

Q1.

**Stable:** Relative order of dupes is preserved.

$2, 3_a, 1, 3_b, 0 \Rightarrow 0, 1, 2, 3_a, 3_b = \text{stable}$

$\Rightarrow 0, 1, 2, 3_b, 3_a = \underline{\text{not}} \text{ stable}$

**Adaptive:** An algo. capable of reacting differently to different inputs (eg. sorted arrays)

Bubble sort is a common example

**Comparison-based:** Uses comparisons to sort elements.

(Non-assessable.)

#### Q4. Selection Sort:

<u>Iteration</u>	<u>Array</u>	<u>Comparisons</u>
0	<u>4</u> , 3, 6, 8, <u>2</u>	—
1	2, 3, 6, 8, 4	(4, 3), (3, 6), (3, 8), (3, 2)
2	2, 3, <u>6</u> , 8, <u>4</u>	(3, 6), (3, 8), (3, 4)
3	2, 3, 4, <u>8</u> , <u>6</u>	(6, 8), (6, 4)
4	2, 3, 4, 6, 8	(8, 6)

#. comparisons: 10

#### Bubble Sort (bubble-up):

<u>Iteration</u>	<u>Array</u>	<u>Comparisons</u>
0	4, 3, 6, 8, <u>2</u>	—
1	3, 4, 6, <u>2</u> , <u>8</u>	(3, 4), (4, 6), (6, 8), (8, 2)
2	3, 4, <u>2</u> , <u>6</u> , <u>8</u>	(3, 4), (4, 6), (6, 2)
3	3, <u>2</u> , <u>4</u> , <u>6</u> , <u>8</u>	(3, 4), (4, 2)
4	<u>2</u> , <u>3</u> , <u>4</u> , <u>6</u> , <u>8</u>	(3, 2)

#. comparisons: 10

Insertion sort:

<u>Iteration</u>	<u>Array</u>	<u>Comparisons</u>
0	<u>4</u> , 3, 6, 8, 2	—
1	3, <u>4</u> , 6, 8, 2	(4, 3)
2	3, 4, <u>6</u> , 8, 2	(4, 6)
3	3, 4, 6, <u>8</u> , 2	(6, 8)
4	<u>2</u> , 3, 4, 6, 8	(8, 2), (6, 2), (4, 2), (3, 2)

#. comparisons: 7

Insertion sort commonly the most used of these 3  $O(n^2)$  sorts.

Q5. Array: 1 4 5 6 7 2 3 4 7 9,  $lo=0$ ,  $mid=4$ ,  $hi=9$

Step 1: Initialisation  $\rightarrow i=0, j=5, k=0$

Step 2: Merge while there are items in both halves

A: 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9

After this step the first half is exhausted

tmp: 1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6, 7

Step 3: Copy remaining items in the arrays

A: 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9

This works because what is left is sorted and greater than everything in tmp

tmp: 1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6, 7, 7, 9

Step 4: Copy back tmp's contents to A.  $\Rightarrow$  Done!

Q6. A: <sup>lo</sup>5, 3, 9, 6, 4, 2, 9, 8, <sup>hi</sup>1, 7

Step 1: Initialisation  $\rightarrow l = 1, r = 9, \text{pivot} = 5$

Step 2: Rearrange

A: 5, 3, <sup>l, r</sup>1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 8, 9, 7

Step 3: Swap pivot index if necessary

A: 4, 3, 1, 2, <sup>m</sup>5, 6, 9, 8, 9, 7  
 $\leq 5$        $> 5$

Step 4: Return pivot index.  $\rightarrow 4$ .

Without even simulating it step-by-step, how would partitioning change these two arrays?

0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9  $\rightarrow$  Same

9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0  $\rightarrow$  pretty much same

Both end up with a really uneven split  $\Rightarrow O(n^2)$  worst case is usually caused by this.