# Axon Finance UXDa Security Audit

Report Version 1.0

July 23, 2024

Conducted by **Hunter Security**:

**George Hunter**, Lead Security Researcher

# **Table of Contents**

1	About George Hunter
2	Disclaimer
3	Risk classification  3.1 Impact
4	Executive summary
5	Findings  5.1 Informational

# 1 About George Hunter

George Hunter is a leading smart contract security researcher and the founder of Hunter Security. Having conducted over 100 security reviews and reported tens of live smart contract security vulnerabilities protecting over \$1B of TVL, he always strives to deliver top-quality security services to DeFi protocols. For security audit inquiries, you can reach out to him on Telegram or Twitter at @georgehntr.

## 2 Disclaimer

Audits are a time-, resource-, and expertise-bound effort where trained experts evaluate smart contracts using a combination of automated and manual techniques to identify as many vulnerabilities as possible. Audits can reveal the presence of vulnerabilities **but cannot guarantee their absence**.

## 3 Risk classification

Severity	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	High	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

## 3.1 Impact

- High leads to a significant loss of assets in the protocol or significantly harms a group of users.
- **Medium** involves a small loss of funds or affects a core functionality of the protocol.
- Low encompasses any unexpected behavior that is non-critical.

#### 3.2 Likelihood

- **High** a direct attack vector; the cost is relatively low compared to the potential loss of funds.
- Medium only a conditionally incentivized attack vector, with a moderate likelihood.
- **Low** involves too many or unlikely assumptions; offers little to no incentive.

# 3.3 Actions required by severity level

- High client must fix the issue.
- Medium client should fix the issue.
- Low client could fix the issue.

# 4 Executive summary

George Hunter was engaged by Axon Finance to review the UXDa stablecoin smart contracts.

## **Overview**

Project Name	Axon Finance, UXDa stablecoin
Repository	https://github.com/axon-finance/axon-smart-contracts
Commit hash	65824ce397486f06843dda99b5bc0cbcf4e91a0b
Resolution	65824ce397486f06843dda99b5bc0cbcf4e91a0b
Methods	Manual review & testing

# Scope

contracts/token/Blacklistable.sol
contracts/token/Mintable.sol
contracts/token/UXDa.sol

## **Issues Found**

High risk	0
Medium risk	0
Low risk	0
Informational	1

# **5 Findings**

#### 5.1 Informational

### 5.1.1 Typographical mistakes, non-critical issues and code-style suggestions

**Severity:** Informational

Context: .

**Description:** The contracts contain one or more typographical mistakes, non-critical issues and codestyle suggestions. In an effort to keep the report size reasonable, we enumerate these below:

- 1. Consider whether indexing \_isBlacklisted of the Blacklisted event would be useful.
- 2. The *blacklist* function can be called passing *false* for a non-blacklisted user or *true* for already blacklisted one. Consider restricting this combination of input and state.
- 3. Consider checking that *address(0)* cannot be blacklisted since this would block the minting and burning functionallities.
- 4. The *addMinter* function can be called multiple times for the same minter which would perform an unnecessary SSTORE for the *\_minters* mapping. Consider whether optimizing or restricting this case would be desired.
- 5. The *removeMinter* function can be called passing a non-minter address which would emit an unnecessary event. Consider restricting this path.
- 6. The first line in each NatSpec comment in the *Mintable* contract should begin with a @dev\_ or @notice\_ tag to follow the convention.
- 7. A typo in the @dev\_NatSpec comment of \_beforeMint\_ should say "before any minting" rather than "after any minting".

**Recommendation:** Consider fixing the above typographical mistakes, non-critical issues and codestyle suggestions.

**Resolution:** Acknowledged. No changes were implemented.