual Supplement (AFMS) should contain: appropriate amendments or supplements to cover any limitations concerning: the use of the EFB host platform for installed EFB system				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
6, 6.1.2.1 ( c) (2)	Certification documentation - Aircraft flight manual. For installed EFB and certified installed resources, the AFM section or an Aircraft Flight Manual Supplement (AFMS) should contain: appropriate amendments or supplements to cover any limitations concerning: the use of the installed EFB provisions/resources for portable EFB system. For this purpose, the AFM(S) should make reference to any guidelines (relevant to the airworthiness approval), intended primarily for EFB software application developers or EFB system suppliers.				
6, 6.1.2.2 (a)	Guidelines for EFB software application developers (Installed EFB and certified installed resources). TC/STC holders for EFB installed resources or installed EFBs should compile and maintain a guidelines document to provide a set of limitations, considerations, and guidelines to design, develop, and integrate software applications into the installed EFB or with certified resources for portable EFB. The guideline should address, at least, the following: a description of the architecture for the EFB installed components				
6, 6.1.2.2 (b)	Guidelines for EFB software application developers (Installed EFB and certified installed resources). TC/STC holders for EFB installed resources or installed EFBs should compile and maintain a guidelines document to provide a set of limitations, considerations, and guidelines to design, develop, and integrate software applications into the installed EFB or with certified resources for portable EFB. The guideline should address, at least, the following: The EFB component Development Assurance Level (DAL) and any assumptions, limitations, or risk mitigations means necessary to support this;				
6, 6.1.2.2 (c)	Guidelines for EFB software application developers (Installed EFB and certified installed resources). TC/STC holders for EFB installed resources or installed EFBs should compile and maintain a guidelines document to provide a set of limitations, considerations, and guidelines to design, develop, and integrate software applications into the installed EFB or with certified resources for portable EFB. The guideline should address, at least, the following: information necessary to ensure development of a software application consistent with the avionics interface and the human machine interface, that is also accurate, reliable, secure, testable, and maintainable				
6, 6.1.2.2 (d)	Guidelines for EFB software application developers (Installed EFB and certified installed resources). TC/STC holders for EFB installed resources or installed EFBs should compile and maintain a guidelines document to provide a set of limitations, considerations,				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	and guidelines to design, develop, and integrate software applications into the installed EFB or with certified resources for portable EFB. The guideline should address, at least, the following: integration procedures between any new software application with those already approved				
6, 6.1.2.2 (e)	Guidelines for EFB software application developers (Installed EFB and certified installed resources). TC/STC holders for EFB installed resources or installed EFBs should compile and maintain a guidelines document to provide a set of limitations, considerations, and guidelines to design, develop, and integrate software applications into the installed EFB or with certified resources for portable EFB. The guideline should address, at least, the following: guidelines on how to integrate any new software application into the installed platform or installed resources. The guidelines document should be available, at least, to the aircraft operator, to the competent authority, and to the Agency				
6, 6.1.2.3 (a) (1)	Guidelines for EFB system suppliers (installed resources for portable EFBs). TC/STC holders for installed resources of portable EFBs should provide a set of requirements and guidelines to integrate the portable EFB in the installed provisions, and to design and develop EFB software applications. Guidelines intended primarily for use by the EFB system supplier, should address, at least, the following: A description of the installed EFB resources and associated limitations, if any. For example: intended function, limitations of use, etc.				
6, 6.1.2.3 (a) (2)	Guidelines for EFB system suppliers (installed resources for portable EFBs). TC/STC holders for installed resources of portable EFBs should provide a set of requirements and guidelines to integrate the portable EFB in the installed provisions, and to design and develop EFB software applications. Guidelines intended primarily for use by the EFB system supplier, should address, at least, the following: A description of the installed EFB resources and associated limitations, if any. For example: characteristics of the mounting devices, display units, control and pointing devices, printer, etc.;				
6, 6.1.2.3 (a) (3)	Guidelines for EFB system suppliers (installed resources for portable EFBs). TC/STC holders for installed resources of portable EFBs should provide a set of requirements and guidelines to integrate the portable EFB in the installed provisions, and to design and develop EFB software applications. Guidelines intended primarily for use by the EFB system supplier, should address, at least, the following: A description of the installed EFB resources				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	and associated limitations, if any. For example: maximum authorised characteristics (dimensions, weight, etc.) of the portable parts of the EFB system supported by the mounting devices;				
6, 6.1.2.3 (a) (4)	Guidelines for EFB system suppliers (installed resources for portable EFBs). TC/STC holders for installed resources of portable EFBs should provide a set of requirements and guidelines to integrate the portable EFB in the installed provisions, and to design and develop EFB software applications. Guidelines intended primarily for use by the EFB system supplier, should address, at least, the following: A description of the installed EFB resources and associated limitations, if any. For example: EFB provisions architecture description, including normal/abnormal/manual/automatic reconfigurations				
6, 6.1.2.3 (a) (5)	Guidelines for EFB system suppliers (installed resources for portable EFBs). TC/STC holders for installed resources of portable EFBs should provide a set of requirements and guidelines to integrate the portable EFB in the installed provisions, and to design and develop EFB software applications. Guidelines intended primarily for use by the EFB system supplier, should address, at least, the following: A description of the installed EFB resources and associated limitations, if any. For example: normal/abnormal/emergency/maintenance procedures including allowed phases of the flight.				
6, 6.1.2.3 (b) (1)	Guidelines for EFB system suppliers (installed resources for portable EFBs). TC/STC holders for installed resources of portable EFBs should provide a set of requirements and guidelines to integrate the portable EFB in the installed provisions, and to design and develop EFB software applications. Guidelines intended primarily for use by the EFB system supplier, should address, at least, the following: Characteristics and limitations, including safety and security considerations concerning: power supply				
6, 6.1.2.3 (b) (2)	Guidelines for EFB system suppliers (installed resources for portable EFBs). TC/STC holders for installed resources of portable EFBs should provide a set of requirements and guidelines to integrate the portable EFB in the installed provisions, and to design and develop EFB software applications. Guidelines intended primarily for use by the EFB system supplier, should address, at least, the following: Characteristics and limitations, including safety and security considerations concerning: laptop battery				
6, 6.1.2.3 (b) (3)	Guidelines for EFB system suppliers (installed resources for portable EFBs). TC/STC holders for installed resources of portable EFBs should provide a set of requirements and guidelines to				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	integrate the portable EFB in the installed provisions, and to design and develop EFB software applications. Guidelines intended primarily for use by the EFB system supplier, should address, at least, the following: Characteristics and limitations, including safety and security considerations concerning: data connectivity. The guidelines document should be available at least to the operator, the competent authority and the Agency.				
6, 6.2.1	Operational assessment - Hardware operational assessment. The hardware operational assessment is focussed on the portable EFB platforms which do not require an airworthiness approval. Paragraphs 6.2.1.1 through 6.2.1.6 need to be assessed where applicable prior to the operational use of the portable EFB. Additionally, paragraph 6.1.1.1 contains considerations for installed resources. When any of those resources are not certified but are parts of the portable EFB, relevant criteria need to be assessed prior to the operational use.				
6, 6.2.1.1	Operational assessment - Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) demonstrations. It is the user's/operator's responsibility to determine that the operation of a PED will not interfere in any way with the operation of aircraft equipment. which remain on (or in standby mode) during critical phases of the flight, require the additional guidance for non-interference testing contained in subparagraph 6.2.1.1.1 and if applicable 6.2.1.1.2. Further considerations can be found in CAT.GEN.MPA.140. If some part of the testing (for example 6.2.1.1.2(i) Test Requirement 1) has been completed during the certification of the aircraft, the corresponding TC or STC data can be used as supporting material to demonstrate safe operational use.				
6, 6.2.1.1.1 (a) (1)	PED non-interference compliance test method. In order to operate a PED during all phases of the flight, the user/operator is responsible for ensuring that the PED will not interfere in any way with the operation of aircraft equipment. The following methods are applicable to portable EFBs which remain 'on' (or in standby mode) during critical phases of the flight. The user/operator may use either Method 1 or Method 2 for non-interference testing. The two following steps complete Method 1 for compliance with PED non-interference testing for all phases of the flight. Step 1 is to conduct an EMI test in accordance with ED-14()/DO-160(), section 21, category M. An EFB vendor or other source can conduct this Step 1 test for an EFB user/operator. An evaluation of the results of the ED-14()/DO-160() EMI test can be used to determine if an adequate margin exists between the EMI emitted by the PED and				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	the interference susceptibility threshold of aircraft equipment. If Step 1 testing determines that adequate margins exist for all interference (both front door and back door susceptibility), then Method 1 is complete. It is necessary to complete Step 2 testing if Step 1 testing identifies inadequate margins for interference, or either front door or back door susceptibility. (Front door emissions couple to aircraft system antennas by means of propagation through aircraft apertures such as doors and windows. Back door emissions couple to aircraft equipment, wires, and cables.).				
6, 6.2.1.1.1 (a) (2)	PED non-interference compliance test method. In order to operate a PED during all phases of the flight, the user/operator is responsible for ensuring that the PED will not interfere in any way with the operation of aircraft equipment. The following methods are applicable to portable EFBs which remain 'on' (or in standby mode) during critical phases of the flight. The user/operator may use either Method 1 or Method 2 for non-interference testing. The two following steps complete Method 1 for compliance with PED non-interference testing for all phases of the flight. Step 2 testing is specific to each aircraft model in which the PED will be operated. Test the specific PED equipment in operation on the aircraft to show that no interference of aircraft equipment occurs from the operation of the PED. Step 2 testing is conducted in an actual aircraft, and credit may be given to other similarly equipped aircraft of the same make and model as the one tested.				
6, 6.2.1.1.1 (b)	PED non-interference compliance test method. In order to operate a PED during all phases of the flight, the user/operator is responsible for ensuring that the PED will not interfere in any way with the operation of aircraft equipment. The following methods are applicable to portable EFBs which remain 'on' (or in standby mode) during critical phases of the flight. The user/operator may use either Method 1 or Method 2 for non-interference testing. Method 2 for compliance with PED non-interference testing for all phases of the flight is a complete test in each aircraft using standard industry practices. This should be to the extent normally considered acceptable for non-interference testing of a PED in an aircraft for all phases of the flight. Credit may be given to other aircraft of the same make and model equipped with the same avionics as the one tested.				
6, 6.2.1.1.2 (a)	Additional T-PED non-interference compliance test method. In order to activate the transmitting capability of the EFB during flight in other conditions than those certified at aircraft level (e.g. tolerance to specific T-PED models) and, hence, documented in				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	the AFM, or equivalent document, the user/operator is responsible to ensure that the T-PED will not interfere with the operation of the aircraft equipment in any way. Non-interference testing for T-PEDs consists of two separate test requirements: Test Requirement 1. Each T-PED model should have an assessment of potential electro-magnetic interferences (EMI) based on a representative sample of the frequency and power output of the T-PED. This EMI assessment should be in accordance with applicable processes set forth in ED-130()/DO-294(). The applicable DO-160() section 21 Category to be considered in the ED-130() process for an EFB used as a T-PED, is Cat M. This EMI assessment should confirm that no interference with aircraft equipment will occur as a result of intentional transmissions from these devices.				
6, 6.2.1.1.2 (b)	Additional T-PED non-interference compliance test method. In order to activate the transmitting capability of the EFB during flight in other conditions than those certified at aircraft level (e.g. tolerance to specific T-PED models) and, hence, documented in the AFM, or equivalent document, the user/operator is responsible to ensure that the T-PED will not interfere with the operation of the aircraft equipment in any way. Non-interference testing for T-PEDs consists of two separate test requirements: Test Requirement 2. Once an EMI assessment determines there will be no interference from the T-PED's intentional transmissions, test each T-PED model while powered but not deliberately transmitting using either Method 1 or Method 2 for basic non-interference testing requirements. This basic non-interference testing is applicable to both an EFB-integrated T-PED and a T-PED that is remote to an EFB. When an EFB has an integrated T-PED, complete the basic non-interference testing both with and without the T-PED transmit function being operative. If a T-PED is located remotely from the EFB, the T-PED basic non-interference testing is independent from the EFB non-interference testing. T-PED position is very critical to T-PED non-interference testing. Clearly define and adhere to the operating/testing locations of a T-PED in T-PED operating procedures. Any restriction in the use of the transmitting capability should be documented in the operator manual.				
6, 6.2.1.2 (a)	Due to their proximity to the flight crew and potential hazard to safe operation of the aircraft, the use of rechargeable lithium-type batteries in portable EFBs located in the aircraft cockpit call for the following standards. Operators should collect and retain evidence of the following testing standards to determine whether rechargeable lithium-type batteries used to power EFBs are				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	acceptable for use and for recharging. Operators should collect and retain evidence of the standards in subparagraphs (a) and either (b) or (c) or (d). Refer to the following current editions: United Nations (UN) Transportation Regulations. UN ST/SG/AC.10/11/Rev.5-2009, Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods-Manual of Tests and Criteria.				
6, 6.2.1.2 (b)	Due to their proximity to the flight crew and potential hazard to safe operation of the aircraft, the use of rechargeable lithium-type batteries in portable EFBs located in the aircraft cockpit call for the following standards. Operators should collect and retain evidence of the following testing standards to determine whether rechargeable lithium-type batteries used to power EFBs are acceptable for use and for recharging. Operators should collect and retain evidence of the standards in subparagraphs (a) and either (b) or (c) or (d). Refer to the following current editions: Underwriters Laboratory (UL). UL 1642, Lithium Batteries; UL 2054, Household and Commercial Batteries; and UL 60950-1, Information Technology Equipment - Safety. NOTE: Compliance with UL 2054 indicates compliance with UL 1642.				
6, 6.2.1.2 (c )	Due to their proximity to the flight crew and potential hazard to safe operation of the aircraft, the use of rechargeable lithium-type batteries in portable EFBs located in the aircraft cockpit call for the following standards. Operators should collect and retain evidence of the following testing standards to determine whether rechargeable lithium-type batteries used to power EFBs are acceptable for use and for recharging. Operators should collect and retain evidence of the standards in subparagraphs (a) and either (b) or (c) or (d). Refer to the following current editions: International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC). International Standard IEC 62133, Secondary cells and batteries containing alkaline or other non-acid electrolytes - Safety requirements for portable sealed secondary cells, and for batteries made from them, for use in portable applications.				
6, 6.2.1.2 (d)	Due to their proximity to the flight crew and potential hazard to safe operation of the aircraft, the use of rechargeable lithium-type batteries in portable EFBs located in the aircraft cockpit call for the following standards. Operators should collect and retain evidence of the following testing standards to determine whether rechargeable lithium-type batteries used to power EFBs are acceptable for use and for recharging. Operators should collect and retain evidence of the standards in subparagraphs (a) and either (b) or (c) or (d). Refer to the following current editions: RTCA/DO-				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	311, Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Rechargeable Lithium Battery Systems. An appropriate airworthiness testing standard such as RTCA/DO-311 can be used to address concerns regarding overcharging, over-discharging, and the flammability of cell components. RTCA/DO-311 is intended to test permanently installed equipment; however, these tests are applicable and sufficient to test EFB rechargeable lithium-type batteries.				
6, 6.2.1.3 (a) (1)	Power source. Portable EFB system design must consider the source of electrical power, the independence of the power sources for multiple EFBs, and the potential need for an independent battery source. A non-exhaustive list of factors to be considered includes: The possibility to adopt operational procedures to assure an adequate level of safety (for example minimum level of charge at pre-flight);				
6, 6.2.1.3 (a) (2)	Power source. Portable EFB system design must consider the source of electrical power, the independence of the power sources for multiple EFBs, and the potential need for an independent battery source. A non-exhaustive list of factors to be considered includes: The possible redundancy of portable EFBs to reduce the risk of exhausted batteries				
6, 6.2.1.3 (a) (3)	Power source. Portable EFB system design must consider the source of electrical power, the independence of the power sources for multiple EFBs, and the potential need for an independent battery source. A non-exhaustive list of factors to be considered includes: The availability of back up battery packs to assure an alternative source of power				
6, 6.2.1.3 (b)	Power source. Battery-powered EFBs that have aircraft power available for recharging the internal EFB battery (see also 6.1.1.1.3) are considered to have a suitable backup power source.				
6, 6.2.1.3 (c)	Power source. For EFBs having an internal battery power source and that are used in place of paper products required by the operating rules, the operator should either have at least one EFB connected to an aircraft power bus or established and documented mitigation means and procedures to ensure that sufficient power will be available during the whole flight with acceptable margins.				
6, 6.2.1.3 (d)	Power source. For guidance on the design and installation of aircraft electrical power sources see section 6.1.1.1.3.				
6, 6.2.1.3 (e)	Power source. If the aircraft is equipped with electrical power outlet(s) in the cockpit, the operator should ensure that their certified characteristics are compatible with the intended use for the				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	system. The powering or charging of the EFB system should be compatible with the electrical characteristics of the power supplied by the outlets in terms of power consumption, voltage, frequency, etc. in order not to impair the EFB system or other aircraft systems.				
6, 6.2.1.4 (a)	Environmental testing. Environmental testing, in particular testing for rapid depressurisation, may need to be performed when the EFB host applications that are required to be used during flight following a rapid depressurisation, and/or when the EFB environmental operational range is potentially insufficient with respect to the foreseeable cockpit operating conditions. However, since many portable EFB devices were originally consumer electronic systems accepted for aviation use, testing done on a specific EFB model configuration may be applied to other aircraft installations and these generic environmental tests may not need to be duplicated. The operator should collect and retain:				
6, 6.2.1.4 (b)	Environmental testing. Environmental testing, in particular testing for rapid depressurisation, may need to be performed when the EFB host applications that are required to be used during flight following a rapid depressurisation, and/or when the EFB environmental operational range is potentially insufficient with respect to the foreseeable cockpit operating conditions. However, since many portable EFB devices were originally consumer electronic systems accepted for aviation use, testing done on a specific EFB model configuration may be applied to other aircraft installations and these generic environmental tests may not need to be duplicated. The operator should collect and retain: evidence of these tests that have already been accomplished suitable alternate procedures to deal with the total loss of the EFB system. Further considerations can be found in Appendix K of this AMC. Testing for rapid depressurisation may need to be repeated when the EFB model identification changes, or the battery type is changed. This testing is not equivalent to a full environmental qualification. Operators should account for the possible loss or erroneous functioning of the EFB in abnormal environmental conditions (see 7.2.2). The safe stowage and the use of the EFB under any foreseeable cockpit environmental conditions, including turbulences, should be evaluated.				
6, 6.2.1.5	Display characteristics. Even though a portable EFB is not certified, the display characteristics should be considered during the operational assessment process. For that purpose, the material from 6.1.1.1.2 (a) and (b) apply. For a portable EFB which is neither mounted nor stowed (e.g. handheld, or sitting on the pilot				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	tray), the considerations on the location of the display proposed in 6.1.1.1.2 should apply at the proposed location of the display when the EFB is in use.				
6, 6.2.1.6	Viewable stowage. The viewable stowage should comply to 6.1.1.1.1. The evaluation of the viewable stowage should be performed for a given location in the flight deck. This location should be documented and this information should be part of the EFB policy. Some types of viewable stowage securing means may have characteristics that degrade sensibly with ageing or due to various environmental factors. In that case, the documentation should include procedures (e.g. crew procedures, checks, or maintenance actions) to ensure that the stowage characteristics remain within acceptable limits for the proposed operations. Securing means based on vacuum (e.g. suction cups) have a holding capacity that decreases with pressure. It should be demonstrated that they will still perform their intended function at operating cabin altitudes or in case of rapid depressurisation. In addition, it should be demonstrated that if the EFB moves or is separated from its stowage, or if the viewable stowage is unsecured from the aircraft (as a result of turbulence, manoeuvring, or other action), it will not jam flight controls, damage flight deck equipment, or injure flight crew members.				
6, 6.2.2.1	Software operational assessment. Type A software applications. Type A software applications never require an operational approval, but should follow the HMI and human factors guidance material provided in Appendix D. Type A applications hosted in portable EFB can be used by properly trained pilots when exercising their privileges.				
6, 6.2.2.2	Type B software applications. Type B software applications do not require airworthiness approval, but should be assessed through the process presented in section 7.2. The operator responsible for the evaluation should collect and retain the documentation listed in Appendix F. The list of Type B software application that require a documented evaluation is provided in Appendix B.				
6, 6.2.2.3	Miscellaneous (non-EFB) software applications. The use of miscellaneous software applications is out of the scope of this document, but is subject to the applicable operational rules. The EFB administrator should ensure that miscellaneous software applications do not adversely impact the operation of the EFB (refer to paragraph 7.11) and include miscellaneous software in the scope of EFB configuration management. The configuration of those applications (e.g. applications updates, installation of new				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	<p>applications) has to be managed by the EFB administrator. This does not preclude that EFB devices from being allocated to specific crew members. However, and only in the cases where it is demonstrated that miscellaneous software applications run in a fully segregated and partitioned way compared to EFB or avionics applications (e.g. on a separate Operating System on a distinct 'personal' hard drive partition that is selected at the boot), the administration of these miscellaneous applications can be exercised by the flight crew and not by the EFB administrator. Examples of miscellaneous software applications are: web browser (not used for operational purposes), e-mail client, picture management application, or even applications used by ground crews (e.g. for maintenance purposes).</p>				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 7 OPERATIONAL ASSESSMENT PROCESSES</b>					
7, 7	The operator should ensure the continued compliance of the EFB software package with this AMC. When an aircraft manufacturer is seeking an operational evaluation of an EFB system or component of an EFB system prior to an operator carrying out the operational assessment, the manufacturer may file an application for an evaluation by the Agency. The operator may demonstrate the fidelity and reliability of the system in different ways, but a detailed EFB risk assessment and suitable means of mitigation against failure or malfunction are required. Operators or EFB system suppliers, if deemed appropriate, may ask evaluations by the Agency. Those evaluations will assess compliance with this AMC. The operator may choose to keep the paper backup as a cross-check against the EFB information and as a means of mitigation against failure. A combination of solutions, with limited on board paper backup, may also be used. The scope of the final operational evaluation test (see paragraph 7.14) will depend on the selected solutions. The air operations requirements do not foresee a prior approval of EFB. However, the competent authority may, through the change management procedure, require the operator to notify any change concerning EFB4. Modifications and amendments of database and/or software may also be required by the competent authority. The operator should ensure that these modifications and amendments are incorporated and they follow the revision control procedures specified in paragraph 7.11.1.				
7, 7.1	Role of the EFB system supplier. As stated in paragraph 7, the operator should ensure as well the compliance of the initial EFB software package (batch) with this AMC at the time it is delivered. However, an EFB system supplier may apply for an Agency evaluation to assess conformity against this AMC, to simplify the operator's assessment process.				
7, 7.2.1 (a)	Risk assessment for EFB systems. General. Prior to the entry into operation of any EFB system, the operator should carry out a risk assessment as part of its hazard identification and risk management process required by ORO.GEN.200. The risk assessment should: evaluate the risks associated with the use of an EFB and to define the appropriate mitigation;				
7, 7.2.1 (b)	Risk assessment for EFB systems. General. Prior to the entry into operation of any EFB system, the operator should carry out a risk assessment as part of its hazard identification and risk management process required by ORO.GEN.200. The risk				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	assessment should: identify potential losses of function or malfunction (detected and undetected erroneous output) and associated failure scenarios				
7, 7.2.1 (c)	Risk assessment for EFB systems. General. Prior to the entry into operation of any EFB system, the operator should carry out a risk assessment as part of its hazard identification and risk management process required by ORO.GEN.200. The risk assessment should: analyse the operational consequences of these failure scenarios				
7, 7.2.1 (d)	Risk assessment for EFB systems. General. Prior to the entry into operation of any EFB system, the operator should carry out a risk assessment as part of its hazard identification and risk management process required by ORO.GEN.200. The risk assessment should: establish mitigating measures				
7, 7.2.1 (e)	Risk assessment for EFB systems. General. Prior to the entry into operation of any EFB system, the operator should carry out a risk assessment as part of its hazard identification and risk management process required by ORO.GEN.200. The risk assessment should: ensure that the EFB system (hardware and software) achieves at least the same level of accessibility, usability, and reliability as the means of presentation it replaces. In considering the accessibility, usability, and reliability of the EFB system, the operator should ensure that the failure of the complete EFB system as well as individual applications, including corruption or loss of data and erroneously displayed information, has been assessed and that the risks have been mitigated to an acceptable level. This risk assessment should be defined before the beginning of the trial period and should be amended accordingly, if necessary, at the end of this trial period. The results of the trial should establish the configuration and use of the system. When the EFB system is intended for introduction alongside a paper-based system, only the failures that would not be mitigated by the use of the paper-based system need to be addressed. In all other cases, and especially when an accelerated introduction with a reduced trial period (as defined in 7.14) or paperless entry-into-service of a new EFB system is intended, a complete risk assessment should be carried out.				
7, 7.2.2 (a)	Some EFB applications parameters may depend on crew/dispatchers entries whereas others may be parameters defaulted from within the system and subject to an administration process (e.g. the runway line-up allowance in an aircraft performance application). In the first case, mitigation means would				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	concern mainly training and crew procedures aspects whereas in the second case, mitigation means would more likely focus on administrator and data management aspects. The analysis should be specific to the operator concerned and should address at least the following points: Minimisation of undetected erroneous application output and assessment of worst case scenario;				
7, 7.2.2 (b) (1)	Some EFB applications parameters may depend on crew/dispatchers entries whereas others may be parameters defaulted from within the system and subject to an administration process (e.g. the runway line-up allowance in an aircraft performance application). In the first case, mitigation means would concern mainly training and crew procedures aspects whereas in the second case, mitigation means would more likely focus on administrator and data management aspects. The analysis should be specific to the operator concerned and should address at least the following points: Erroneous outputs from the software application including: description of corruption scenarios;				
7, 7.2.2 (b) (2)	Some EFB applications parameters may depend on crew/dispatchers entries whereas others may be parameters defaulted from within the system and subject to an administration process (e.g. the runway line-up allowance in an aircraft performance application). In the first case, mitigation means would concern mainly training and crew procedures aspects whereas in the second case, mitigation means would more likely focus on administrator and data management aspects. The analysis should be specific to the operator concerned and should address at least the following points: Erroneous outputs from the software application including: description of mitigation means				
7, 7.2.2 ( c) (1)	Some EFB applications parameters may depend on crew/dispatchers entries whereas others may be parameters defaulted from within the system and subject to an administration process (e.g. the runway line-up allowance in an aircraft performance application). In the first case, mitigation means would concern mainly training and crew procedures aspects whereas in the second case, mitigation means would more likely focus on administrator and data management aspects. The analysis should be specific to the operator concerned and should address at least the following points: Upstream processes including: reliability of root data used in applications (qualified/verified input data);				
7, 7.2.2 ( c) (2)	Some EFB applications parameters may depend on crew/dispatchers entries whereas others may be parameters defaulted from within the system and subject to an administration				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	process (e.g. the runway line-up allowance in an aircraft performance application). In the first case, mitigation means would concern mainly training and crew procedures aspects whereas in the second case, mitigation means would more likely focus on administrator and data management aspects. The analysis should be specific to the operator concerned and should address at least the following points: Upstream processes including: software application validation and verification checks according to appropriate industry standards				
7, 7.2.2 ( c) (3)	Some EFB applications parameters may depend on crew/dispatchers entries whereas others may be parameters defaulted from within the system and subject to an administration process (e.g. the runway line-up allowance in an aircraft performance application). In the first case, mitigation means would concern mainly training and crew procedures aspects whereas in the second case, mitigation means would more likely focus on administrator and data management aspects. The analysis should be specific to the operator concerned and should address at least the following points: Upstream processes including: independence between application software, e.g. robust partitioning between Type A, B and other certified SW applications				
7, 7.2.2 ( d)	Some EFB applications parameters may depend on crew/dispatchers entries whereas others may be parameters defaulted from within the system and subject to an administration process (e.g. the runway line-up allowance in an aircraft performance application). In the first case, mitigation means would concern mainly training and crew procedures aspects whereas in the second case, mitigation means would more likely focus on administrator and data management aspects. The analysis should be specific to the operator concerned and should address at least the following points: Description of the mitigation means following detected loss of application, or detected erroneous output due to internal EFB error				
7, 7.2.2 ( e)	Some EFB applications parameters may depend on crew/dispatchers entries whereas others may be parameters defaulted from within the system and subject to an administration process (e.g. the runway line-up allowance in an aircraft performance application). In the first case, mitigation means would concern mainly training and crew procedures aspects whereas in the second case, mitigation means would more likely focus on administrator and data management aspects. The analysis should be specific to the operator concerned and should address at least				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	the following points: Need to access to an alternate power supply, in order to achieve an acceptable level of safety for certain software applications, especially if used as a source of required information. As part of the mitigation means, the operator should consider establishing a reliable alternative means of providing the information available on the EFB system. The mitigation means could be, for example, one or a combination of the following: (a) system design (including hardware and software); (b) alternative EFB possibly supplied from a different power source; (c) EFB applications hosted on more than one platform; (d) paper backup (e.g. Quick Reference Handbook (QRH)); (e) procedural means; (f) training; and (g) administration. EFB system design features such as those assuring data integrity and the accuracy of performance calculations (e.g. a 'reasonableness' or 'range' check) may be integrated in the risk assessment performed by the operator. When relevant, the EFB system supplier may also apply this risk assessment methodology to allow the operational environment to be taken into account and to support the development of the risk assessment by the operator.				
7, 7.3 (a)	Changes to EFB. Modifications to an EFB may have to be introduced, either by the EFB system suppliers, the EFB applications developers, or by the operator itself. The modifications which: do not bring any change to the calculation algorithm and/or to the HMI of a type B application,				
7, 7.3 (b)	Changes to EFB. Modifications to an EFB may have to be introduced, either by the EFB system suppliers, the EFB applications developers, or by the operator itself. The modifications which: introduce a new Type A application or modify an existing one (provided its software classification remains Type A),				
7, 7.3 (c)	Changes to EFB. Modifications to an EFB may have to be introduced, either by the EFB system suppliers, the EFB applications developers, or by the operator itself. The modifications which: do not introduce any additional functionality to an existing Type B application				
7, 7.3 (d)	Changes to EFB. Modifications to an EFB may have to be introduced, either by the EFB system suppliers, the EFB applications developers, or by the operator itself. The modifications which: update an existing database necessary to use an existing Type B				
7, 7.3 (d) (a)	Changes to EFB. Modifications to an EFB may have to be introduced, either by the EFB system suppliers, the EFB applications developers, or by the operator itself. The modifications				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	which may be introduced by the operator without the need to notify the competent authority. These changes should, nevertheless, be controlled and properly tested prior to use in flight. The modifications in the following non-exhaustive list are considered to meet these criteria: Operating system updates				
7, 7.3 (d) (b)	Changes to EFB. Modifications to an EFB may have to be introduced, either by the EFB system suppliers, the EFB applications developers, or by the operator itself. The modifications which may be introduced by the operator without the need to notify the competent authority. These changes should, nevertheless, be controlled and properly tested prior to use in flight. The modifications in the following non-exhaustive list are considered to meet these criteria: Chart or airport database update				
7, 7.3 (d) (c)	Changes to EFB. Modifications to an EFB may have to be introduced, either by the EFB system suppliers, the EFB applications developers, or by the operator itself. The modifications which may be introduced by the operator without the need to notify the competent authority. These changes should, nevertheless, be controlled and properly tested prior to use in flight. The modifications in the following non-exhaustive list are considered to meet these criteria: Update to introduce fixes (patch);				
7, 7.3 (d) (d)	Changes to EFB. Modifications to an EFB may have to be introduced, either by the EFB system suppliers, the EFB applications developers, or by the operator itself. The modifications which may be introduced by the operator without the need to notify the competent authority. These changes should, nevertheless, be controlled and properly tested prior to use in flight. The modifications in the following non-exhaustive list are considered to meet these criteria: Type A application installation and modification. For all other types of modification, the operator should apply the change management procedure approved by the competent authority in accordance with rule ARO.GEN.310(c).				
7,7.4 (a)	Dispatch considerations. The operator should establish dispatch criteria for EFB system. The operator should ensure that the availability of the EFB system is confirmed by pre-flight checks. Instructions to flight crew should clearly define the actions to be taken in the event of any EFB system deficiency. Mitigation may be in the form of maintenance and/or operational procedures such as: (a) replacement of batteries at defined intervals as required;				
7,7.4 (b)	Dispatch considerations. The operator should establish dispatch criteria for EFB system. The operator should ensure that the availability of the EFB system is confirmed by pre-flight checks.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	Instructions to flight crew should clearly define the actions to be taken in the event of any EFB system deficiency. Mitigation may be in the form of maintenance and/or operational procedures such as: fully charged backup battery on board;				
7,7.4 (c)	Dispatch considerations. The operator should establish dispatch criteria for EFB system. The operator should ensure that the availability of the EFB system is confirmed by pre-flight checks. Instructions to flight crew should clearly define the actions to be taken in the event of any EFB system deficiency. Mitigation may be in the form of maintenance and/or operational procedures such as: procedures for the flight crew to check the battery charging level before departure				
7,7.4 (d)	Dispatch considerations. The operator should establish dispatch criteria for EFB system. The operator should ensure that the availability of the EFB system is confirmed by pre-flight checks. Instructions to flight crew should clearly define the actions to be taken in the event of any EFB system deficiency. Mitigation may be in the form of maintenance and/or operational procedures such as: procedures for the flight crew to switch off the EFB in a timely manner when the aircraft power source is lost.				
7, 7.5	Human factors assessment. The operator should carry out an assessment of the human machine interface, installation, and aspects governing Crew Resource Management (CRM) when using the EFB system. Elements to be assessed are provided in Appendix D. In addition to any possible already performed Agency assessment for which the operator may take credit, the human machine interface assessment should be carried by each operator for each kind of device and application installed on the EFB. Each operator should assess the integration of the EFB into the flight deck environment, considering both physical integration (anthropometrics, physical interferences, etc.) and cognitive ergonomics (compatibility of look and feel, workflows, alerting philosophy, etc.).				
7, 7.6	Specific Considerations for mass and balance and performance applications. A specific part of the evaluation will be dedicated to the verification that aircraft performance or mass and balance data provided by the application are correct in comparison with data derived from the AFM (or other appropriate sources) under a representative cross check of conditions (e.g. for performance applications: take-off and landing performance data on a dry, wet and contaminated runway, different wind conditions and aerodrome pressure altitudes, etc.). Further considerations regarding the				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	assessment can be found in Appendix F. The HMI training and crew procedures should as well be part of the evaluation. Where there is already a certified mass and balance and performance application (e.g. hosted in the FMS), the operator should ensure independence of EFB and avionics based algorithms or other appropriate means.				
7, 7.7.1	Flight crew operating procedures. Procedures for using EFB systems with other flight crew compartment systems. Procedures should be established to ensure that the flight crew know which aircraft system to use for a given purpose, including the EFB system. Procedures should define the actions to be taken by the flight crew when information provided by an EFB system is not consistent with that from other flight crew compartment sources, or when one EFB system shows different information than the other. If an EFB system generates information similar to that generated by existing automation, procedures should clearly identify which information source will be the primary, which source will be used for backup information, and under which conditions the backup source should be used.				
7, 7.7.2	Flight crew operating procedures. Flight crew awareness of EFB software/database revisions The operator should have a procedure in place to verify that the configuration of the EFB, including software application versions and, where applicable, database versions, are up to date. Flight crews should have the ability to easily verify database version effectivity on the EFB. Nevertheless, flight crews should not be required to confirm the revision dates for other databases that do not adversely affect flight operations, such as maintenance log forms or a list of airport codes. An example of a date-sensitive revision is that applied to an aeronautical chart database. Procedures should specify what actions should be taken if the software applications or databases loaded on the EFB system are out of date.				
7, 7.7.3	Flight crew operating procedures. Procedures to mitigate and/or control workload Procedures should be designed to mitigate and/or control additional workload created by using an EFB system. The operator should implement procedures that, while the aircraft is in flight or moving on the ground, flight crew members do not become preoccupied with the EFB system at the same time. Workload should be allocated between flight crew members to ensure ease of use and continued monitoring of other flight crew functions and aircraft equipment. These procedures should be strictly applied in flight and should specify the times at which the flight crew may not				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	use the EFB system.				
7, 7.7.4	Flight crew operating procedures. Defining flight crew responsibilities for performance calculations Procedures should be established to define any new roles that the flight crew and dispatch office may have in creating, reviewing, and using performance calculations supported by EFB systems.				
7, 7.8	Flight crew operating procedures. Compliance monitoring. The operator should include the EFB system in its compliance monitoring programme that is required in accordance with ORO.GEN.200. The purpose is to provide confidence that EFB operations and administration are conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements, standards, and operational procedures.				
7, 7.9 (a)	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: if an EFB is based on consumer electronics, e.g. a laptop, which can be easily removed, manipulated, or replaced by a similar component, then special consideration should be shown to the physical security of the hardware				
7, 7.9 (b)	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: portable EFB platforms should be subject to allocation tracking to specific aircraft or persons;				
7, 7.9 (c)	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where a system has input ports and especially if widely known protocols are using these ports or internet connections are offered, then special consideration should be shown to the risks associated with these ports;				
7, 7.9 (d) (a)	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list: (a) Individual system firewalls				
7, 7.9 (d) (b)	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list: Clustering of systems with similar safety standards into domains;				
7, 7.9 (d) ( c)	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list: Data encryption & authentication;				
7, 7.9 (d) (d)	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list: Virus scans				
7, 7.9 (d) (e )	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list: Keeping the OS up to date;				
7, 7.9 (d) (f)	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	<p>place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list: Initiating air/ground connections only when required and always from the aircraft</p>				
7, 7.9 (d) (g)	<p>Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list: 'Whitelists' for allowed Internet domains;</p>				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
7, 7.9 (d) (h)	<p>Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list: VPNs</p>				
7, 7.9 (d) (i)	<p>Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or</p>				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list: Granting of access rights on a need-to-have basis				
7, 7.9 (d) (j)	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list: Troubleshooting procedures should consider as well security threats as potential root cause of EFB misbehaviour, and responses should be developed to prevent future successful attacks when relevant				
7, 7.9 (d) (k)	Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	<p>that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list:</p> <p>Virtualisation</p>				
7, 7.9 (d) (I)	<p>Flight crew operating procedures. The EFB system (including any means used for its updating) should be secure from unauthorised intervention (e.g. malicious software). The operator should ensure that adequate security procedures are in place to protect the system at software level and to manage hardware (e.g. identification of the person to whom the hardware is released, protected storage when the hardware is not in use). These procedures should guarantee that prior to each flight the EFB operational software works as specified and the EFB operational data is complete and accurate. Moreover, a system should be in place to ensure that the EFB does not accept a data load that contains corrupted contents. Adequate measures should be in place for compilation and secure distribution of the data to the aircraft. The procedures should be transparent, easy to understand to follow and to oversee: where physical media is used to update the EFB system and especially if widely known types of physical media are used, then the operator should use technologies and/or procedures to assure that unauthorised content cannot enter the EFB system through these media. The required level of EFB</p>				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	security depends on the criticality of the used functions (e.g. an EFB which only holds a list of fuel prices may require less security than an EFB used for performance calculations). Beyond the level of security required to assure that the EFB can properly perform its intended functions, the level of security ultimately required depends on the abilities of the EFB. Examples of typical safety and security defences are contained in the following non exhaustive list:Forensic tools and procedures. The EFB administrator should not only keep the EFB system, but also his/her knowledge about security of EFBs systems up to date.				
7, 7.10 (a)	Electronic signatures. Part-CAT, Part-M, and other regulations may require a signature to signify either acceptance or to confirm the authority (e.g. load sheet, technical logbook, NOTOC). In order to be accepted as an equivalent to a handwritten signature, electronic signatures used in EFB applications need, as a minimum, to fulfil the same objectives and should, as a minimum, assure the same degree of security as the handwritten or any other form of signature it intends to replace. AMC1 CAT.POL.MAB.105(c) provides a means to comply with the required handwritten signature or equivalent for the mass and balance documentation. In the case of legally required signatures, an operator should have in place procedures for electronic signatures, acceptable to the competent authority, that guarantee: (a) the uniqueness: A signature should identify a specific individual and be difficult to duplicate;				
7, 7.10 (b)	Electronic signatures. Part-CAT, Part-M, and other regulations may require a signature to signify either acceptance or to confirm the authority (e.g. load sheet, technical logbook, NOTOC). In order to be accepted as an equivalent to a handwritten signature, electronic signatures used in EFB applications need, as a minimum, to fulfil the same objectives and should, as a minimum, assure the same degree of security as the handwritten or any other form of signature it intends to replace. AMC1 CAT.POL.MAB.105(c) provides a means to comply with the required handwritten signature or equivalent for the mass and balance documentation. In the case of legally required signatures, an operator should have in place procedures for electronic signatures, acceptable to the competent authority, that guarantee: the significance: An individual using an electronic signature should take deliberate and recognisable action to affix his or her signature				
7, 7.10 (c)	Electronic signatures. Part-CAT, Part-M, and other regulations may require a signature to signify either acceptance or to confirm the authority (e.g. load sheet, technical logbook, NOTOC). In order to				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	be accepted as an equivalent to a handwritten signature, electronic signatures used in EFB applications need, as a minimum, to fulfil the same objectives and should, as a minimum, assure the same degree of security as the handwritten or any other form of signature it intends to replace. AMC1 CAT.POL.MAB.105(c) provides a means to comply with the required handwritten signature or equivalent for the mass and balance documentation. In the case of legally required signatures, an operator should have in place procedures for electronic signatures, acceptable to the competent authority, that guarantee: the scope: The scope of information being affirmed with an electronic signature should be clear to the signatory and to subsequent readers of the record, record entry, or document;				
7, 7.10 (d)	Electronic signatures. Part-CAT, Part-M, and other regulations may require a signature to signify either acceptance or to confirm the authority (e.g. load sheet, technical logbook, NOTOC). In order to be accepted as an equivalent to a handwritten signature, electronic signatures used in EFB applications need, as a minimum, to fulfil the same objectives and should, as a minimum, assure the same degree of security as the handwritten or any other form of signature it intends to replace. AMC1 CAT.POL.MAB.105(c) provides a means to comply with the required handwritten signature or equivalent for the mass and balance documentation. In the case of legally required signatures, an operator should have in place procedures for electronic signatures, acceptable to the competent authority, that guarantee: the signature security: The security of an individual's handwritten signature is maintained by ensuring that it is difficult for another individual to duplicate or alter it;				
7, 7.10 (e)	Electronic signatures. Part-CAT, Part-M, and other regulations may require a signature to signify either acceptance or to confirm the authority (e.g. load sheet, technical logbook, NOTOC). In order to be accepted as an equivalent to a handwritten signature, electronic signatures used in EFB applications need, as a minimum, to fulfil the same objectives and should, as a minimum, assure the same degree of security as the handwritten or any other form of signature it intends to replace. AMC1 CAT.POL.MAB.105(c) provides a means to comply with the required handwritten signature or equivalent for the mass and balance documentation. In the case of legally required signatures, an operator should have in place procedures for electronic signatures, acceptable to the competent authority, that guarantee: the non-repudiation: An electronic signature should prevent a signatory from denying that he or she				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	affixed a signature to a specific record, record entry, or document. The more difficult it is to duplicate a signature, the likelier the signature was created by the signatory;				
7, 7.10 (f)	Electronic signatures. Part-CAT, Part-M, and other regulations may require a signature to signify either acceptance or to confirm the authority (e.g. load sheet, technical logbook, NOTOC). In order to be accepted as an equivalent to a handwritten signature, electronic signatures used in EFB applications need, as a minimum, to fulfil the same objectives and should, as a minimum, assure the same degree of security as the handwritten or any other form of signature it intends to replace. AMC1 CAT.POL.MAB.105(c) provides a means to comply with the required handwritten signature or equivalent for the mass and balance documentation. In the case of legally required signatures, an operator should have in place procedures for electronic signatures, acceptable to the competent authority, that guarantee the traceability: An electronic signature should provide positive traceability to the individual who signed a record, record entry, or any other document. An electronic signature should retain those qualities of a handwritten signature that guarantee its uniqueness. Systems using either a PIN or a password with limited validity (time-wise) may be appropriate in providing positive traceability to the individual who appended it. Advanced electronic signatures, qualified certificates and secured signature-creation devices needed to create them are typically not required for EFBs operations. Note: The provision of secure access to EFB functions is outside the scope of this section, which only addresses the replacement of handwritten signature by an electronic one.				
7, 7.11 (a)	Role of the EFB administrator. The role of the EFB administrator is a key factor in the management of the EFB system of an operator. Complex EFB systems may require more than one individual to conduct the administration process, but one person should be designated as the EFB administrator responsible for the complete system with appropriate authority within the operator's management structure. The EFB administrator will be the person in overall charge of the EFB system, and will be responsible for ensuring that any hardware conforms to the required specification, and that no unauthorised software is installed. He/she will also be responsible for ensuring that only the current version of the application software and data packages are installed on the EFB system. The EFB administrator is responsible: for all the applications installed, and for providing support to the EFB users				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	on these applications				
7, 7.11 (b)	Role of the EFB administrator. The role of the EFB administrator is a key factor in the management of the EFB system of an operator. Complex EFB systems may require more than one individual to conduct the administration process, but one person should be designated as the EFB administrator responsible for the complete system with appropriate authority within the operator's management structure. The EFB administrator will be the person in overall charge of the EFB system, and will be responsible for ensuring that any hardware conforms to the required specification, and that no unauthorised software is installed. He/she will also be responsible for ensuring that only the current version of the application software and data packages are installed on the EFB system. The EFB administrator is responsible: to check potential security issues associated with the application installed				
7, 7.11 (c)	Role of the EFB administrator. The role of the EFB administrator is a key factor in the management of the EFB system of an operator. Complex EFB systems may require more than one individual to conduct the administration process, but one person should be designated as the EFB administrator responsible for the complete system with appropriate authority within the operator's management structure. The EFB administrator will be the person in overall charge of the EFB system, and will be responsible for ensuring that any hardware conforms to the required specification, and that no unauthorised software is installed. He/she will also be responsible for ensuring that only the current version of the application software and data packages are installed on the EFB system. The EFB administrator is responsible: for hardware and software configuration management and for ensuring, in particular, that no unauthorised software is installed				
7, 7.11 (d)	Role of the EFB administrator. The role of the EFB administrator is a key factor in the management of the EFB system of an operator. Complex EFB systems may require more than one individual to conduct the administration process, but one person should be designated as the EFB administrator responsible for the complete system with appropriate authority within the operator's management structure. The EFB administrator will be the person in overall charge of the EFB system, and will be responsible for ensuring that any hardware conforms to the required specification, and that no unauthorised software is installed. He/she will also be responsible for ensuring that only the current version of the application software and data packages are installed on the EFB				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	system. The EFB administrator is responsible: for ensuring that only a valid version of the application software and current data packages are installed on the EFB system				
7, 7.11 (e)	<p>Role of the EFB administrator. The role of the EFB administrator is a key factor in the management of the EFB system of an operator. Complex EFB systems may require more than one individual to conduct the administration process, but one person should be designated as the EFB administrator responsible for the complete system with appropriate authority within the operator's management structure. The EFB administrator will be the person in overall charge of the EFB system, and will be responsible for ensuring that any hardware conforms to the required specification, and that no unauthorised software is installed. He/she will also be responsible for ensuring that only the current version of the application software and data packages are installed on the EFB system. The EFB administrator is responsible: for ensuring the integrity of the data packages used by the applications installed. The operator should make arrangements to ensure the continuity of the management of the EFB system in the absence of the EFB administrator. EFB administration should be subject to independent routine audits and inspections as part of the operator's compliance monitoring programme (see paragraph 7.8). Each person involved in EFB administration should receive appropriate training in their role and should have a good working knowledge of the proposed system hardware, operating system, and relevant software applications, and also of the appropriate regulatory requirements related to the use of EFB. The content of this training should be determined with the aid of the EFB system supplier or application supplier. The administrator training material should be made available on request to the competent authority.</p>				
7, 7.11.1 (a)	<p>The (S)TC holder, the EFB system supplier or the operator in the case of consumer device should clearly identify those parts of the EFB system that can be accessed and modified by the operator's EFB administration process and those parts that are only accessible by the EFB system supplier. The EFB administrator should establish procedures, documented in an EFB policy and procedures manual, to ensure that no unauthorised changes take place. The EFB policy and procedures manual may be fully or partly integrated in the Operations Manual. The EFB policy and procedures manual should also address the validity and currency of EFB content and databases, ensuring, thus, the integrity of EFB data. This may include establishing revision control procedures so</p>				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	that flight crews and others can ensure that the contents of the system are current and complete. These revision control procedures may be similar to the revision control procedures used for paper or other storage means. For data that is subject to a revision cycle control process, it should be readily evident to the user which revision cycle has been incorporated in the information obtained from the system. Procedures should specify what action to take if the applications or databases loaded on the EFB are out of date. This manual may include, but is not limited to, the following: Document changes to content/databases				
7, 7.11.1 (b)	The EFB policy and procedures manual. The (S)TC holder, the EFB system supplier or the operator in the case of consumer device should clearly identify those parts of the EFB system that can be accessed and modified by the operator's EFB administration process and those parts that are only accessible by the EFB system supplier. The EFB administrator should establish procedures, documented in an EFB policy and procedures manual, to ensure that no unauthorised changes take place. The EFB policy and procedures manual may be fully or partly integrated in the Operations Manual. The EFB policy and procedures manual should also address the validity and currency of EFB content and databases, ensuring, thus, the integrity of EFB data. This may include establishing revision control procedures so that flight crews and others can ensure that the contents of the system are current and complete. These revision control procedures may be similar to the revision control procedures used for paper or other storage means. For data that is subject to a revision cycle control process, it should be readily evident to the user which revision cycle has been incorporated in the information obtained from the system. Procedures should specify what action to take if the applications or databases loaded on the EFB are out of date. This manual may include, but is not limited to, the following: Notification to crews of updates				
7, 7.11.1 (c)	The (S)TC holder, the EFB system supplier or the operator in the case of consumer device should clearly identify those parts of the EFB system that can be accessed and modified by the operator's EFB administration process and those parts that are only accessible by the EFB system supplier. The EFB administrator should establish procedures, documented in an EFB policy and procedures manual, to ensure that no unauthorised changes take place. The EFB policy and procedures manual may be fully or partly integrated in the Operations Manual. The EFB policy and procedures manual should also address the validity and currency				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	of EFB content and databases, ensuring, thus, the integrity of EFB data. This may include establishing revision control procedures so that flight crews and others can ensure that the contents of the system are current and complete. These revision control procedures may be similar to the revision control procedures used for paper or other storage means. For data that is subject to a revision cycle control process, it should be readily evident to the user which revision cycle has been incorporated in the information obtained from the system. Procedures should specify what action to take if the applications or databases loaded on the EFB are out of date. This manual may include, but is not limited to, the following: If any applications use information that is specific to the aircraft type or tail number, ensuring that the correct information is installed on each aircraft				
7, 7.11.1 (d)	The (S)TC holder, the EFB system supplier or the operator in the case of consumer device should clearly identify those parts of the EFB system that can be accessed and modified by the operator's EFB administration process and those parts that are only accessible by the EFB system supplier. The EFB administrator should establish procedures, documented in an EFB policy and procedures manual, to ensure that no unauthorised changes take place. The EFB policy and procedures manual may be fully or partly integrated in the Operations Manual. The EFB policy and procedures manual should also address the validity and currency of EFB content and databases, ensuring, thus, the integrity of EFB data. This may include establishing revision control procedures so that flight crews and others can ensure that the contents of the system are current and complete. These revision control procedures may be similar to the revision control procedures used for paper or other storage means. For data that is subject to a revision cycle control process, it should be readily evident to the user which revision cycle has been incorporated in the information obtained from the system. Procedures should specify what action to take if the applications or databases loaded on the EFB are out of date. This manual may include, but is not limited to, the following: Procedures to avoid corruption/errors during changes to the EFB system				
7, 7.11.1 (e)	The EFB policy and procedures manual. The (S)TC holder, the EFB system supplier or the operator in the case of consumer device should clearly identify those parts of the EFB system that can be accessed and modified by the operator's EFB administration process and those parts that are only accessible by the EFB system supplier. The EFB administrator should establish				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	<p>procedures, documented in an EFB policy and procedures manual, to ensure that no unauthorised changes take place. The EFB policy and procedures manual may be fully or partly integrated in the Operations Manual. The EFB policy and procedures manual should also address the validity and currency of EFB content and databases, ensuring, thus, the integrity of EFB data. This may include establishing revision control procedures so that flight crews and others can ensure that the contents of the system are current and complete. These revision control procedures may be similar to the revision control procedures used for paper or other storage means. For data that is subject to a revision cycle control process, it should be readily evident to the user which revision cycle has been incorporated in the information obtained from the system. Procedures should specify what action to take if the applications or databases loaded on the EFB are out of date. This manual may include, but is not limited to, the following: In case of multiple EFBs in the flight crew compartment, procedures to ensure that they all have the same content/databases installed. The EFB administrator should be responsible for the procedures and systems, documented in the EFB policy and procedures manual that maintain EFB security and integrity. This includes system security, content security, access security, and protection against harmful software (see paragraph 7.9). Note: An example of the subjects relevant for inclusion in the EFB policy and procedures manual is included at Appendix G.</p>				
7, 7.12	<p>EFB system maintenance. Procedures should be established for the routine maintenance of the EFB system and how unserviceability and failures are to be dealt with to ensure that the integrity of the EFB system is assured. Maintenance procedures may also need to include the secure handling of updated information and how it is accepted and then promulgated in a timely and complete format to all users and aircraft platforms. The operator is responsible for the maintenance of EFB system batteries, and should ensure that they are periodically checked and replaced as required. Should a fault or failure of the system come to light, it is essential that such failures are brought to the immediate attention of the flight crew and that the system is isolated until rectification action is taken. In addition to backup procedures, to deal with system failures, a reporting system will need to be in place so that the necessary action, either to a particular EFB system, or to the whole system, is taken in order to prevent the use of erroneous information by flight crews.</p>				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
7, 7.13 (a)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: An overview of the system architecture;				
7, 7.13 (b)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: Pre-flight checks of the system				
7, 7.13 (c)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: Limitations of the system				
7, 7.13 (d)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: Specific training on the use of each application and the conditions under which the EFB may and may not be used				
7, 7.13 (e)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: Restrictions on the use of the system, including where some or the entire system is not available				
7, 7.13 (f)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: Procedures for normal operations, including cross-checking of data entry and computed information				
7, 7.13 (g)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: Procedures to handle abnormal situations, such as a late runway change or diversion to an alternate aerodrome				
7, 7.13 (h)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: Procedures to handle emergency situations				
7, 7.13 (i)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: Phases of the flight when the EFB system may and may not be used				
7, 7.13 (j)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: CRM and human factor				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	considerations on the use of the EFB				
7, 7.13 (k)	Flight crew training. Flight crew should be given specific training on the use of the EFB system before it is operationally used. Training should include at least the following: Additional training for new applications or changes to the hardware configuration. As far as practicable, it is recommended that the training simulators' environments include the EFBs in order to offer a higher level of representativeness. Consideration should also be shown to the role that the EFB system plays in operator proficiency checks as part of recurrent training and checking, and to the suitability of the training devices used during training and checking. EFB training should be included in the relevant training programme established and approved in accordance with ORO.FC Note: Further guidance and means of compliance are provided in Appendix E.				
7, 7.14 (a)	Operational evaluation test. The operator should conduct an operational evaluation test which should allow verifying that the above elements have been satisfied before final decision on the operational use of the EFB. The operator should notify its competent authority of its intention to conduct an operational evaluation test by sending a plan which should contain at least the following information: starting date of the operational evaluation test;				
7, 7.14 (b)	Operational evaluation test. The operator should conduct an operational evaluation test which should allow verifying that the above elements have been satisfied before final decision on the operational use of the EFB. The operator should notify its competent authority of its intention to conduct an operational evaluation test by sending a plan which should contain at least the following information: duration				
7, 7.14 (c)	Operational evaluation test. The operator should conduct an operational evaluation test which should allow verifying that the above elements have been satisfied before final decision on the operational use of the EFB. The operator should notify its competent authority of its intention to conduct an operational evaluation test by sending a plan which should contain at least the following information: aircraft involved;				
7, 7.14 (d)	Operational evaluation test. The operator should conduct an operational evaluation test which should allow verifying that the above elements have been satisfied before final decision on the operational use of the EFB. The operator should notify its competent authority of its intention to conduct an operational				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	evaluation test by sending a plan which should contain at least the following information: EFB hardware and type(s) of software(s);				
7, 7.14 (e)	Operational evaluation test. The operator should conduct an operational evaluation test which should allow verifying that the above elements have been satisfied before final decision on the operational use of the EFB. The operator should notify its competent authority of its intention to conduct an operational evaluation test by sending a plan which should contain at least the following information: when no paper backup is retained:				
7, 7.14 (e ) (1)	Operational evaluation test. The operator should conduct an operational evaluation test which should allow verifying that the above elements have been satisfied before final decision on the operational use of the EFB. The operator should notify its competent authority of its intention to conduct an operational evaluation test by sending a plan which should contain at least the following information: when no paper backup is retained: EFB detailed risk assessment				
7, 7.14 ( e ) (2)	Operational evaluation test. The operator should conduct an operational evaluation test which should allow verifying that the above elements have been satisfied before final decision on the operational use of the EFB. The operator should notify its competent authority of its intention to conduct an operational evaluation test by sending a plan which should contain at least the following information: when no paper backup is retained: simulator LOFT session programme,				
7, 7.14 (e ) (3)	Operational evaluation test. The operator should conduct an operational evaluation test which should allow verifying that the above elements have been satisfied before final decision on the operational use of the EFB. The operator should notify its competent authority of its intention to conduct an operational evaluation test by sending a plan which should contain at least the following information: when no paper backup is retained: proposed flights for the competent authority observation flights.				
7, 7.14.1 (a)	Applications replacing paper products with an initial retention of paper backup. Where paper is initially retained as backup, the operational evaluation test should consist of an in-service proving period no longer than six months. A reduction to no less than three months may be considered taking into account the following criteria: the operator's previous experience with EFBs				
7, 7.14.1 (b)	Applications replacing paper products with an initial retention of paper backup. Where paper is initially retained as backup, the				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	operational evaluation test should consist of an in-service proving period no longer than six months. A reduction to no less than three months may be considered taking into account the following criteria: the intended use of the EFB system,				
7, 7.14.1 (c)	Applications replacing paper products with an initial retention of paper backup. Where paper is initially retained as backup, the operational evaluation test should consist of an in-service proving period no longer than six months. A reduction to no less than three months may be considered taking into account the following criteria: the mitigation means defined by the operator.				
7, 7.14.1 (a)	Applications replacing paper products with an initial retention of paper backup. The competent authority may ask for an operational evaluation test lasting more than six months if the number of flights operated in this period is not considered sufficient to evaluate the EFB system. The purpose of the in-service proving period is for the operator to demonstrate that the EFB system provides an acceptable level of accessibility; usability and reliability to those required by the applicable operational requirements (see AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.180 and AMC1 ORO.MLR.100). In particular that: the flight crews are able to operate the EFB applications without reference to paper				
7, 7.14.1 (b)	Applications replacing paper products with an initial retention of paper backup. The competent authority may ask for an operational evaluation test lasting more than six months if the number of flights operated in this period is not considered sufficient to evaluate the EFB system. The purpose of the in-service proving period is for the operator to demonstrate that the EFB system provides an acceptable level of accessibility; usability and reliability to those required by the applicable operational requirements (see AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.180 and AMC1 ORO.MLR.100). In particular that: the operator's administration procedures are in place and function correctly				
7, 7.14.1 (c )	Applications replacing paper products with an initial retention of paper backup. The competent authority may ask for an operational evaluation test lasting more than six months if the number of flights operated in this period is not considered sufficient to evaluate the EFB system. The purpose of the in-service proving period is for the operator to demonstrate that the EFB system provides an acceptable level of accessibility; usability and reliability to those required by the applicable operational requirements (see AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.180 and AMC1 ORO.MLR.100). In particular that: the operator is capable of providing timely updates to the				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	applications on the EFB, where a database is involved;				
7, 7.14.1 (d)	Applications replacing paper products with an initial retention of paper backup. The competent authority may ask for an operational evaluation test lasting more than six months if the number of flights operated in this period is not considered sufficient to evaluate the EFB system. The purpose of the in-service proving period is for the operator to demonstrate that the EFB system provides an acceptable level of accessibility; usability and reliability to those required by the applicable operational requirements (see AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.180 and AMC1 ORO.MLR.100). In particular that: the introduction of the EFB without paper backup does not adversely affect the operator's operating procedures and alternative procedures for use when the EFB system is not available provide an acceptable equivalent;				
7, 7.14.1 (e )	Applications replacing paper products with an initial retention of paper backup. The competent authority may ask for an operational evaluation test lasting more than six months if the number of flights operated in this period is not considered sufficient to evaluate the EFB system. The purpose of the in-service proving period is for the operator to demonstrate that the EFB system provides an acceptable level of accessibility; usability and reliability to those required by the applicable operational requirements (see AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.180 and AMC1 ORO.MLR.100). In particular that: for a system including uncertified elements (hardware or software), that the system operates correctly and reliably;				
7, 7.14.1 (f)	Applications replacing paper products with an initial retention of paper backup. The competent authority may ask for an operational evaluation test lasting more than six months if the number of flights operated in this period is not considered sufficient to evaluate the EFB system. The purpose of the in-service proving period is for the operator to demonstrate that the EFB system provides an acceptable level of accessibility; usability and reliability to those required by the applicable operational requirements (see AMC1 CAT.GEN.MPA.180 and AMC1 ORO.MLR.100). In particular that: the EFB risk assessment, as required under 7.2, is adequate to the type of operations intended after the operational evaluation test (with or without paper backup). The results of the demonstration may be documented in the form of a report from the in-service proving period on the performance of the EFB system. The operator may remove the paper backup once it has shown that the EFB system is sufficiently robust.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
7, 7.14.2 (a)	Applications replacing paper products without paper backup at commencement of operations and other applications. Where an operator seeks to start operations without paper backup, the operational evaluation test should consist of the following elements: a detailed review of the EFB risk assessment				
7, 7.14.2 (b)	Applications replacing paper products without paper backup at commencement of operations and other applications. Where an operator seeks to start operations without paper backup, the operational evaluation test should consist of the following elements: a simulator LOFT session to verify the use of the EFB under operational conditions including normal, abnormal, and emergency conditions				
7, 7.14.2 (c)	Applications replacing paper products without paper backup at commencement of operations and other applications. Where an operator seeks to start operations without paper backup, the operational evaluation test should consist of the following elements: observation by the competent authority of the initial operator's line flights. The operator should demonstrate that they will be able to continue to maintain the EFB to the required standard through the actions of the Administrator and Compliance Monitoring Programme.				
7, 7.15	Final operational report. The operator should produce and retain a final operational report, which summarises all activities conducted and the means of compliance used, supporting the operational use of the EFB system. An example of typical items that the operator should include in this report is provided in Appendix I.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 8 Appendix A</b>					
Appendix A, (a) (1) (i)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: (a) browser displaying: (1) the certificates and other documents required to be carried by the applicable operational regulations and where copies are acceptable such as: (i) the noise certificate, and its English translation if applicable				
Appendix A, (a) (1) (ii)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: (a) browser displaying: (1) the certificates and other documents required to be carried by the applicable operational regulations and where copies are acceptable such as: the air operator certificate (AOC);				
Appendix A, (a) (1) (iii)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: (a) browser displaying: (1) the certificates and other documents required to be carried by the applicable operational regulations and where copies are acceptable such as: the operations specifications relevant to the aircraft type, issued with the AOC;				
Appendix A, (a) (1) (iv)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONSType A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: (a) browser displaying: (1) the certificates and other documents required to be carried by the applicable operational regulations and where copies are acceptable such as: the Third-Party Liability Insurance Certificate(s);				
Appendix A, (a) (2) (i)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to some manuals and additional information and forms required to be carried by the applicable operational regulations such as: notification of special categories of passenger (SCPs) and special loads				
Appendix A, (a) (2) (ii)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to some manuals and additional information and forms required to be carried by the applicable operational regulations such as: passenger and cargo manifests, if applicable;				
Appendix A, (a) (3) (i)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: other information within the operator's aircraft library such as airport diversion policy guidance, including a list of special designated airports and/or approved airports with emergency medical service (EMS) support facilities				
Appendix A, (a) (3) (ii)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: other information within the operator's aircraft library such as maintenance manuals				
Appendix A, (a) (3) (iii)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: other information within the operator's aircraft library such as Emergency response guidance for aircraft incidents involving dangerous goods (ICAO Doc 9481-AN/928);				
Appendix A, (a) (3) (iv)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation,				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: other information within the operator's aircraft library such as aircraft parts manuals				
Appendix A, (a) (3) (v)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: other information within the operator's aircraft library such as service bulletins/published Airworthiness Directives, etc.;				
Appendix A, (a) (3) (vi)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: other information within the operator's aircraft library such as current fuel prices at various airports				
Appendix A, (a) (3) (vii)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: other information within the operator's aircraft library such as trip scheduling and bid lists;				
Appendix A, (a) (3) (viii)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: other information within the operator's aircraft library such as passenger information requests				
Appendix A, (a) (3) (ix)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: other information within the operator's aircraft library such as check airman and flight instructor records;				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
Appendix A, (a) (3) (x)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: other information within the operator's aircraft library such as Flight crew currency requirements				
Appendix A, (b)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: interactive applications for crew rest calculation in the framework of flight time limitation				
Appendix A, ( c)	EXAMPLES OF TYPE A SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Type A applications are EFB applications whose malfunction or misuse would have no adverse effect on the safety of any flight operation, i.e. a hazard level defined as no greater than a 'no safety effect' failure condition classification. Such applications might typically be, but not limited to: interactive forms to comply with the reporting requirements of the competent authority and the operator.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 9 Appendix B</b>					
Appendix B	<p>APPENDIX B — TYPE B SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. A non-exhaustive list of possible Type B software applications, that are to be evaluated, is provided in this Appendix. — Document Browser displaying the following documents, interactive or not, or not in pre-composed format, and not driven by sensed aircraft parameters: ? The manuals and additional information and forms required to be carried by Regulations such as: ? The Operations Manual (including the MEL and CDL); ? The Aircraft Flight Manual; ? The Operational Flight Plan; ? The aircraft continuing airworthiness records, including the technical Log; ? Meteorological information including with graphical interpretation; ? ATS Flight Plan; ? notices to airmen (NOTAMs) and aeronautical information service (AIS) briefing documentation; — Electronic aeronautical chart applications including en route, area, approach, and airport surface maps; these applications may offer features such as panning, zooming, scrolling, and rotation, centring and page turning, but without display of aircraft/own-ship position. — Use of Airport Moving Map Displays (AMMD) applications that are compliant with the means set forth in Appendix H paragraph H.2, in particular with the ETSO-C165a approval. — Applications that make use of the internet and/or other aircraft operational communications (AAC) or company maintenance-specific data links to collect, process, and then disseminate data for uses such as spare parts and budget management, spares/inventory control, unscheduled maintenance scheduling, etc. — Cabin-mounted video and aircraft exterior surveillance camera displays; — Aircraft performance calculation application that uses algorithmic data or calculates using software algorithms to provide: ? take-off, en route, approach and landing, missed approach, etc. performance calculations providing limiting masses, distances, times and/or speeds; ? power settings, including reduced take-off thrust settings; ? mass and balance calculation application used to establish the mass and centre of gravity of the aircraft and to determine that the load and its distribution is such that the mass and balance limits of the aircraft are not exceeded. — Airport Moving Map Displays (AMMD) applications not covered by an ETSO-C165a approval; — Other Type B applications not listed in this appendix.</p>				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 10 Appendix C</b>					
Appendix C, 1	PROCESS FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Purpose. As described in 5.2, the classification of the Type A and Type B EFB applications is based on the severity of failure conditions resulting from malfunctions and misuse (hereinafter referred to as 'failures') of the EFB applications. It is not required to perform a full system safety assessment (as defined in AMC 25.1309) in order to classify EFB applications. In practice, the assessment of these failure conditions can be achieved through the application at software level of the process described in chapter 2 of this Appendix. The severity of the failure conditions will determine the classification of the EFB applications.				
Appendix C, 2 (a)	PROCESS FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Process. As a first step, it should be verified that the application does not belong to the following list of applications that are not eligible for classification as either type A or B: Applications: displaying information which may be tactically used by the flight-crew members to check, control, or deduce the aircraft position or trajectory, either to follow the intended navigation route or to avoid adverse weather, obstacles or other traffic, in flight or on ground;				
Appendix C, 2 (b)	PROCESS FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Process. As a first step, it should be verified that the application does not belong to the following list of applications that are not eligible for classification as either type A or B: Applications: displaying information which may be directly used by the flight crew to assess the real-time status of aircraft critical and essential systems, as a replacement for existing installed avionics, and/or to manage aircraft critical and essential systems following failure;				
Appendix C, 2 (c)	PROCESS FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Process. As a first step, it should be verified that the application does not belong to the following list of applications that are not eligible for classification as either type A or B: Applications: communications with air traffic services				
Appendix C, 2 (d)	PROCESS FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS. Process. As a first step, it should be verified that the application does not belong to the following list of applications that are not eligible for classification as either type A or B: Applications: sending data to the certified aircraft systems other				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	than the EFB installed/shared resources.				
Appendix C, 2 (a)	As a first step, it should be verified that the application does not belong to the list of applications that are not eligible for classification as either type A or B. Then, this process should: identify failure conditions resulting from potential losses of function or malfunction (detected and undetected erroneous output) with consideration of any relevant factors (aircraft/system failures, flight crew procedures, operational or environmental conditions, etc.) which would alleviate or intensify the effects				
Appendix C, 2 (b)	As a first step, it should be verified that the application does not belong to the list of applications that are not eligible for classification as either type A or B. Then, this process should: classify the failure conditions according to the severity of their effects (using AMC 25.1309 definitions). Failure conditions classified as minor should then be verified through a qualitative appraisal of the integrity and safety of the system design and installation. Software involved in Minor Failure Condition should be classified as level D according to the relevant industry standard (e.g. those referenced in AMC/AC 20-115()). Software applications with failure conditions classified above minor are ineligible as EFB Type A or B applications. Notes: — The severity of the failure conditions linked to displaying a function already existing in the certified type design, or already authorised through an ETSO, and used with same concept of operation, cannot be less than already assessed for this function; — The data resulting from this process may be reused by the operators in the context of the EFB risk assessment process described in chapter 7.2.2. Further guidance material concerning hazard analysis process can be found in section 10 of AMC 25.1309.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 11 Appendix D</b>					
APPENDIX D.1	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. General principles This Appendix provides Guidance Material for the assessment of the human machine interface associated with the EFB system. It provides general criteria that may be applied during assessments conducted during both the airworthiness approval and operational assessment and is restricted to human factors assessment techniques and means of compliance. The process for division of responsibilities and who does what is contained within the main body of the AMC. Note: Where an assessment is conducted as part of an airworthiness approval e.g. for an installed EFB system or installed resources for portable EFB, CS 25.1302 titled 'Installed systems and equipment for use by the flight crew' or applicable airworthiness basis should be applied.				
APPENDIX D.2.1	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Common considerations. Human machine interface. The EFB system should provide a consistent and intuitive user interface, within and across the various hosted applications. This should include, but not be limited to, data entry methods, colour-coding philosophies, and symbology.				
APPENDIX D.2.2	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Common considerations. Legibility of text. Text displayed on the EFB should be legible to the typical user at the intended viewing distance(s) and under the full range of lighting conditions expected on a flight crew compartment, including use in direct sunlight. Users should be able to adjust the screen brightness of an EFB independently of the brightness of other displays on the flight crew compartment. In addition, when automatic brightness adjustment is incorporated, it should operate independently for each EFB in the flight crew compartment. Buttons and labels should be adequately illuminated for night use. All controls should be properly labelled for their intended function. Consideration should be given to the long-term display degradation as a result of abrasion and ageing.				
APPENDIX D.2.3	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Input devices. In choosing and designing input devices such as keyboards or cursor control devices, applicants should consider the type of entry to be made and flight crew compartment environmental factors, such as turbulence, that could affect the usability of that input device.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	Typically, the performance parameters of cursor control devices should be tailored for the intended application function as well as for the flight crew compartment environment.				
APPENDIX D.2.4.1.1	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. General EFB design guidelines. Consistency. Consistency between EFBs and applications. Particular attention should be paid to the consistency of all interfaces, in particular when a provider develops the software application and a different organisation integrates it into the EFB.				
Appendix D D.2.4.1.2	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. General EFB design guidelines. Consistency. Consistency between EFBs and applications. Consistency with flight deck applications Whenever possible and without compromising innovation in design/use, EFB user interfaces should be consistent with the other flight deck avionics applications with regard to design philosophy, look and feel, interaction logics and workflows.				
APPENDIX D D.2.4.2	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. General EFB design guidelines. Messages and the use of colours. For any EFB system, EFB messages and reminders should meet the requirements in CS 23.1322, 25.1322 or applicable certification basis, as is appropriate for the intended aircraft. While the regulations refer to lights, the intent should be generalised to extend to the use of colours on displays and controls. That is, colour 'red' is to be used only to indicate a warning level condition. 'Amber' is to be used to indicate a caution level condition. Red and amber colours should be limited and considerate. Any other colour may be used for items other than warnings or cautions, providing that the colours used, differ sufficiently from the colours prescribed to avoid possible confusion. EFB messages and reminders should be integrated with (or compatible with) presentation of other flight crew compartment system alerts. EFB messages, both visual and auditory, should be inhibited during critical phases of the flight. Flashing text or symbols should be avoided in any EFB application. Messages should be prioritised and the message prioritisation scheme evaluated and documented. Additionally, during critical phases of the flight, required flight information should be continuously presented without un-commanded overlays, pop-ups, or pre-emptive messages, excepting those indicating the failure or degradation of the current EFB application. However, if there is a regulatory or Technical Standard Order (TSO) requirement that is				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	in conflict with the recommendation above, those should have precedence.				
APPENDIX D D.2.4.3	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. General EFB design guidelines. System error messages. If an application is fully or partially disabled, or is not visible or accessible to the user, it may be desirable to have a positive indication of its status available to the user upon request. Certain non-essential applications such as e-mail connectivity and administrative reports may require an error message when the user actually attempts to access the function rather than an immediate status annunciation when a failure occurs. EFB status and fault messages should be prioritised and the message prioritisation scheme evaluated and documented.				
APPENDIX D D.2.4.4	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. General EFB design guidelines. Data entry screening and error messages. If user-entered data is not of the correct format or type needed by the application, the EFB should not accept the data. An error message should be provided that communicates which entry is suspect and specifies what type of data is expected. The EFB system should incorporate input error checking that detects input errors at the earliest possible point during entry, rather than on completion of a possibly lengthy invalid entry.				
Appendix D D 2.5.1	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Error and failure modes. Flight crew error. The system should be designed to minimise the occurrence and effects of flight crew error and maximise the identification and resolution of errors. For example, terms for specific types of data or the format in which latitude/longitude is entered should be the same across systems. Data entry methods, colour-coding philosophies, and symbology should be as consistent as possible across the various hosted EFB applications. These applications should also be compatible with other flight crew compartment systems.				
Appendix D D 2.5.2	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Error and failure modes. Identifying failure modes. The EFB system should be capable of alerting the flight crew of probable EFB system failures.				
Appendix D D 2.6	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Error and failure modes. Responsiveness of application. The system should provide feedback to the user when user input is accepted. If the system is				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	busy with internal tasks that preclude immediate processing of user input (e.g. calculations, self-test, or data refresh), the EFB should display a 'system busy' indicator (e.g. clock icon) to inform the user that the system is occupied and cannot process inputs immediately. The timeliness of system response to user input should be consistent with an application's intended function. The feedback and system response times should be predictable to avoid flight crew distractions and/or uncertainty.				
Appendix D D 2.7	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Error and failure modes. Off-screen text and content. If the document segment is not visible in its entirety in the available display area, such as during 'zoom' or 'pan' operations, the existence of off-screen content should be clearly indicated in a consistent way. For some intended functions it may be unacceptable if certain portions of documents are not visible. This should be evaluated based on the application and intended operational function. If there is a cursor, it should be visible on the screen at all times while in use.				
Appendix D D 2.8	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Error and failure modes. Active regions. Active regions are regions to which special user commands apply. The active region can be text, a graphic image, a window, frame, or other document object. These regions should be clearly indicated.				
Appendix D D 2.9	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Error and failure modes. Managing multiple open applications and documents. If the electronic document application supports multiple open documents, or the system allows multiple open applications, indication of which application and/or document is active should be continuously provided. The active document is the one that is currently displayed and responds to user actions. Under non-emergency, normal operations, the user should be able to select which of the open applications or documents is currently active. In addition, the user should be able to find which flight crew compartment applications are running and switch to any one of these applications easily. When the user returns to an application that was running in the background, it should appear in the same state as when the user left that application, with the exception of differences stemming from the progress or completion of processing performed in the background.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
Appendix D D 2.10	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Error and failure modes. Flight crew workload. The positioning and procedures associated with the use of the EFB should not result in unacceptable flight crew workload. Complex, multi-step data entry tasks should be avoided during take-off, landing, and other critical phases of the flight. An evaluation of the EFB intended functions should include a qualitative assessment of incremental pilot workload, as well as pilot system interfaces and their safety implications.				
Appendix D D 3.1 (a)	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Specific application consideration. Approach/departure and navigation chart display. The approach, departure, and navigation charts that are depicted should contain the information necessary, in appropriate form, to conduct the operation to at least a level of safety equivalent to that provided by paper charts. It is desirable that the EFB display size is at least as large as current paper approach charts and that the format be consistent with current paper charts. The HMI assessment is key to identifying acceptable mitigation means, e.g.: to establish procedures to reduce the risk of making errors;				
Appendix D D 3.1 (b)	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Specific application consideration. Approach/departure and navigation chart display. The approach, departure, and navigation charts that are depicted should contain the information necessary, in appropriate form, to conduct the operation to at least a level of safety equivalent to that provided by paper charts. It is desirable that the EFB display size is at least as large as current paper approach charts and that the format be consistent with current paper charts. The HMI assessment is key to identifying acceptable mitigation means, e.g.: to control and mitigate additional workload related to EFB use				
Appendix D D 3.1 (c)	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Specific application considerations Approach/departure and navigation chart display. The approach, departure, and navigation charts that are depicted should contain the information necessary, in appropriate form, to conduct the operation to at least a level of safety equivalent to that provided by paper charts. It is desirable that the EFB display size is at least as large as current paper approach charts and that the format be consistent with current paper charts. The HMI assessment is key to identifying acceptable mitigation means, e.g.: to ensure consistency of colour coding and symbology philosophies, between				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	EFB applications and their compatibility with other flight crew compartment applications;				
Appendix D D 3.1 (d)	HUMAN MACHINE INTERFACE ASSESSMENT AND HUMAN FACTORS CONSIDERATIONS. Specific application considerations. Approach/departure and navigation chart display. The approach, departure, and navigation charts that are depicted should contain the information necessary, in appropriate form, to conduct the operation to at least a level of safety equivalent to that provided by paper charts. It is desirable that the EFB display size is at least as large as current paper approach charts and that the format be consistent with current paper charts. The HMI assessment is key to identifying acceptable mitigation means, e.g.: to consider aspects of Crew Resource Management (CRM) when using an EFB system.				
Appendix D D 3.2	Performance applications and mass & balance calculations. Input data and output data (results) shall be clearly separated from each other. All the information necessary for a given calculation task should be presented together or easily accessible. All data required for the performance and mass & balance applications should be asked for or displayed, including correct and unambiguous terms (names), units of measurement (e.g. kg or lbs), and when applicable index system and CG-position declaration (e.g. Arm/%MAC). The units should match the ones from the other cockpit sources for the same kind of data. Airspeeds should be provided in a way directly useable in the cockpit unless the unit clearly indicates otherwise (e.g. KCAS). Any difference in the type of airspeed provided by the EFB application and the type provided by the AFM or FCOM performance charts should be mentioned in the pilot guides and training material. If the application allows to compute both dispatch (regulatory, factored) and other results (e.g. in-flight or unfactored), the flight crew should be made aware of the active mode. Inputs: The application should allow to clearly distinguish user entries from default values or entries imported from other aircraft systems. Performance applications should offer to the flight crew the ability to check whether a certain obstacle is included in the performance calculation and/or to include revised or new obstacle information in the performance calculation. Outputs All critical performance calculation assumptions (e.g. use of thrust reversers, full or reduced thrust/power rating) should be clearly displayed. The assumptions made about any calculation should be at least as clear to pilots as similar information would be on a tabular chart. All output data should be available in numbers. The application should indicate if a set of entries results in an				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	<p>unachievable operation (for instance a negative stopping margin) with a specific message or colour scheme. This should be done in accordance with D.2.4.2 (Messages and the use of colours). In order to allow a smooth workflow and to prevent data entry errors, the layout of the calculation outputs should be such that it is not inconsistent with the data entry interface of the aircraft applications in which the calculation outputs are used (e.g. Flight Management Systems). Modifications: The user should be able to modify performance calculations easily, especially when making last minute changes. Calculation results and any outdated input fields should be deleted: (a) when modifications are entered; (b) when the EFB is shut down or the performance application is closed; and (c) when the EFB or the performance application have been in a standby or 'background' mode long enough, i.e. such that it is likely that when it is used again the inputs or outputs are outdated.</p>				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 12 Appendix E</b>					
Appendix E E.1.1	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Assumptions regarding flight crew previous experience Training for the use of the EFB should be for the purpose of operating the EFB itself and the applications hosted on it, and should not be intended to provide basic competence in areas such as aircraft performance, etc. Initial EFB training, therefore, should assume basic competence in the functions addressed by the software applications installed. Training should be adapted to the crew experience and knowledge.				
Appendix E E.1.2	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Programmes crediting previous EFB experience Training programmes for the EFB may take credit for previous EFB experience. For example, previous experience of an aircraft performance application hosted on a portable EFB and using similar software may be credited toward training on an installed EFB with a performance application.				
Appendix E E.1.3	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Initial EFB training. Training required for the grant of an aircraft type rating may not recognise variants within the type nor the installation of particular equipment. Any training for the grant of a type qualification need not, therefore, recognise the installation or use of an EFB unless it is installed equipment across all variants of the type. However, where training for the issue of the type rating is combined with the operator's conversion course required by ORO.FC.220, the training syllabus should recognise the installation of the EFB where the operator's SOPs are dependent on its use. Initial EFB Training may consist of both ground-based and in-flight training depending on the nature and complexity of the EFB system. An operator or approved training organisation (ATO) may use many methods for ground-based EFB training including written hand-outs or FCOM material, classroom instruction, pictures, videotape, ground training devices, computer-based instruction, FSTD, and static aircraft training. Ground-based training for a sophisticated EFB lends itself particularly to CBT-based instruction. In-flight EFB training should be conducted by a suitably qualified person during Line Flying Under Supervision or during Differences, Conversion or Familiarisation Training.				
Appendix E E.1.3.1 (a)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Areas of emphasis during initial EFB training. The use of the EFB hardware and the need for proper adjustment of lighting, etc. when the				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	system is used in-flight;				
Appendix E E.1.3.1 (b)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Areas of emphasis during initial EFB training. The intended use of each software application together with limitations and prohibitions on their use;				
Appendix E E.1.3.1 (c)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Areas of emphasis during initial EFB training. If an aircraft performance application is installed, proper cross-checking of data input and output;				
Appendix E E.1.3.1 (d)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Areas of emphasis during initial EFB training. If a terminal chart application is installed, proper verification of the applicability of the information being used				
Appendix E E.1.3.1 (e)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Areas of emphasis during initial EFB training. If a moving map display is installed, the need to avoid fixation on the map display				
Appendix E E.1.3.1 (f)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Areas of emphasis during initial EFB training. Failure of component(s) of the EFB.				
Appendix E E.1.3.2	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. The following might be a typical training syllabus, if not contrasting with the operational suitability data provided by the aircraft manufacturer.				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (a)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. System architecture overview;				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (b)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Display Unit features and use;				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (c)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Limitations of the system;				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (d) (1)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Restrictions on the use of the system; Phases of the flight;				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (d) (2)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Restrictions on the use of the system; Phases of the flight;				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (e)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Applications as installed;				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (f)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Use of each application;				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (g) (1)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Restrictions on the use of each application; Phases of the flight;				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (g) (2)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Restrictions on the use of each application; Alternate procedures (e.g. MEL).				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (h)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Data input;				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (i)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Cross-checking data input and output				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.1 (j)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Ground-based training. Use of data output				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.2 (a)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Flight training. Practical use of the Display Unit;				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.2 (b)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Flight training. Display Unit Controls				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.2 (c)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Flight training. Data input devices				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.2 (d)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Flight training. Selection of applications				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.2 (e)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Flight training. Practical use of applications				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.2 (f)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Flight training. CRM and human factor considerations				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.2 (g)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Flight training. Situational awareness				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.2 (h)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Flight training. Avoidance of fixation				
Appendix E E.1.3.2.2 (i)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Flight training. Cross-checking data input and output;				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
Appendix E E.1.3.2.2 (j)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. EFB training and checking. Typical initial EFB training. Flight training. Practical integration of EFB procedures into SOPs.				
Appendix E E 1.4.1	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Initial EFB checking. Initial ground EFB checking. The check conducted following the ground-based element of Initial EFB Training may be accomplished by questionnaire (oral or written) or as an automated component of EFB computer-based training depending on the nature of the training conducted.				
Appendix E E 1.4.2	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Skill test & proficiency check. Proficiency in EFB use is not shown in the required items in Appendix 9 to Annex I (Part-FCL) to Commission Regulation (EU) No 1178/2011 for the Skill Test for the issue of a type rating following type conversion training or for the Proficiency Check for the renewal or revalidation of a type rating. Where the operator's SOPs are dependent on the use of the EFB on the particular type or variant, proficiency in the use of the EFB should be assessed in the appropriate areas (e.g. item 1.1, item 1.5, etc. in Appendix 9 to Annex I (Part-FCL) to Commission Regulation (EU) No 1178/2011.				
Appendix E E 1.4.3	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Operator proficiency check. ORO.FC.230 (b)(1) requires that flight crew demonstrate their competence in carrying out normal procedures during the Operator Proficiency Check (OPC). Therefore, where an operator's SOPs are dependent on the use of an EFB, proficiency in its use should be assessed during the OPC. Where the OPC is performed on an FSTD not equipped with the operator's EFB, proficiency should be assessed by another acceptable means.				
Appendix E E 1.4.4	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Line check. ORO.FC.230 (c) requires that flight crew demonstrate their competence in carrying out normal procedures during the line check. Therefore, where an operator's SOPs are dependent on the use of an EFB, proficiency in its use should be assessed during line check.				
Appendix E E 1.4.5 (a)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Line check. Areas of emphasis during EFB checking. Proficiency in the use of each EFB application installed				
Appendix E E 1.4.5 (b)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Line check. Areas of emphasis during EFB checking. Proper selection and use of EFB displays				
Appendix E E 1.4.5 (c)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Line check. Areas of emphasis during EFB checking. Where an aircraft performance application is installed, proper cross-checking of data input and output				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
Appendix E E 1.4.5 (d)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Line check. Areas of emphasis during EFB checking. Where a terminal chart application is installed, proper check of the validity of the information and the use of the chart clip function				
Appendix E E 1.4.5 (e)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Line check. Areas of emphasis during EFB checking. Where a moving map display is installed, maintenance of a proper outside visual scan without prolonged fixation on EFB operation, especially during the taxiing operations;				
Appendix E E 1.4.5 (f)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Line check. Areas of emphasis during EFB checking. Actions following the failure of component(s) of the EFB, including hot EFB battery				
Appendix E E.2	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Differences or familiarisation training When the introduction of the use of an EFB requires Differences or Familiarisation Training to be carried out under ORO.FC.125, the elements of Initial EFB Training should be used, as described above.				
Appendix E E.3.1	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Recurrent EFB training and checking. Recurrent EFB training. Recurrent training is normally not required for the use of an EFB, provided the functions are used regularly in line operations. Operators should be encouraged, however, to include normal EFB operations as a component of the annual ground and refresher training required by AMC1 ORO.FC.230. In the case of mixed fleet flying, or where the EFB is not installed across the fleet, additional recurrent training should be applied. Initial training programme developed under E.1.3 is considered to be sufficient.				
Appendix E E.3.2	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Recurrent EFB Checking. Recurrent EFB checking should consist of those elements of the licence proficiency check, the operator proficiency check and the line check applicable to the use of an EFB as described in paragraphs E.1.4.2, E.1.4.3, and E.1.4.4. Areas of emphasis are as described in paragraph E.1.4.5.				
Appendix E E.4 (a)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Suitability of training devices Where the operator's SOPs are dependent on the use of an EFB, it is recommended that the EFB is present during the operator's training and checking. Where present, the EFB should be configured and operable in all respects as per the relevant aircraft. This should apply to: the operator's conversion course required by ORO.FC.220				
Appendix E E.2 (b)	FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Suitability of training devices Where the operator's SOPs are dependent on the use of an EFB, it is				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	recommended that the EFB is present during the operator's training and checking. Where present, the EFB should be configured and operable in all respects as per the relevant aircraft. This should apply to: Differences or familiarisation training required by ORO.FC.125;				
Appendix E E.2 (c)	<p>FLIGHT CREW TRAINING. Suitability of training devices Where the operator's SOPs are dependent on the use of an EFB, it is recommended that the EFB is present during the operator's training and checking. Where present, the EFB should be configured and operable in all respects as per the relevant aircraft. This should apply to: Recurrent training and checking required by ORO.FC.230. Where the EFB system is based on a portable device used without any installed resources, it is recommended that the device is present and operable and used during all phases of the flight during which it would be used under the operator's SOPs. For all other types of EFB system, it is recommended that the device is installed and operable in the training device (FFS) and used during all phases of the flight during which it would be used under the operator's SOPs. However, an operator may define an alternative means of compliance when the operator's EFB system is neither installed nor operable in the training device. Note: It is not necessary for the EFB to be available for that training and checking which is not related to the operator and the operator's SOPs. Where the EFB is installed equipment in the basic aircraft type or variant, the installation and use of the EFB in the training device is required for the training and checking for the issue of the type rating and for the checking for the renewal or revalidation of the type rating.</p>				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 13 Appendix F</b>					
Appendix F (a)	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. The operator should retain the following documentation: Functional description document (for the initial assessment and any subsequent functional change);				
Appendix F (b)	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. The operator should retain the following documentation: Release notes (for both initial and all subsequent software releases) or equivalent				
Appendix F (c)	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. The operator should retain the following documentation: Version description document (for both initial and all subsequent releases);				
Appendix F (d)	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. The operator should retain the following documentation: First article inspection report (refers to quality controlled release of the EFB Software Application);				
Appendix F (e )(1)	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. The operator should retain the following documentation: Ground viewer (to enable user validation of the software releases and data base releases and updates); Viewers should use the same software components as the airborne application				
Appendix F (e )(2)	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. The operator should retain the following documentation: Ground viewer (to enable user validation of the software releases and data base releases and updates); Viewers should enable user validity checking of airborne data bases before installation on an aircraft				
Appendix F F.1.1	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. Additional requirements for performance applications for take-off, landing and mass & balance calculations. General. The performance and mass & balance applications should be based on existing published data found in the AFM or performance manual, and deliver results that allow the crew to operate in compliance with the appropriate OPS regulations. The applications may use algorithms or data spread sheets to determine results. They may have the ability to interpolate within but should not extrapolate beyond the information contained in the published data for the aircraft. If the program is designed to be used by operators under different regulation frameworks and allows to choose between Agency and other reference regulations, this choice should be protected so that it is accessible only to the administrator. To protect against intentional and unintentional modifications, the database files related to				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	performance and mass & balance (performance database, airport database, etc.) integrity should be checked by the program before performing calculation. This check can be run once at the start-up of the application. Each software version should be identified by a unique version number. Only specific modules of the performance or M software application are approved, for a specific software revision and on a specific host (e.g. computer model).The performance and mass & balance applications should keep a trace of each computation performed (inputs and outputs) and the airline should have procedures in place to retain this information.				
Appendix F F.1.2	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. Additional requirements for performance applications for take-off, landing and mass & balance calculations. Testing. The demonstration of the compliance of a performance or mass & balance application should include evidence of the software testing activities performed with the software version candidate for operational use. The testing can be performed either by the operator or a third party, as long as the testing process is documented and the responsibilities identified.The testing activities should include HMI testing, reliability testing, and accuracy testing. HMI testing should demonstrate that the application is not error-prone and that calculation errors can be detected by the crew with the proposed procedures. The testing should demonstrate that the applicable HMI guidelines are followed and that the HMI is implemented as specified by the application developer and this AMC. Refer to Appendix D.3.2 for further information. Reliability testing should show that the application in its operating environment (OS and hardware included) is stable and deterministic, i.e. identical answers are generated each time the process is entered with identical parameters.				
Appendix F F.1.2.1	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. Additional requirements for performance applications for take-off, landing and mass & balance calculations. Testing. Accuracy testing. Accuracy testing should demonstrate that the aircraft performance or mass & balance computations provided by the application are correct in comparison with data derived from the AFM or other appropriate sources, under a representative cross section of conditions (e.g. for performance applications: runway state and slope, different wind conditions and pressure altitudes, various aircraft configuration including failures with a performance impact, etc.). The demonstration should include a sufficient number of comparison results from representative calculations throughout the entire operating envelope of the aircraft, considering corner points, routine and break points. Operators are expected to justify that they				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	covered a sufficient number of testing points with respect to the design of their software application and databases. Any difference compared to the reference data that is judged significant should be examined and explained. When differences come from a reduced conservatism or reduced margins that were purposely built into the approved data, this approach should be clearly mentioned and motivated. Compliance to the certification and operational rules need to be demonstrated in any case. The testing method should be described. The testing may be automated when all the required data is available in appropriate electronic format, but in addition to a thorough monitoring of the correct functioning and design of the testing tools and procedures, it is strongly suggested to perform additional manual verification. It could be based on a few scenarios for each chart or table of the reference data, including both operationally representative scenarios and 'corner-case' scenarios. The testing of a software revision should, in addition, include non-regression testing and testing of any fix or change. Furthermore, an operator should conduct testing related to its customisation of the applications and to any element proper to its operation that was not covered at an earlier stage (e.g. airport database verification).				
Appendix F F. 1.3 (a)	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. Additional requirements for performance applications for take-off, landing and mass & balance calculations. Procedures. In addition to the provisions of chapter 7.6, specific care is needed regarding the crew procedures concerning performance or mass and balance applications: Crew procedures should ensure that calculations are conducted independently by each crew member before data outputs are accepted for use.				
Appendix F F. 1.3 (b)	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. Additional requirements for performance applications for take-off, landing and mass & balance calculations. Procedures. In addition to the provisions of chapter 7.6, specific care is needed regarding the crew procedures concerning performance or mass and balance applications: Crew procedures should ensure that a formal cross-check is made before data outputs are accepted for use. Such cross-checks should utilise the independent calculations described above, together with the output of the same data from other sources on the aircraft.				
Appendix F F. 1.3 (c)	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. Additional requirements for performance applications for take-off, landing and mass & balance calculations. Procedures. In addition to the provisions of chapter 7.6, specific care is needed regarding the				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	crew procedures concerning performance or mass and balance applications: Crew procedures should ensure that a gross-error check is conducted before data outputs are accepted for use. Such a gross-error check may use either a 'rule of thumb' or the output of the same data from other sources on the aircraft.				
Appendix F F. 1.3 (d)	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. Additional requirements for performance applications for take-off, landing and mass & balance calculations. Procedures. In addition to the provisions of chapter 7.6, specific care is needed regarding the crew procedures concerning performance or mass and balance applications: Crew procedures should ensure that, in the event of loss of functionality by an EFB through either the loss of a single application, or the failure of the device hosting the application, an equivalent level of safety can be maintained . Consistency with the EFB Risk Assessment assumptions should be confirmed.				
Appendix F F.1.4	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. Training. In addition to the provisions of chapter 7.13, the training should emphasise the importance of executing all performance calculations in accordance with the SOPs to assure fully independent calculations. Furthermore, due to the optimisation at different levels brought by performance applications, the crew may be confronted with new procedures and different aircraft behaviour (e.g. use of multiple flaps settings for take-off). The training should be designed and provided accordingly. Where an application allows computing both dispatch (regulatory calculations, factored calculations) and other results, the training should highlight the specificities of those results. Depending of the representativeness of the calculation, the crew should be trained on the operational margin that might be required. (refer to Part-CAT requirements). The training should also address the identification and the review of default values, if any, and assumptions about the aircraft status or environmental conditions made by the application.				
Appendix F F.1.5	SOFTWARE APPLICATION DOCUMENTATION. Additional considerations for mass & balance applications The basic data used for the mass & balance calculation should be modifiable by the EFB Administrator himself/herself or by the software application provider on behalf of the EFB Administrator. In addition to the figures, a graph should visualise the mass and its associated CG-position.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 14 Appendix G</b>					
Appendix G 1	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL. These are the typical contents of an EFB policy and procedures manual that can be part of the Operation Manual. The proposed outline is very extensive. It may be adapted to the specific EFBs system and to the size and complexity of the operations in which the operator is involved. EFB policy & procedures Manual. Typical Contents 1. Revision history				
Appendix G 2	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL. These are the typical contents of an EFB policy and procedures manual that can be part of the Operation Manual. The proposed outline is very extensive. It may be adapted to the specific EFBs system and to the size and complexity of the operations in which the operator is involved. EFB policy & procedures Manual. Typical Contents 2. List of effective pages or paragraphs				
Appendix G 3	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL. These are the typical contents of an EFB policy and procedures manual that can be part of the Operation Manual. The proposed outline is very extensive. It may be adapted to the specific EFBs system and to the size and complexity of the operations in which the operator is involved. EFB policy & procedures Manual. Typical Contents 3. Table of contents				
Appendix G 4	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL. These are the typical contents of an EFB policy and procedures manual that can be part of the Operation Manual. The proposed outline is very extensive. It may be adapted to the specific EFBs system and to the size and complexity of the operations in which the operator is involved. EFB policy & procedures Manual. Typical Contents 4. Introduction: ? Glossary of terms and acronyms ? EFB general philosophy, environment and dataflow ? EFB system architecture ? Limitations of the EFB system ? Hardware description ? Operating system description ? Detailed presentation of the EFB applications ? EFB application customisation ? Data management: o Data administration o Organisation & workflows o Data loading o Data revision mechanisms o Approval workflow o Data publishing & dispatch o Customisation o How to manage the airline specific documents o Airport data management o Aircraft fleet definition ? Data authoring o Navigation and customisation				
Appendix G 5	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL. EFB policy & procedures Manual. Typical Contents Hardware and operating system control and configuration. ? Purpose and scope ? Description of the following processes: o Hardware configuration				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	and part No control o Operating system configuration and control o Accessibility control o Hardware maintenance o Operating system updating ? Responsibilities and accountabilities ? Records and filing ? Documentary references				
Appendix G 6	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL. EFB policy & procedures Manual Typical Contents. Software application control and configuration. ? Purpose and scope ? Description of the following processes: o Part No control o Software configuration management o Application updating process ? Responsibilities and accountabilities ? Records and filing ? Documentary references				
Appendix G 7	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL. EFB policy & procedures Manual Typical Contents Flight crew. ? Training ? Operating procedures (normal, abnormal, and emergency)				
Appendix G 8	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL. EFB policy & procedures Manual. Typical Contents. Maintenance considerations				
Appendix G 9	EFB POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL. EFB policy & procedures Manual. Typical Contents. Maintenance considerations. EFB security policy ? Security solutions and procedures				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 15 Appendix H</b>					
Appendix H 1.1	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. General considerations. Preamble. This Appendix guides the applicant in how to demonstrate the safe operational use for AMMD applications as a Type B software application to be hosted in EFBs. It is recognised that an AMMD can aid pilot positional awareness on the airport manoeuvring area and the Agency proposes to allow AMMDs to be Type B application under the conditions established in this Appendix H.				
Appendix H 1.2	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. General considerations. Assumptions of intended use of an AMMD. An AMMD application is not used as the primary means of taxiing navigation and is only used in conjunction with other materials and procedures identified within the Operating Concept – see paragraph H.3. Note: When an AMMD is in use, the primary means of taxiing navigation remains the use of normal procedures and direct visual observation out of the cockpit window. Thus, as recognised in ETSO-C165a, an AMMD application with display of own-ship position is considered as having a minor safety effect for malfunctions causing the incorrect depiction of aircraft position (own-ship), and the failure condition for the loss of function is classified as ‘no safety effect.’				
Appendix H 2.1 (a)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Minimum requirements. The AMMD software and database that is compliant with the Agency’s European Technical Standard Order ETSO-C165a, or an equivalent standard, with following AMMD system features implemented, is considered acceptable: The system provides means to display the revision number of the software installed.				
Appendix H 2.1 (b)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Minimum requirements. The AMMD software and database that is compliant with the Agency’s European Technical Standard Order ETSO-C165a, or an equivalent standard, with following AMMD system features implemented, is considered acceptable: The system is capable of accepting updated airport mapping information and provides means to display the validity period of the database to the flight crew. The flight crew should be able to easily ascertain the validity of the on-board map database. The application should provide an indication when the AMMD database is no longer valid.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	Refer to section 2.2.5 of RTCA DO-257A as per section 3.1.1 of ETSO-C165a.				
Appendix H 2.1 (c)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Minimum requirements. The AMMD software and database that is compliant with the Agency's European Technical Standard Order ETSO-C165a, or an equivalent standard, with following AMMD system features implemented, is considered acceptable: The Total System Accuracy of the end-to-end system is specified and characterised, and does not exceed 50 meters (95 %). Note: An airworthiness-approved sensor using the Global Positioning System (GPS) in combination with a RTCA DO-272 medium accuracy compliant database is considered one acceptable means to satisfy this requirement.				
Appendix H 2.1 (d)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Minimum requirements. The AMMD software and database that is compliant with the Agency's European Technical Standard Order ETSO-C165a, or an equivalent standard, with following AMMD system features implemented, is considered acceptable: The system automatically removes the own-ship position when the aircraft is in flight (using e.g. weight on wheels discrete or speed monitoring) and when the estimated position uncertainty exceeds the maximum value. Refer to sections 3 and 4 in Appendix 1 of ETSO-C165a.				
Appendix H 2.1 (e)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Minimum requirements. The AMMD software and database that is compliant with the Agency's European Technical Standard Order ETSO-C165a, or an equivalent standard, with following AMMD system features implemented, is considered acceptable: It is recommended that the AMMD detects, annunciates to the flight crew, and fully removes depiction of own-ship data, in case of any loss or degradation of AMMD functions due to failures such as memory corruption, frozen system, latency, etc. Refer to section 1 in Appendix 1 of ETSO-C165a.				
Appendix H 2.1 (f)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Minimum requirements. The AMMD software and database that is compliant with the Agency's European Technical Standard Order ETSO-C165a, or an equivalent standard, with following AMMD system features implemented, is considered acceptable: Data Quality Requirements (DQRs) for the AMMD data base.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
Appendix H2.2 (a)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Data provided by the AMMD software application developer. The AMMD software application developer should provide the appropriate data to each integrator in an EFB: The executable object code in an acceptable transferring medium;				
Appendix H2.2 (b) (1)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Data provided by the AMMD software application developer. The AMMD software application developer should provide the appropriate data to each integrator in an EFB: Installation instructions or equivalent as per ETSO-C165a section 2.2. addressing: identification of each target EFB system computing platform (including hardware platform and operating system version) with which this AMMD software application and database was demonstrated to be compatible;				
Appendix H2.2 (b) (2)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Data provided by the AMMD software application developer. The AMMD software application developer should provide the appropriate data to each integrator in an EFB: Installation instructions or equivalent as per ETSO-C165a section 2.2. addressing: installation procedures and limitations to address the AMMD installation requirements for each applicable platform such as target computer resource requirements (e.g. memory resources) to ensure the AMMD will work properly when integrated and installed;				
Appendix H2.2 (b) (3)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Data provided by the AMMD software application developer. The AMMD software application developer should provide the appropriate data to each integrator in an EFB: Installation instructions or equivalent as per ETSO-C165a section 2.2. addressing: interface description data including the requirements for external sensors providing data inputs				
Appendix H2.2 (b) (4)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Data provided by the AMMD software application developer. The AMMD software application developer should provide the appropriate data to each integrator in an EFB: Installation instructions or equivalent as per ETSO-C165a section 2.2. addressing: verification means required to verify proper integration of the AMMD in the target platform environment, including identification of additional activities that the integrator of an EFB must perform to ensure the AMMD meets its				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	intended function, such as testing in the aircraft.				
Appendix H2.2 (c)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Approval of AMMD in EFBs. Data provided by the AMMD software application developer. The AMMD software application developer should provide the appropriate data to each integrator in an EFB: Any AMMD limitations, and known installation, operational, functional, or performance issues on the AMMD.				
Appendix H 2.3 (a)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. AMMD software installation in the EFB. The operator should review the documents and the data provided by the AMMD developer, and ensure that the installation requirements of the AMMD software in the specific EFB platform and aircraft are addressed. The following activities are required: Ensure that the software and database are compatible with the EFB system computing platform on which they are intended to function, including the analysis of compatibility of the AMMD with other EFB Type A and B software applications residing in the same platform. Follow the programme installation instructions provided by the software supplier, as applicable to the compatible EFB computer.				
Appendix H 2.3 (b)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. AMMD software installation in the EFB. The operator should review the documents and the data provided by the AMMD developer, and ensure that the installation requirements of the AMMD software in the specific EFB platform and aircraft are addressed. The following activities are required: Check that the objectives for installation, assumptions, limitations and requirements for the AMMD, as part of the data provided by the AMMD software application developer (see H.2.2), are satisfied				
Appendix H 2.3 (c)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. AMMD software installation in the EFB. The operator should review the documents and the data provided by the AMMD developer, and ensure that the installation requirements of the AMMD software in the specific EFB platform and aircraft are addressed. The following activities are required: Perform any verification activities proposed by the AMMD software application developer, as well as identify and perform additional integration activities to be completed				
Appendix H 2.3 (d)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. AMMD software installation in the EFB.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	The operator should review the documents and the data provided by the AMMD developer, and ensure that the installation requirements of the AMMD software in the specific EFB platform and aircraft are addressed. The following activities are required: Ensure the compatibility and the compliance with requirements for data provided by other installed systems, such as a GNSS sensor and latency assumptions.				
Appendix H 3 (a)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Operating concept. The operating concept should include, as minimum,: pilot operation, including confirmation of effectivity				
Appendix H 3 (b)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Operating concept. The operating concept should include, as minimum,: handling of updates				
Appendix H 3 (c)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Operating concept. The operating concept should include, as minimum,: quality assurance function				
Appendix H 3 (d)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Operating concept. The operating concept should include, as minimum,: handling of NOTAMS				
Appendix H 3 (e)	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Operating concept. The operating concept should include, as minimum,: the provision of current maps and charts to cover the intended operation of the aeroplane. Changes to operational or procedural characteristics of the aircraft (e.g. Flight crew procedures) are documented in the Operations Manual or user's guide as appropriate. In particular, the following text is required: This EFB airport moving map display (AMMD) with own-ship position symbol is designed to assist flight crews in orienting themselves on the airport surface to improve pilot positional awareness during taxi operations. The AMMD function is not to be used as the basis for ground manoeuvring. This application is limited to ground operations only.				
Appendix H 4	AIRPORT MOVING MAP DISPLAY (AMMD) APPLICATION WITH OWN-SHIP POSITION. Training requirements. The operator may use flight crew procedures to mitigate some hazards. This will include limitations on the use of the AMMD function. As the AMMD could be a compelling display and the procedural restrictions are a key component of the mitigation, training should be provided in support of an AMMD's implementation. Any mitigation to hazards that are mitigated by flight crew procedures should be included in				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	flight crew training. Details of AMMD training should be included in the operator's overall EFB training (refer to Appendix E).				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part16</b>	<b>Appendix I</b>				
Appendix I	<p>EXAMPLE OF FINAL OPERATIONAL REPORT. System description and classification of EFB system. ? A general description of the proposed EFB system ? EFB system (hardware and software applications) proposed (paragraph 5.1) Software applications ? List of Type A applications installed (paragraph 5.2.1) ? List of Type B applications installed (paragraph 5.2.2) ? List of miscellaneous (non-EFB) software applications installed (paragraph 6.2.2.3 Hardware (relevant information or references) For portable EFB used without installed resources: ? EMI compliance demonstration (paragraph 6.2.1.1) ? Lithium battery compliance demonstration (paragraph 6.2.1.2) ? Depressurisation compliance demonstration (paragraph 6.2.1.4) ? Details of the power source (paragraph 6.2.1.3) For portable EFB served by installed resources: ? Details of the airworthiness approval for the mounting device (paragraph 6.1.1.1.1) ? Description of the placement of the EFB display (paragraph 6.1.1.1.2) ? Details of the use of installed resources (paragraph 6.1.1.1) ? EMI compliance demonstration (paragraph 6.2.1.1) ? Lithium battery compliance demonstration (paragraph 6.2.1.2) ? Depressurisation compliance demonstration (paragraph 6.2.1.4) ? Details of the power source (paragraph 6.1.1.1.3) ? Details of any data connectivity paragraph 6.1.1.1.4) For installed EFB: ? Details of the airworthiness approval as installed equipment (paragraph 6.1.1.2) Certification documentation ? Limitations contained within the AFM (paragraph 6.1.2.1) ? Guidelines for EFB application developers (paragraph 6.1.2.2) ? Guidelines for EFB system suppliers (paragraph 6.1.2.3) Specific considerations for performance applications ? Details of performance data validation conducted (paragraph 7.5) Operational assessment ? Details of the EFB risk assessment conducted (paragraph 7.2) ? Details of the human machine interface assessment conducted for Type A and B Software applications (paragraph 7.4) ? Details of flight crew operating procedures (paragraph 7.6): o Procedures for using EFB systems with other flight crew compartment systems (paragraph 7.6.1) o Flight crew awareness of EFB software/database revisions (paragraph 7.6.2) o Procedures to mitigate and/or control workload (paragraph 7.6.3) o Flight crew responsibilities for performance calculations (paragraph 7.6.4) ? Details of proposed compliance monitoring oversight of the EFB system (paragraph 7.7) ? Details of EFB system security measures (paragraph 7.8) ? Details of EFB</p>				



Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	administration procedures including provision of the EFB policy and procedures manual (paragraph 7.10 & paragraph 7.10.1) ? Details of the electronic signatures procedure (paragraph 7.9) ? Details of the system for routine EFB System maintenance (paragraph 7.11) ? Details of flight crew training (paragraph 7.12): o Initial training o Differences training o Recurrent training ? Report of the operational evaluation test (paragraph 7.13): o Proposals for the initial retention of paper backup (paragraph 7.13.1) o Proposals for the commencement of operations without paper backup (paragraph 7.13.2) ? EFB platform/hardware description; ? Description of each software application to be included in the assessment (see Appendix F); ? Risk assessment summary for each application and mitigation means put in place; ? Human factors assessment for the complete EFB system, human machine interface and all software applications; o Pilot workload in both single-pilot and multi-crew flown aircraft o Size, resolution, and legibility of symbols and text o For navigation chart display: access to desired charts, access to information within a chart, grouping of information, general layout, orientation (e.g., track-up, north-up), depiction of scale information ? Operator training; ? EFB administrator qualification.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part17</b>	<b>Appendix J</b>				
Appendix J	Power Supply Considerations for PORTABLE EFBs. If an EFB is permanently attached to the essential power network, it could affect the essential generation system (emergency generator and/or battery, bus bars, distribution system) to which it is connected. Certification specifications require that an alternate high integrity electrical power supply system, independent of the normal electrical power system, be provided to power those services necessary for continued safe flight and landing, in case of loss of the normal system. Adding other unnecessary services/loads will affect the integrity of this alternate power system. Portable and installed EFBs are considered non-essential equipment and, therefore, not considered necessary for continued safe flight and landing . It is, hence, not recommended to connect the EFB to an essential power bus.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
<b>Part 18                      Appendix K</b>					
Appendix K (a)	<p>CONSIDERATIONS FOR RAPID DEPRESSURISATION TEST.</p> <p>When the EFB system hosts applications that are required to be used during flight following a rapid depressurisation, testing is required to determine an EFB device's functional capability. The information from the rapid depressurisation test is used to establish the procedural requirements for the use of that EFB device in a pressurised aircraft. Rapid decompression testing should follow the EUROCAE ED-14G/RTCA DO-160F guidelines for rapid decompression testing up to the maximum operating altitude of the aircraft on which the EFB is to be used. The EFB should be operative for at least 10 minutes after the start of the decompression. Pressurised aircraft: When a portable EFB has successfully completed rapid depressurisation testing, then no mitigating procedures for the depressurisation event need to be developed. When a portable EFB has failed the rapid depressurisation testing while turned ON, but successfully completed it when OFF, then procedures will need to ensure that at least one EFB on board the aircraft remains OFF during the applicable flight phases or configured so that no damage will be incurred should rapid decompression occur in flight above 10 000 ft AMSL. If the EFB system has not been tested or has failed the rapid depressurisation test, then alternate procedures or paper backup should be available.</p>				
Appendix K (b)	<p>CONSIDERATIONS FOR RAPID DEPRESSURISATION TEST.</p> <p>When the EFB system hosts applications that are required to be used during flight following a rapid depressurisation, testing is required to determine an EFB device's functional capability. The information from the rapid depressurisation test is used to establish the procedural requirements for the use of that EFB device in a pressurised aircraft. Rapid decompression testing should follow the EUROCAE ED-14G/RTCA DO-160F guidelines for rapid decompression testing up to the maximum operating altitude of the aircraft on which the EFB is to be used. The EFB should be operative for at least 10 minutes after the start of the decompression. Non-Pressurised aircraft: Rapid decompression testing is not required for an EFB used in an non-pressurised aircraft. The EFB should be demonstrated to reliably operate up to the maximum operating altitude of the aircraft. If EFB operation at maximum operating altitude is not attainable, procedures should be established to preclude operation of the EFB above the maximum</p>				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
	demonstrated EFB operation altitude while still maintaining availability of the required aeronautical information.				

Respective legal reference	Requirement	Manual Reference	App/ Acc	Remarks (for Authority use only)	Doc Stat
----------------------------	-------------	------------------	----------	----------------------------------	----------

Additional remarks

End of Compliance List